

New advances in the diagnosis of von Willebrand disease

Ruchika Sharma and Sandra L. Haberichter

Diagnostic Laboratories and Blood Research Institute, Versiti, Milwaukee, WI; Pediatric Hematology/ Oncology, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI; and Children's Research Institute, Children's Hospital of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI

von Willebrand disease (VWD) is the most common autosomal inherited bleeding disorder, with an estimated prevalence of 1 in 1000 individuals. VWD is classified into quantitative and qualitative forms. Diagnosis of VWD is complex and requires (1) a personal history of bleeding symptoms, (2) family history of bleeding or VWD, and (3) confirmatory laboratory testing. There are certain bleeding assessment tools to objectively measure bleeding symptoms in patients that have been shown to correlate with the diagnosis as well as the severity of VWD. Laboratory diagnosis requires at least initially a measurement of von Willebrand factor (VWF) antigen levels, VWF platelet binding activity (VWF:RCo, VWF: GPIbM, and VWF:GPIbR), and factor VIII (FVIII) activity. Additional testing to confirm the specific subtype may include VWF collagen binding activity, low-dose ristocetin VWF-platelet binding, FVIII-VWF binding, VWF multimer analysis, and VWF propeptide antigen. Recent advances have been made regarding some of these assays. Molecular testing in VWD is not found to be useful in "low VWF" or most type 1 VWD cases but may be informative in patients with severe type 1 VWD, type 1C VWD, type 2 VWD, or type 3 VWD for accurate diagnosis, genetic counseling, and appropriate treatment. The diagnostic algorithm for VWD is complex, but advances continue to be made in improving VWF functional assays and diagnostic pathways.

Learning Objectives

- Understand advances in clinical evaluation of patients with von Willebrand disease
- Understand new diagnostic assays for von Willebrand disease

Clinical case

A 4-year-old female presents with recurrent epistaxis and easy bruisability. She has never had any surgical challenges. There is a possible family history of von Willebrand disease (VWD) in the father, but he does not live with the family. Laboratory evaluation showed mild anemia with a hemoglobin of 9.6 g/dL, normal platelet count, and normal white count. von Willebrand factor antigen (VWF: Ag) was 36 IU/dL, von Willebrand factor (VWF):RCo activity was <10 IU/dL, and von Willebrand factor collagen binding activity (VWF:CB) activity was also low at 15 IU/dL. Multimer evaluation showed loss of high-molecular weight multimers. Considering the discrepant VWF:RCo to VWF:Ag ratio, a more accurate assay to evaluate the platelet binding activity of VWF was evaluated. This showed a VWF:Ag of 32 IU/dL and a VWF:GPIbM activity of 12 IU/dL. A question about type 2A VWD vs type 2B VWD was raised. A ristocetin-induced platelet aggregation (RIPA) and a VWF-platelet binding study were found to be normal. Genetic testing was sent for confirmation starting with sequencing of exon 28 of the VWF gene. The p.D1472H variant was identified. This variant has been shown to cause disproportionately low VWF:RCo activity compared with VWF: Ag but has not shown to be associated with bleeding symptoms. To

further evaluate for other potentially implicated variants, other exons were sequenced, and they have been shown to have variants associated with type 2A VWD, including exons 11 to 17, 22, 25 to 28, and 52. A variant in exon 26 was identified, c.3389G>T (Cys1130Phe), which has been previously reported as a pathogenic variant for autosomal dominant type 2A VWD. Thus, this patient was confirmed to have type 2A VWD.

Introduction

VWD is the most common autosomal inherited bleeding disorder, with an estimated prevalence of 1 in 1000 individuals.¹ Classification of VWD is currently based on the criteria developed by the VWF subcommittee of the International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis (ISTH) and the National Institutes of Health National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI) VWD expert panel, and these guidelines were published in 2006 and 2007, respectively.^{1,2} VWD is classified into 3 major categories: partial quantitative VWF deficiency (type 1), complete deficiency (type 3), and qualitative deficiency (type 2). Type 2 is further classified into subtypes defined by defects in multimerization (type 2A), increased platelet binding (type 2B), defects in VWF-platelet binding or VWF:CB (type 2M), or defects in factor VIII (FVIII) binding (type 2N). Type 1 is the most common, accounting for about 85% of VWD, whereas type 3 is the least common, affecting an estimated 1 in 1 million individuals. Type 2 VWD is less common than type 1. Diagnosis of VWD includes (1) assessment of history of bleeding symptoms, (2) assessment of family history of bleeding or VWD, and (3) confirmatory laboratory testing.3

Bleeding assessment tools

VWD is characterized by increased or excessive mucocutaneous bleeding manifesting as epistaxis; easy bruising; prolonged bleeding from minor wounds; oral cavity bleeding; bleeding after surgery, dental extraction, or childbirth; and heavy menstrual bleeding. The most severely affected individuals experience musculoskeletal bleeding, including hemarthrosis and muscle hematomas. To objectively quantify the severity of bleeding symptoms, several bleeding scores have been devised. The ISTH has developed a validated Bleeding Assessment Tool (BAT) for evaluation of patients with VWD. Normal ranges of the ISTH BAT have been established for adult patients (males and females) and pediatric patients.⁴ The ISTH BAT scores have been shown to correlate with the severity of VWD. ISTH BAT scores are higher in type 3 VWD than in type 2 or type 1, and they are higher in children with severe VWD (VWF:Ag < 10 U/dL) than children with moderate VWD (VWF:Ag = 10-30 U/dL).⁵ Bowman et al⁶ published the Pediatric Bleeding Questionnaire (PBQ), which has pediatric-specific bleeding symptoms, such as umbilical stump bleeding, cephalohematoma, postcircumcision bleeding, postvenipuncture bleeding, and macroscopic hematuria. The high negative predictive value of the PBQ suggests that this tool could be a valuable addition to the evaluation of children with suspected inherited bleeding disorders. Similar results have been obtained in prospectively studied adults screened for suspected bleeding tendency.⁷ One limitation of these BATs is that they require expert administration, which can be problematic from a resource perspective, especially in a busy clinical setting, and it is a barrier to more widespread use of such tools. A selfadministered BAT was recently validated as a screening tool for VWD and shown to correlate well with expert-administered ISTH BAT scores, making it a lucrative option to use in a busy clinic setting.⁸ Additionally, these tools are limited by the fact that pediatric patients may not have had as many challenges to experience significant bleeding symptoms. A pediatric self-BAT has recently been validated.9 Menorrhagia-specific questionnaires are also available for evaluation of heavy menstrual bleeding in many patients with VWD. These are the Pictorial Bleeding Assessment Chart (PBAC) and Phillip scores. The Phillip tool combined with the PBAC score >100 has a sensitivity of 95% for inherited bleeding disorders, especially VWD.10

Diagnostic criteria for VWD

Clinical laboratory testing for VWD initially includes measurement of at least VWF:Ag, VWF-platelet binding activity (VWF:RCo, VWF:GPIbM, and VWF:GPIbR), and FVIII level (Table 1).³ Additional testing may be indicated based on the initial test results, including low-dose ristocetin VWF-platelet binding, VWF multimers, von Willebrand factor propeptide (VWFpp) level, and VWF: CB. Ratios of VWF functional activity (VWF:RCo and VWF:CB), FVIII level, or VWFpp level to VWF:Ag are used in identification of VWD subtype. However, caution must be used when assessing these ratios at very low VWF:Ag levels, because variability of values at the lower end of the analytical range may result in an unreliable ratio. In addition, evaluation of other family members for bleeding symptoms as well as laboratory testing for VWD in those with bleeding symptoms may be beneficial.

Type 3 VWD is characterized by undetectable VWF protein and markedly reduced FVIII level (Table 1). In type 1 VWD, VWF:Ag level is low, and VWF-platelet binding activity is reduced in parallel. FVIII level may be reduced secondary to reduced VWF level, and VWF multimer structure should be essentially normal, with no significant decrease in the large VWF multimers. The diagnostic VWF cutoff levels for type 1 diagnosis have been debated for many years.¹¹ Previous NHLBI guidelines recommended a cutoff of <30 IU/dL; however, this cutoff varies widely in practice.² Some use the lower limit of normal (~50 IU/dL), and other reports use <40 IU/dL. Patients with intermediate plasma VWF levels (30-50 IU/dL) have also been categorized as "low VWF levels." A recent study by Lavin et al^{12,13} concluded that low VWF levels may be associated with significant bleeding in patients owing to reduced VWF synthesis/secretion. Diagnostic cutoffs for type 1 VWD and "low VWF" remain to be delineated.

Type 2 VWD encompasses abnormalities in VWF function. Initial laboratory testing in type 2A and type 2B VWD may be similar with normal or reduced VWF:Ag level, markedly reduced VWF-platelet binding activity (eg, decreased VWF:RCo/VWF:Ag), normal to reduced FVIII level, and loss of high-molecular weight multimers (Table 1).² Patients with type 2B often have thrombocytopenia that is not observed in type 2A because of variants that cause increased VWF-platelet binding and clearance of large multimers.¹⁴ Abnormally increased RIPA at low ristocetin concentration is diagnostic for type 2B VWD. Genetic testing of the VWF gene may also be useful in discriminating type 2A from 2B as discussed in more detail below. The majority of type 2M VWD includes variants with decreased VWF binding to platelets not caused by abnormal multimer structure (eg, decreased VWF:RCo/VWF:Ag). Initial test results may be similar to type 2A, with discordant VWF:Ag and VWF-platelet binding activity; however, type 2M subjects have normal VWF multimer structure. A less common and less well-known variation of type 2M includes subjects with VWF collagen binding defects (Table 1). These subjects have reduced VWF:CB/VWF:Ag ratio and normal VWF multimers. Type 2N VWD is caused by variants that impair FVIII binding, causing low FVIII levels. The diagnostic hallmark for type 2N VWD is markedly discordant VWF:Ag and FVIII level as identified by a markedly reduced FVIII/VWF:Ag ratio. Discrimination of type 2N from hemophilia A may require the assay of FVIII-VWF binding, which is becoming more readily available in clinical or reference laboratories. Alternatively, genetic testing may be used to confirm type 2N VWD.

Assays of VWF-platelet binding activity

The classic assay for assessing the ability of VWF to bind to platelets is VWF:RCo activity where the antibiotic ristocetin is used to induce a conformational change, exposing the VWF A1 domain to allow platelet GPIb α binding (Figure 1). VWF:RCo has been used for decades, and most data correlating VWF levels and treatment outcomes have used VWF:RCo. However, the VWF:RCo assay has a high coefficient of variation and poor sensitivity, making it difficult to assess patients with very low VWF levels (<20 IU/dL).¹⁵ VWF: RCo measures not only the ability of VWF to bind GPIb α but also, VWF-ristocetin binding. False positive results may occur in subjects with the p.D1472H *VWF* variant that is common in African Americans and less common in other subjects.¹⁶ Other variants in the VWF-ristocetin binding region may impact the VWF:RCo assay in a similar manner.

A recently developed assay (VWF:GPIbR) uses recombinant GPIba fragments tethered to microparticles, eliminating the use of whole platelets (Figure 1).^{15,17} VWF:GPIbR is less variable and more sensitive than VWF:RCo, but it has excellent correlation with VWF:RCo, and also, it is commercially available in Europe and Canada.¹⁵ Boender et al¹⁸ recently reported that VWF:GPIbR is unaffected by the common p.D1472H *VWF* variant, unlike VWF:RCo. Another

Table 1. Typical laboratory test results for VWD subtypes

	Normal	Type 1	Type 1C	Type 2A	Type 2B*	Type 2M	Type 2N	Type 3
VWF:Ag	Ν	↓↓	ĻĻ	N, ↓, or ↓↓	N, ↓, or ↓↓	↓ or ↓↓	N or ↓	Absent
VWF:RCo/VWF:Ag, VWF:GPlbM/VWF:Ag, VWFGPlbR/VWF:Ag	Ν	Ν	Ν	$\downarrow\downarrow$	↓ or ↓↓	$\downarrow\downarrow$	Ν	N/A
FVIII/VWF:Ag	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	$\downarrow\downarrow$	N/A
LD-RIPA	None	None	None	None	$\uparrow\uparrow$	None	None	None
Platelet count	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	N or ↓	Ν	Ν	Ν
VWF multimers	Ν	Ν	Ν	↓HMW	↓HMW	Ν	Ν	Absent
VWFpp/VWF:Ag	Ν	Ν	↑ or ↑↑	N or↑	N or ↑	Ν	Ν	N/A
VWF:CB/VWF:Ag	Ν	Ν	N	↓↓ [`]	↓ or ↓↓	N or ↓	Ν	N/A

HMW, high molecular weight; LD-RIPA, low-dose ristocetin-induced platelet aggregation; N, normal; 1, reduced; 11, markedly reduced; 1, increased; 11, markedly increased. *Platelet-type VWD has a similar laboratory phenotype.

recently developed assay, VWF:GPIbM, uses recombinant GPIbα fragments with 2 gain-of-function variants to induce spontaneous binding of VWF without ristocetin (Figure 1).^{19,20} Although VWF: GPIbM eliminates the nonphysiologic ristocetin, it does introduce a nonphysiologic mutant GPIbα receptor. VWF:GPIbM is reported to have an excellent coefficient of variation, a more sensitive lower limit of detection, and excellent correlation with VWF:RCo.^{15,21} VWF:GPIbM is available commercially on an automated platform in Canada and Europe, whereas an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay version is available in the United States through Versiti, Blood Center of Wisconsin. Because ristocetin is eliminated, VWF: GPIbM results are unaffected by the p.D1472H *VWF* variant.^{18,20}

In a recent study by Boender et al,¹⁸ VWF:RCo, VWF:GPIbR, and VWF:GPIbM assay results were compared using a well-defined cohort of VWD subjects. They found excellent correlation between assays but discrepant classifications for many VWD patients. VWF: RCo misclassified up to 18% of patients and half of type 2B subjects. VWF:GPIbR was more sensitive and accurate in classifying the majority of patients, whereas VWF:GPIbM was the most precise but misclassified several of genotypic type 2A, type 2B, and type 3 patients. VWF:GPIbM may be increased in type 2B subjects, potentially because of the combination of increased platelet affinity of type 2B VWF with the gain-of-function mutant GPIba protein used. Although this study did have some limitations, including differences in calibration between assays as well as the use of a single commercially available assay of each type, it did reveal that VWF:RCo has been surpassed by VWF:GPIbR and VWF:GPIbM assays in terms of precision, sensitivity, and diagnostic accuracy.

Assay of VWFpp

The assay of VWFpp level can also be useful in the diagnosis of VWD, particularly in defining VWD subtypes.²²⁻²⁴ The VWFpp level is used as a surrogate marker of VWF synthesis and secretion. VWFpp is most useful in identifying the subset of type 1 VWD subjects with very low VWF:Ag owing to increased clearance of VWF from plasma, which is commonly referred to as type 1C.^{22,25,26} These patients have a markedly reduced VWF half-life, which is as short as 1 to 3 hours after desmopressin administration, in contrast to the normal 8- to 12-hour half-life. By definition, healthy individuals have 1 U each of VWF and VWFpp in plasma, and they should have a steady-state ratio of <3.0. In type 1C patients, VWFpp is assumed to have a normal half-life, with substantially reduced VWF half-life resulting in an increased VWFpp/VWF:Ag ratio (>3.0). Identification of type 1C patients has implications for treatment strategies. These patients may release VWF after desmopressin treatment, but the released VWF will be quickly cleared from plasma. Increased clearance of VWF also occurs in some types of acquired VWD and in type 2B and some type 2A patients as identified by an elevated VWFpp/VWF:Ag ratio.²⁷

Sanders et al²³ studied a large group of type 1, type 2, and type 3 VWD subjects using VWFpp/VWF:Ag and FVIII/VWF:Ag ratios to define the pathological mechanism (reduced VWF survival, reduced VWF secretion, or both) causing the VWD phenotype. This study highlighted the utility of VWFpp levels in identifying true type 3 VWD patients, because some patients had severely reduced VWF: Ag with detectable or normal VWFpp level, indicating reduced VWF survival rather than an absence of VWF synthesis. The reclassification of these patients has implications for treatment options. Where type 3 VWD patients do not produce VWF, type 1C subjects should have relatively normal endothelial cell Weibel–Palade body and platelet α -granule VWF stores. The VWF released after desmopressin administration, although quickly cleared from plasma, may



Gain-of-function rGPIb + VWF

Figure 1. Platelet-dependent VWF activity assays. (A) VWF:RCo includes "traditional" assays that use ristocetin to induce VWF binding to platelets. (B) VWF:GPIbR includes assays based on ristocetin-induced binding of VWF to recombinant wild-type GPIb α fragments tethered to either latex particles or an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) plate. (C) VWF:GPIbM assays are based on spontaneous binding of VWF to recombinant gain-of-function GPIb α fragments tethered to either latex particles or an ELISA plate

be sufficient to achieve hemostasis in minor bleeding situations.²⁸ Type 3 patients will not respond to desmopressin and require VWF replacement therapy. The VWFpp assay is not routinely included in the diagnostic workup for VWD, and it is available in few diagnostic laboratories; however, it may be a valuable addition to the diagnostic panel, particularly in patients with markedly reduced VWF:Ag levels. Although this assay provides little additional information in type 2 VWD, it has utility in discriminating between type 3, type 1, type 1C, and some types of acquired VWD, with implications for therapeutic treatment.

VWF:CB assay

Yet another VWF function is to bind to exposed collagen at the site of vascular injury, and it requires an assay to specifically examine VWF-collagen interaction. VWF has been shown to bind to several different types of collagen.²⁹ The VWF A3 domain binds to type 1 and type 3 collagen, whereas type 4 and type 6 collagen bind through the VWF A1 domain. Most VWD diagnostic panels typically do not include any assessment of VWF:CB, and the clinical laboratories that do perform this testing use type 1 or type 3 collagen. Currently, the assay of type 4 or type 6 collagen binding is not clinically available.

VWF collagen binding is dependent on the presence of high-molecular weight VWF multimers, and patients with defective VWF multimers (type 2A or type 2B) have reduced VWF:CB (Table 1).³⁰ Flood et al³¹ showed that collagen binding provides a sensitive screen for variant VWD, and VWF:CB serves as a surrogate for the presence of highmolecular weight VWF multimers. Patients with normal multimer structure should have a VWF:CB/VWF:Ag ratio of ~1.0. Patients with type 2A or type 2B VWD with abnormal VWF multimers have reduced VWF:CB/VWF:Ag ratio. The sensitivity of VWF:CB for multimer abnormalities was 97% to 100% for healthy controls and type 1, type 2A, and type 2B VWD depending on the VWF:CB/VWF:Ag cutoff used. Other investigators have reported on the utility of VWF:CB/VWF:Ag to distinguish VWF multimer distribution.^{30,32,33} VWF:CB may prove to be more efficient and potentially less costly than VWF multimer analysis. However, VWF multimer analysis by classical electrophoresis is likely warranted to confirm VWD subtype after initial VWD diagnosis.

Assessment of VWF:CB activity may have another role in identifying patients with collagen binding defects falling under the category of type 2M VWD. Assays using type 1 or 3 collagen will detect the rarer specific VWF A3 domain collagen binding variants.³⁴ VWF A1 domain collagen binding variants are more common and can be identified using type 4 or 6 collagen, but this assay is not currently clinically available.³⁵ Both A1 and A3 domain collagen binding variants have been reported to be associated with an increased bleeding score compared with similar subjects without an identified collagen binding variant. Addition of VWF:CB activity to the VWD diagnostic pathway may serve a dual role in evaluating VWF multimer structure and identifying a possible collagen binding defect.³⁶

Genetic testing in VWD

Genetic testing for VWD is not common practice and should be used in specific cases, where it may have implications for diagnosis, management, or counseling. Genetic testing in type 1 VWD is not very informative, because an average of 62% of patients with type 1 VWD have an identifiable sequence variant (SV); however, for patients with VWF < 30 IU/dL, the detection rate of identifiable SVs is ~80%.³⁷ Genetic testing in type 2 VWD may help to confirm the molecular diagnosis and the specific subtype to aid in patient management. It can be particularly helpful in diagnosing patients with type 2N VWD vs mild hemophilia A, differentiating platelettype VWD from type 2B VWD, and confirming diagnosis of type 2M and type 2A VWD. In patients with type 3 VWD, genetic testing may be helpful for accurate diagnosis (misdiagnosis of some severe type 1 or 2 patients), to determine risk of alloantibody development (more commonly seen with large deletions), and for genetic counseling and risk determination for other family members (parents or offspring of patients with type 3 VWD may have type 1 VWD or be phenotypically normal depending on the variant type).³⁸

There are certain limitations of genetic testing that may complicate genetic VWD diagnosis. VWF is a large gene consisting of 52 exons, with a highly homologous partial pseudogene corresponding to exons 23 to 34. This pseudogene makes sequencing and interpretation particularly difficult. Utilizing a diagnostic laboratory with significant VWF gene sequencing experience is essential. Additionally, VWF gene is highly polymorphic, with significant variability in normal individuals. Many variants previously classified as pathogenic have been found in healthy people, questioning their significance.³⁹ Therefore, DNA changes must be correlated with functional VWF studies for accurate diagnosis.¹⁶ For example, a common single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) in exon 28 of VWF, p.D1472H, has been associated with a significantly low VWF:RCo/VWF:Ag ratio; however, it does not have any functional significance, and it does not cause disease.¹⁶ Identifying this SNP may be informative in those patients who are misdiagnosed as type 2M VWD, particularly those of African-American descent. The sequencing of the entire VWF coding region also has limitations owing to its high costs, but next generation sequencing (NGS) has emerged as an alternative to overcome this limitation.^{40,41} NGS technologies can be economically advantageous when the sequencer runs at full capacity, allowing a large number of samples to be analyzed to reduce cost. Also, a tiered approach is often found useful for genetic diagnosis of VWD, because many variants are clustered in specific regions, making it less cumbersome and cost effective to analyze these regions.

DNA sequencing by Sanger sequencing or NGS cannot detect copy number variants (CNVs), including deletions and duplications, which cause ~10% of genetic diseases. Christopherson et al⁴² showed that large deletions were identified in 12% of their VWD cohort (including VWD type 1, type 1C, and type 3). Array comprehensive genomic hybridization is a sensitive and powerful method to identify CNV in the *VWF* gene and should be considered when attempting to determine the underlying genetic cause of type 1 VWD patients with VWF:Ag levels <30 or type 3 VWD patients when an SV is not identified by *VWF* sequencing.

Case follow-up

Our patient with significantly abnormal VWF studies was confirmed to have type 2A VWD. The patient had a suboptimal response to inhaled desmopressin. She now receives desmopressin for minor bleeding and VWF concentrate for more significant bleeding or surgical prophylaxis.

Correspondence

Sandra L. Haberichter, Diagnostic Laboratories and Blood Research Institute, Versiti, 638 N 18th St, PO Box 2178, Milwaukee, WI 53201; e-mail: shaberichter@versiti.org.

References

- Sadler JE, Budde U, Eikenboom JC, et al; Working Party on von Willebrand Disease Classification. Update on the pathophysiology and classification of von Willebrand disease: a report of the Subcommittee on von Willebrand Factor. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2006;4(10):2103-2114.
- Nichols WL, Hultin MB, James AH, et al. von Willebrand disease (VWD): evidence-based diagnosis and management guidelines, the National Heart,

Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI) Expert Panel report (USA). *Haemophilia*. 2008;14(2):171-232.

- Nichols WL, Rick ME, Ortel TL, et al. Clinical and laboratory diagnosis of von Willebrand disease: a synopsis of the 2008 NHLBI/NIH guidelines. *Am J Hematol.* 2009;84(6):366-370.
- Elbatarny M, Mollah S, Grabell J, et al; Zimmerman Program Investigators. Normal range of bleeding scores for the ISTH-BAT: adult and pediatric data from the merging project. *Haemophilia*. 2014;20(6):831-835.
- Sanders YV, Fijnvandraat K, Boender J, et al; WiN Study Group. Bleeding spectrum in children with moderate or severe von Willebrand disease: relevance of pediatric-specific bleeding. *Am J Hematol.* 2015; 90(12):1142-1148.
- Bowman M, Riddel J, Rand ML, Tosetto A, Silva M, James PD. Evaluation of the diagnostic utility for von Willebrand disease of a pediatric bleeding questionnaire. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2009;7(8):1418-1421.
- Tosetto A, Castaman G, Plug I, Rodeghiero F, Eikenboom J. Prospective evaluation of the clinical utility of quantitative bleeding severity assessment in patients referred for hemostatic evaluation. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2011;9(6):1143-1148.
- Deforest M, Grabell J, Albert S, et al. Generation and optimization of the self-administered bleeding assessment tool and its validation as a screening test for von Willebrand disease. *Haemophilia*. 2015;21(5):e384-e388.
- Casey LJ, Tuttle A, Grabell J, et al. Generation and optimization of the self-administered pediatric bleeding questionnaire and its validation as a screening tool for von Willebrand disease. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2017; 64(10):e26588.
- Rott H, Kappert G, Siebert M. Establishment of a reference range for the Pbac-score. *Blood*. 2013;122(21):4772.
- 11. Sadler JE. Slippery criteria for von Willebrand disease type 1. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2004;2(10):1720-1723.
- Lavin M, Aguila S, Schneppenheim S, et al. Novel insights into the clinical phenotype and pathophysiology underlying low VWF levels. *Blood*. 2017;130(21):2344-2353.
- Lavin M, Aguila S, Dalton N, et al. Significant gynecological bleeding in women with low von Willebrand factor levels. *Blood Adv.* 2018;2(14):1784-1791.
- Federici AB, Mannucci PM, Castaman G, et al. Clinical and molecular predictors of thrombocytopenia and risk of bleeding in patients with von Willebrand disease type 2B: a cohort study of 67 patients. *Blood.* 2009; 113(3):526-534.
- 15. Bodó I, Eikenboom J, Montgomery R, Patzke J, Schneppenheim R, Di Paola J; von Willebrand factor Subcommittee of the Standardization and Scientific Committee of the International Society for Thrombosis and Haemostasis. Platelet-dependent von Willebrand factor activity. Nomenclature and methodology: communication from the SSC of the ISTH. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2015;13(7):1345-1350.
- Flood VH, Gill JC, Morateck PA, et al. Common VWF exon 28 polymorphisms in African Americans affecting the VWF activity assay by ristocetin cofactor. *Blood.* 2010;116(2):280-286.
- Vanhoorelbeke K, Cauwenberghs N, Vauterin S, Schlammadinger A, Mazurier C, Deckmyn H. A reliable and reproducible ELISA method to measure ristocetin cofactor activity of von Willebrand factor. *Thromb Haemost.* 2000;83(1):107-113.
- Boender J, Eikenboom J, van der Bom JG, et al; WiN Study Group. Clinically relevant differences between assays for von Willebrand factor activity. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2018;16(12):2413-2424.
- Patzke J, Budde U, Huber A, et al. Performance evaluation and multicentre study of a von Willebrand factor activity assay based on GPIb binding in the absence of ristocetin. *Blood Coagul Fibrinolysis*. 2014;25(8):860-870.
- Flood VH, Gill JC, Morateck PA, et al. Gain-of-function GPIb ELISA assay for VWF activity in the Zimmerman Program for the Molecular and Clinical Biology of VWD. *Blood*. 2011;117(6):e67-e74.
- Szederjesi A, Baronciani L, Budde U, et al. An international collaborative study to compare different von Willebrand factor glycoprotein Ib binding activity assays: the COMPASS-VWF study [published online ahead of print 13 June 2018]. J Thromb Haemost. doi:10.1111/jth.14206.
- Haberichter SL, Balistreri M, Christopherson P, et al. Assay of the von Willebrand factor (VWF) propeptide to identify patients with type 1 von Willebrand disease with decreased VWF survival. *Blood.* 2006;108(10):3344-3351.

- Sanders YV, Groeneveld D, Meijer K, et al; WiN study group. von Willebrand factor propeptide and the phenotypic classification of von Willebrand disease. *Blood.* 2015;125(19):3006-3013.
- Eikenboom J, Federici AB, Dirven RJ, et al; MCMDM-1VWD Study Group. VWF propeptide and ratios between VWF, VWF propeptide, and FVIII in the characterization of type 1 von Willebrand disease. *Blood*. 2013;121(12):2336-2339.
- Schooten CJ, Tjernberg P, Westein E, et al. Cysteine-mutations in von Willebrand factor associated with increased clearance. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2005;3(10):2228-2237.
- 26. Haberichter SL, Castaman G, Budde U, et al. Identification of type 1 von Willebrand disease patients with reduced von Willebrand factor survival by assay of the VWF propeptide in the European study: molecular and clinical markers for the diagnosis and management of type 1 VWD (MCMDM-1VWD). *Blood*. 2008;111(10):4979-4985.
- Eikenboom JC, Tjernberg P, Van Marion V, Heering KJ. Acquired von Willebrand syndrome: diagnostic problems and therapeutic options. *Am J Hematol.* 2007;82(1):55-58.
- Castaman G, Tosetto A, Federici AB, Rodeghiero F. Bleeding tendency and efficacy of anti-haemorrhagic treatments in patients with type 1 von Willebrand disease and increased von Willebrand factor clearance. *Thromb Haemost.* 2011;105(4):647-654.
- Favaloro EJ. Collagen binding assay for von Willebrand factor (VWF: CBA): detection of von Willebrands Disease (VWD), and discrimination of VWD subtypes, depends on collagen source. *Thromb Haemost*. 2000; 83(1):127-135.
- 30. Favaloro EJ, Bonar R, Chapman K, Meiring M, Funk Adcock D. Differential sensitivity of von Willebrand factor (VWF) 'activity' assays to large and small VWF molecular weight forms: a cross-laboratory study comparing ristocetin cofactor, collagen-binding and mAb-based assays. J Thromb Haemost. 2012;10(6):1043-1054.
- Flood VH, Gill JC, Friedman KD, et al; Zimmerman Program Investigators. Collagen binding provides a sensitive screen for variant von Willebrand disease. *Clin Chem.* 2013;59(4):684-691.
- Baronciani L, Federici AB, Cozzi G, Canciani MT, Mannucci PM. von Willebrand factor collagen binding assay in von Willebrand disease type 2A, 2B, and 2M. J Thromb Haemost. 2006;4(9):2088-2090.
- 33. Federici AB, Canciani MT, Forza I, Cozzi G. Ristocetin cofactor and collagen binding activities normalized to antigen levels for a rapid diagnosis of type 2 von Willebrand disease--single center comparison of four different assays. *Thromb Haemost.* 2000;84(6):1127-1128.
- Flood VH, Lederman CA, Wren JS, et al. Absent collagen binding in a VWF A3 domain mutant: utility of the VWF:CB in diagnosis of VWD. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2010;8(6):1431-1433.
- Flood VH, Gill JC, Christopherson PA, et al. Critical von Willebrand factor A1 domain residues influence type VI collagen binding. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2012;10(7):1417-1424.
- Flood VH, Schlauderaff AC, Haberichter SL, et al; Zimmerman Program Investigators. Crucial role for the VWF A1 domain in binding to type IV collagen. *Blood*. 2015;125(14):2297-2304.
- Sharma R, Flood VH. Advances in the diagnosis and treatment of Von Willebrand disease. *Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program*. 2017; 2017(1):379-384.
- Christopherson PA, Perry CL, Bellissimo DB, et al. Genotype-phenotype relationship and the role of alloantibodies in type 3 VWD in the Zimmerman Program. *Blood*. 2017;130(suppl 1):19.
- Bellissimo DB, Christopherson PA, Flood VH, et al. VWF mutations and new sequence variations identified in healthy controls are more frequent in the African-American population. *Blood*. 2012;119(9):2135-2140.
- Corrales I, Catarino S, Ayats J, et al. High-throughput molecular diagnosis of von Willebrand disease by next generation sequencing methods. *Haematologica*. 2012;97(7):1003-1007.
- 41. Liang Q, Qin H, Ding Q, et al. Molecular and clinical profile of VWD in a large cohort of Chinese population: application of next generation sequencing and CNVplex[®] technique. *Thromb Haemost.* 2017;117(8):1534-1548.
- Christopherson PA, Udani RA, Perry C, et al. Identification of copy number variants in type 1 and type 3 VWD by aCGH in the Zimmerman Program. *Blood*. 2016;128(22):872.