Infections following bispecific antibodies in myeloma: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Gemma Reynolds,^{1,2,*} Edward R. Scheffer Cliff,^{3,*} Ghulam Rehman Mohyuddin,⁴ Rakesh Popat,⁵ Shonali Midha,⁶ Melissa Ng Liet Hing,⁷ Simon J. Harrison,^{7,8} Aaron S. Kesselheim,³ and Benjamin W. Teh^{1,8}

¹National Centre for Infections in Cancer, Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, Melbourne, VIC, Australia; ²Department of Infectious Diseases, Austin Health, Heidelberg, VIC, Australia; ³Program on Regulation, Therapeutics and Law, Division of Pharmacoepidemiology and Pharmacoeconomics, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA; ⁴Division of Hematology and Hematological Malignancies, Huntsman Cancer Institute, The University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT; ⁵NIHR UCLH Clinical Research Facility, University College London Hospitals, NHS Foundation Trust, London, United Kingdom; ⁶Division of Myeloma, Department of Medical Oncology, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA; ⁷Department of Clinical Haematology, Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre and Royal Melbourne Hospital, Melbourne, VIC, Australia; and ⁸Sir Peter MacCallum Department of Oncology, The University of Melbourne, VIC, Australia

Bispecific antibodies, a novel immunotherapy with promising efficacy against multiple myeloma, form immune synapses between T-cell surface marker CD3 and malignant cell markers, including B-cell maturation antigen (BCMA), FcRH5, and G protein-coupled receptor GPRC5D. These bispecific antibodies so effectively deplete plasma cells (and to some extent T-cells) that patients are at increased risk of developing infections. A systematic review and meta-analysis of infections in published studies of patients with myeloma treated with bispecific antibodies was conducted to better characterize the infection risks. A literature search used MEDLINE, EMBASE, and Cochrane to identify relevant studies between inception and February 10, 2023, including major conference presentations. Phase 1b-3 clinical trials and observational studies were included. Sixteen clinical trials comprising 1666 patients were included. Median follow-up was 7.6 months and 38% of the cohort had penta-drug refractory disease. Pooled prevalence of all-grade infections was 56%, whereas the prevalence of grade \geq 3 infections was 24%. Patients who were treated with BCMA-targeted bispecifics had significantly higher rates of grade ≥ 3 infections than non-BCMA bispecifics (25% vs 20%). Similarly, patients treated with bispecifics in combination with other agents had significantly higher rate of all-grade infection than those receiving monotherapy (71% vs 52%). In observational studies (n = 293), excluded from the primary analysis to ensure no overlap with patients in clinical trials, several infections classically associated with T-cell depletion were identified. This systematic review identifies BCMA-targeted bispecifics and bispecific combination therapy as having higher infection risk, requiring vigilant infection screening and prophylaxis strategies.

Submitted 24 April 2023; accepted 7 July 2023; prepublished online on *Blood Advances* First Edition 19 July 2023; final version published online 29 September 2023. https://doi.org/10.1182/bloodadvances.2023010539.

*G.R. and E.R.S.C. contributed equally as first authors.

Data are available upon reasonable request from the corresponding author, Gemma Reynolds (gemma.k.reynolds@gmail.com).

The full-text version of this article contains a data supplement.

^{© 2023} by The American Society of Hematology. Licensed under Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), permitting only noncommercial, nonderivative use with attribution. All other rights reserved.

Introduction

Bispecific antibodies, a rapidly advancing treatment for hematologic malignancies, form an immune synapse between T-cells, via surface marker CD3 and surface markers on tumor cells. In multiple myeloma, bispecific antibodies targets include B-cell maturation antigen (BCMA), cell surface marker FcRH5, or G protein-coupled receptor GPRC5D.¹ Although they demonstrate promising efficacy, concerns regarding infection-associated morbidity have arisen.² Mazahreh et al examined infections in bispecific monotherapy trials published from 2019 to 2022, reporting moderate rates of severe infections (24%).³ Longer follow-up from these and other clinical trials, as well as recent publication of observational studies, prompted our systematic review and meta-analysis.

Methods

A systematic review and meta-analysis were conducted according to PRISMA guidelines,⁴ using the Ovid platform of PUBMED, MEDLINE, EMBASE, and Cochrane to identify relevant publications, between inception and 10 February 2023 using the comprehensive search strategy (supplemental Figure 1). We included clinical trial and observational studies, including patients receiving bispecific antibodies for myeloma as monotherapy or in combination with other agents, using data from the most recent publications and conference proceedings.

Article suitability and data extraction were performed by 2 authors (G.R. and E.R.S.C.), with extracted variables detailed in supplemental Table 1. The primary outcome was the proportion of patients with all-grade infections. Secondary outcomes included grade \geq 3 infections, infection-related mortality, and the proportion of bacterial, viral, and fungal infections. Subgroup analyses compared primary and secondary outcomes by therapeutic target (BCMA vs non-BCMA) and monotherapy vs combination therapy. All primary and secondary analyses included only clinical trial data. Patients from observational studies were separately analyzed for infection etiology and timing. Meta-analysis of proportions, using random-effects model (Mantel-Haenszel method) was performed with R, using Cochran Q test to evaluate heterogeneity.⁵ The Joanna Brigg's Institute critical appraisal tool assessed study bias.⁶

Results

After screening 799 studies, 20 studies were identified (supplemental Figure 2). Sixteen clinical trials (1666 patients) were included (Table 1). Twelve trials examined bispecific or trispecific monotherapy (1477 patients), and 4 trials examined combination therapy that included a bispecific antibody (189 patients). Median age was 64.7 years, 55% of patients were male, 78% patients (1218/1559) had triple-class refractory disease and 38% patients (548/1452) had penta drug-refractory disease. Median follow-up was 7.6 months.

The prevalence of all-grade infections was 56% (95% confidence interval [CI], 0.48-0.65) with high heterogeneity ($l^2 = 92\%$) (Figure 1). The prevalence of grade \geq 3 infections was 24% (95% Cl, 0.19-0.29) with high heterogeneity ($l^2 = 81$).

All-grade infections among patients treated on-trial with BCMAbispecific monotherapy (n = 976; 51%; 95% Cl, 0.38-0.63) were comparable with all-grade infections among non-BCMAbispecific monotherapy (n = 501; 55%; 95% Cl, 0.42-0.68). However, grade \geq 3 infections were significantly higher among BCMA-targeting bispecifics (25%; 95% Cl, 0.17-0.32) than with non-BCMA bispecifics (20%; 95% Cl, 0.16-0.23; P < .01; Figure 2). The rates of grade \geq 3 neutropenia, all-grade ICANS (immune effector cell-associated neurotoxicity syndrome), and steroid administration were lower in the BCMA-bispecific group (supplemental Table 2). Treatment-emergent hypogammaglobulinemia was infrequently and inconsistently reported, precluding meta-analysis.

The use of a bispecific in combination with another therapy (189 patients) was associated with significantly higher all-grade (71% vs 52%; P < .01) and comparable grade ≥3 infections (26% vs 24%) than monotherapy treatment. This effect was driven by BCMA-targeting agents; only 1 trial involved a non-BCMA agent in combination. Grade ≥3 neutropenia was more prevalent in patients treated with BCMA-combination therapy than those treated with BCMA-bispecific monotherapy (52% vs 44%).

Fourteen trials reported infection-related mortality. Among the 1604 patients enrolled in these trials, there were a total of 65 deaths attributed to infection (random-effects model, 3%; 95% Cl, 0.01-0.04). The microbiological cause of infection-related deaths was incompletely reported, as shown in supplemental Table 3. Accepting these limitations, viral infection-associated mortality (including COVID-19 [\geq 19], disseminated adenovirus [n = 2], and cytomegalovirus [CMV] pneumonia [n = 1]) was as common as bacterial sepsis-associated mortality. Reactivation of pathogens typically associated with T-cell depletion, including *Pneumocystis jirovecii* pneumonia (PJP), CMV, and invasive aspergillosis, were also reported in low numbers. Other outcomes of infection-related morbidity including intensive care admissions and length-of-stay were not reported in the trials.

The 4 observational studies (141 patients) provided further infection regarding causative pathogen, infection onset, and outcomes (supplemental Table 4).²²⁻²⁵ Of the 293 infection events reported in observational studies, 68% were microbiologically-confirmed, including viral (49%), bacterial (45%), and fungal (6%). When reported, gram-negative pathogens were the predominant cause of bacterial infections (64%). Causative viral and fungal pathogens were inconsistently reported. Studies reported different metrics of infection onset, precluding meta-analysis; however, the median onset of all-grade infection was typically earlier (49-79 days) than that of severe infection (\geq 3 months). One study reported 2 late (\geq 12 months) fatal infections and increasing infection incidences despite enduring response.²⁴ Approximately half of infection events required hospitalization.

The bias assessment for included studies found a lower study quality of observational studies (supplemental Table 5).

Discussion

In patients with bispecific antibody-treated myeloma, a high rate of all-grade infection and moderate rate of severe infections warrant caution. The addition of 6 new clinical trials and 481 patients in a short time since a recent analysis resulted in noticeable changes. For example, between the publication of MonumenTAL-1 trial data

Table 1. Characteristics of included studies

Author Year	Trial	Product	Target	Phase	N N	Median age	Prior lines (median)	Penta drug refractory	All-grade infection	Grade ≥3 infection	Grade 5 infections	Treatment discontinuation*	Grade ≥ 3 CRS	Grade ≥3 ICANS	Steroids	Tocilizumab	Grade ≥3 neutropenia	Treatment-emergent hypogammaglobulinemia	Median follow-up (mo)
BCMA target (mo	notherapy)					-							_			_			
Wong et al, 2022 ⁷	CC-93269- MM-001	Alnuctamab (CC- 93269)	BCMA	1	68	63	4	28%	34%	9%	0%	0%	0%	0%	18%	12%	32%	NR	4.1
D'Souza et al, 2022 ⁸	TNB383B.0001	ABBV-383	BCMA	1	124	68	4	35%	41%	25%	6%	NR	2%	0%	NR	14%	34%	NR	6.8
Topp et al, 2020 ⁹		Pacanalotamab (AMG-420)	BCMA	1	42	65	5	NR	33%	24%	5%	NR	2%	0%	38%	2%	NR	NR	35
Harrison et al, 2020 ¹⁰		Pavurutamab (AMG-701)	BCMA	1	85	64	6	NR	NR	15%	2%	1%	9%	0%	20%	34%	NR	NR	1.7
Bumma et al, ¹¹ 2022	LINKER-MM1	Linvoseltamab (REGN5458)	BCMA	1/2	252	66	5	37%	54%	29%	4%	NR	1%	0%	10%	17%	23%	NR	3.2
Raje et al, ¹² 2022	MagnetisMM-1	Elranatamab	BCMA	1	55	64	5	NR	NR	29%	2%	NR	0%	NR	NR	13%	71%	75%	12.0
Bahlis et al, ¹³ 2022	MagnetisMM-3	Elranatamab	BCMA	2	123	69	5	42%	67%	32%	5%	6.5%	0%	0%	8%	22%	48%	NR	12.0
Moreau et al, ¹⁴ 2022	MajesTEC-1	Teclistamab	BCMA	1	165	64	5	30%	76%	45%	12%	1%	1%	1%	8%	36%	64%	75%	14.1
Abdallah et al, ¹⁵ 2022	HPN217-3001	HPN217 Trispecific	BCMA, albumin	1	62	70	6	42%	45%	16%	NR	0%	0%	0%	2%	6%	13%	NR	2.5
BCMA target (con	nbination thera																		
Grosicki et al, ¹⁶ 2022	MagnetisMM-5	Elranatamab + daratumumab	BCMA + CD38	3	34	68	4	15%	NR	NR	24%	NR	0%	0%	NR	NR	47%	NR	NR
Rodriguez-Otero et al, ¹⁷ 2022	TRIMM-2	Teclistamab + daratumumab	BCMA + CD38	1b	65	67	5	31%	68%	28%	5%	NR	0%	0%	5%	32%	42%	NR	6.1
Searle et al, ³¹ 2022	MajesTEC-2	Teclistamab + dara + len	BCMA + CD38 + IMID	1b	32	63	2	NR	91%	38%	6%	3%	0%	0%	16%	41%	78%	NR	8.4
Non-BCMA target	(monotherapy)																		
Trudel et al, ¹⁸ 2021		Cevostamab (BFCR4350A)	FcRH5	1	161	64	6	68%	46%	20%	0%	NR	1%	4%	20%	34%	37%	NR	6.1
Carlos-Stella et al, ¹⁹ 2022		Forimtamig (RG6234)	GPR5CD	1	108	63	4.5	39%	53%	24%	2%	NR	2%	3%	38%	31%	13%	NR	11.6
Chari et al, ²⁰ 2022	MonumenTAL-1	Talquetamab	GPRC5D	1/2	232	64	6	30%	66%	18%	0%	0%	3%	0%	7%	45%	37%	77%	14.9
Non-BCMA target	(combination t	herapy)																	
van de Donk et al, ²¹ 2022	TRIMM-2	Talquetamab + Daratumumab	GPRC5D + CD38	1b	58	65	5	29%	53%	17%	2%	NR	0%	0%	3%	34%	22%	NR	4.0

NR, not reported; ICANS, immune effector cell-associated neurotoxicity syndrome; CRS, cytokine release syndrome; BCMA, B-cell maturation antigen; IMID, immunomodulatory therapy; dara, daratumumab; lena, lenalidomide. *Treatment discontinuation secondary to infection. Figure 1. Meta-analysis. (A) Rates of all-grade infections and (B) grade \geq 3 infections among patients with multiple myeloma treated with bispecific antibodies in clinical trials.





Figure 2. Meta-analysis of combination versus mono-therapy and of BCMA-targeted versus non-BCMA targeted bispecifics. Comparison of (A) bispecific monotherapy vs (C) combination therapy trials and (B) BCMA-targeting bispecific antibodies vs (D) non-BCMA-targeting bispecific antibodies.

of talquetamab in the *New England Journal of Medicine* (data cutoff 17 January 2022) and its presentation at the American Society of Hematology 2022 (data cutoff 12 September 2022), rates of all-grade infections increased from 39.2% to 65.9%. This change may be because of a range of factors, such as time taken for reporting from sites, data cleaning, and/or resolution of queries. Close longer-term follow-up is required to fully appreciate the burden of infections after bispecific therapies.

A higher rate of infections was observed in patients treated with BCMA-bispecifics than in patients treated with non-BCMA targeting bispecifics, despite a lower prevalence of both penta drug-refractory disease and treatment complications in the BCMA cohort, including neutropenia, CRS, ICANS, and steroid administration. Comparatively, BCMA CAR-T studies have reported similar all-grade and grade \geq 3 infection rates to patients treated with BCMA-bispecific antibodies, both in clinical trial settings and observational studies.^{23,26} Translational research into the on-target, off-tumor effects of BCMA blockade on cellular immunity may help mechanistically explain this effect, appreciating the fact that BCMA therapies are currently delivered to patients with refractory disease, who have been heavily pretreated.²⁷

This analysis highlights the potential relationship between combination therapy and higher incidence of infection, with all-grade infection rates 19% higher in patients on combination trials than those receiving bispecific antibody monotherapy, perhaps, because of more comprehensive plasma cell aplasia. Daratumumab can be associated with neutropenia, and higher rates of grade \geq 3 neutropenia were observed in the combination group.²⁸ Consequently, patients receiving combination therapy including bispecific antibodies should be considered as a higher-risk group requiring further evaluation and targeted prophylaxis.

Although data are evolving, the high rates of viral infection and the occurrence of infections classically associated with T-cell depletion highlight the importance of antimicrobial prophylaxis in patients treated with bispecific antibodies. In anticipation, pretreatment screening for hepatitis B and seropositivity for CMV, varicella zoster, and herpes simplex viruses should be performed to guide subsequent management.²⁹ Strong consideration should be given to routine herpes simplex and varicella zoster and PJP prophylaxis. A low threshold for investigating viral reactivation and dissemination, including adenovirus, CMV, and varicella should be considered. The role of antifungal and routine antibacterial prophylaxis in this population is not yet known. Intravenous immunoglobulin is recommended with hypogammaglobulinemia and was associated with reduced rates of severe bacterial infections in a retrospective analysis.^{24,29,30} Fixed-duration treatment with bispecific antibodies

and/or response-adapted treatment should also be evaluated in clinical trials.

This systematic review suggests patients treated with bispecific antibodies in combination with other therapies may represent a cohort with higher infection risk, requiring more vigilant screening and considered approaches to prophylaxis. Frequent analysis of longer-term infection outcomes is particularly important for this population to define duration of infection risk and assess the functional effect of long-term T-cell redirection despite enduring disease control.

Authorship

Contribution: GR and E.R.S.C. contributed equally to the manuscript. G.R., E.R.S.C., and B.W.T. designed the research; G.R., E.R.S.C., M.N.L.H. performed the research; G.R. analyzed the data; and G.R., E.R.S.C., G.R.M., R.P., S.M., M.N.L.H., S.J.H., A.S.K., B.W.T. prepared the manuscript.

Conflict-of-interest disclosure: E.R.S.C. receives research funding from Arnold Ventures (institutional). G.R. receives research funding from NHMRC. R.P. receives honoraria from Janssen, Celgene, GlaxoSmithKline, AbbVie, and Sanofi; holds a consulting or advisory role in GlaxoSmithKline, Celgene, Roche, BeiGene, and Janssen; and receives research funding from GlaxoSmithKline (institutional) and travel and accommodation expenses from Janssen and GlaxoSmithKline, B.W.T. discloses stock and other ownership interests in CSL Behring; research funding from MSD and Segirus; and uncompensated relationships with CSL Behring (institutional), Takeda (institutional), and Moderna Therapeutics (institutional). A.S.K. receives research funding from Arnold Ventures (institutional). S.J.H. discloses having a leadership role at Haemalogix; receives honoraria from AbbVie, Amgen, Celgene/ BMS, GKS, Janssen Cilag, Novartis, Roche, Genentech, Haemalogix, Eusa, and Terumo BCT; has a speaker's bureau membership at AbbVie, Amgen, Celgene/BMS, GSK, Janssen Cilag, Novartis, Roche Genetech, and Eusa; and research funding from Celgene/ BMS, GSK, Janssen Cilag, and Haemalogix. The remaining authors declare no competing financial interests.

ORCID profiles: G.R., 0000-0002-9561-3592; E.R.S.C., 0000-0001-5977-907X; G.R.M., 0000-0001-6464-783X; S.J.H., 0000-0003-4555-6582; A.S.K., 0000-0002-8867-2666; B.W.T., 0000-0003-0213-5470.

Correspondence: Gemma Reynolds, National Centre for Infections in Cancer, Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre and Austin Health, 145 Studley St, Melbourne 3084, VIC, Australia; email: gemma.k.reynolds@gmail.com.

References

- 1. Lancman G, Sastow DL, Cho HJ, et al. Bispecific antibodies in multiple myeloma: present and future. Blood Cancer Discov. 2021;2(5):423-433.
- Cliff ERS, Reynolds G, Popat R, Teh BW, Kesselheim AS, Mohyuddin GR. Acknowledging infection risk in bispecific antibody trials in the treatment of multiple myeloma. J Clin Oncol. 2023;41(10):1949-1951.
- Mazahreh F, Mazahreh L, Schinke C, et al. Risk of infections associated with the use of bispecific antibodies in multiple myeloma: a pooled analysis. Blood Adv. 2023;7(13):3069-3074.
- 4. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ*. 2021;74(9): 790-799.

- 5. Schwarzer G. meta: an R package for meta-analysis. R News. 2007;7(3):40-45.
- Munn Z, Barker TH, Moola S, et al. Methodological quality of case series studies: an introduction to the JBI critical appraisal tool. JBI Evid Synth. 2020; 18(10):2127-2133.
- Wong SW, Bar N, Paris L, et al. Alnuctamab (ALNUC; BMS-986349; CC-93269), a B-cell maturation antigen (BCMA) x CD3 T-cell engager (TCE), in patients (pts) with relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma (RRMM): results from a phase 1 first-in-human clinical study. *Blood.* 2022;140(suppl 1): 400-402.
- 8. D'Souza A, Shah N, Rodriguez C, et al. A phase I first-in-human study of ABBV-383, a B-cell maturation antigen x CD3 bispecific T-cell redirecting antibody, in patients with relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma. *J Clin Oncol.* 2022;40(31):3576-3586.
- 9. Topp MS, Duell J, Mauser M, Einsele H. Outcome of BCMA bite (AMG420) therapy in relapse and refractory multiple myeloma (RRMM) patients. *Blood.* 2020;136(suppl 1):25-26.
- Harrison SJ, Minnema MC, Lee HC, et al. A phase 1 first in human (FIH) study of AMG 701, an anti-B-cell maturation antigen (BCMA) half-life extended (HLE) BiTE® (bispecific T-cell engager) molecule, in relapsed/refractory (RR) multiple myeloma (MM). *Blood*. 2020;136(suppl 1):28-29.
- 11. Bumma N, Richter J, Brayer J, et al. Updated safety and efficacy of REGN5458, a BCMAxCD3 bispecific antibody, treatment for relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma: a phase 1/2 first-in-human study. *Blood*. 2022;140(suppl 1):10140-10141.
- 12. Raje N, Bahlis NJ, Costello C, et al. Elranatamab, a BCMA targeted T-cell engaging bispecific antibody, induces durable clinical and molecular responses for patients with relapsed or refractory multiple myeloma. *Blood*. 2022;140(suppl 1):388-390.
- 13. Bahlis NJ, Baz R, Harrison SJ, et al. Efficacy and safety of elranatamab (PF-06863135), a B-cell maturation antigen (BCMA)-CD3 bispecific antibody, in patients with relapsed or refractory multiple myeloma (MM). J Clin Oncol. 2021;39(32):3602-3612.
- 14. Moreau P, Garfall AL, van de Donk NWCJ, et al. Teclistamab in relapsed or refractory multiple myeloma. N Engl J Med. 2022;387(6):495-505.
- Abdallah A-O, Cowan AJ, Leleu X, et al. Updated interim results from a phase 1 study of HPN217, a half-life extended tri-specific T cell activating construct (TriTAC®) targeting B cell maturation antigen (BCMA) for relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma (RRMM). *Blood*. 2022;140(suppl 1): 7284-7285.
- 16. Grosicki S, Crafoord J, Koh Y, et al. MagnetisMM-5: an open-label, multicenter, randomized phase 3 study of elranatamab as monotherapy and in combination with daratumumab in patients with relapsed/ refractory multiple myeloma. *J Clin Oncol.* 2022;40(suppl 16i):TPS8074.
- 17. Rodriguez-Otero P, Dholaria B, Askari E, et al. Combination of subcutaneous teclistamab with daratumumab in patients with relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma (RRMM): results from a phase 1B multicohort study. *HemaSphere*. 2022;6(SUPPL 2):7-8.
- Trudel S, Cohen AD, Krishnan AY, et al. Cevostamab monotherapy continues to show clinically meaningful activity and manageable safety in patients with heavily pre-treated relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma (RRMM): updated results from an ongoing phase I study. *Blood.* 2021;138(suppl 1):157.
- Carlo-Stella C, Mazza R, Manier S, et al. RG6234, a GPRC5DxCD3 T-cell engaging bispecific antibody, is highly active in patients (pts) with relapsed/ refractory multiple myeloma (RRMM): updated intravenous (IV) and first subcutaneous (SC) results from a phase I dose-escalation study. *Blood.* 2022; 140(suppl 1):397-399.
- 20. Chari A, Minnema MC, Berdeja JG, et al. Talquetamab, a T-cell-redirecting GPRC5D bispecific antibody for multiple myeloma. N Engl J Med. 2022; 387(24):2232-2244.
- 21. Van De Donk NWJC, Bahlis N, Mateos MV, et al. Novel combination immunotherapy for the treatment of relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma: updated phase 1B results for talquetamab (a GPRC5D X CD3 bispecific antibody) in combination with daratumumab. *HemaSphere*. 2022;6(suppl 3):174-175.
- 22. Sim BZ, Longhitano A, Er J, Harrison SJ, Slavin MA, Teh BW. Infectious complications of bispecific antibody therapy in patients with multiple myeloma. Blood Cancer J. 2023;13(1):34.
- 23. Mohan M, Nagavally S, Dhakal B, et al. Risk of infections with B-cell maturation antigen-directed immunotherapy in multiple myeloma. *Blood Adv.* 2022; 6(8):2466-2470.
- 24. Lancman G, Parsa K, Rodriguez C, et al. Infections and severe hypogammaglobulinemia in multiple myeloma patients treated with anti-BCMA bispecific antibodies. *Blood.* 2022;140(suppl 1):10073-10074.
- 25. Hoeynck B, Hwang W-T, Garfall AL, et al. Infectious complications of B-cell maturation antigen (BCMA)-targeted therapies for relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma. *Blood.* 2022;140(suppl 1):10081-10083.
- 26. Munshi NC, Anderson LD Jr, Shah N, et al. Idecabtagene vicleucel in relapsed and refractory multiple myeloma. N Engl J Med. 2021;384(8):705-716.
- 27. Wei J, Yang Y, Wang G, Liu M. Current landscape and future directions of bispecific antibodies in cancer immunotherapy. *Front Immunol.* 2022;13: 1035276.
- Dimopoulos MA, Oriol A, Nahi H, et al. Daratumumab, lenalidomide, and dexamethasone for multiple myeloma. N Engl J Med. 2016;375(14): 1319-1331.
- Teh BW, Reynolds G, Slavin MA, et al. Medical and Scientific Advisory Group Myeloma Australia and National Centre for Infections in Cancer. Executive summary of consensus clinical practice guidelines for the prevention of infection in patients with multiple myeloma. *Intern Med J.* 2023. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/imj.16100
- 30. Lancman G, Shyu M, Metzger M, et al. Timing and nature of infections in multiple myeloma patients treated with anti-BCMA CAR-T cells. *Blood.* 2022; 140(suppl 1):7198-7199.
- Searle E, Quach H, Wong S, et al. P30 single cohort results from majestec-2: teclistamab (TEC) in combination with subcutaneous daratumumab (dara) and lenalidomide (LEN) in patients with multiple myeloma (MM). *Hemasphere*. 2023;7(Suppl):27.