

Plasma versus prothrombin complex concentrate for warfarin-associated major bleeding: a systematic review

Deborah M. Siegal^{1,2} and William J. Savage^{1,2}

¹McMaster University and St. Joseph's Healthcare, Hamilton, ON; and ²Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA

Learning Objective

• To compare the efficacy and adverse effects of prothrombin complex concentrate and plasma for rapid correction of vitamin K antagonist-associated hemorrhagic emergency

Clinical vignette

A 78-year-old female presents to the emergency department with brisk hematochezia, fatigue, and tachycardia. She is receiving chronic oral anticoagulant therapy with warfarin as thromboprophylaxis for a mechanical mitral valve. International normalized ratio (INR) is 4.2. Red blood cell transfusion is ordered, and 10 mg of intravenous vitamin K is given. You are asked whether plasma or prothrombin complex concentrate is more effective for emergent reversal of her warfarin-associated coagulopathy.

Warfarin is a vitamin K antagonist (VKA) that has been the mainstay of oral anticoagulant therapy for the prevention and treatment of thromboembolism. Bleeding is the major complication of anticoagulant therapy with rates of major warfarin-related bleeding up to 7% reported depending on the indication for anticoagulation and study design.¹⁻⁵ Warfarin use increases the risk of major bleeding by 0.3%-0.5%/year and intracranial hemorrhage (ICH) by 0.2%/year compared to controls in clinical trials.¹ Despite the availability of warfarin-reversal agents, warfarin-associated bleeding leads to significant morbidity and mortality, with case fatality rates of 8%-13%.5-8 Death in hospital or within 7 days of discharge has been reported in 18% of warfarin-treated atrial fibrillation patients presenting with major bleeding.⁹ In patients presenting with warfarin-associated ICH, high mortality rates of 54% and 64% have been reported at 30 days and 6 months, respectively.¹⁰

Although direct oral anticoagulants (DOACs) are now approved for several indications, warfarin use is expected to continue for patients who are stably managed on long-term warfarin therapy, conditions in which DOACs are not proven (eg, antiphospholipid antibody syndrome, mechanical heart valves), patients with severe renal failure (creatinine clearance <30 mL/min), and patients for whom DOACs are cost prohibitive.

Warfarin-associated major bleeding complications require urgent reversal of coagulopathy. When given intravenously, the effect of vitamin K on warfarin coagulopathy is evident within 6 hours. Plasma contains all coagulation factors and will correct coagulation factor deficiency attributable to inadequate synthesis. Time to thaw frozen plasma (FP) may in some circumstances be a limitation, but large blood banks typically have a continuous stock of thawed plasma. The infusion time depends on vascular access and volume status of the patient. Fluid overload and mild allergic reactions are the most common adverse reactions to plasma (~1 in 100 transfusions). Serious allergic and transfusion-related acute lung injury reactions are rare (~1 in 10 000 transfusions).¹¹⁻¹⁶

Prothrombin complex concentrates (PCCs) are plasma-derived products containing vitamin K-dependent factors II, VII, IX, and X (4-factor PCC) or II, IX, and X (3-factor PCC), with some formulations also containing proteins C and S and heparin. PCC is stored as a lyophilized powder at room temperature, does not require blood group determination, can be administered by rapid infusion, and undergoes viral inactivation.¹⁷ PCC has a higher product cost and has been associated with thromboembolic complications when used to reverse VKA coagulopathy.¹⁸

We conducted a systematic review to evaluate the current best evidence regarding the comparative efficacy and safety of PCC or plasma for warfarin reversal in the setting of major bleeding. Using standard systematic review methodology, we conducted a search of OVID Medline from inception to June 18, 2015. Studies were eligible for inclusion if they were randomized controlled trials (RCTs) or observational studies comparing treatment with PCC or plasma for warfarin-associated bleeding in adult patients (aged ≥ 18 years) and written in English. Pediatric studies, animal studies, abstracts, articles lacking original data, non-English studies, noncomparative studies, and studies using plasma/PCC for indications other than major bleeding were excluded. The search strategy used Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) and keyword searches as shown in Figure 1.

The literature search yielded 1504 potentially eligible studies. An additional 6 studies were identified from a manual review of reference lists. One study was included after the initial search strategy was conducted. Therefore, 17 studies were included in the final review (Table 1). Only 2 studies were RCTs, with the remainder being observational studies. In general, the studies had small sample sizes (range of 12-1547 patients). Eleven studies (65%) included <100 total patients. Patient populations were heterogeneous; the majority of studies included patients with warfarin-associated ICH (n = 12, 71%), followed by major bleeding (n = 3, 18%), gastrointestinal (GI) bleeding (n = 1, 6%),

Conflict-of-interest disclosures: D.M.S. has participated in advisory boards for Boerhinger Ingelheim, Portola Pharmaceuticals, and Daiichi Sankyo and has developed educational material for Interactive Forums. W.J.S. has received research funding from Fresenius-Kabi and has consulted for Momenta Pharmaceuticals. Off-label drug use: None disclosed.

1. warfarin.mp. or Warfarin/ 2. vitamin k antagonist.mp. 3. exp Coumarins/po, tu, th, to [Poisoning, Therapeutic Use, therapy, Toxicity] 4. exp Anticoagulants/ae, po, tu, th, to [Adverse effects, Poisoning, Therapeutic Use, Therapy, Toxicity] 5. exp Blood Coagulation Disorders/co, de, dt, mo, pc, th [Complications, Drug Effects, Drug Therapy, Mortality, Prevention & Control, Therapy] exp Hemorrhage/co, de, dt, in, mo, th [Complications, Drug Effects, Drug Therapy, Injuries, 6. Mortality, Therapy] 7. exp Plasma/ae, de, tu, th [Adverse Effects, Drug Effects, Therapeutic Use, Therapy] exp Blood Coagulation Factors/ad, ae, de, tu, to [Administration & Dosage, Adverse Effects, 8 Drug Effects, Therapeutic Use, Toxicity] 9. prothrombin complex concentrate.mp. 10. reversal.mp. 11. correction.mp. 12. treatment.mp. 13. 1 or 2 or 3 or 4 or 5 14.7 or 8 or 9 15, 10 or 11 or 12 16. 13 and 6 and 14

Figure 1. Search strategy.

and trauma (n = 1, 6%). Individual studies also varied with respect to treatment protocols, PCC dosing, and additional interventions, such as vitamin K. Except for the RCT by Sarode et al,¹⁹ the studies were of low methodologic quality and subject to bias.

Hemostatic efficacy was evaluated in one non-inferiority RCT by a blinded external adjudication committee using a hemostatic efficacy scale.¹⁹ The proportion of patients experiencing effective hemostasis defined as "excellent or good" over 24 hours was similar between the PCC and plasma groups [72.4%; 95% confidence interval (CI), 63.6%-81.3%; and 65.4%, 95% CI, 56.2%-74.5%; p = 0.0045 for non-inferiority].

Of 11 studies reporting mortality, 2 observational studies showed differences between treatment groups. After adjusting for differences in baseline patient characteristics, Parry-Jones et al showed a similar risk of death with PCC and plasma [hazard ratio (HR), 1.075; 95% CI, 0.874-1.323; p = 0.492].²⁰ There were statistically significant increased risks of death with no reversal (HR, 2.540; 95% CI, 1.784-3.616; *p* < 0.001) and use of PCC alone (HR, 1.445; 95% CI, 1.014-2.058) compared with reversal with both plasma and PCC. Patients receiving 4-factor PCC had a higher risk of death than those receiving 3-factor PCC (HR, 1.441; 95% CI, 1.041-1.995; p = 0.027). The study by Sjoblom et al²¹ did not adjust for baseline imbalance in prognostic features (higher proportion of PCC patients had intraventricular blood present on imaging) and showed a statistically significant difference in mortality in favor of the plasma group (11.5% versus 39.1%; p < 0.05). In the Sarode et al¹⁹ non-inferiority RCT, the adjusted odds ratio (OR) for death with PCC versus plasma was 0.49 (95% CI, 0.19-1.24). The remainder of studies showed no difference in mortality, although they were likely underpowered to detect differences.19,22-28

There were no differences in the duration of hospital admission in 3 studies reporting this outcome.^{22,23,29} One study showed an improvement in functional gains in patients receiving PCC versus plasma after warfarin-associated ICH as measured by the functional independence measure.³⁰ Of 3 studies evaluating red blood cell (RBC) transfusion, only the study by Hickey et al showed a reduction in the mean units of RBCs transfused.^{19,22,29} Adverse events were included

infrequently as study outcomes (n = 4 studies), but there were fewer total events with PCC and no difference in thrombotic rates in 3 studies.^{19,22,25,26}

For the remainder of the studies, efficacy was evaluated predominantly using surrogate outcomes, such as INR correction, which is a known poor predictor of clinical hemostasis. In 7 studies, administration of PCC was associated with reduced time to INR correction (as defined in individual studies) compared with plasma.²²⁻²⁸ Two studies showed reduced time from product administration to laboratory testing with PCC compared with plasma.^{31,32} In 3 of 6 studies, the mean posttreatment INR was reduced significantly in patients receiving PCC compared with plasma.^{27,29,31-34} The proportion of patients achieving INR correction (as defined in individual studies) was significantly greater in patients receiving PCC compared with plasma in all 5 studies reporting this outcome.^{26,27,29,30,35}

Reversal of warfarin anticoagulation for major bleeding requires administration of vitamin K to reestablish the activity of vitamin K-dependent coagulation factors, an effect seen at least 6 hours after intravenous administration. Adjunctive replacement of coagulation factors with PCC or plasma contribute to the rapid normalization of hemostasis desired in the setting of major bleeding. Together, the results of this review suggest that, when compared with plasma, PCCs result in faster INR correction; however, the effect on clinical outcomes, such as mortality and thromboembolic events, is unclear because of the low methodologic quality of available studies. There was only one high-quality RCT that found PCC to be non-inferior with respect to hemostatic efficacy, mortality, and adverse events.¹⁹ Given the morbidity and mortality associated with warfarin-related bleeding complications, especially ICH, high-quality studies evaluating patient-important clinical outcomes are needed.

Conclusion

There is grade 2B evidence that supports using PCCs or fresh frozen plasma (FFP) as a supplement to vitamin K for urgent reversal of VKA-associated hemorrhage (weak recommendation, moderatequality evidence). The patient in the clinical scenario received intravenous vitamin K and 35 IU (factor IX)/kg 4-factor PCC in addition to the vitamin K. INR was 1.9 at the first measurement 1

House control Cr-c-ratio glob is control Set on Sol is control Cr-c-ratio glob is control Pery location is Control Cr-ratio Set on Sol is control Cr-c-ratio glob is control Pery location is Cr-ratio Set on Sol is Cr-c-ratio glob is Cr-c-ratio glob is Per protein is Set on Sol is Set on Sol is Cr-c-ratio glob is Cr-c-ratio glob is Per protein is Set on Sol is Set on Sol is Set on Sol is Cr-c-ratio glob is Cr-c-ratio glob is Per protein is Set on Sol is Set on Sol is Set on Sol is Cr-c-ratio glob	Reference	Study design	Patients and treatment groups	Outcomes	РСС	Plasma	Notes
etall Observational CH 37-factor PCC (n = 44) 36-day notality, % (B5% C1) 37-3 (33.3.41.2) 45-factor PCC (n = 44) $FCC (n = 36)$ $FCC (n = 37)$ $FCC (n = 37)$ $FCC (n = 34)$ $FTP (n = 37)$ $FTP (n = $	4-Factor PCC and 3-factor PCC						
4F and PCC (n = 441) 3F and PCC (n = 441) 3F and PCC (n = 144) 1 3F and PCC (n = 144) FFP (n = 377) CC + FFP (n = 131) Normeral (n = 424) 2 3F and PCC (n = 144) CC + FFP (n = 131) Normeral (n = 424) 2 2 Normeral (n = 424) Active benching and upgent proceedures The to NR < 15, hours	Parry-Jones et al ²⁰	Observational	ICH PCC (n=585)	30-day mortality, % (95% CI)	37.3 (33.3-41.2)	45.6 (40.5-50.7)	PCC + FFP: 27.8 (20.1-35.5) HR for death after adjustment for baseline
Product PCC (n = 441) Product PCC (n = 163)							imbalances:
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			4-Factor PCC ($n = 441$)				PCC versus FFP HR, 1.075 (95% Cl, 0.874- 1.323)
FF (n = 37) FF (n = 27) PC + FF (n = 13) No reversal (n = 454) No reversal (n = 454) No reversal (n = 454) No reversal (n = 454) Tree to NR < 15, houre			3-Factor PCC ($n = 144$)				PCC versus PCC + FFP HR, 1.445 (95% Cl, 1 014-2 0581*
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			FFP ($n = 377$)				FFP verses PCC + FFP HR, 1.344 (95% CI, 0.024 1.024)
$ \begin{array}{cccccc} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $			PCC + FFP (n = 131)				0.504-1.504-). 4-Factor PCC versus 3-factor PCC HR, 1.441 /0502. C1 1.041 1.0051*
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			No reversal ($n = 454$)				Patients excluded for missing data ($n = 196$)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4-ractor PCC Hickey et al ²²	Observational	Active bleeding and urgent procedures 4-F actor PCC ($n = 165$) FP ($n = 149$)	Time to INR < 1.5, hours Total adverse events, <i>n</i> (%) Mortality, <i>n</i> (%)	5.7 (3.4-11.0)* 16 (9.7)* 15 (9.1)	11.8 (8.3-17.5)* 29 (19.5)* 22 (14.8)	Active bleeding (FP group, $n = 113$; PCC group, $n = 110$); increased risk of serious adverse events with FP (RR, 1.85; 95% CI, 1.03-3.31) after actinisment for previous heart failure. VTF
$ \begin{array}{c cccc} \mbox{trans} & \mbox{CH} & \mbox{trans} & trans$				Hospital LOS, days (median, Q1-Q3) Units PRBC. mean (SD)	4 (2-11) 1.4 (1.7)*	5 (2–12) 3.2 (1.8)*	IHD, and indication for reversal
$ \begin{array}{c cccc} \mbox{TFP}(n=65) & \mbox{TFP}(n=20) & \mbox{TTP}(n=20) & \mbox{TT}(n=20) & \m$	Kalina et al ²³	Observational	ICH	Time to INR ≤ 1.5, minutes	$331.3 \pm 279.9^{\circ}$	737.8 ± 692.0*	13 patients did not have a repeat INR
$ \begin{array}{c ccccc} \mbox{Timersise care unit LOS, days} & Timersise care unit more advected care care care care care care care care$			$4-F \arctan PCC (n = 46)$ $FFP (n = 65)$	Complete reversal of INK, <i>n</i> (%) Time to operating room, minutes	$30 \text{ of } 41 \text{ (73.2)}^{\circ}$ 222.6 $\pm 186.3^{\circ}$	29 of 57 (50.9)* 351.3 ± 399.7*	
CoherentionalGlobedring H FP (n = 20)Hespidal LOS, days Mean INR at 2 hours Mean INR at 6 hours Mean Nar at 6 hours FFP (n = 20)Ba = 11, 132 ± 132 ± 450 ± 152 ± 447 ± 152 ± 447 ± 152 ± 443 ± 162 \pm 162				Intensive care unit LOS, days	7.5 ± 6.3	5.8 + 5.9	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccc} \mbox{trional} & \mbox{cline} \mbox{cline} \\ \mbox{trional} & \mbox{cline} c$				Hospital LOS, days Mortality, n (%)	9.8 ± 11.1 11 (93.9)	13.1 ± 19.2 15 (23.1)	
4Factor PCC (n = 20) Mean INR at 6 hours 1.52* 2.41* FFP (n = 20) Nin K < 21 after 2 hours, n(%)	Karaca et al ²⁹	Observational	GI bleeding	Mean INR at 2 hours	1.53*	4.50*	
FFP ($n = 20$) INR <2.1 after 2 hours, n (%) 17 (65)* 6 (30)* RFP ($n = 20$) Nean ED LOS, days 1.65 3.45* Nean Negational ICH Nean Negatus (10.5), days 5.60 7.36 A Factor PCC ($n = 30$) Mean Negatus administration, hours 1.6) 1.15) 1.7 (65)* 6.300* A Factor PCC ($n = 30$) Mean NRB C transituation, hours 1.6) 1.6) 1.6 1.6 1.1 (5) 1.1 (5) 1.1 (5) 1.1 (5) 1.1 (5) 1.6 (5). Deservational Major bleeding Mean INR 15 minutes after infusion 1.3 (0.9 3.8) 2.3 (1.6 3.8)<			4-Factor PCC ($n = 20$)	Mean INR at 6 hours	1.52*	2.41*	
Mean PBC Lot Cos, days 1.87 3.46° ObservationalICHMean PBC trastision, units 1.67 3.46° Harror PCC (n = 100)Nortality, n (%) 1.5° 3.46° Affactor PCC (n = 20)Nean PBC trastision, units 1.5° 3.46° Affactor PCC (n = 20)Nortality, n (%) $2.3(15.3)$ $1.6(5.4)$ DeservationalMajor bleedingMean INR 15 minutes after infusion $1.3(0.9.3.8)$ $2.3(16.3.8)$ CTMajor bleedingAffactor PCC (n = 29) [‡] Effective hemostasis, n (%) $7.7(72.4)$ $68 (65.4)$ NRCTMajor bleedingEffective hemostasis, n (%) $7.7(72.4)$ $68 (65.4)$ NRCTMajor bleedingEffective hemostasis, n (%) $7.7(72.4)^{*}$ $10(9.6)^{**}$ RCTMajor bleedingEffective hemostasis, n (%) $7.7(72.2)^{**}$ $10(9.6)^{**}$ RR (n = 10)So Day mortality, n (%) <td></td> <td></td> <td>$FFP\left(n=20 ight)$</td> <td>INR <2.1 after 2 hours, n (%)</td> <td>17 (85)*</td> <td>6 (30)*</td> <td></td>			$FFP\left(n=20 ight)$	INR <2.1 after 2 hours, n (%)	17 (85)*	6 (30)*	
ObservationalICHWearinopolate LCO, custo3.04.7AF actor PCC (n = 100)4.Factor PCC (n = 100)30.Day montality, n (%)3.164.30AF actor PCC (n = 30)Morality, n (%)32 (32)19 (54)15,5Pasma (n = 33)Nean INR 15 minutes after infusion1.3 (0.93.8)2.3 (1.6.3.8)ObservationalMajor bleedingMean INR 15 minutes after infusion1.3 (0.93.8)2.3 (1.6.3.8)CTMajor bleedingMean INR 15 minutes after infusion1.3 (0.93.8)2.3 (1.6.3.8)RCTMajor bleedingFFP (n = 12)FFP (n = 12)7.1 (72.4)68 (65.4)RCTMajor bleedingFFP (n = 104)30.Day montality, n (%)7.1 (72.4)68 (65.4)NAPCC (n = 29)FFP (n = 104)30.Day montality, n (%)3.2 (31.1)2.6 (23.9)7 (6.4)ObservationalICHAPCC (n = 23)9.Day montality, n (%)3.2 (31.1)2.6 (23.9)Pasma (n = 16)CH30.Day montality, n (%)9 (39.1)*2 (11.1)*11Mice (n = 23)Pasma (n = 19)30.Day montality, n (%)9 (39.1)*2 (11.1)*11Mice (n = 23)Pasma (n = 18)9 (39.1)*2 (11.1)*112 (11.1)*11				Mean ED LOS, days	1.62 [*] F.e.O	3.46* 7.26	
ObservationalCHMortality, n (%)1 (5)1 (5)1 (5)AFactor PCC (n = 35)4Factor PCC (n = 100)30-Day mortality, n (%)32 (32)19 (54)Pasma (n = 35)0-Day mortality, n (%)32 (32)19 (54)NChservationalMajor bleedingMean INR 15 minutes after infusion1.3 (0.9.3.8)2.3 (1.6.3.8)ChservationalMajor bleedingEffective hemostasis, n (%)71 (72.4)68 (65.4)NRCTMajor bleedingEffective hemostasis, n (%)71 (72.4)68 (55.0)*RCTAssistasi sisible/MSK bleeds19 (22.6)*10 (9.6)**26 (4.6)RCTRCTRCTRCT8 (7.8)7 (6.4)RCTRCTRCTRCT9 (39				Mean PRBC transfusion, units	3.15	4.30	
ObservationalCHMedian time to administration, hours 4^* 15.5^* Pl4 Factor PCC (n = 100)30-Day mortality, n (%)32 (32)19 (54)1 asma (n = 33)30-Day mortality, n (%)32 (32)19 (54)1 asma (n = 33)Mean INR 15 minutes after infusion1.3 (0.9-3.8)2.3 (1.6-3.8)1 AF actor PCC (n = 29)Mean INR 15 minutes after infusion1.3 (0.9-3.8)2.3 (1.6-3.8)1 AF actor PCC (n = 29)Effective hemostasis, n (%)71 (72.4)68 (65.4)N1 AF actor PCC (n = 98)Effective hemostasis, n (%)71 (72.4)68 (65.4)N1 APCC (n = 98)Effective hemostasis, n (%)71 (72.4)68 (65.4)N1 APCC (n = 98)Effective hemostasis, n (%)71 (72.4)68 (65.4)N1 APCC (n = 98)Effective hemostasis, n (%)61 (72.2)**10 (9.6)**66 (5.6)1 APCC (n = 98)Day mortality, n (%)61 (72.2)**10 (9.6)**66 (5.6)1 APRADbservationalCH30-Day mortality, n (%)8 (7.6)7 (6.4)1 APRADbservational (CH10.1)*2 (11.1)*2 (11.1)*11 (1.77)1 APPRADbservational (CH9 (39.1)*2 (11.1)*11 (1.77)12 (1.57)1 APPRAPlasma (n = 18)0.Day mortality, n (%)9 (39.1)*2 (11.1)*11 (1.77)1 APPRAPlasma (n = 18)0.Day mortality, n (%)9 (39.1)*2 (11.1)*11 (1.1)*1 APPRAPlasma (n = 18)0.Day mortality, n (%)9 (39.1)*2 (11.1)* <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Mortality, <i>n</i> (%)</td> <td>1 (5)</td> <td>1 (5)</td> <td></td>				Mortality, <i>n</i> (%)	1 (5)	1 (5)	
4Factor PCC ($n = 100$) 30-Day mortality, n (%) 32 (32) 19 (54) Plasma ($n = 35$) Mean INR 15 minutes after infusion 1.3 (0.93.8) 2.3 (1.6-3.8) Observational Major bleeding Mean INR 15 minutes after infusion 1.3 (0.93.8) 2.3 (1.6-3.8) CT Major bleeding FFP ($n = 12$) Effective hemostasis, n (%) 71 (72.4) 68 (65.4) N RCT Major bleeding Effective hemostasis visible/MSK bleeds 19 (82.5)* 15 (50.0)* 15 (50.0)* RCT Major bleeding Effective hemostasis visible/MSK bleeds 19 (82.5)* 15 (50.0)* 16 (53.9) 7 (6.4) N Plasma ($n = 104$) Day montality, n (%) 32 (31.1) 26 (23.9) 7 (6.4) 12 (6.4) N Observational CH 30-Day montality, n (%) 9 (39.1)* 2 (11.1)* T1 Major bleeding CH 30-Day montality, n (%) 9 (39.1)* 2 (11.1)* T1 Maior bleeding CH 30-Day montality, n (%) 9 (39.1)* 2 (11.1)* T1 Maior bleeding CH 30-Day montality, n (%) 9 (39.1)* 2 (11.1)* T1 </td <td>Majeed et al²⁴</td> <td>Observational</td> <td>ICH</td> <td>Median time to administration, hours</td> <td>4*</td> <td>15.5*</td> <td>Patients receiving acenocoumarol were included;</td>	Majeed et al ²⁴	Observational	ICH	Median time to administration, hours	4*	15.5*	Patients receiving acenocoumarol were included;
Observational A Factor PCC ($n = 29$)# FFP ($n = 12$)Mean INR 15 minutes after infusion1.3 (0.9-3.8)2.3 (1.6-3.8)RCT 4 Factor PCC ($n = 29$) FFP ($n = 12$)Effective hemostasis, n (%) 71 (72.4)68 (65.4)NRCTMajor bleeding 4 PCC ($n = 98$)Effective hemostasis visible/MSK bleeds 71 (72.4)68 (65.4)NRCTMajor bleeding 4 PCC ($n = 98$)Effective hemostasis visible/MSK bleeds 71 (72.4)68 (65.4)NRCTNajor bleeding at a hours, n (%) 19 (82.6)* 15 (50.0)* 16 (72.2)** 10 (9.6)**Plasma ($n = 104$)Seriou adverse events, n (%) 61 (72.2)** 10 (9.6)** 5 (4.6)ObservationalCHSeriou adverse events, n (%) 61 (72.2)** 10 (9.6)** 7 (6.4)ObservationalCHUnits PRBCs transfused, mean (SD) 1.4 (1.77) 1.2 (1.57) 7 (6.4)VK ($n = 23$)YK ($n = 23$) 9 (9.3).)* 9 (9.3).)* 2 (11.1)* T			4-Factor PCC (<i>n</i> = 100) Plasma (<i>n</i> = 35)	30-Day mortality, <i>n</i> (%)	32 (32)	19 (54)	patients treated with plasma more likely to have antiplatelet therapy, larger hematoma volume on initial imaging, and more frequent intraventricular extension; adjusted OR for death with PCC versus plasma was 0.49 (95%
RCT Major bleeding Effective hemostasis, n (%) 71 (72.4) 68 (65.4) N 4-PCC ($n = 98$) Effective hemostasis visible/MSK bleeds 19 (82.6)* 15 (50.0)* 15 (50.0)* Plasma ($n = 104$) NN ≤ 1.3 at 30 minutes, n (%) 61 (72.2)** 10 (9.6)* 15 (50.0)* Plasma ($n = 104$) NN ≤ 1.3 at 30 minutes, n (%) 61 (72.2)** 10 (9.6)* 5 (4.6) So Day mortality, n (%) 8 (7.8) 3 (31.1) 2 (31.1) 2 (6.4) Chastrational CH Units PRBCs transfused, mean (SD) 1.4 (1.77) 1.2 (1.57) Plasma ($n = 18$) WK ($n = 23$) 9 (39.1)* 2 (11.1)* 11.4 (1.77)	Makris et al ³³	Observational	Major bleeding 4-Factor PCC $(n = 29)^{\ddagger}$	Mean INR 15 minutes after infusion	1.3 (0.9-3.8)	2.3 (1.6-3.8)	01, 0. 18- 1. 24)
KCI Major bleeding Effective hemostasis, n (%) 71 (72,4) 68 (65,4) N 4-PCC ($n = 98$) Effective hemostasis visible/MSK bleeds 19 (82.6)* 15 (50.0)* 68 (65.4) N Plasma ($n = 104$) INR e1 and miutes, n (%) 61 (72.2)** 10 (9.6)** 15 (50.0)* Plasma ($n = 104$) INR e1 and miutes, n (%) 61 (72.2)** 10 (9.6)** 15 (50.0)* Revents 30-Day mortality, n (%) 30-Day mortality, n (%) 61 (72.2)** 10 (9.6)** Notervational CH 30-Day mortality, n (%) 32 (31.1) 26 (23.9) Plasma ($n = 18$) Units PRBCs transfused, mean (SD) 1.4 (1.77) 1.2 (1.57) Plasma ($n = 18$) VK ($n = 23$) 9 (39.1)* 2 (11.1)* T		1	FFP(n = 12)				
Plasma ($n = 104$) INR ≤ 1.3 at 30 minutes, n (%) 61 (72.2)** $10(9.6)^{**}$ Revents 30-Day mortality, n (%) 6 (5.8) 5 (4.6) Revents 30-Day mortality, n (%) 8 (7.8) 7 (6.4) Notservational CH 1.4 (1.77) 1.2 (1.57) Observational CH 1.4 (1.77) 1.2 (1.57) Plasma ($n = 18$) YK ($n = 23$) 9 (39.1)* 2 (11.1)* Th	Sarode et al ¹⁹	RCT	Major bleeding 4-PCC ($n = 98$)	Effective hemostasis, <i>n</i> (%) Effective hemostasis visible/MSK bleeds at 4 hours. <i>n</i> (%)	71 (72.4) 19 (82.6)*	68 (65.4) 15 (50.0)*	Non-inferiority trial design; effective hemostasis determined by external adjudication committee as excellent or good; more patients receiving
30-Day mortality, n (%) 6 (5.8) 5 (4.6) 30-Day mortality, n (%) 32 (31.1) 26 (23.9) Serious adverse events, n (%) 32 (31.1) 26 (23.9) TE events Units PRBCs transfused, mean (SD) 1.4 (1.77) 1.2 (1.57) Observational ICH 9 (39.1)* 2 (11.1)* Th VK ($n = 23$) VK ($n = 23$) 9 (39.1)* 2 (11.1)* Th			Plasma ($n = 104$)	INR \leq 1.3 at 30 minutes, n (%)	61 (72.2)**	10 (9.6)**	4-factor PCC versus plasma had effective hemostasis for visible or musculoskeletal
Serious adverse events, n (%) 32 (31.1) 26 (23.9) TE events 0 Units PRBCs transfused, mean (SD) 32 (31.1) 26 (23.9) Observational ICH 0 Units PRBCs transfused, mean (SD) 1.4 (1.77) 1.2 (1.57) A-F actor PCC ($n = 23$) 30-Day mortality, n (%) 9 (39.1)* 2 (11.1)* Th Plasma ($n = 18$) VK ($n = 23$) 9 (39.1)* 2 (11.1)* Th				30-Day mortality, <i>n</i> (%)	6 (5.8)	5 (4.6)	bleeding at 4 hours ($p = 0.02$); median INR was
Units PRBCs transfused, mean (SD)1.4 (1.77)1.2 (1.57)ObservationalICH30-Day mortality, n (%)9 (39.1)*2 (11.1)*Plasma ($n = 18$)VK ($n = 23$)VK ($n = 23$)1.0 (10.1)*				Serious adverse events, <i>n</i> (%) TE events	32 (31.1) 8 (7.8)	26 (23.9) 7 (6.4)	the plasma group until 12 hours after infusion
Observational ICH 30 -Day mortality, n (%) 9 (39.1)* 2 (11.1)* 4-Factor PCC ($n = 23$) Plasma ($n = 18$) VK ($n = 23$)				Units PRBCs transfused, mean (SD)	1.4 (1.77)	1.2 (1.57)	
	Sjoblom et al ¹⁰	Observational	ICH 4 Ecotor BCC (a - 02)	30-Day mortality, <i>n</i> (%)	9 (39.1)*	2 (11.1)*	The 4-factor PCC and plasma groups were not balanced for prognostic variables: for example.
			Plasma ($n = 18$)				a higher proportion of 4-factor PCC patients
			VK ($n = 23$)				

Downloaded from http://ashpublications.net/hematology/article-pdf/2015/1/448/1249662/bep00115000448.pdf by guest on 18 May 2024

Reference	Study design	Patients and treatment groups	Outcomes	РСС	Plasma	Notes
3-Factor PCC Boulis et al ²⁵	RCT	ICH 3-Factor PCC $(n = 5)$ FFP $(n = 8)$	Time to INR ≤ 1.3, hours Mortality, <i>n</i> (%) Change in GCS Volume overload, <i>n</i> (%) TE vuerts <i>n</i> (%)	$\begin{array}{c} 2.95 \pm 0.46^{*} \\ 3 \ (37) \\ 0.2 \pm 1.9 \\ 0 \ (0) \\ 0 \ (0) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 8.9\pm1.51 *\\ 0 \ (0) \\ -1.75\pm1.4 \\ 6(3) \\ 1 \ (19) \end{array}$	
Cartmill et al ³¹	Observational	ICH 3-Factor PCC $(n = 6)$	Posttreatment INR, mean (range) Clinical correction time, mean (range)	1.32 (1.09-1.49) 41 (30-60)*	2.30 (1.30-2.30) 115 (60-180)*	Clinical correction time defined as minutes from commencement to laboratory result
Chapman et al ²⁶	Observational	Trauma 3-Factor PCC (n = 13) No PCC (n = 18)⁺	INR ≤1.5, <i>n</i> (%) Time to INR ≤1.5, hours Mortality, <i>n</i> (%) TE events. <i>n</i> (%)	12 (92) 16:59* 3 (23) 2 (15)	16 (89) 30:03* 0 (0)	Patients in the PCC group had a higher illness severity score and required more surgical intervention
Fredriksson et al ³⁴	Observational	ICH 3-Factor PCC (<i>n</i> = 10) FFP (<i>n</i> = 7)	Mean UNR after treatment RLS after treatment, mean RLS difference before and after treatment	1.22^{*} 1.8 ± 2.2* 0.2 ± 1.4*	1.74^{*} $4.6 \pm 2.6^{*}$ $1.9 \pm 1.5^{*}$	Residual neurological deficits at discharge were similar between groups (data not shown); RLS was used to assess the clinical course of ICH
Hanger et al ^{so}	Observational	ICH 3 Factor PCC ($n = 23$) No PCC ($n = 39$) ^{+†}	INR ≤1.2 in 24 hours, <i>n</i> (%) Functional gains (FIM)	20 of 21 (95) 28.3*	12 of 21 (57) 12.3*	Palliative patients excluded from analysis; not all patients had repeat INR; use of PCC reduced risk of death; HR, 0.27 (95%, Cl, 0.10-0.72) adjusted for ICH severity but absolute numbers not provided
Sarode et al ³⁶	Observational	ICH 3.Factor PCC/rFVIIa ($n = 46$) 3.Factor PCC/FFP ($n = 9$) FFP ($n = 3$)	Mean time from dispense to INR, minutes Mean postinfusion INR TE events, <i>n</i> (%) 72-Hour mortality, <i>n</i> (%)	179 (26-981)* 217 (83-452) 1.0 (0.9-2.7)* 1.4 (0.9-2)* 2 (4.3) 8 (17.4)	406 (267-637)* 1.6 (1.3-2)* NR NR	
Siddiq et al ²⁷	Observational	ICH 3-Factor PCC ($n = 10$) FFP ($n = 9$)	Posttreatment INR, mean INR ≤ 1.4 at 3.4 hours after treatment, <i>n</i> (%) Mean time to INR ≤ 1.4, hours Rate of INR correction/hour Mortality. <i>n</i> (%)	1.34 ± 0.07 8 (80)* 4.25 ± 2.12* 0.06 ± 0.03* 1 (10.0)	1.34 \pm 0.08 3 (33)* 8.52 \pm 5.60* 0.27 \pm 0.25* 2 (22 2)	
Woo et al ²⁸	Observational	ICH 3-Factor PCC $(n = 8)$ FFP $(n = 46)$ rVIIa $(n = 9)$	Minutes to INR ≤1.3, mean (SD) In-hospital mortality, <i>n</i> (%)	$980 \pm 1021*$ 0 (0)	$1933 \pm 905*$ 11 (24)	
Unknown PCC Huttner et al ³⁵	Observational	ICH PCC (<i>n</i> = 31) FFP (<i>n</i> = 18) VK (<i>n</i> = 6)	Normal INR within 2 hours, <i>n</i> (%) Hematoma growth, <i>n</i> (%) Modified Rankin score 4-6	26 (83.8)* 6 (19.3)* 24 (78%)	7 (38.8)* 6 (33.3)* 14 (78%)	Patients refusing resuscitation/treatment or who received treatment later than 1.5 hours after admission were excluded; no difference in functional outcomes; in multivariate analysis, PCC administration was associated with reduced hematoma growth (OR, 1.63; 95% Cl, 0.99.4.13), and increased INR after 2 hours was associated with increased hematoma growth (OR, 1.66; 95% Cl, 131-2.17).

* $p \le 0.05$, statistically significant. ** 4-Factor PCC was superior to plasma. † In the no-PCC group, 16 of 18 patients received FFP. †† In the no-PCC group, 29 of 39 patients received FFP. ‡ Thirteen patients received 3-factor PCC plus factor VII concentrate, and 16 patients received 4-factor PCC.

Downloaded from http://ashpublications.net/hematology/article-pdf/2015/1/448/1249662/bep00115000448.pdf by guest on 18 May 2024

hour after infusion. Hematochezia stopped within 24 hours, and the patient proceeded to endoscopy to guide additional management. Despite the rapid INR reversal, current evidence does not clearly inform whether the outcome at 24 hours would have been meaningfully different after vitamin K and FFP infusion or after intravenous vitamin K alone.

Correspondence

Dr Deborah M. Siegal, Division of Hematology and Thromboembolism, McMaster University, 50 Charlton Avenue East, L-208, Hamilton, ON L8N 4A6, Canada; Phone: 905-521-6024; Fax: 905-540-6568; e-mail: deborah.siegal@medportal.ca.

References

- Schulman S, Beyth RJ, Kearon C, Levine MN, American College of Chest P. Hemorrhagic complications of anticoagulant and thrombolytic treatment: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines, Ed. 8. *Chest.* 2008;133(Suppl. 6):257S-2598S.
- Hylek EM. Complications of oral anticoagulant therapy: bleeding and nonbleeding, rates and risk factors. *Semin Vasc Med.* 2003;3(3):271-278.
- Hylek EM, Evans-Molina C, Shea C, Henault LE, Regan S. Major hemorrhage and tolerability of warfarin in the first year of therapy among elderly patients with atrial fibrillation. *Circulation*. 2007;115(21): 2689-2696.
- Linkins L, O'Donnell M, Julian JA, Kearon C. Intracranial and fatal bleeding according to indication for long-term oral anticoagulant therapy. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2010;8(10):2201-2207.
- Linkins LA, Choi PT, Douketis JD. Clinical impact of bleeding in patients taking oral anticoagulant therapy for venous thromboembolism: a meta-analysis. *Ann Intern Med.* 2003;139(11):893-900.
- Guerrouij M, Uppal CS, Alklabi A, Douketis JD. The clinical impact of bleeding during oral anticoagulant therapy: assessment of morbidity, mortality and post-bleed anticoagulant management. *J Thromb Thrombolysis*. 2011;31(4):419-423.
- Majeed A, Hwang HG, Connolly SJ, et al. Management and outcomes of major bleeding during treatment with dabigatran or warfarin. *Circulation*. 2013;128(21):2325-2332.
- Witt DM, Delate T, Garcia DA, et al. Risk of thromboembolism, recurrent hemorrhage, and death after warfarin therapy interruption for gastrointestinal tract bleeding. *Arch Intern Med.* 2012;172(19):1484-1491.
- Gomes T, Mamdani MM, Holbrook AM, Paterson JM, Hellings C, Juurlink DN. Rates of hemorrhage during warfarin therapy for atrial fibrillation. *CMAJ*. 2013;185(2):E121-E127.
- Sjoblom L, Hardemark HG, Lindgren A, et al. Management and prognostic features of intracerebral hemorrhage during anticoagulant therapy: a Swedish multicenter study. *Stroke*. 2001;32(11):2567-2574.
- Refaai MA, Goldstein JN, Lee ML, Durn BL, Milling TJ Jr, Sarode R. Increased risk of volume overload with plasma compared with fourfactor prothrombin complex concentrate for urgent vitamin K antagonist reversal. *Transfusion*. Published online ahead of print July 1, 2015. doi: 10.1111/trf.13191.
- Stainsby D, Jones H, Asher D, et al. Serious hazards of transfusion: a decade of hemovigilance in the UK. *Transfus Med Rev.* 2006;20(4):273-282.
- Narick C, Triulzi DJ, Yazer MH. Transfusion-associated circulatory overload after plasma transfusion. *Transfusion*. 2012;52(1):160-165.
- Pandey S, Vyas GN. Adverse effects of plasma transfusion. *Transfusion* 2012;52(Suppl. 1):65S-79S.
- Toy P, Gajic O, Bacchetti P, et al. Transfusion-related acute lung injury: incidence and risk factors. *Blood.* 2012;119(7):1757-1767.
- 16. Robillard P, Nawej KI, Jochem K. The Quebec hemovigilance system:

description and results from the first two years. *Transfus Apher Sci.* 2004;31(2):111-122.

- Goldstein JN, Refaai MA, Milling TJ, Jr., et al. Four-factor prothrombin complex concentrate versus plasma for rapid vitamin K antagonist reversal in patients needing urgent surgical or invasive interventions: a phase 3b, open-label, non-inferiority, randomised trial. *Lancet* 2015; 385(9982):2077-2087.
- Dentali F, Marchesi C, Pierfranceschi MG, et al. Safety of prothrombin complex concentrates for rapid anticoagulation reversal of vitamin K antagonists. A meta-analysis. *Thromb Haemost.* 2011; 106(3):429-438.
- Sarode R, Milling TJ Jr, Refaai MA, et al. Efficacy and safety of a 4-factor prothrombin complex concentrate in patients on vitamin K antagonists presenting with major bleeding: a randomized, plasmacontrolled, phase IIIb study. *Circulation*. 2013;128(11):1234-1243.
- Parry-Jones AR, Di Napoli M, Goldstein JN, et al. Reversal strategies for vitamin K antagonists in acute intracerebral hemorrhage. *Ann Neurol.* 2015;78(1):54-62.
- Sjoblom LH, Hårdemark HG, Lindgren A, et al. Management and prognostic features of intracerebral hemorrhage during anticoagulant therapy: a Swedish multicenter study. *Stroke*. 2001;32(11):2567-2574.
- Hickey M, Gatien M, Taljaard M, Aujnarain A, Giulivi A, Perry JJ. Outcomes of urgent warfarin reversal with frozen plasma versus prothrombin complex concentrate in the emergency department. *Circulation*. 2013;128(4):360-364.
- 23. Kalina M, Tinkoff G, Gbadebo A, Veneri P, Fulda G. A protocol for the rapid normalization of INR in trauma patients with intracranial hemorrhage on prescribed warfarin therapy. *Am Surg.* 2008;74(9):858-861.
- Majeed A, Meijer K, Larrazabal R, et al. Mortality in vitamin K antagonist-related intracerebral bleeding treated with plasma or 4-factor prothrombin complex concentrate. *Thromb Haemost.* 2014;111(2):233-239.
- Boulis NM, Bobek MP, Schmaier A, Hoff JT. Use of factor IX complex in warfarin-related intracranial hemorrhage. *Neurosurgery*. 1999;45(5): 1113-1118; discussion 8-9.
- Chapman SA, Irwin ED, Beal AL, Kulinski NM, Hutson KE, Thorson MA. Prothrombin complex concentrate versus standard therapies for INR reversal in trauma patients receiving warfarin. *Ann Pharmacother*. 2011;45(7-8):869-875.
- Siddiq F, Jalil A, McDaniel C, et al. Effectiveness of Factor IX complex concentrate in reversing warfarin associated coagulopathy for intracerebral hemorrhage. *Neurocrit Care*. 2008;8(1):36-41.
- Woo CH, Patel N, Conell C, et al. Rapid warfarin reversal in the setting of intracranial hemorrhage: a comparison of plasma, recombinant activated factor VII, and prothrombin complex concentrate. *World Neurosurg.* 2014;81(1):110-115.
- Karaca MA, Erbil B, Ozmen MM. Use and effectiveness of prothrombin complex concentrates vs fresh frozen plasma in gastrointestinal hemorrhage due to warfarin usage in the ED. *Am J Emerg Med.* 2014;32(6):660-664.
- Hanger HC, Geddes JA, Wilkinson TJ, Lee M, Baker AE. Warfarinrelated intracerebral haemorrhage: better outcomes when reversal includes prothrombin complex concentrates. *Int Med J.* 2013;43(3):308-316.
- Cartmill M, Dolan G, Byrne JL, Byrne PO. Prothrombin complex concentrate for oral anticoagulant reversal in neurosurgical emergencies. *Br J Neurosurg*. 2000;14(5):458-461.
- 32. Sarode R, Matevosyan K, Bhagat R, Rutherford C, Madden C, Beshay JE. Rapid warfarin reversal: a 3-factor prothrombin complex concentrate and recombinant factor VIIa cocktail for intracerebral hemorrhage. *J Neurosurg*. 2012;116(3):491-497.
- 33. Makris M, Greaves M, Phillips WS, Kitchen S, Rosendaal FR, Preston EF. Emergency oral anticoagulant reversal: the relative efficacy of infusions of fresh frozen plasma and clotting factor concentrate on correction of the coagulopathy. *Thromb Haemost*. 1997;77(3):477-480.

- Fredriksson K, Norrving B, Stromblad LG. Emergency reversal of anticoagulation after intracerebral hemorrhage. *Stroke*. 1992;23(7):972-977.
- 35. Huttner HB, Schellinger PD, Hartmann M, et al. Hematoma growth and outcome in treated neurocritical care patients with intracerebral hemorrhage related to oral anticoagulant therapy: comparison of

acute treatment strategies using vitamin K, fresh frozen plasma, and prothrombin complex concentrates. *Stroke*. 2006;37(6):1465-1470.

36. Sarode R, Matevosyan K, Bhagat R, Rutherford C, Madden C, Beshay JE. Rapid warfarin reversal: a 3-factor prothrombin complex concentrate and recombinant factor VIIa cocktail for intracerebral hemorrhage. *J Neurosurg.* 2012;116(3):491-497.