

American Society of Hematology 2021 L Street NW, Suite 900, Washington, DC 20036 Phone: 202-776-0544 | Fax 202-776-0545 bloodadvances@hematology.org

Double-negative T cells utilize a $TNF\alpha$ -JAK1-ICAM-1 cytotoxic axis against acute myeloid leukemia

Tracking no: ADV-2023-011739R2

Enoch Tin (University Health Network, Canada) JongBok Lee (University of Calgary, Canada) Ismat Khatri (University Health Network, Canada) Yoosu Na (University Health Network, Canada) Mark Minden (Princess Margaret Cancer Centre, Canada) Li Zhang (Toronto General Research Institute, Canada)

Abstract:

Allogeneic double-negative T cells (DNTs) are a rare T cell subset that effectively target acute myeloid leukemia (AML) without inducing graft-vs-host disease in an allogeneic setting. A phase I clinical trial demonstrated the feasibility, safety, and potential efficacy of allogeneic DNT therapy among patients with relapsed AML. However, the molecular mechanisms of DNT-mediated cytotoxicity against AML remain elusive. Thus, we utilized a flow cytometry-based high throughput screening to compare the surface molecule expression profile on DNTs during their interaction with DNT-susceptible or -resistant AML cells and identified a TNF α -dependent cytotoxic pathway in DNT-AML interaction. TNF α secreted by DNTs, upon encountering susceptible AML targets, sensitized AML cells to DNT-mediated killing, including those otherwise resistant to DNTs. Mechanistically, TNF α upregulated ICAM-1 on AML cells through a noncanonical JAK1-dependent pathway. DNTs then engaged with AML cells more effectively through an ICAM-1 receptor, LFA-1, leading to enhanced killing. These results reveal a TNF α -JAK1-ICAM-1 axis in DNT-mediated cytotoxicity against AML to improve therapeutic efficacy.

Conflict of interest: COI declared - see note

COI notes: MDM is a consultant for Astellas, Abbvie, and Celgene. LZ has financial interests (e.g., holdings/shares) in WYZE Biotech Co Ltd and previously received research funding and consulting fee/honorarium from the Company. LZ and JL are co-inventors of several DNT cell technology related patents and intellectual properties for the treatment of AML. The remaining authors have declared no conflicts of interest.

Preprint server: No;

Author contributions and disclosures: ET, JL, and LZ conceived and designed the study experiments. ET, JL, IK, and YN conducted experiments. MDM provided primary patient samples. ET and JL prepared the manuscript. JL, IK, MDM, and LZ provided feedback and edited the manuscript.

Non-author contributions and disclosures: No;

Agreement to Share Publication-Related Data and Data Sharing Statement: Email to corresponding author: Li.Zhang@uhnresearch.ca

Clinical trial registration information (if any):

1	Double-negative T cells utilize a TNF α -JAK1-ICAM-1 cytotoxic axis against acute myeloid
2	leukemia
3	
4	Running title: DNTs use a TNF α -based mechanism to kill AML
5	Authors and affiliations: Enoch Tin ^{1,2} , Jong Bok Lee ³ , Ismat Khatri ¹ , Yoosu Na ¹ , Mark D.
6	Minden ⁴ and Li Zhang ^{1,2,5*}
7	¹ Toronto General Hospital Research Institute, University Health Network, Toronto, Ontario,
8	Canada.
9	² Department of Immunology, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada.
10	³ Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta,
11	Canada.
12	⁴ Princess Margaret Cancer Centre, University Health Network, Toronto, Ontario, Canada.
13	⁵ Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathobiology, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario
14	Canada.
15	
16	Corresponding author:
17 18 19 20 21 22	Li Zhang Toronto General Hospital Research Institute, University Health Network 101 College St., room 2-207, Toronto, Ontario, M5G 1L7 T. (416) 581-7521 / F. (416) 581-7515 ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4649-0757 Email: Li.Zhang@uhnresearch.ca
23	
24	Data-sharing statement
25	For original data, please contact the corresponding author: Li.Zhang@uhnresearch.ca

26 Counts:

27	Manuscript word count:	3987
28	Abstract word count:	159
29	Figure count:	6
30	References:	51

31 Abstract

32 Allogeneic double-negative T cells (DNTs) are a rare T cell subset that effectively target 33 acute myeloid leukemia (AML) without inducing graft-vs-host disease in an allogeneic setting. A 34 phase I clinical trial demonstrated the feasibility, safety, and potential efficacy of allogeneic 35 DNT therapy among patients with relapsed AML. However, the molecular mechanisms of DNT-36 mediated cytotoxicity against AML remain elusive. Thus, we utilized a flow cytometry-based 37 high throughput screening to compare the surface molecule expression profile on DNTs during 38 their interaction with DNT-susceptible or -resistant AML cells and identified a TNFα-dependent 39 cytotoxic pathway in DNT-AML interaction. TNFa secreted by DNTs, upon encountering 40 susceptible AML targets, sensitized AML cells to DNT-mediated killing, including those 41 otherwise resistant to DNTs. Mechanistically, TNFα upregulated ICAM-1 on AML cells through 42 a noncanonical JAK1-dependent pathway. DNTs then engaged with AML cells more effectively 43 through an ICAM-1 receptor, LFA-1, leading to enhanced killing. These results reveal a TNF α -44 JAK1-ICAM-1 axis in DNT-mediated cytotoxicity against AML to improve therapeutic efficacy.

45

46 Key points

47 • Upon engaging DNT-susceptible AML, DNTs secrete TNFα which sensitizes AML to
 48 DNT killing, including DNT-resistant AML

TNFα noncanonically signals through JAK1 to upregulate ICAM-1 on AML for
 increased DNT anti-leukemic function

51 Introduction

52 Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) is the most common form of adult acute leukemia with poor long-term survival due to high disease relapse rates following induction chemotherapy.¹⁻⁴ 53 54 Currently, allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (allo-HSCT) provides a potential 55 curative treatment option for high-risk AML patients by eliciting a graft-vs-leukemia (GvL) response, where donor-derived immune cells target residual AML cells.^{3,5} However, treatment-56 57 associated toxicities such as graft-vs-host disease (GvHD), risks of infection, and significant relapse rates pose a challenge.³ Nevertheless, the potency of the GvL effect has driven the 58 59 development of adoptive cellular therapies (ACTs) for AML. With the remarkable success of chimeric antigen receptor (CAR)-T cell therapy in B cell malignancies,⁶ a number of studies 60 developed and assessed CAR-T cell therapy for AML patients.^{7–9} Although early-phase clinical 61 62 trials showed some promising results, on-target off-tumour toxicities, logistical obstacles, and AML heterogeneity limit a greater success of CAR-T cell therapy against AML.^{10–13} 63

64 CD3⁺CD4⁻CD8⁻ double-negative T cells (DNTs) are mature peripheral T cells that account for approximately 3-5% of T lymphocytes. Ex vivo expanded, non-genetically modified 65 DNTs from healthy donors can target primary and chemotherapy-resistant AML cells without 66 inducing GvHD in xenograft models.^{14,15} Recently, a phase I clinical trial (ChiCTR1900022795) 67 demonstrated the feasibility, safety, and potential efficacy of allogeneic DNT therapy to treat 68 AML patients with relapsed disease after allo-HSCT.¹⁶ However, some patients are unresponsive 69 70 to DNT therapy, and some primary AML samples show high levels of resistance to DNTs in preclinical models.^{14,16} Further dissecting DNT cytotoxic mechanisms will help identify why 71 72 DNTs fail to target some AML cells and provide strategies to overcome the resistance to DNT-73 mediated killing.

74 In this study, we used a flow cytometry-based high throughput screening (HTS) assay to 75 compare cell surface molecule expression profiles on DNTs after encountering DNT-susceptible 76 or -resistant AML cells. By validating the functions of these molecules *in vitro* and *in vivo*, we 77 identified the significance of the $TNF\alpha/TNF\alpha$ -receptor (TNFR) pathway in DNT-AML 78 interactions. Furthermore, our study highlighted the unappreciated contributions of JAK1 in 79 TNF α signaling to induce ICAM-1 expression on AML cells and the subsequent role of LFA-1 80 on DNTs to recognize and target TNFα-sensitized AML cells. These data demonstrate the utility 81 of the flow cytometry-based HTS method to discover important immune-cancer cell pathways 82 and the role of the TNF α -JAK1-ICAM-1 axis in DNT-mediated cytotoxicity against AML.

83 Methods

84 Ex vivo DNT expansion

DNTs were enriched from peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) of healthy donors
using CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ depletion cocktail (Stemcell Technologies). Enriched DNTs were then
expanded *ex vivo* as previously described (Supplementary Figure S1).^{14,15}

88 Flow cytometry-based in vitro cytotoxic assays

89 DNTs were co-cultured with primary AML patient blasts (Supplementary Table S1) for 3 90 hours or AML cell lines for 2-24 hours at optimal effector-to-target (E:T) ratios (0.125-4 : 1). 91 AML viability was determined by Annexin V (2- to 24-hour assays) or DAPI (multi-day assays) 92 in CD3⁻CD33⁺ or CD3⁻CD34⁺ gated populations for AML cell lines and CD45^{low}CD3⁻CD33⁺ or 93 CD34⁺ populations for primary AML samples. Percentage specific killing was calculated by 94 $\frac{\% Dead \ with \ DNTs^{-} \% \ Dead \ with \ DNTs}{100-\% \ Dead \ with \ DNTs} \times 100\%$

95	For TNF α pre-treatment assays, DNTs or AML cells were treated with recombinant
96	human TNFa (rTNFa, R&D Systems, 100 $\eta g/mL$) for 24 hours (or 16 hours with primary
97	AML), then washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) prior to the killing assay.
98	For blocking assays, neutralizing antibodies (Biolegend) were added: anti-CD120b
99	(3G7A02), anti-TNFα (MAb1), anti-CD18 (TS1/18), anti-CD54 (HCD54), anti-CD62ε (HAE-
100	1f), or corresponding isotype controls at 5-10 μ g/mL unless specified. Percentage inhibition of
101	$\frac{\% Specific Killing_{without Ab} - \% Specific Killing_{with Ab}}{\% Specific Killing_{with Ab}} \ge 100\%$ killing was calculated by
102	For assays involving small molecule inhibitors, AML cells were incubated with
103	Necrostatin 2 racemate (Nec-1S, MedChemExpress) or Itacitinib (Selleckchem) for 24 hours at
104	specified concentrations in the figure legends, followed by rTNF α treatment prior to cytotoxicity
105	assays.
106	Flow cytometry-based high throughput screening assay
107	DNTs from three different donors were co-cultured with or without OCI-AML3 or KG-
108	1a for 2 hours and stained with anti-CD3, anti-CD33, and anti-CD34 antibodies. Then, the
109	Princess Margaret Genomics Centre conducted highly multiplexed cell surface assessment using
110	385 commercially available antibodies and flow cytometry, as described by Gedye et al. ¹⁷ Cell
111	surface protein expressions from three groups were analyzed: DNT-OCI-AML3 co-culture,
112	DNT-KG-1a co-culture, and DNT alone.
113	Multi-day mixed co-culture assay
114	DNTs, DNT-susceptible AML cells (MV4-11 or OCI-AML3 ^{WT}), and DNT-resistant
115	AML cells (KG-1a or OCI-AML3 ^{CD64KO}) were co-cultured in a 24-well plate at a 2:1:1 ratio

116	(DNT: DNT-susceptible AML: DNT-resistant AML) for 2 days. Anti-TNF α neutralizing
117	antibodies were added on day 0 and 1. Aliquots were taken each day to track cell viability by

118 DAPI and ICAM-1 expression. Cells were distinguished by flow cytometry: KG-1a (CD3⁻

119 CD34⁺CD33⁻), MV4-11 (CD3⁻CD34⁻CD33⁺), OCI-AML3^{CD64KO} (CD3⁻CD33⁺GFP⁺), and OCI-

120 $AML3^{WT} (CD3^{-}CD33^{+}GFP^{-}).$

121 Transwell assay

DNTs and AML cells (KG-1a and MV4-11) were co-cultured in the Transwell ®-96
Permeable Support with 0.4μm PET membrane (Corning). The top compartment contained
MV4-11 cells co-cultured with or without DNTs (E:T ratio of 1:1). The bottom compartment
housed KG-1a cells with or without DNTs (E:T ratio of 2:1). After 2 days, KG-1a viability from
the bottom compartment were determined by DAPI and flow cytometry.

127 Xenograft model

NOD.Cg-Prkdc^{scid}Il2rg^{tm1Wjl}/SzJ (NSG) mice (Jackson Laboratories) were used for 128 129 xenograft experiments. 8-12 week-old female mice were sublethally irradiated (225 cGy) one day prior to an intravenous injection of 2x10⁶ OCI-AML2 cells. OCI-AML2 cells were treated 130 with or without rTNFa (100 η g/mL) for 24 hours prior to the injection. 2x10⁷ DNTs were 131 intravenously injected on days 1 and 4 after AML cell injection. 10⁴ IU rIL-2 (Proleukin) was 132 133 given intravenously at the time of DNT infusion and intraperitoneally on day 11. Mice were 134 euthanized on day 14. Cells from mice femurs were harvested to assess the bone marrow (BM) 135 engraftments of AML cells by flow cytometry.

136 Statistical analysis

137 All graphs and statistical analyses were generated using GraphPad Prism 5. Student's *t*-138 test, ANOVA, and linear regression tests were used. ns = nonsignificant, * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, 139 *** p < 0.001 indicate statistical significance between groups. Error bars represent ± SEM or ± 140 SD.

Human blood collection and use was in accordance with University Health Network (UHN) Research Ethics Board (05-0221-T) and NHLBI-approved protocols. Animal use for experiments was approved by UHN Animal Care Committee (AUP: 741) and performed according to the Canadian Council on Animal Care Guidelines.

141

142 **Results**

143 Flow cytometry-based HTS assay identifies the involvement of CD120b/TNFR pathway in DNT144 mediated cytotoxicity.

While the anti-cancer activity of *ex vivo* expanded DNTs has been demonstrated.^{14,15,18,19} 145 146 the underlying mechanisms by which DNTs mediate their activity is less well characterized. To 147 identify cell surface proteins potentially involved in the DNT-mediated killing of AML cells, we used a flow cytometry-based HTS assay¹⁷ to compare the changes in the expression of 385 cell 148 149 surface proteins on DNTs during their interactions with DNT-susceptible (OCI-AML3) or -150 resistant (KG-1a) AML cell lines relative to DNT alone control (Figure 1A). KG-1a cells 151 previously exhibited high intrinsic resistance to DNTs in in vitro cytotoxicity assays and in 152 xenograft models compared to other AML cell lines, such as OCI-AML3, OCI-AML2, and MV4-11.²⁰ Using DNTs derived from three different donors, we identified molecules that were 153 154 preferentially down- or up-regulated on DNTs, when co-cultured with OCI-AML3 cells relative

to KG-1a cells, with a minimum cut-off of >25% differential expression and relevant immune cytotoxic function (Figure 1B; Supplementary Table S2). The screen identified four molecules of interest: CD120b/TNFR2, CD65, CD66c, and CD172 α .

158 Next, we validated the findings using two additional DNT-susceptible AML cell lines, OCI-AML2 and MV4-11.²⁰ Amongst the molecules examined, CD120b and CD65 were 159 160 consistently down- or up-regulated after co-culture with all three DNT-susceptible AML cell 161 lines, respectively (Figure 1C; Supplementary Figure S2). However, CD66c was only 162 upregulated in the DNT-OCI-AML3 co-culture, and CD172 α levels remained elevated regardless 163 of the co-cultured AML cell line (Supplementary Figure S2). To assess the functional relevance 164 of CD120b and CD65, DNTs were co-incubated with AML cells in the presence of neutralizing antibodies against CD120b or CD62ε, a receptor for CD65,²¹ or their respective isotype 165 166 antibodies as controls. Notably, anti-CD120b antibodies significantly reduced DNT-mediated 167 cytotoxicity against AML cells, while blocking CD62*ɛ* had no significant effect (Figure 1D). 168 Also, the magnitude of CD120b downregulation on DNTs correlated with the susceptibility of 169 AML cells to DNT killing (Figure 1E). Collectively, these data demonstrate the involvement of 170 the CD120b/TNFR pathway in DNT-mediated cytotoxicity towards AML cells.

171

172 TNFa sensitizes AML cells to the anti-leukemic activity of DNTs.

173 TNF α , the ligand of CD120b, is a well-known inflammatory cytokine involved in various 174 diseases, but whether it has an anti- or pro-tumourigenic role in AML remains unclear.^{22–24} Thus, 175 we examined the effects of TNF α in the context of AML and DNT interactions. Unlike 176 approximately 28% of cancers directly susceptible to TNF α *in vitro*, such as breast and colorectal 177 cancers,^{25–27} a minimal increase in AML apoptosis was observed after a 24-hour exposure to 178 rTNFa in vitro at the highest titrated dose (Supplementary Figure S3A). While direct 179 cytotoxicity was not observed, 10 out of 11 rTNFa pre-treated AML cell lines (Figure 2A, top) 180 and primary AML blasts (Figure 2A, bottom) with varying degrees of intrinsic DNT-resistance 181 became significantly sensitized to DNT-mediated cytotoxicity (Figure 2A). Similar effects were 182 seen in a highly DNT-resistant B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia cell line, NALM-6 183 (Supplementary Figure S3B), where rTNF α induced minimal direct cytotoxicity (Supplementary 184 Figure S3C, left) but sensitized NALM-6 to greater DNT killing (Supplementary Figure S3C, 185 right). In contrast to cancer targets, DNTs did not kill healthy allogeneic PBMC (allo-PBMC) 186 with or without rTNFa pre-treatment (Figure 2A). We also observed that AML cells with higher 187 resistance to DNT killing showed a greater relative degree of sensitization by rTNF α (Figure 188 2B). In line with this trend, DNT-resistant CD34⁺ populations in primary AML samples (Figure 189 2C) became susceptible to DNTs after rTNF α treatment, while their CD34⁻ counterparts, which 190 were inherently more susceptible to DNTs, were not further sensitized by rTNFa treatment 191 (Figure 2D). To further validate the functional relevance of TNF α in DNT-AML interactions, 192 blocking studies were performed. The addition of anti-TNFa neutralizing antibodies to the DNT-193 AML co-cultures significantly reduced DNT-mediated cytotoxicity against AML cell lines 194 (Figure 2E).

195To examine TNFα sensitization effects *in vivo*, NSG mice were engrafted with OCI-196AML2 cells, pre-treated with or without rTNFα, followed by DNT infusions (Figure 2F,197Supplementary Figure S3D). Consistent with *in vitro* results, rTNFα did not significantly alter198the AML BM engraftment as rTNFα-treated AML had similar leukemic engraftment levels as199PBS-treated ones (Figure 2G, left). However, we observed significantly reduced engraftment in200mice that were infused with rTNFα-treated AML followed by DNT treatment relative to mice

201 that received untreated AML cells and DNT infusions (Figure 2G, right). We also investigated if 202 rTNFα potentiates DNTs to mediate superior anti-leukemic activity. Although DNTs pre-treated 203 with increasing concentrations of rTNFa for 24 hours showed a dose-dependent downregulation 204 of CD120b (Supplementary Figure S3E), the magnitude of DNT-mediated cytotoxicity against 205 AML cells remained unchanged (Supplementary Figure S3F). Overall, these findings indicate 206 that TNF α does not directly kill AML or potentiate DNT cytotoxic potency. Instead, TNF α 207 sensitized AML cells to enhanced DNT killing for AML targets that have different levels of 208 resistance to DNT-mediated cytotoxicity.

209

210 CD64 expression on susceptible AML cells trigger DNTs to produce TNFa.

211 With the observation that rTNF α can sensitize AML targets, we examined whether DNTs 212 produced TNF α when engaging AML cells. We confirmed that DNTs express and secrete TNF α 213 when co-incubated with DNT-susceptible AML cell lines but not with the DNT-resistant AML 214 cell line, KG-1a (Figure 3A; Figure 3B, left). TNF α was not detected in the supernatant of AML 215 cell alone or DNT alone cultures (Figure 3B, right).

216 We found that susceptible AML cells typically express high levels of CD64 (Figure 3C), 217 and CD64 expression on primary AML cells correlates with their susceptibility to DNT antileukemic activity.²⁸ To determine whether the expression of CD64 was critical for inducing 218 TNFa secretion in DNTs, we first knocked out (KO) CD64 on DNT-susceptible OCI-AML3 219 (OCI-AML3^{CD64KO}) cells (Figure 3C) and assessed their susceptibility to DNT-mediated 220 cytotoxicity. We found that OCI-AML3^{CD64KO} cells were rendered resistant to DNT killing to a 221 222 similar degree as KG-1a (Figure 3D). When examining a possible CD64-TNFa relationship, we 223 detected a significant drop in TNF α in the supernatant of DNTs co-cultured with OCI-

AML3^{CD64KO} cells which was comparable to KG-1a, in contrast to OCI-AML3^{AAVS} control (Figure 3E). Furthermore, despite the increased DNT-resistance due to CD64 KO, pre-treatment of OCI-AML3^{CD64KO} cells with rTNF α significantly sensitized them to DNT-mediated killing (Figure 3F). These data suggest that DNTs may require CD64 expression on AML cells to release TNF α and initiate cytotoxic activities against susceptible AML cells, but this mechanism can be bypassed by providing exogenous TNF α .

230

231 TNFα produced by DNTs, upon encountering sensitive AML, renders DNT-resistant AML 232 susceptible to DNT killing.

233 AML is a highly heterogenous disease comprised of subpopulations with varying degrees of susceptibility, contributing to resistance to different forms of therapies.^{29–31} Now given that 234 235 DNTs are potent producers of TNFa which is crucial for DNT-mediated cytotoxicity against 236 AML, we tested whether DNTs can sensitize nearby DNT-resistant AML cells to DNT killing 237 while interacting with susceptible AML targets. As a surrogate to heterogenous AML cells 238 comprised of susceptible and resistant populations, we mixed DNT-susceptible AML cells 239 (MV4-11 or OCI-AML3) and KG-1a cells, respectively, and assessed the cytotoxicity of DNTs 240 against KG-1a. DNTs almost eliminated susceptible AML cells from the mixed co-culture 241 regardless of the presence of KG-1a (Supplementary Figure S4A), suggesting that KG-1a does 242 not actively suppress DNT anti-leukemic function. In contrast, DNTs effectively killed KG-1a 243 only in the presence of MV4-11 or OCI-AML3 (Figure 4A). To avoid confounding effects 244 between different AML cells in mixed co-culture assays, a transwell assay was conducted where 245 MV4-11 cells were cultured in the top compartment with or without DNTs, while KG-1a cells 246 with or without DNTs were seeded in the bottom wells (Figure 4B). While having DNTs with

MV4-11 in the top compartment had minimal effect on KG-1a cell growth and viability (Supplementary Figure S4B), it sensitized KG-1a to DNT-mediated cytotoxicity (Figure 4C).

249 Since DNTs may secrete other soluble factors in addition to $TNF\alpha$, to investigate the role 250 of DNT-derived TNF α in the above assays, the mixed co-culture experiment was conducted in 251 the presence of anti-TNFa neutralizing antibodies. These neutralizing antibodies reversed the 252 effect of having MV4-11 cells in the co-culture on the cytotoxicity of DNTs against KG-1a cells 253 (Figure 4D). To validate these observations with another DNT-resistant AML cell line and 254 further examine the contribution of CD64, a mixed co-culture assay with wild-type OCI-AML3 (OCI-AML3^{WT}) and OCI-AML3^{CD64KO} cells to simulate susceptible and resistant populations, 255 256 respectively, was used. Similar to the MV4-11-KG-1a mixed assay, the presence of OCI-AML3^{WT} rendered OCI-AML3^{CD64KO} cells susceptible to DNT anti-leukemic activity, which was 257 258 partially abrogated by anti-TNFa neutralizing antibodies (Figure 4E). Overall, these data 259 demonstrate that DNTs produce TNFa, upon interacting with DNT-susceptible AML cells, 260 which can then sensitize nearby DNT-resistant AML targets to DNT killing.

- 261
- 262 *TNF*α signals through JAK1 to upregulate ICAM-1.

To dissect the molecular mechanisms by which TNF α alters AML susceptibility to DNTs, AML cells were pre-treated with inhibitors against potential downstream pathways of TNF α receptor, such as receptor-interacting protein kinase-1 (RIPK1) and janus kinase-1 (JAK1).^{32–34} Pre-treating AML cells with Nec-1S (RIPK1 inhibitor) to block canonical TNF α receptor signaling^{32,33} did not abrogate the effect of rTNF α -mediated sensitization of KG-1a cells to DNTs (Figure 5A). In contrast, Itacitinib, a selective JAK1 inhibitor, significantly reduced the ability of rTNF α to increase AML susceptibility to DNTs for both KG-1a and OCI-AML2 270 (Figure 5A). To further confirm the contribution of JAK1 in TNF α -induced sensitization of 271 AML cells, JAK1 was knocked down in AML cells (AML^{shJAK1}, Figure 5B), and the effects of 272 rTNF α on AML^{shJAK1} cells were compared to control cells (AML^{shGFP}). Consistently, rTNF α was 273 significantly less effective at increasing AML susceptibility to DNTs for AML^{shJAK1} cells 274 compared to AML^{shGFP} cells (Figure 5C). Together, these data support that JAK1 is involved in 275 TNF α -induced sensitization of AML cells.

276 To further investigate how the TNFa-JAK1 axis sensitizes AML cells to DNTs, the 277 expression of inhibitory, cytotoxic, and adhesion molecules on AML cells with or without 278 rTNF α treatment was compared. rTNF α did not affect the expression of inhibitory ligands (TGF-279 β and PD-L1; Supplementary Figure S5A) or DNAM-1 ligands (CD112 and CD155; 280 Supplementary Figure S5B) on AML, which were previously reported to influence conventional T cell and DNT function.^{14,35,36} Instead, rTNFa treatment drastically upregulated ICAM-1, 281 282 intercellular adhesion molecule-1 or CD54, on AML cells after overnight stimulation, but not on 283 normal PBMC (Figure 5D; Supplementary Figure S5C). Also, rTNFα induced a greater ICAM-1 284 upregulation on DNT-resistant CD34⁺ primary AML populations relative to their DNT-285 susceptible CD34⁻ counterparts (Figure 5E), suggesting that ICAM-1 may contribute to the rTNF α -mediated sensitization observed in the CD34⁺ population. In addition, DNTs induced 286 287 ICAM-1 expression on DNT-susceptible AML cells after co-culture, which was partially 288 reversed by anti-TNFa neutralizing antibodies (Figure 5F), demonstrating ICAM-1 upregulation 289 by DNT-derived TNF α . Also, soluble factors released from the co-culture of DNTs with a DNT-290 susceptible AML target significantly promoted ICAM-1 upregulation on KG-1a cells (Figure 291 5G), which was reduced in the presence of anti-TNF α neutralizing antibodies (Figure 5H).

To evaluate the contribution of JAK1 in TNF α -mediated ICAM-1 upregulation, AML cells were treated with Itacitinib to selectively inhibit JAK1 followed by rTNF α stimulation. The inhibition of JAK1 effectively disrupted TNF α -mediated ICAM-1 upregulation on KG-1a and OCI-AML2 cells compared to vehicle control (Figure 5I). Similarly, rTNF α was significantly less effective at increasing the expression of ICAM-1 on JAK1 knockdown AML cells relative to control AML cells (Figure 5J). Therefore, these results indicate that the TNF α -JAK1 signal upregulates ICAM-1 on AML cells.

299

300 ICAM-1-LFA-1 interaction is critical for TNFa to sensitize AML to DNT-mediated cytotoxicity.

301 To determine the functional relevance of TNFα-mediated ICAM-1 upregulation in DNT-302 mediated killing of AML cells, blocking assays were conducted with rTNFa-treated AML. 303 ICAM-1 blocking antibody significantly reduced the intrinsic ability of DNTs to kill AML cell 304 lines and lessened rTNFa-mediated sensitization effects (Figure 6A). A similar effect was also 305 observed in 3 out of 4 primary AML patient samples (Figure 6B). This finding was further 306 confirmed by genetic KO of ICAM-1 in AML cells (Supplementary Figure S6), as ICAM-1KO 307 in OCI-AML2 significantly disrupted the ability of rTNFa to enhance DNT killing compared to 308 control (Figure 6C). These data indicate the importance of ICAM-1 expression on AML cells for 309 the sensitization effect of $TNF\alpha$.

310 ICAM-1 is a high-affinity ligand for lymphocyte function-associated antigen-1 (LFA-1) 311 receptor, an adhesion molecule comprised of two subunits, CD18 and CD11a,³⁷ highly expressed 312 on DNTs (Figure 6D). To determine the importance of LFA-1 on DNTs to interact with ICAM-1 313 on AML cells, CD18KO DNTs or control DNTs (sgRND) (Figure 6E) were co-cultured with 314 rTNF α pre-treated AML cells. We observed a significant decrease in the ability of rTNF α to 315 sensitize AML cell lines to CD18KO DNTs compared to control DNTs (Figure 6F). Consistent 316 with this, the presence of anti-CD18 neutralizing antibodies to block LFA-1³⁸ significantly 317 reduced rTNF α -mediated sensitization of KG-1a (Figure 6G) and 3 of 4 primary AML samples 318 (Figure 6H) to DNTs, compared to isotype controls. Thus, ICAM-1-LFA-1 ligand-receptor 319 interaction is crucial for TNF α -mediated sensitization of AML to DNTs.

320

321 **Discussion**

322 In this study, we investigated possible mechanisms for DNT-mediated cytotoxicity 323 against AML cells by utilizing a flow cytometry-based HTS assay to compare cell surface 324 protein expression after co-culture with DNT-susceptible versus -resistant AML cells. We 325 identified a TNFa-JAK1-ICAM-1 cytotoxic axis, whereby DNTs secreted TNFa upon 326 interacting with CD64⁺ AML targets, which upregulated ICAM-1 on AML cells. This allowed 327 DNTs to better target AML cells, including those otherwise resistant to DNTs, through LFA-1. 328 These findings uncovered a novel mechanism involved in the DNT-mediated anti-leukemic 329 response through a noncanonical TNFα-JAK1 pathway in AML. It also highlighted the potential 330 combination of TNF α -based therapies with DNTs to improve the treatment of heterogenous 331 malignancies such as AML.

Previously, we described the role of IFNγ, NKG2D, DNAM-1, and CD64 in DNT antileukemic activities. However, interference of these molecules did not completely abrogate DNT killing,^{14,28} suggesting the presence of additional pathways. Hence, the flow cytometry-based HTS assay explored other potential mechanisms involved in DNT-mediated cytotoxicity against AML. The technique assessed immune-cancer cell interactions by incorporating target cells with differential susceptibilities and cell surface molecule expression data. Given the use of 338 monoclonal antibodies to augment contact-dependent mechanisms and CAR-T cells in cancer 339 immunotherapy,^{6,39} this approach can be potentially applied to other cancer models to identify 340 targetable cell surface proteins by CARs or antibodies to improve ACTs.

341 TNFα is a prominent inflammatory cytokine able to elicit pro- and anti-tumourigenic effects.^{22,24,40} In AML, the discrepancy remains as high serum TNF α is reported to be an adverse 342 prognostic factor for newly diagnosed patients with AML,²³ while several clinical case reports 343 describe the development of AML following the use of anti-TNFa inhibitors.^{41,42} Consistent with 344 345 the case reports, we demonstrated that anti-TNFa neutralizing antibodies reduced the anti-346 tumour activity of DNTs against AML cells in vitro. Also, rTNFa pre-treatment sensitized AML 347 cells to DNT-mediated killing in vitro and in vivo in an ICAM-1-LFA-1-dependent manner. 348 Notably, the TNFa effect was more pronounced in AML cells with a higher degree of resistance 349 to DNTs and was not observed in healthy allo-PBMC. These findings support the potential 350 combination therapy of DNT therapy with other approaches that augment the TNFa-ICAM-1 axis^{43,44} to enhance treatment outcomes. 351

352 The release of TNF α appears to be induced by the expression of CD64, a high-affinity $F_{c\gamma}$ receptor, typically found on DNT-susceptible AML cells compared to DNT-resistant ones.²⁸ 353 354 TNFa stimulation can bypass the initial CD64-dependent mechanism and increase DNT-355 mediated cytotoxicity against AML cells. However, the exact mechanisms between DNTs and 356 CD64⁺ AML remain unclear. CD64 expression is associated with more mature AML phenotypes, such as AML-M5,⁴⁵ and AML-M5 blasts are more susceptible to DNTs compared to other AML 357 subtypes.¹⁴ It is possible that DNTs directly bind to CD64 or another molecule regulated by 358 CD64 expression on AML. Nevertheless, these observations uncover a novel function of CD64 359

on AML to initiate DNT cytotoxic activity and warrant additional investigations to clarify DNT
 activation mechanisms for improved therapeutic purposes.

362 Cancer heterogeneity refers to genotypic and phenotypic differences between a tumour 363 across different patients, cancer cells within a single tumour, or the same tumour throughout disease progression.³¹ The cellular non-uniformity is a major driver for therapeutic resistance and 364 365 disease relapse, predisposing patients to poorer clinical outcomes, especially in patients with AML.^{3,29,30} DNT therapy is not an exception where ~30% of primary AML blasts show 366 367 resistance to DNT-mediated cytotoxicity, partially explained by CD64 expression profiles on AML.^{14,28} Interestingly, TNFa increased the susceptibility of AML to DNTs, expanding the 368 369 therapeutic window against various AML cells. Furthermore, TNFa produced by DNTs, after 370 encountering susceptible targets, sensitized nearby DNT-resistant AML cell lines. Ultimately, 371 these observations favourably support the use of DNT therapy for AML or other highly heterogeneous cancers,46 possibly comprised of a mixture of DNT-susceptible and -resistant 372 373 populations.

TNF α signals through TNFRs to elicit NF- κ B activation and inflammatory responses.³² 374 375 TNF α produced from DNT-AML co-cultures contribute to the downregulation of CD120b on 376 DNTs in an autocrine manner. Although TNFa stimulation of DNTs did not alter cytotoxic 377 function, CD120b signaling has been investigated in regulatory T cells and may be involved in the ability of DNTs to resist host-versus-graft rejection. 15,47 In contrast, TNF α sensitized AML to 378 379 greater DNT-mediated cytotoxicity, independent of a canonical mediator of TNFR/NF-kB, 380 RIPK1. Instead, we identified a TNFR pathway involving JAK1 that consistently mediated ICAM-1 upregulation and AML sensitization. Although the TNFR pathway has been 381 382 characterized, the molecular relationship and immunotherapeutic significance between TNFRs

383 and JAK1 remain unclear, except some studies demonstrating that TNFRs could signal through JAK1 in B cells, adipocytes, and epithelial cells.^{34,48,49} JAK1 is one of four mammalian JAK 384 385 proteins commonly found at the cytoplasmic domain of interferon and interleukin receptors. Upon ligand-receptor binding, receptor-associated JAKs are activated and lead to 386 387 phosphorylation of Signal Transducer and Activator of Transcription (STAT) proteins. 388 Phosphorylated STAT molecules translocate into the nucleus to upregulate inflammatory factors and cytotoxic ligands.⁵⁰ Given that DNTs secrete a wide range of inflammatory cytokines,^{14,51} 389 390 the significance of JAK-STAT signaling in DNT-AML interactions is currently being explored.

391 In summary, our findings highlight the utility of the flow cytometry-based HTS platform 392 to identify underlying cytotoxic mechanisms between immune-cancer cell interactions and the 393 significance of the novel TNF α -JAK1-ICAM-1 axis in DNT-mediated cytotoxicity against AML. 394 These data support the potential combinatorial use of drugs that influence this axis to enhance 395 the anti-leukemic activity of DNT therapy.

396 Acknowledgements

We thank all donors and patients that participated in this study. We also thank the
Leukemia Tissue Bank (Princess Margaret Cancer Centre) for providing primary AML patient
samples. This study was supported by the Canadian Cancer Society Impact Grant (grant #704121)
and Canadian Institutes of Health Research (grant #419699).

401 **Author Contributions**

402 ET, JL, and LZ conceived and designed the study experiments. ET, JL, IK, and YN

403 conducted experiments. MDM provided primary patient samples. ET and JL prepared the

404 manuscript. JL, IK, MDM, and LZ provided feedback and edited the manuscript.

405 **Disclosure of Conflicts of Interest**

406 MDM is a consultant for Astellas, Abbvie, and Celgene. LZ has financial interests (e.g.,

407 holdings/shares) in WYZE Biotech Co Ltd and previously received research funding and

408 consulting fee/honorarium from the Company. LZ and JL are co-inventors of several DNT cell

409 technology related patents and intellectual properties for the treatment of AML. The remaining

410 authors have declared no conflicts of interest.

411 **References**

- Statistics Canada. Predicted age-standardized and all ages five-year net survival estimates
 for selected primary types of cancer, by sex, three years combined. 2020;
- 414 2. SEER. Acute Myeloid Leukemia Cancer Stat Facts. 2019;
- 415 3. Döhner H, Weisdorf DJ, Bloomfield CD. Acute Myeloid Leukemia. N. Engl. J. Med.
 416 2015;373(12):1136–1152.
- 417 4. Rowe JM, Tallman MS. How I treat acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood.* 2010;116(17):3147–418 3156.
- 419 5. Pavletic SZ, Kumar S, Mohty M, et al. NCI First International Workshop on the Biology,
 420 Prevention, and Treatment of Relapse after Allogeneic Hematopoietic Stem Cell
 421 Transplantation: Report from the Committee on the Epidemiology and Natural History of
 422 Relapse following Allogeneic Cell Transpla. *Biol. Blood Marrow Transplant.*423 2010;16(7):871–890.
- 424 6. NCI. CAR T Cells: Engineering Immune Cells to Treat Cancer NCI. 2022;
- Zhang H, Gan WT, Hao WG, et al. Successful Anti-CLL1 CAR T-Cell Therapy in
 Secondary Acute Myeloid Leukemia. *Front. Oncol.* 2020;10:685.
- 427 8. Ma Y-J, Dai H-P, Cui Q-Y, et al. Successful application of PD-1 knockdown CLL-1
 428 CAR-T therapy in two AML patients with post-transplant relapse and failure of anti-CD38
 429 CAR-T cell treatment. *Am. J. Cancer Res.* 2022;12(2):615.
- 430 9. Jin X, Zhang M, Sun R, et al. First-in-human phase I study of CLL-1 CAR-T cells in
 431 adults with relapsed/refractory acute myeloid leukemia. *J. Hematol. Oncol.* 2022;15(1):.
- 432 10. Wang QS, Wang Y, Lv HY, et al. Treatment of CD33-directed chimeric antigen receptor433 modified T cells in one patient with relapsed and refractory acute myeloid leukemia. *Mol.*434 *Ther.* 2015;23(1):184–191.
- Hofmann S, Schubert M-L, Wang L, et al. Chimeric Antigen Receptor (CAR) T Cell
 Therapy in Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AML). J. Clin. Med. 2019;8(2):200.
- Roddie C, O'Reilly M, Dias Alves Pinto J, Vispute K, Lowdell M. Manufacturing
 chimeric antigen receptor T cells: issues and challenges. *Cytotherapy*. 2019;21(3):327–
 340.
- Lee JB, Chen B, Vasic D, Law AD, Zhang L. Cellular immunotherapy for acute myeloid
 leukemia: How specific should it be? *Blood Rev.* 2019;35:18–31.
- Lee JB, Minden MD, Chen WC, et al. Allogeneic human double negative t cells as a novel immunotherapy for acute myeloid leukemia and its underlying mechanisms. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2018;24(2):370–382.
- Lee JB, Kang H, Fang L, et al. Developing allogeneic double-negative T cells as a novel off-the-shelf adoptive cellular therapy for cancer. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2019;25(7):2241–
 2253.

448 449 450	16.	Tang B, Lee JB, Cheng S, et al. Allogeneic double-negative T cell therapy for relapsed acute myeloid leukemia patients post allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation: A first-in-human phase I study. <i>Am. J. Hematol.</i> 2022;97(7):E264–E267.
451 452 453	17.	Gedye CA, Hussain A, Paterson J, et al. Cell Surface Profiling Using High-Throughput Flow Cytometry: A Platform for Biomarker Discovery and Analysis of Cellular Heterogeneity. <i>PLoS One</i> . 2014;9(8):.
454 455 456	18.	Yao J, Ly D, Dervovic D, et al. Human double negative T cells target lung cancer via ligand-dependent mechanisms that can be enhanced by IL-15. <i>J. Immunother. cancer</i> . 2019;7(1):.
457 458 459	19.	Xu H, Zhu XX, Chen J. DNT cell inhibits the growth of pancreatic carcinoma via abnormal expressions of NKG2D and MICA in vivo. <i>Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.</i> 2016;469(2):145–150.
460 461 462	20.	Chen B, Lee JB, Kang H, Minden MD, Zhang L. Targeting chemotherapy-resistant leukemia by combining DNT cellular therapy with conventional chemotherapy. <i>J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res.</i> 2018;37(1):.
463 464 465	21.	Noguchi M, Sato N, Sugimori H, Mori K, Oshimi K. A minor E-selectin ligand, CD65, is critical for extravascular infiltration of acute myeloid leukemia cells. <i>Leuk. Res.</i> 2001;25(10):847–853.
466 467	22.	Tian T, Wang M, Ma D. TNF-α, a good or bad factor in hematological diseases? <i>Stem Cell Investig.</i> 2014;1:12.
468 469 470	23.	Tsimberidou AM, Estey E, Wen S, et al. The prognostic significance of cytokine levels in newly diagnosed acute myeloid leukemia and high-risk myelodysplastic syndromes. <i>Cancer</i> . 2008;113(7):1605–1613.
471 472 473	24.	Wang M, Zhang C, Tian T, et al. Increased regulatory T cells in peripheral blood of acute myeloid leukemia patients rely on tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α-TNF receptor-2 pathway. <i>Front. Immunol.</i> 2018;9(JUN):1274.
474 475	25.	Josephs SF, Ichim TE, Prince SM, et al. Unleashing endogenous TNF-alpha as a cancer immunotherapeutic. <i>J. Transl. Med.</i> 2018;16(1):.
476 477 478	26.	Whitehead RP, Fleming T, Macdonald JS, et al. A phase II trial of recombinant tumor necrosis factor in patients with metastatic colorectal adenocarcinoma: a Southwest Oncology Group study. <i>J. Biol. Response Mod.</i> 1990;9(6):588–591.
479 480 481	27.	Budd GT, Green S, Baker LH, et al. A Southwest Oncology Group phase II Trial of recombinant tumor necrosis factor in metastatic breast cancer. <i>Cancer</i> . 1991;68(8):1694–1695.
482 483	28.	Soares F, Chen B, Lee JB, et al. CRISPR screen identifies genes that sensitize AML cells to double-negative T-cell therapy. <i>Blood</i> . 2021;137(16):2171–2181.
484 485	29.	Horibata S, Gui G, Lack J, et al. Heterogeneity in refractory acute myeloid leukemia. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.</i> 2019;116(21):10494–10503.

- 486 30. Zeijlemaker W, Gratama JW, Schuurhuis GJ. Tumor heterogeneity makes AML a
 487 "moving target" for detection of residual disease. *Cytom. Part B Clin. Cytom.*488 2014;86(1):3–14.
- 489 31. Dagogo-Jack I, Shaw AT. Tumour heterogeneity and resistance to cancer therapies. *Nat.*490 *Rev. Clin. Oncol.* 2017 152. 2017;15(2):81–94.
- 491 32. Faustman D, Davis M. TNF receptor 2 pathway: drug target for autoimmune diseases.
 492 Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. 2010 96. 2010;9(6):482–493.
- 493 33. Weinlich R, Green DR. The Two Faces of Receptor Interacting Protein Kinase-1. *Mol.*494 *Cell*. 2014;56(4):469–480.
- 495 34. Miscia S, Marchisio M, Grilli A, et al. Tumor necrosis factor α (TNF-α) activates
 496 Jak1/Stat3-Stat5b signaling through TNFR-1 in human B cells. *Cell Growth Differ*.
 497 2002;13(1):13–18.
- 498 35. Fang L, Ly D, Wang SS, et al. Targeting late-stage non-small cell lung cancer with a
 499 combination of DNT cellular therapy and PD-1 checkpoint blockade. *J. Exp. Clin. Cancer*500 *Res.* 2019;38(1):1–14.
- S01 36. Yang ZZ, Grote DM, Xiu B, et al. TGF-β upregulates CD70 expression and induces
 exhaustion of effector memory T cells in B-cell non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. *Leukemia*.
 2014;28(9):1872.
- 37. Walling BL, Kim M. LFA-1 in T cell migration and differentiation. *Front. Immunol.*2018;9(MAY):952.
- 506 38. Lötscher J, Martí i Líndez AA, Kirchhammer N, et al. Magnesium sensing via LFA-1
 507 regulates CD8+ T cell effector function. *Cell*. 2022;185(4):585-602.e29.
- Tawbi HA, Schadendorf D, Lipson EJ, et al. Relatlimab and Nivolumab versus
 Nivolumab in Untreated Advanced Melanoma. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2022;386(1):24–34.
- Vredevoogd DW, Kuilman T, Ligtenberg MA, et al. Augmenting Immunotherapy Impact
 by Lowering Tumor TNF Cytotoxicity Threshold. *Cell*. 2019;178(3):585-599.e15.
- 512 41. Ozen M, Keskin O, Kucuksahin O, et al. Tumor necrosis factor inhibitors and acute
 513 leukemia in two cases. J. Clin. Oncol. 2013;31(15_suppl):e18010–e18010.
- 42. Alzahrani T, Nuqali A, Naser N, Jariwala AR. Case Report: Adalimumab-induced acute myeloid leukaemia in a patient with Crohn's disease. *BMJ Case Rep.* 2019;12(2):225680.
- 43. Beug ST, Pichette SJ, St-Jean M, et al. Combination of IAP Antagonists and TNF-α517 Armed Oncolytic Viruses Induce Tumor Vascular Shutdown and Tumor Regression. *Mol.*518 *Ther. oncolytics.* 2018;10:28–39.
- Allende-Vega N, Marco Brualla J, Falvo P, et al. Metformin sensitizes leukemic cells to cytotoxic lymphocytes by increasing expression of intercellular adhesion molecule-1
 (ICAM-1). Sci. Reports 2022 121. 2022;12(1):1–12.
- 45. Krasinskas AM, Wasik MA, Kamoun M, et al. The usefulness of CD64, other monocyteassociated antigens, and CD45 gating in the subclassification of acute myeloid leukemias

524		with monocytic differentiation. Am. J. Clin. Pathol. 1998;110(6):797-805.
525 526	46.	Guo L, Kong D, Liu J, et al. Breast cancer heterogeneity and its implication in personalized precision therapy. <i>Exp. Hematol. Oncol.</i> 2023 121. 2023;12(1):1–27.
527 528	47.	Yang S, Wang J, Brand DD, Zheng SG. Role of TNF–TNF Receptor 2 Signal in Regulatory T Cells and Its Therapeutic Implications. <i>Front. Immunol.</i> 2018;9(APR):1.
529 530	48.	Guo D, Dunbar JD, Yang CH, Pfeffer LM, Donner DB. Induction of Jak/STAT Signaling by Activation of the Type 1 TNF Receptor. <i>J. Immunol.</i> 1998;160(6):2742–2750.
531 532 533	49.	Woznicki JA, Saini N, Flood P, et al. TNF- α synergises with IFN- γ to induce caspase-8-JAK1/2-STAT1-dependent death of intestinal epithelial cells. <i>Cell Death Dis</i> . 2021;12(10):.
534 535	50.	Shuai K, Liu B. Regulation of JAK-STAT signalling in the immune system. <i>Nat. Rev. Immunol.</i> 2003;3(11):900–911.
536 537 538	51.	Xu S, Ge X, Wang L, et al. Profiling Pharmacokinetics of DNT cells and Cytokines via a Single Intravenous Administration in NSG Mice. <i>Biopharm. Drug Dispos.</i> 2021;(February):1–10.

540 Figure Legends

Figure 1 - Flow cytometry-based HTS assay identifies the involvement of CD120b/TNFR pathway in DNT-mediated cytotoxicity.

- A) Schematic of the flow cytometry-based HTS assay using DNT-resistant (KG-1a) and susceptible (OCI-AML3) AML cell lines.
- B) DNTs alone or co-cultured with OCI-AML3 or KG-1a in 96-well plates were stained
- 546 with 385 fluorophore-conjugated antibodies. Molecule expression on DNTs (CD3⁺CD33⁻
- 547 CD34⁻) co-cultured with OCI-AML3 (CD3⁻CD33⁺CD34⁻) or KG-1a (CD3⁻CD33⁻CD34⁺)
- 548 relative to DNT alone was determined. From left to right, the graph shows molecules that
- are upregulated or downregulated on DNTs during the interaction with OCI-AML3,
- relative to DNT-KG-1a interactions. The experiment was performed with three biological
 replicates, and the data were pooled together.
- 552 C) DNTs stained for CD120b after a 2-hour co-culture with DNT-resistant (KG-1a) or -
- susceptible (OCI-AML2, OCI-AML3, MV4-11) AML cell lines. A representative
- histogram (left) and corresponding median fluorescence intensity (MFI) values (right) of
- 555 CD120b expression are shown. Experiments were done in triplicates. The data shown are 556 representative of three independent experiments.
- 557 D) DNTs were co-cultured with DNT-susceptible AML cell lines, OCI-AML2 (top) or
- 558 MV4-11 (bottom), for 24 hours in the presence of anti-CD62ɛ or anti-CD120b
- neutralizing antibody or corresponding isotype controls. Experiments were done in
- 560 triplicates. The data shown are representative of two independent experiments.
- E) Linear regression analysis performed between the MFI fold change in CD120b
- 562 expression on DNTs co-cultured with AML cells relative to DNT alone and the

percentage specific killing of AML cell lines and primary AML samples by DNTs. AML	
cells and DNTs were co-incubated for 2 hours. Each symbol represents an AML cell line	
or primary AML sample. Numbers represent the AML patient ID. Experiments were	
done in triplicates, and the data shown are representative of two independent	Down
experiments.	loaded fror
Student's <i>t</i> -test, one-way ANOVA, and linear regression analysis were used. ns =	n http://ashpubl
nonsignificant, *** $p < 0.001$.	ications.ne
Figure 2 – TNFα sensitizes AML cells to the anti-leukemic activity of DNTs.	st/bloodadvanc
(A and B) AML cell lines (top), primary AML blasts, and allogeneic peripheral blood	es/article-p
mononuclear cells (allo-PBMC) (bottom) were pre-treated with or without rTNFa,	odf/doi/10.1
washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), then co-cultured with DNTs for 2-4 hours.	1182/blood
Percentage specific killing of AML cells are shown with a red line indicating the 10%	ladvances.
specific killing as resistance threshold (A). Linear regression analysis performed between	20230117
percentage specific killing and the relative percentage increase in specific killing of	39/222013
rTNF α -sensitized AML (B). Each symbol represents an AML cell line or primary AML	4/bloodad
sample. Experiments were done in triplicates and were performed with at least two DNT	vances.20;
donors. Numbers represent the AML patient ID.	23011739.p
(C and D) Primary AML samples (130794 and 140176) were treated with or without rTNF α ,	odf by guest or
washed with PBS, then co-cultured with DNTs. Gating strategy (C) and percentage	n 20 May ź
specific killing of rTNF α -treated and non-treated groups of the primary AML samples	2024

- washed with PBS, then co-cultured with DNTs. Gating strategy (C) a
- 582 specific killing of rTNFα-treated and non-treated groups of the prima
- 583 gated on specified leukemic blast populations in triplicates are shown (D).

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

26

584	E) DNTs were co-cultured with AML cell lines for 24 hours, in the presence of increasing
585	(for OCI-AML3) or fixed (for OCI-AML2 and MV4-11, 10 μ g/mL) concentration of
586	anti-TNF α blocking antibody or isotype control antibody. The graphs shown are
587	representative of two independent experiments.
588	(F and G) Sublethally irradiated (225 cGy) NSG mice were intravenously injected with OCI-
589	AML2 untreated or treated with rTNF α , followed by two infusions of DNTs or PBS.
590	Schematic of the <i>in vivo</i> xenograft mouse model with treatment schedule (F). Bar graphs
591	represent the mean AML bone marrow engraftment levels (left) and engraftment levels
592	normalized to the PBS group (right) (G). Each symbol represents an individual mouse.
593	Data represent the mean \pm SD and pooled from three independent experiments (n=3-
594	5/group).
595	Student's <i>t</i> -test, one-way/two-way ANOVA, and linear regression analysis were used. ns =
595 596	Student's <i>t</i> -test, one-way/two-way ANOVA, and linear regression analysis were used. ns = nonsignificant, * $p < 0.05$, ** p < 0.01, *** $p < 0.001$.
595 596 597	 Student's <i>t</i>-test, one-way/two-way ANOVA, and linear regression analysis were used. ns = nonsignificant, * <i>p</i> < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** <i>p</i> < 0.001. Figure 3 – CD64 expression on susceptible AML cells trigger DNTs to produce TNFα.
595 596 597 598	 Student's <i>t</i>-test, one-way/two-way ANOVA, and linear regression analysis were used. ns = nonsignificant, * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001. Figure 3 – CD64 expression on susceptible AML cells trigger DNTs to produce TNFα. (A and B) DNTs and AML cells were cultured alone or together for 2 hours. The
 595 596 597 598 599 	 Student's <i>t</i>-test, one-way/two-way ANOVA, and linear regression analysis were used. ns = nonsignificant, * <i>p</i> < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** <i>p</i> < 0.001. Figure 3 – CD64 expression on susceptible AML cells trigger DNTs to produce TNFα. (A and B) DNTs and AML cells were cultured alone or together for 2 hours. The intracellular expression of TNFα was measured on DNTs (CD3⁺CD33⁻) by flow
 595 596 597 598 599 600 	 Student's <i>t</i>-test, one-way/two-way ANOVA, and linear regression analysis were used. ns = nonsignificant, * <i>p</i> < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** <i>p</i> < 0.001. Figure 3 – CD64 expression on susceptible AML cells trigger DNTs to produce TNFα. (A and B) DNTs and AML cells were cultured alone or together for 2 hours. The intracellular expression of TNFα was measured on DNTs (CD3⁺CD33⁻) by flow cytometry. The bar graph shows the percentage expression (left), and the flow plot shows
 595 596 597 598 599 600 601 	 Student's <i>t</i>-test, one-way/two-way ANOVA, and linear regression analysis were used. ns = nonsignificant, * <i>p</i> < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** <i>p</i> < 0.001. Figure 3 – CD64 expression on susceptible AML cells trigger DNTs to produce TNFa. (A and B) DNTs and AML cells were cultured alone or together for 2 hours. The intracellular expression of TNFα was measured on DNTs (CD3⁺CD33⁻) by flow cytometry. The bar graph shows the percentage expression (left), and the flow plot shows a representative histogram with MFI values (right) (A). The level of TNFα in the
 595 596 597 598 599 600 601 602 	 Student's <i>t</i>-test, one-way/two-way ANOVA, and linear regression analysis were used. ns = nonsignificant, * <i>p</i> < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** <i>p</i> < 0.001. Figure 3 – CD64 expression on susceptible AML cells trigger DNTs to produce TNFa. (A and B) DNTs and AML cells were cultured alone or together for 2 hours. The intracellular expression of TNFa was measured on DNTs (CD3⁺CD33⁻) by flow cytometry. The bar graph shows the percentage expression (left), and the flow plot shows a representative histogram with MFI values (right) (A). The level of TNFa in the supernatants of co-cultures (left) and AML or DNT cell alone groups (right) were
 595 596 597 598 599 600 601 602 603 	Student's t-test, one-way/two-way ANOVA, and linear regression analysis were used. ns = nonsignificant, * $p < 0.05$, ** p < 0.01, *** $p < 0.001$.Figure 3 – CD64 expression on susceptible AML cells trigger DNTs to produce TNFa.(A and B) DNTs and AML cells were cultured alone or together for 2 hours. The intracellular expression of TNFa was measured on DNTs (CD3+CD33) by flow cytometry. The bar graph shows the percentage expression (left), and the flow plot shows a representative histogram with MFI values (right) (A). The level of TNFa in the supernatants of co-cultures (left) and AML or DNT cell alone groups (right) were determined by ELISA (B). The experiments were performed in triplicates. The data
 595 596 597 598 599 600 601 602 603 604 	 Student's <i>t</i>-test, one-way/two-way ANOVA, and linear regression analysis were used. ns = nonsignificant, * <i>p</i> < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** <i>p</i> < 0.001. Figure 3 – CD64 expression on susceptible AML cells trigger DNTs to produce TNF<i>a</i>. (A and B) DNTs and AML cells were cultured alone or together for 2 hours. The intracellular expression of TNF<i>a</i> was measured on DNTs (CD3⁺CD33⁻) by flow cytometry. The bar graph shows the percentage expression (left), and the flow plot shows a representative histogram with MFI values (right) (A). The level of TNF<i>a</i> in the supernatants of co-cultures (left) and AML or DNT cell alone groups (right) were determined by ELISA (B). The experiments were performed in triplicates. The data shown are representative of two independent experiments.

- 605 C) CD64 expression by OCI-AML3^{AAVS} control (red), OCI-AML3^{CD64KO} (blue), KG-1a
- 606 (orange), and MV4-11 (green) cells. Representative histogram shows expression
 607 measured by flow cytometry with MFI values.
- 608 (D and E) OCI-AML3^{AAVS} control, OCI-AML3^{CD64KO}, and KG-1a cells were co-cultured with
- 609 DNTs for 2 hours. Specific killing of AML cells was measured using flow cytometry (D).
- 610 The level of TNF α from the co-culture supernatants was determined by ELISA (E). The
- 611 experiment was performed in triplicates, and the data are representative of two 612 independent experiments.
- 613 F) OCI-AML3^{CD64KO} cells were untreated or pre-treated with rTNF α (100 η g/mL), washed
- 614 with PBS, then co-cultured with DNTs for 24 hours. Specific killing of AML cells by
- 615 DNT was determined by flow cytometry. Data shown are representative of two
- 616 independent experiments done in triplicates.
- 617 Student's *t*-test and one-way/two-way ANOVA were used. n.d. = not detected, ns =
- 618 nonsignificant, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001.
- Figure 4 TNFα produced by DNTs, upon encountering sensitive AML, renders DNT resistant AML susceptible to DNT killing.
- 621 (A) DNTs were co-cultured with DNT-resistant KG-1a in the presence or absence of DNT-
- 622 susceptible AML (MV4-11 or OCI-AML3) at a 2:1:1 (DNT: KG-1a: DNT-susceptible
- 623 AML) ratio for 24 hours. Specific killing of KG-1a was measured by flow cytometry.
- 624 The experiments were done in triplicates, and the data shown are representative of two 625 independent experiments.
- 626 (B and C) MV4-11 were cultured with or without DNTs in the top compartment of a
- 627 transwell. KG-1a alone or co-cultured with DNTs were placed in the bottom

628	compartment of the transwell. Cells were then incubated for 2 days as shown in the
629	schematic (B). Specific killing of KG-1a cells in the bottom compartment was measured
630	and compared between MV4-11 and MV4-11 + DNT conditions (C). The experiments
631	were done in triplicates. The data shown are representative of two independent
632	experiments.
633	(D and E) DNT-resistant AML, KG-1a (D) or OCI-AML3 ^{CD64KO} (E), were incubated alone or
634	with DNT-susceptible AML, MV4-11 (D) or OCI-AML3 ^{WT} (E), and DNTs in the
635	presence of anti-TNF α blocking antibody (10 µg/mL) or isotype control for 2 days.
636	Antibodies were added on day 0 and day 1 of the co-cultures. Specific killing of DNT-
637	resistant AML cells was measured by flow cytometry. The experiments were done in
638	triplicates. The data shown are representative of two independent experiments.
639	Student's <i>t</i> -test and one-way/two-way ANOVA were used. ns = nonsignificant, * $p < 0.05$,
640	*** $p < 0.001$.
641	Figure 5 - TNFα signals through JAK1 to upregulate ICAM-1
642	A) KG-1a and OCI-AML2 cells were exposed to increasing or fixed (40 μ M) concentrations
643	of receptor-interacting protein kinase-1 (RIPK1) inhibitor, janus kinase-1 (JAK1)
644	inhibitor, or DMSO for 24 hours, followed by rTNF α treatment. AML were then co-
645	cultured with DNTs for 24 hours (for KG-1a) or 2 hours (for OCI-AML2). Percentage
646	increase in specific killing after $rTNF\alpha$ pre-treatment was determined. The data shown
647	are representative of two independent experiments.
648	(B and C) Wild-type (WT) OCI-AML2 and OCI-AML3 cells were untreated or transduced
649	with shRNAs against JAK1 (shJAK1) or GFP control (shGFP). RNA expression of JAK1
650	was normalized to HPRT housekeeping gene (B). Transduced AML cells were pre-

651		treated with or without rTNF α , washed with PBS, then co-cultured with DNTs for 2
652		hours. Specific killing (left) and percentage increase in specific killing (right) after
653		rTNFα pre-treatment are shown (C).
654	D)	AML cell lines (top), primary AML samples with patient IDs, and healthy PBMCs
655		(bottom) were untreated (blue) or treated (orange) with rTNF α . Representative
656		histograms of ICAM-1 expression with MFI values including fluorescence-minus-one
657		(FMO) control (red) are shown.
658	E)	CD33 ⁺ CD45 ^{low} CD3 ⁻ CD34 ⁺ (CD34 ⁺) and CD33 ⁺ CD45 ^{low} CD3 ⁻ CD34 ⁻ (CD34 ⁻) primary
659		AML blasts from two patients were treated with rTNF α . The ICAM-1 MFI fold change is
660		shown.
661	F)	ICAM-1 expression with MFI values of AML cell lines co-cultured with or without
662		DNTs for 24 hours in the presence of anti-TNF α or isotype control. The data shown are
663		representative of two independent experiments.
664	G)	MV4-11 and KG-1a were co-cultured with or without DNTs in separate compartments of
665		the transwell from Figure 4B. Representative histograms of ICAM-1 expression on KG-
666		1a with MFI values after 2 days is shown.
667	H)	KG-1a cells were alone or were incubated with MV4-11 or OCI-AML3 and DNTs in the
668		presence of anti-TNF α blocking antibody or isotype control for 24 hours. ICAM-1
669		expression on live KG-1a cells was determined. The data are representative of two
670		independent experiments.
671	I)	KG-1a and OCI-AML2 were treated with JAK1 inhibitor or DMSO for 24 hours,
672		followed by stimulation with or without rTNF α and stained for ICAM-1. The MFI fold
673		change of ICAM-1 expression from rTNF α -treated AML cells relative to untreated AML

30

- 674 cells is shown and compared between vehicle and JAK1 inhibitor conditions. The data675 displayed are two pooled independent experiments.
- J) JAK1 knockdown (shJAK1) or control (shGFP) AML cells were untreated or treated with

 $rTNF\alpha$ (100 $\eta g/mL$), then stained with anti-ICAM-1 antibody. The MFI fold change of

- 678 ICAM-1 expression from rTNFα-treated AML cells relative to untreated AML cells is
- shown and compared between control and JAK1 knockdown conditions. The data shownare representative of two independent experiments.
- 681 Student's *t*-test and one-way/two-way ANOVA were used. Ns = nonsignificant, *p < 0.05,

682 ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001.

692

Figure 6 - ICAM-1-LFA-1 interaction is critical for TNFα to sensitize AML to DNT mediated cytotoxicity.

- (A and B) AML cell lines (A) and primary AML samples (B) were pre-treated with or without
 rTNFα, washed with PBS, then co-cultured with DNTs in the presence of isotype control
- 687 or anti-ICAM-1 blocking antibody. Percentage specific killing of AML cells (A, left) and
- 688 percentage increase in specific killing between rTNFα-treated AML and non-treated
- 689 AML (A, right) were determined. The experiments were performed in triplicates with at690 least two DNT donors. Numbers represent AML patient ID.

691 C) Cas9 and ICAM-1^{KO} OCI-AML2 cells were untreated or treated with rTNF α , washed

- 693 increase in specific killing of rTNF α -treated AML cells by DNTs relative to untreated
- 694 AML cells. The graph shown is representative of two independent experiments.
- D) DNTs were stained for LFA-1 subunits (red), CD11a and CD18, and compared to FMO
 control (blue).

with PBS, then co-cultured with DNTs for 2 hours. The data shown are the percentage

697	E) Representative histogram of random sgRNA control DNTs (sgRND, orange) and
698	CD18 ^{KO} DNTs (CD18KO, blue) to show CD18 expression relative to FMO control (red).
699	F) AML cells were pre-treated with or without rTNF α , washed with PBS, then co-cultured
700	with CD18 ^{KO} DNTs (CD18KO) or control DNTs (sgRND) for 24 hours (for KG-1a) and
701	2 hours (for OCI-AML2). The percentage increase in specific killing due to rTNF α pre-
702	treatment was determined. The data shown are representative of two independent
703	experiments.
704	(G and H) KG1a (G) and 4 primary AML samples (H) were pre-treated with or without
705	rTNF α , washed with PBS, then co-cultured with DNTs, in the presence of isotype control
706	or anti-CD18 blocking antibody for 24 hours (for KG-1a) and 3 hours (for primary
707	AML). Percentage change in specific killing between rTNF α -treated AML and non-
708	treated AML is shown. The experiments were performed in triplicates with at least two
709	DNT donors. Numbers represent AML patient ID.
710	Student's <i>t</i> -test and one-way/two-way ANOVA were used. ns = nonsignificant, $*p < 0.05$,
711	** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001.



Downloaded from http://ashpublications.net/bloodadvances/article-pdf/doi/10.1182/bloodadvances.2023011739/2220134/bloodadvances.2023011739, pdf by guest on 20 May 2024





F)



Downloaded from http://ashpublications.net/bloodadvances/article-pdf/doi/10.1182/bloodadvances.2023011739/2220134/bloodadvances.2023011739.pdf by guest on 20 May 2024

Figure 4







Transwell: 2-day Incubation Setup









E)







