TO THE EDITOR:

The need for rapid cytogenetics in the era of unique therapies for acute myeloid leukemia

Anjanaa Vijayanarayanan,¹ Brandon M. Shaw,¹ Kathryn Gibbons,¹ Kedar V. Inamdar,¹ Philip Kuriakose,² and Madhu P. Menon^{1,3}

¹Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine and ²Division of Hematology and Oncology, Henry Ford Hospital, Detroit, MI; and ³ARUP Laboratories and Department of Pathology, University of Utah School of Medicine, Salt Lake City, UT

Acute myeloid leukemia with myelodysplasia-related changes (AML-MRC) is a high-risk AML subtype with a reported frequency of 24% to 35% of all AMLs.¹⁻³ AMLs that develop after prior therapy (therapy-related myeloid neoplasms), with recurrent genetic abnormalities and those with *NPM1* or biallelic *CEBPA* mutations, are excluded regardless of morphologic dysplasia.^{4,5} AML-MRC diagnosis is straightforward when there is an antecedent history of myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) or myelo-dysplastic/myeloproliferative neoplasm (MDS/MPN) or when dysplasia (>50%) is present in at least 2 cell lineages. However, when these conditions are not met, the diagnosis depends on World Health Organization–defined MDS-associated cytogenetic abnormalities. In general, the complexity of modern hematopathology diagnoses requires the timely incorporation of cytogenetics/fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) and/or molecular findings.⁶

AML-MRC, similar to therapy-related AML, is associated with a poor prognosis² and lower response rates using conventional chemotherapy.⁷⁻⁹ Based on better survival data, the US Food and Drug Administration approved CPX-351 (Vyxeos, Jazz Pharmaceuticals), a fixed-dose liposomal formulation of daunorubicin and cytarabine for the treatment of AML-MRC and therapy-related MRC.⁷ In addition, alternative treatments including lower intensity therapies (hypomethylating agents with or without venetoclax, or low-dose cytarabine plus either glasdegib or venetoclax) might be better options in older patients.² Early diagnosis of AML-MRC is crucial to make use of these newer therapies. Although the history of MDS or MDS/MPN is usually available upfront, enough maturing non-blast hematopoietic cells might not be available for the assessment of dysplasia. Regardless, for the latter, AML-MRC designation is contingent on excluding a *CEBPA* or *NPM1* mutation. In addition, metaphase analysis and FISH results are generally not available at the time of initial diagnosis of AML; the turnaround time (TAT) of conventional chromosomal analysis ranges from 7 to 21 days.¹⁰ Our aim was to identify the percentage of cases that qualified for a diagnosis of AML-MRC solely based on MDS-associated cytogenetic abnormalities and thus would have benefited from upfront CPX-351 induction chemotherapy or other alternative therapies.

We identified 64 AML-MRC cases with archived bone marrow samples (Henry Ford Health System) over a period of 15 years. Of the 64 patients, a history of MDS or MDS/MPN was present in 5 patients (8%) (Figure 1A), and only 19 patients (30%) had more than 50% dysplasia in ≥ 2 lineages (Figure 1A,C-E). Remaining either had no dysplasia, dysplasia <50%, and/or dysplasia in only 1 lineage or lacked sufficient differentiated cells to assess dysplasia. The most frequently reported dysplastic cell line was granulocytic (45%), followed by megakaryocytic (38%) and erythroid (16%). A striking 62% (40/64) of cases required MDS-associated cytogenetic abnormalities for AML-MRC diagnosis (Figure 1A). Of AML-MRC cases, 83% (53/64) had complex cytogenetics as defined by ≥ 3 unrelated abnormalities, 8% (5/64) had del(5q), 6% (4/64) had -7 or del(7q), and 3% (2/64) had other MDS-associated abnormalities (Figure 1B). The study was approved by the Henry Ford Hospital Institutional Review Board and conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Submitted 3 May 2022; accepted 28 July 2022; prepublished online on *Blood Advances* First Edition 16 August 2022; final version published online 20 December 2022. https://doi.org/10.1182/bloodadvances.2022008000.

© 2022 by The American Society of Hematology. Licensed under Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), permitting only noncommercial, nonderivative use with attribution. All other rights reserved.

Data are available on request from the corresponding author, Madhu P. Menon (madhu.menon@aruplab.com).



Figure 1. AML-MRC diagnosis. (A) Percentage of cases diagnosed based on morphology, history, or MDS-associated cytogenetics. (B) Percentage distribution of MDSassociated cytogenetic abnormalities. (C) Pseudo-Pelger-Huet cell. (D) Dysplastic monolobated megakaryocytes and megakaryocytes with separated nuclear lobes. (E) Dysplastic multinucleated erythroid cell. Original magnification ×1000 for panels C-E.

Following these findings, a pilot (18 months) preliminary cytogenetics/metaphase read protocol was instituted for 24- or 48-hour cultures with the goal of early reporting of MDS-associated and recurrent AML cytogenetics. This initial pilot included 1096 cases; 62 were AML cases and 17 were AML-MRC cases (with 10 of 17 cases [59%] requiring cytogenetics for AML-MRC diagnosis). The average TAT was 2.4 and 9.12 days for the preliminary and final cytogenetic reports, respectively. Based on preliminary cytogenetics. 5 patients were treated with azacvtidine with or without venetoclax, and 1 patient was treated with Vidaza (azacytidine); the rest received standard chemotherapy or hospice. For most cases (97.3%), the findings in the final report were identical to the preliminary findings. Importantly, none of the abnormal preliminary findings had to be rectified on final reporting. Only 29 cases (2.6%) had a discrepancy between a normal preliminary karyotype vs an abnormal final karyotype; these were all non-AML cases. Based on these promising data, this process was incorporated into the routine workflow. All cases with a myeloid indication that require a preliminary report have a 24- and 48-hour traditional cytogenetics culture established. Cultures are manually harvested; cell suspensions are dropped on slides and stained using automated instrumentation. Subsequently, the slides from the 24-hour cultures are scanned using an automated metaphase scanning system and distributed to technologists who perform a 5-cell metaphase analysis, the results of which are given to the cytogeneticist. We follow the College of American Pathologists and American College of Medical Genetics guidelines to define a clone, that is, the presence of at least 2 cells containing the same extra

chromosome(s) or structural chromosome abnormality or by the presence of at least 3 cells that have lost the same chromosome. The cytogeneticist interprets the findings and sends the preliminary report via secure email to the hematology-oncology and hematopathology team. To facilitate this change, the cytogenetics laboratory began using the automated metaphase scanning system 3 times per day to ensure that slides needed for a preliminary analysis could be prioritized. Automation of the slide preparation and staining allowed these cases to be more efficiently scanned, and this reduced slide preparation time from 6 minutes to 30 seconds per slide. Currently, every bone marrow sample that comes through the laboratory receives a preliminary report based on the earliest diagnostically relevant culture (24- to 48-hour cultures for myeloid and 72-hour cultures for B- and T-cell–stimulated cultures).

To conclude, 62% of our cases needed cytogenetic studies to render a diagnosis of AML-MRC. In the absence of an AML-MRC diagnosis, patients are put on the generic AML induction chemotherapy (7+3) and cannot be typically switched to CPX-351 later because of toxicity issues.⁷ Therefore, it is crucial to have a preliminary cytogenetic result within 2 to 3 days of an AML diagnosis to be able to accurately diagnose and treat most patients with AML-MRC in a timely manner. We demonstrate that a feasible option for generating rapid karyotype data is a preliminary conventional cytogenetics read on 24- and 48-hour cultures. There are alternative promising assays available for generating karyotypic data, for example, chromosomal microarray or next-generation cytogenetics, including the use of whole-genome sequencing or

optical genome mapping, but these might not be able to provide information within 2 to 3 days of morphologic diagnosis of AML.¹¹⁻¹³ The MDS FISH panel used by most institutions typically includes EGR1 (5/5q-), D7S486 (7/7q-), CEP8 (+8), and D20S108 (20q). Although most abnormalities would have been identified by our FISH assay, 30% of cases had cytogenetic abnormalities that would have been missed on a routine MDS panel. In addition, FISH for AML and not MDS is typically ordered for AML; the AML FISH panel varies between institutions and may or may not include probes relevant for AML-MRC diagnosis. An extended rapid FISH panel might serve the same purpose as preliminary conventional cytogenetics; however, it might come with additional logistical issues and costs. Considering that up to onethird of AMLs are AML-MRCs, a timely diagnosis is crucial to ensure appropriate therapy for this relatively common AML subtype as well as for other AML subtypes. The recent incorporation of gemtuzumab as front-line induction therapy for CD33⁺ AMLs, especially core binding factor AMLs, that is, [t(8;21)(q22;q22) or inv(16) (p13q22)/t(16;16)] also reinforces the need for rapid cvtogenetics.^{14,15} This can be achieved via a streamlined workflow in the cytogenetics laboratory and timely communication with the clinical and hematopathology teams. In conclusion, regardless of the technology, which might vary between institutions and between resource-rich and resource-poor countries, the recognition of a need to improve the karyotype TAT is of great importance.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank Tracy George, Robert Hasserjian, and Daniel Arber for their expert comments during the preparation of this manuscript.

Contribution: A.V., M.P.M., K.G., and K.V.I. performed the research and data analysis; P.K. provided the clinical data and patient details; B.M.S. provided the cytogenetic raw data; A.V., B.M.S., and M.P.M. wrote the manuscript; and all authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflict-of-interest disclosure: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Correspondence: Madhu P. Menon, ARUP Laboratories, Department of Pathology, University of Utah School of Medicine, MS115-G04, 500 Chipeta Way, Salt Lake City, UT 84108-1221; email: madhu.menon@aruplab.com or madhu.menon@path.utah. edu.

References

 Arber DA, Orazi A, Hasserjian R, et al. The 2016 revision to the World Health Organization classification of myeloid neoplasms and acute leukemia. *Blood*. 2016;127(20):2391-2405.

- Arber DA, Erba HP. Diagnosis and treatment of patients with acute myeloid leukemia with myelodysplasia-related changes (AML-MRC). *Am J Clin Pathol.* 2020;154(6):731-741.
- Diaz-Beya M, Rozman M, Pratcorona M, et al. The prognostic value of multilineage dysplasia in de novo acute myeloid leukemia patients with intermediate-risk cytogenetics is dependent on NPM1 mutational status. *Blood*. 2010;116(26):6147-6148.
- Bacher U, Schnittger S, Macijewski K, et al. Multilineage dysplasia does not influence prognosis in CEBPA-mutated AML, supporting the WHO proposal to classify these patients as a unique entity. *Blood*. 2012;119(20):4719-4722.
- Rozman M, Navarro JT, Arenillas L, et al. Multilineage dysplasia is associated with a poorer prognosis in patients with de novo acute myeloid leukemia with intermediate-risk cytogenetics and wild-type NPM1. Ann Hematol. 2014;93(10):1695-1703.
- 6. Ohgami RS, Arber DA. Challenges in consolidated reporting of hematopoietic neoplasms. *Surg Pathol Clin.* 2013;6(4):795-806.
- Krauss AC, Gao X, Li L, et al. FDA approval summary: (daunorubicin and cytarabine) liposome for injection for the treatment of adults with high-risk acute myeloid leukemia. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2019;25(9): 2685-2690.
- Granfeldt Ostgard LS, Medeiros BC, Sengelov H, et al. Epidemiology and clinical significance of secondary and therapy-related acute myeloid leukemia: a national population-based cohort study. *J Clin Oncol.* 2015;33(31):3641-3649.
- Hulegardh E, Nilsson C, Lazarevic V, et al. Characterization and prognostic features of secondary acute myeloid leukemia in a population-based setting: a report from the Swedish Acute Leukemia Registry. *Am J Hematol.* 2015;90(3):208-214.
- Mikhail FM, Heerema NA, Rao KW, Burnside RD, Cherry AM, Cooley LD. Section E6.1-6.4 of the ACMG technical standards and guidelines: chromosome studies of neoplastic blood and bone marrow-acquired chromosomal abnormalities. *Genet Med.* 2016;18(6):635-642.
- Duncavage EJ, Schroeder MC, O'Laughlin M, et al. Genome sequencing as an alternative to cytogenetic analysis in myeloid cancers. N Engl J Med. 2021;384(10):924-935.
- 12. Mantere T, Neveling K, Pebrel-Richard C, et al. Optical genome mapping enables constitutional chromosomal aberration detection. *Am J Hum Genet.* 2021;108(8):1409-1422.
- Hasserjian RP. Whole genome sequencing provides efficient and comprehensive genetic risk stratification in acute myeloid leukemia and myelodysplastic syndrome. *Hematologist.* 2021;18(4).
- Borthakur G, Kantarjian H. Core binding factor acute myelogenous leukemia-2021 treatment algorithm. *Blood Cancer J.* 2021;11(6): 1-5.
- Jen EY, Ko C-W, Lee JE, et al. FDA approval: gemtuzumab ozogamicin for the treatment of adults with newly diagnosed CD33-positive acute myeloid leukemia. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2018;24(14):3242-3246.