Bleeding and related mortality with NOACs and VKAs in newly diagnosed atrial fibrillation: results from the GARFIELD-AF registry

Jean-Pierre Bassand,^{1,2} Saverio Virdone,² Marc Badoz,¹ Freek W. A. Verheugt,³ A. John Camm,⁴ Frank Cools,⁵ Keith A. A. Fox,⁶ Samuel Z. Goldhaber,⁷ Shinya Goto,⁸ Sylvia Haas,⁹ Werner Hacke,¹⁰ Gloria Kayani,² Frank Misselwitz,¹¹ Karen S. Pieper,² Alexander G. G. Turpie,¹² Martin van Eickels,¹¹ and Ajay K. Kakkar,^{2,13} for the GARFIELD-AF Investigators

¹Department of Cardiology, University of Besançon, Besançon, France; ²Thrombosis Research Institute, London, United Kingdom; ³Department of Cardiology, Onze Lieve Vrouwe Gasthuis, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; ⁴Cardiology Clinical Academic Group, Molecular and Clinical Sciences Institute, St. George's University of London, London, United Kingdom; ⁵Department of Cardiology, AZ Klina, Brasschaat, Belgium; ⁶Centre for Cardiovascular Science, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom; ⁷Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA; ⁸Department of Medicine (Cardiology), Tokai University School of Medicine, Kanagawa, Japan; ⁹Department of Medicine, Technical University of Munich, Munich, Germany; ¹⁰Department of Neurology, University of Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany; ¹¹Bayer AG, Berlin, Germany; ¹²Department of Medicine, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON, Canada; and ¹³University College London, London, United Kingdom

Key Points

- Use of NOACs rather than VKAs was associated with lower risks of all-cause death and all bleeding categories in AF patients.
- Rate of death was highest in patients with major bleeding and higher in patients with nonmajor bleeding than in those with no bleeding.

In atrial fibrillation (AF), lower risks of death and bleeding with non-vitamin-K oral anticoagulants (NOACs) were reported in meta-analyses of controlled trials, but whether these findings hold true in real-world practice remains uncertain. Risks of bleeding and death were assessed in 52 032 patients with newly diagnosed AF enrolled in GARFIELD-AF (Global Anticoagulant Registry in the FIELD–Atrial Fibrillation), a worldwide prospective registry. Baseline treatment was vitamin K antagonists (VKAs) with or without antiplatelet (AP) agents (VKA \pm AP) (20151; 39.3%), NOACs \pm AP agents (14103; 27.5%), AP agents only (10748; 21.0%), or no antithrombotics (6219; 12.1%). One-year follow-up event rates (95% confidence interval [CI]) of minor, clinically relevant nonmajor (CRNM), and major bleedings were 2.29 (2.16-2.43), 1.10 (1.01-1.20), and 1.31 (1.21-1.41) per 100 patient-years, respectively. Bleeding risk was lower with NOACs than VKAs for any bleeding (hazard ratio (HR) [95% CI]), 0.85 [0.73-0.98]) or major bleeding (0.79 [0.60-1.04]). Compared with no bleeding, the risk of death was higher with minor bleeding (adjusted HR [aHR], 1.53 [1.07-2.19]), CRNM bleeding (aHR, 2.59 [1.80-3.73]), and major bleeding (aHR, 8.24 [6.76-10.04]). The all-cause mortality rate was lower with NOACs than with VKAs (aHR, 0.73 [0.62-0.85]). Forty-five percent (114) of all deaths occurred within 30 days, and 40% of these were from intracranial/intraspinal hemorrhage (ICH). The rates of any bleeding and all-cause death were lower with NOACs than with VKAs. Major bleeding was associated with the highest risk of death. CRNM bleeding and minor bleeding were associated with a higher risk of death compared to no bleeding. Death within 30 days after a major bleed was most frequently related to ICH. This trial was registered at www.clinicaltrials.gov as #NCT01090362.

Introduction

Oral anticoagulation (OAC) reduces the risk of death and stroke/systemic embolism (SE) in atrial fibrillation (AF), at the cost of an increased risk of bleeding.¹⁻³ In AF, the rates and prognostic impact of bleeding have been described in controlled randomized trials (RCTs) and retrospective population-based studies.⁴⁻¹⁰ They were rarely analyzed in prospective registries.¹¹ Non-vitamin-K oral

Submitted 16 October 2020; accepted 2 December 2020; published online 19 February 2021. DOI 10.1182/bloodadvances.2020003560.

The data underlying this article will be shared by Karen S. Pieper (kpieper@trilondon.ac.uk) on reasonable request. anticoagulants (NOACs) have a better safety profile than vitamin K antagonists (VKAs) in RCTs and meta-analysis.¹²⁻¹⁶ Whether findings from RCTs are confirmed in a large prospective registry reflecting daily routine practice worldwide remains to be shown.

The aim of our study was to (1) describe the incidence, sites, severity, predictors, and outcomes of bleeding and (2) assess the safety profiles of NOACs vs VKAs at 1 year follow-up in 52 080 patients with newly diagnosed AF enrolled in the prospective Global Anticoagulant Registry in the FIELD-Atrial Fibrillation (GARFIELD-AF).^{17,18}

Methods

Study design

GARFIELD-AF is the largest fully recruited multinational prospective registry in AF.¹⁷ Patients were prospectively recruited between March 2010 and August 2016 in >1000 investigational sites (identified nationally as representative) in 35 countries. Adults \geq 18 years were eligible for inclusion if they were diagnosed with AF within 6 weeks of study entry. Identification of patients was according to standard local practice, and patients were required to have \geq 1 unspecified investigator-defined risk factor for stroke. Patients were enrolled prospectively and consecutively at sites that reflected the diversity of care settings in each participating country (office-based practice; hospital departments [neurology, cardiology, geriatrics, internal medicine, and emergency]; anticoagulation clinics; and general or family practice).^{17,18}

Ethics statement

Independent ethics committee and hospital-based institutional review board approvals were obtained. The registry was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki, local regulatory requirements, and the International Conference on Harmonization–Good Pharmacoepidemiologic and Clinical Practice guidelines. Written informed consent was obtained from all study participants.

Procedures and outcome measures

Patients who were taking anticoagulants prior to study enrolment were excluded from this analysis. Baseline characteristics collected at study entry included medical history, care setting, type of AF, date and method of diagnosis of AF, symptoms, antithrombotic treatment (VKA, NOAC, and antiplatelet [AP] treatment), and cardiovascular drugs. The risk profile for death, stroke/SE, and bleeding was assessed with the congestive heart failure, hypertension, age \geq 75 years, diabetes mellitus, prior stroke, TIA, or thromboembolism, vascular disease, age 65-74 years, sex category (CHA₂DS₂-VASc) and hypertension (uncontrolled systolic blood pressure >160 mm Hg), abnormal renal or liver function, previous stroke, bleeding history or predisposition, Labile international normalized ratios, elderly, and concomitant drugs or alcohol excess (HAS-BLED)¹⁷⁻¹⁹ and GARFIELD-AF risk calculator.²⁰ We used standardized definitions for clinical events.^{17,18} Bleeding severity was defined as major, clinically relevant nonmajor (CRNM), and minor bleeding according to the International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis scale^{17,18} (details in supplemental Material). Data for this report were extracted from the study database on 19 November 2018.

Collection of follow-up data using an electronic case report (eCRF) form occurred at 4 monthly intervals up to 24 months or until death or loss to follow-up, whichever occurred first. Submitted data were examined for completeness and accuracy by the coordinating center (Thrombosis Research Institute, London, United Kingdom), and data queries were sent to study sites. In accordance with the study protocol, 20% of all eCRFs were monitored against source documentation.²¹

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables were expressed as medians and interquartile ranges and categorical variables as frequencies and percentages. As studies with large sample sizes tend to produce statistically significant findings in the presence of clinically irrelevant differences, no formal statistical tests were performed for the baseline tables.

For descriptive purposes, such as baseline tables where patients must be assigned to only 1 group, the worst bleed category that the patient experienced is assigned. Otherwise, the first occurrence of each bleed type is used.

Rates are presented as person-years with 95% confidence intervals for the first occurrence of the clinical outcomes. The timing of events by baseline treatment started at the day of enrolment; survival after bleeding started on the day of bleed.

All-cause mortality rates per 100 person-years for the different types of bleeds, including no bleed, were calculated using a different method. All patients started as "no bleeds" until they had their first worst bleed. At this point, time began for the corresponding bleed group and then followed up for a total of 365 days. A death was assigned to the period in which the event occurred. Thus, patients with bleeds contribute to the rate for both "no bleeds" and their worst bleed category.

Hazard ratios (HRs) of the risk of all-cause mortality for each type of bleed were calculated with Cox proportional hazards model, using bleeding by type as time-dependent covariates. To account for within-patient variance, given the occurrence of multiple bleeds in the same patient, clustering was used. Adjusted HRs (aHRs) used factors previously derived for all-cause mortality in the GARFIELD-AF study.²² Single imputation was applied for missing data.

For predictors of major bleeding (vs those without a major bleed), a LASSO (least absolute shrinkage and selection operator) model was used for a single imputation data set. The HRs and corresponding standard errors for the final model of major bleeding were derived across 5 multiple imputed data sets that had been generated applying the Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) methodology.

Comparative effectiveness of treatments was calculated using the subset of patients from cohorts 3 to 5 (when NOACs were available), with a CHA_2DS_2 -VASc ≥ 2 in men and ≥ 3 in women, without OAC treatment prior to enrolment and who were prescribed a VKA or a NOAC at baseline. HRs for NOACs vs VKAs were obtained using a Cox proportional hazards model using a propensity method of overlap weighting to balance covariates in the population.²³ The applied method overlaps weights and optimizes the efficiency of comparisons by defining the population with the most overlap in the covariates between treatment groups. This scheme eliminates the potential for outlier weights by avoiding



a weight based on a ratio calculation using values bounded by 0 and 1. Thus, when using overlap weights, many of the concerns regarding the assessment and the trimming of the weights are eliminated. Covariates evaluated in the weighting scheme included demographic characteristics, medical history, and other characteristics (details in supplemental Material). Treatment was defined as the first treatment received at the time of enrolment, approximating "intention to treat." Patients with missing values were not removed from the study; single imputation was applied for the comparative effectiveness analysis.

Analyses were performed using R version 3.5.3 (libraries include, but are not limited to, survival_2.4 and ggplot2) and SAS Enterprise Guide 7.15.

Results

Baseline characteristics

Following the exclusion of patients with unavailable follow-up, the study population comprised all 52 032 patients prospectively recruited in the GARFIELD-AF registry with at least 1 year of follow-up, of whom 49 702 had no bleed, 1098 suffered only a minor bleed (2.29 [2.16-2.43]), 524 a minor or CRNM bleed (1.10 [1.01-1.20]), and 622 with \geq 1 major bleed (1.31 [1.21-1.41]) per 100 patient-years, totaling 2330 patients with \geq 1 bleeding episode, including 86 unclassified bleeds.

Patients who bled were older, tended to have higher blood pressure and lower body weight (major bleeding patients), and more frequently had a history of hypertension (major and CRNM bleeding patients) than patients who did not bleed. Those who bled had a more frequent history of bleeding, vascular disease, stenting, stroke, diabetes (major bleeding patients), and moderate to severe chronic kidney disease (CKD). They were more often white and less often Asian. The pattern of AF (permanent, persistent, paroxysmal, or unclassified) at the time of recruitment was similar across the different subgroups. The risk profiles for death, stroke/SE, and bleeding as assessed by the GARFIELD-AF risk calculator²⁰ were higher in all patients who bled than in no-bleeding patients.

Overall, VKAs with or without AP agents (VKA \pm AP) (n = 20151, 39.3%) were more commonly prescribed than NOACs with or without AP agents (NOAC \pm AP) (n = 14103, 27.5%), AP monotherapy (n = 10478, 21.0%), or no treatment (n = 6219, 12.1%). Bleeding irrespective of its severity was numerically more commonly observed in VKA-treated patients than in NOAC-treated patients, AP-treated patients, and no-treatment patients (Figure 1). The prescription of OAC combined with AP agents tended to be more frequent in patients who bled (Table 1).

VKA-treated patients who suffered a major bleeding tended to have a lower median time in therapeutic range (TTR) value (43.1 [interquartile range, 21.5-71.3]) than with CRNM (49.3 [23.5-73.1]) and minor bleeding (51.3 [26.2-72.3]). In NOAC-treated patients, the rate of recommended dosing tended to be lower in those who suffered major bleeding (66.4%) compared with CRNM bleeding (71.8%), minor bleeding (72.6%), and NOAC-treated patients who did not bleed (73%).

The risk profiles of patients prescribed VKA \pm AP or NOAC \pm AP were not significantly different as assessed with the common risk assessment tools (CHA_2DS_2-VASc and HAS-BLED). However, the GARFIELD-AF risk calculator showed a gradual increase in the risk of death, stroke/SE, and bleeding across the subgroups, from the no-bleed subgroup to the major bleeding subgroup. NOAC \pm AP-treated patients tended to have more frequently a paroxysmal AF pattern (supplemental Table 1).

Sites, precipitants, and management of bleeding

Gastrointestinal bleeding and intracranial/intraspinal hemorrhage (ICH) were the most frequent bleeding and accounted for 40% and 24.8% of all major bleeds respectively; 249 cases and 154 cases; 0.51 (0.45 to 0.58) and 0.31 (0.27 to 0.37) per 100-patient-year respectively. The most frequent minor and CRNM bleeding sites were eyes, ears, nose, and skin related followed by gastrointestinal and genitourinary bleeds. Transfusion was necessary in 51% of cases of major bleeding (Table 2).

Bleeding rates and predictors of bleeding

The highest unadjusted rates of major bleeding were observed with the combination therapy of VKAs and AP agents (2.36 (1.94-2.87)

Table 1. Baseline characteristics by bleeding occurrence and severity

Baseline characterizedMajor beed (n = 22)ORM bleed (n = 52)Minor (n = 108)Obleed (n = 970)Fernale see, n(%)316 (60.3)250 (12.9)480 (45.4)211 (40.17)Fernale see, n(%)750 (70.018.2)750 (60.6).2)770 (75.5)30338 (82.5)ElmiCity, n(%)430 (71.4)406 (71.4)770 (75.5)30338 (82.5)Happenicitation133 (20.4)481 (12.6)116 (16.0)1368 (18.0)Avan133 (20.4)81 (13.0)136 (10.0)136.0 (10.0) (14.0)BM, medina (17.02), kgm?26.5 (23.3).0125.5 (24.40.0)25.0 (24.20.1)26.9 (20.20.7)Synthic blood pressum, medina (17.02), kgm?136.0 (20.0) (15.0)26.0 (70.0) (15.0)26.0 (70.0) (15.0)26.0 (70.0) (15.0)Builds tobol pressum, medina (17.02), mm H66.0 (70.057.5)60.0 (70.608.0)04.0 (70.0) (18.0)26.0 (70.0) (18.0)Parlies thood pressum, medina (17.02), mm H66.0 (70.075.7)60.0 (70.012)26.0 (70.012)26.0 (70.012)Parlies thood pressum, medina (17.02), mm H66.0 (70.075.7)60.0 (70.012)26.0 (70.012)26.0 (70.012)Parlies thood pressum, medina (17.02), mm H66.0 (70.012)70.0 (70.011)146.0 (70.012)26.0 (70.012)Parlies thood pressum, medina (17.02), mm H66.0 (70.057.5)60.0 (70.012)70.0 (70.011)Parlies thood pressum, medina (17.02), mm H66.0 (70.012)70.0 (70.011)70.0 (70.011)Parlies thood pressum, medina (17.02), mm H66.0 (70.012)70.0 (70.011)70.0 (70.011)Parlies thood pressum, med		Bleeding occurrence and severity				
Freeding r, (%)318 (60)928 (42)408 (45.0)710 (820.78.0)Age, median (31.03), ya750 (90.98.0)750 (80.98.1)770 (75.08.07.0)770 (820.78.0)Mula430 (71.1)406 (81.4)777 (75.0)3033 (82.5)HapanioLaino128 (20.4)85 (12.6)188 (16.0)3288 (25.3)Mr. median (30.10), hgm ² 325 (23.3)75 (24.40.30)727 (24.23.12)286 (73.30.07)Systel: blood pressure, median (31.03), mm H030 (190.0145.0)040 (190.0146.0)040 (190.0146.0)040 (190.0146.0)Datable blood pressure, median (31.03), mm H000 (170.02.09.0)040 (170.0110.0)484 (170.0110.0)Partamel68 (10.2)76 (14.5)120 (11.7)4350 (12.8)Partamel68 (10.2)76 (14.5)120 (11.7)4340 (12.8)Partamel68 (10.2)76 (14.5)120 (11.7)4340 (12.8)Partamel140 (23.1)132 (12.9)132 (12.9)132 (12.9)Partamel140 (12.8)120 (12.7)132 (12.9)132 (12.9)Partamel140 (23.1)126 (20.0)202 (20.6)137 (20.7)Partamel140 (23.1)125 (12.9)202 (20.6)132 (20.6)Partamel140 (23.1)125 (12.9)202 (20.6)132 (20.6)Partamel140 (23.1)126 (23.0)125 (23.6)132 (23.6)Partamel140 (23.1)126 (23.0)126 (23.0)126 (23.0)Partamel140 (23.1)126 (23.0)126 (23.0)126 (23.0)Partamel140 (23.1)	Baseline characteristics	Major bleed ($n = 622$)	CRNM bleed ($n = 524$)	Minor (n = 1098)	No bleed (n = 49702)	
App, and (Di), Cui, y70 (P00, Su)75 (Red)74 (Red)71 (P00, P00, P00, P00, P00, P00, P00, P00	Female sex, n (%)	316 (50.8)	225 (42.9)	498 (45.4)	21 913 (44.1)	
EthnicyUnite040 (14) </td <td>Age, median (Q1;Q3), y</td> <td>76.0 (70.0;82.0)</td> <td>75.0 (68.0;81.0)</td> <td>74.0 (66.0;79.0)</td> <td>71.0 (62.0;78.0)</td>	Age, median (Q1;Q3), y	76.0 (70.0;82.0)	75.0 (68.0;81.0)	74.0 (66.0;79.0)	71.0 (62.0;78.0)	
Mine490 (14)406 (14)71 (15)93 (38 (2.5)Heganicatamic30 (0.0)22 (2.4)41 (4.0)303 (0.0)Ahar12 (2.2) (2.1)81 (6.0)36 (2.0)120 (2.1)Ahar Caribosun/insoftom13 (0.2)81 (6.0)36 (2.0)36 (2.0)BM, mol (0.1) (3), light ²)130 (100,0450)100 (100,0450)140 (100,480)400 (70.80)Sylolc bood pressore, median (0.1, 0.3), minit360 (70.084)100 (100,0450)100 (100,0450)100 (100,0450)Dake median (0.1, (3), light360 (70.084)360 (70.084)360 (70.084)360 (70.084)Dake median (0.1, (3), light360 (70.084)360 (70.084)360 (70.084)360 (70.084)Dake median (0.1, (3), light360 (70.084)360 (70.084)360 (70.084)360 (70.084)Pare statistication38 (10.2)77 (14.7)12 (11.7)648 (12.6)Pare statistication36 (10.2)32 (12.6)360 (20.01)360 (70.01)Pare statistication36 (10.2)32 (12.6)360 (10.01)360 (10.01)Pare statistication36 (10.2)32 (12.6)360 (10.01)36 (12.6)Pare statistication36 (10.2)36 (10.01)36 (10.01)36 (10.01)Pare statistication36 (10.2)36 (10.01)36 (10.01)36 (10.01)Pare statistication36 (10.01)36 (10.01)36 (10.01)36 (10.01)Pare statistication36 (10.01)36 (10.01)36 (10.01)36 (10.01)Pare statistication36 (10.01)36 (10.0	Ethnicity, n (%)					
Hegan claim 39 (6.0) 22 (4.4) 41 4.0. 3239 (6.9) Akan 12 (2.4) 83 (12.6) 161.0 1382.2 Mc-Carbbanhindifother 13 (2.2) 80 (10.0) 36 (2.8) 1021 (12.0) BM, readim (11:03), kptn ² 26.5 (23.3;31.0) 27.5 (24.4;30.9) 27.0 (24.2;31.2) 26.5 (23.9;30.7) Systols bodd pressure, media (011:03), mm Hg 1380 (120.0):14.60) 1800 (170.0;88.0) 800 (70.0;78.0) 800 (70.0;78.0) 800 (70.0;78.0) 800 (70.0;78.0) 800 (70.0;78.0) 800 (70.0;78.0) 800 (70.0;78.0) 800 (70.0;78.0) 800 (70.0;78.0) 800 (70.0;78.0) 800 (70.0;78.0) 800 (70.0;78.0) 800 (70.0;78.0) 800 (70.	White	430 (71.4)	406 (81.4)	778 (75.5)	30 338 (62.5)	
Am1210/181(2)181(2)181(2)181(2)Mondial (102), lym ² 36.033.0337.042.0337.042.03Syllic bloch greace, medan (01,02), mm II30.0100.02030.0100.02030.0100.02030.0100.020Bande Call (201, hym II30.0100.02030.0100.02030.0100.02030.0100.020Bande Call (201, hym II30.0100.02030.0100.02030.0100.02030.0100.020Present80.020.01030.0100.02030.0100.02030.0100.020Present81.03077.14714.01.0337.0100.020Present (100, hym III30.0100.01030.020.01030.020.010Present (100, hym IIII30.0100.01030.020.01030.020.010Present (100, hym IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	Hispanic/Latino	36 (6.0)	22 (4.4)	41 (4.0)	3293 (6.8)	
Ano-Carabean/made/char13 (22)8 (1, 6)2 e (23)0 (21 (21)BML median (21, 63), taym ² 2.5 (23, 33.0)2.7 (24, 43.0)2.6 (24, 24.12)2.6 (23, 23.0)Systelo bodd pressure, median (10, C3), mm HgB3.0 (120, 01, 450)B3.0 (120, 01, 450)B3.0 (120, 01, 450)Dastoic blood pressure, median (11, C3), mm HgB3.0 (120, 01, 450)B3.0 (120, 01, 450)B3.0 (120, 01, 450)Pale, median (11, C3), taymB8.0 (70, 03, 250)B3.0 (70, 03, 250)B3.0 (70, 03, 250)Part of attal finitistion, median (11, C3), mm HgB8.0 (120, 01, 120)B3.0 (120, 01, 120)B3.0 (120, 01, 120)Part of attal finitistion, median (11, C3), mm HgB8.0 (120, 01, 120)B3.0 (120, 120, 120)B3.0 (120, 120, 120)Part of attal finitistion, median (11, C3), mm HgB4.0 (120, 120, 120, 120)B3.0 (120, 120, 120)B3.0 (120, 120, 120)Part ogenandC4.0 (120, 120, 120, 120, 120, 120, 120, 120,	Asian	123 (20.4)	63 (12.6)	185 (18.0)	13883 (28.6)	
BML median (011,03), kgm²9.55 (333,10)9.75 (44,403)9.70 (42,312)9.64 (9,403,40)Systol kodo prosum, median (011,03), mm HQ0.00 (700,680)0.00 (700,680)0.00 (700,680)Daulos hodo prosums, median (011,03), mm HQ0.00 (700,680)0.00 (700,680)0.00 (700,680)Piersen (011,03), kgm²0.80 (701,120)0.40 (701,120)0.40 (701,120)0.40 (701,120)Piersen (011,03), kgm²0.40 (701,120)0.40 (701,120)0.40 (701,120)0.40 (701,120)Piersen (011,030), kgm²0.40 (701,120)0.40 (701,120)0.40 (701,120,120)Piersen (011,030), kgm²0.40 (701,120)0.40 (701,120,120,120,120)Piersen (011,030), kgm²0.40 (701,120,120,120,120,120,120,120,120,120,1	Afro-Caribbean/mixed/other	13 (2.2)	8 (1.6)	26 (2.5)	1021 (2.1)	
Systelic blood pressure, median (Q1:Q3), mm Hg 133.0 (120.0:145.0) 135.0 (120.0:145.0) 136.0 (120.0:145.0) 136.0 (170.0;8.0) Data blood pressure, median (Q1:Q3), mm Hg 80.0 (70.0;87.5) 80.0 (70.0;88.0) 80.0 (70.0;88.0) 80.0 (70.0;88.0) Pleak, median (Q1:Q3), pm 88.0 (120.0) 88.0 (71.0;11.20) 84.0 (70.0;11.00) 84.0 (70.0;10.00) Pleak, median (Q1:Q3), pm 88.0 (120.0) 76 (4.5) 129 (11.7) 89.45 (12.8) Pleak, median (Q1:Q3), pm 48 (13.5) 77 (14.7) 148 (13.5) 743.9 (15.0) Pleak median (Q1:Q3) 324 (52.1) 256 (43.8) 529 (48.2) 22.02 (04.7) New consel (anclassified) 324 (52.1) 256 (43.8) 250 (20.0) 99.93 (20.0) Internal medicinabermoulogy/genetrics 147 (23.5) 206 (55.3) 652 (56.4) 3282 (66.1) Plear failing localing and lignosis, n (%) 197.0 250 (20.0) 99.93 (20.0) 99.93 (20.0) Cer setting localinat diagnosis, n (%) 197.0 250 (20.0) 99.93 (20.0) 120.0 Media Miscoy, n (%) 111 (17.8) 160 (23.0) 150.0 (23.0) 150.0	BMI, median (Q1;Q3), kg/m ²	26.5 (23.3;31.0)	27.5 (24.4;30.9)	27.0 (24.2;31.2)	26.9 (23.9;30.7)	
Dealedic blood pressure, median (Q1;Q3), mm Hg800 (700,873)800 (700,983)800 (700,983)800 (700,983)Pales, median (Q1;Q3), gan804 (700,1103)840 (700,1103)840 (700,1103)Parestant (G1;Q3), gan816 (100)70 (14.7)148 (13.5)73 (14.7)Parestant (G1;Q3), gan844 (13.5)77 (14.7)148 (13.5)73 (90 (27.0)Parestant (G1;Q3), gan324 (52.1)256 (43.8)259 (43.8)252 (23.0)73 (90 (27.0)New roads (Indicastind)324 (52.1)256 (43.8)258 (43.8)258 (43.8)252 (23.0)99 (32.00)Parestant medicine/neurology/genistrics146 (23.5)125 (23.9)226 (20.6)99 (32.00)Parestant medicine/neurology/genistrics146 (23.5)10.9 (20.8)20.20 (20.6)9937 (10.0)Pinary carefgeneral practice106 (23.6)10.9 (20.8)20.20 (20.6)9937 (10.0)Pinary carefgeneral practice168 (20.1)10.9 (20.8)36.60.5)20.80 (60.5)36.80.6)Offica/miclogulation clinic/thrombosis center186 (20.1)10.9 (20.1)16.9 (20.1)36.9 (20.6)36.9 (20.6)Pinary carefgeneral practice19 (14.02)16.9 (20.1)16.9 (20.1)36.9 (20.1)36.9 (20.1)36.9 (20.1)Offica/miclogulation clinic/thrombosis center186 (20.1)10.9 (20.1)16.9 (20.2)36.9 (20.1)36.9 (20.1)Pinary carefgeneral practice19 (14.9 (20.1)16.9 (20.1)16.9 (20.1)16.9 (20.1)36.9 (20.1)Pinary carefgeneral practice13 (10.1)16.9 (20.1	Systolic blood pressure, median (Q1;Q3), mm Hg	133.0 (120.0;145.0)	135.0 (120.0;145.0)	134.0 (120.0;148.0)	130.0 (120.0;145.0)	
<table-container>Pulse, median (Q1,CQ3), bpm88.0 (720,110.0)88.0 (720,110.0)88.0 (720,0110.0)84.0 (700,0110.0)84.0 (700,010.0)Type or tarial fibriliation, n(*)Permisent68 (010)7 (14,7)148 (013)74.94 (150)Persistent44 (125)136 (26.0)292 (28.6)137.09 (27.6)Paronyamal146 (23.5)136 (26.0)292 (28.6)137.09 (27.6)Nor sect (unclassified)146 (23.5)126 (23.0)652 (59.4)32.826 (66.1)Primary cards/general practice146 (23.5)290 (55.3)652 (59.4)32.826 (66.1)Primary cards/general practice168 (27.0)158 (30.2)353 (35.5)15.225 (30.6)Primary cards/general practice188 (27.0)158 (30.2)355 (35.1)15.225 (30.6)Primary cards/general practice188 (27.0)136 (27.6)15.121 (27.5)15.121 (27.5)Primary cards/general practice19.11 (18.3)64 (12.2)155 (14.2)55.81 (13.2)Primary cards/general19.12 (13.2)15.14 (12.9)15.14 (12.9)15.14 (12.9)Primary cards/general19.13 (16.3)64 (12.2)15.14 (12.9)15.14 (12.9)Primary cards/general19.13 (16.3)27.62.160.65.111.69 (23.9)</table-container>	Diastolic blood pressure, median (Q1;Q3), mm Hg	80.0 (70.0;87.5)	80.0 (70.0;89.0)	80.0 (70.0;88.0)	80.0 (70.0;88.0)	
<table-container>Fyer driating field interface with the set of th</table-container>	Pulse, median (Q1;Q3), bpm	88.0 (72.0;110.0)	88.0 (71.0;112.0)	84.0 (70.0;110.0)	84.0 (70.0;105.0)	
Permanent 68 (10.9) 76 (14.5) 129 (11.7) 6345 (12.8) Persistent 84 (13.5) 77 (14.7) 148 (13.5) 7349 (15.0) Paroxyamal 146 (23.5) 136 (26.6) 292 (28.6) 13709 (27.6) New onet funclasatified) 235 (44.8) 292 (28.6) 2923 (24.7) Care setting specially at diagnosis, n (%) 200 (20.6) 9933 (20.0) 62.6 Pariany care/general practice 166 (17.0) 109 (28.2) 202 (20.6) 9933 (20.0) Pariany care/general practice 166 (17.0) 109 (20.8) 220 (20.6) 9933 (20.0) Care setting (ocation at diagnosis, n (%) 200 (20.0) 6933 (20.0) 6933 (20.0) Pariant care/general practice 148 (25.1) 275 (52.5) 588 (54.5) 290 (58.5) Other setting (ocation at diagnosis, n (%) 111 (20.1) 22.6 22.0 292 (20.6) 292 (20.6) Emergency room 168 (27.0) 191 (21.2) 385 (30.5) 158 (51.6) 292 (20.6) 112 (21.2) Actica chardize disase 101 (16.3) 64 (12.2) 155 (14.2)	Type of atrial fibrillation, n (%)					
Persistent 84 (13.5) 77 (14.7) 148 (13.5) 7439 (15.0) Persostent 146 (23.5) 136 (26.0) 292 (26.6) 13709 (27.6) New onset (unclassified) 324 (52.1) 326 (32.0) 529 (42.0) 9333 (20.0) Care setting specially at diagonis, n (%) 226 (20.6) 9933 (20.0) 626 (64.0) 329 262 (65.1) 9333 (20.0) Care setting specially at diagonis, n (%) 226 (20.6) 9933 (20.0) 626 (50.4) 3292 262 (65.1) 9333 (20.0) Care setting catation at diagonis, n (%) 226 (20.6) 9933 (20.0) 6393 (14.0) 6393 (14.0) Officio/anticoaguidition clinic/thrombosis center 168 (27.0) 158 (30.2) 358 (30.5) 529 (50.6) Officio/anticoaguidition clinic/thrombosis center 168 (27.0) 158 (30.2) 358 (30.5) 152 25 (30.6) Officio/anticoaguidition clinic/thrombosis center 168 (27.0) 158 (30.2) 358 (30.5) 152 25 (30.6) Officio/anticoaguidition clinic/thrombosis center 168 (27.0) 158 (30.2) 358 (30.5) 152 25 (30.6) Care setting conterview 110 (17.2) 144 (23.2)	Permanent	68 (10.9)	76 (14.5)	129 (11.7)	6345 (12.8)	
Paroxyanal 146 (23.5) 196 (26.0) 292 (26.6) 13709 (27.6) Nw onset (unclassified) 324 (52.1) 235 (44.8) 529 (46.2) 22203 (44.7) Care setting specially at diagnosis, n(%) 125 (23.9) 226 (20.6) 9933 (20.0) Cardiology 370 (69.5) 290 (55.3) 652 (59.4) 22828 (66.1) Primary care/general practice 106 (17.0) 109 (20.8) 220 (20.0) 6937 (14.0) Care setting location at diagnosis, n (%) T T 757 (52.5) 598 (54.5) 5998 (55.6) 522 (30.6) Chine/anticoagulation clinic/thrombosis center 186 (27.0) 158 (30.2) 155 (13.2) 5385 (10.8) Chine/anticoagulation clinic/thrombosis center 111 (17.8) 91 (17.4) 165 (15.1) 5388 (10.8) Medical history, n (%) T 112 (17.2) 112 (01 (22.5) 112 (01 (22.5) 12 (21.0) Vascular disease* 199 (31.1) 24 (48.1) 35 (32.1) 12 (24.6) Vascular disease* 199 (32.1) 14 (22.2) 15 (14.1) 55 (14.1) Vascular disease* 199 (31	Persistent	84 (13.5)	77 (14.7)	148 (13.5)	7439 (15.0)	
New onset (unclassified) 324 (52.1) 235 (44.8) 529 (48.2) 22203 (47.7) Care setting speciality at diagnosis, n (%) 146 (23.5) 125 (23.9) 226 (20.6) 9933 (20.0) Cardiology 370 (59.5) 290 (55.3) 652 (59.4) 32826 (66.1) Primary care/general practice 161 (7.0) 199 (20.8) 220 (20.0) 6981 (30.1) Cardiology 370 (59.5) 596 (64.5) 290 665 (68.5) 200 (56.5) Offici-printice location at diagnosis, n (%) 275 (52.5) 596 (64.5) 290 665 (68.5) Offici-printice location at diagnosis center 168 (27.0) 158 (30.2) 355 (30.5) 15225 (30.6) Offici-printice location at diagnosis, n (%) 247 (25.5) 508 (64.5) 2508 (10.5) Offici-printice location at diagnosis, n (%) 11201 (22.5) 508 (10.2) 508 (10.2) Medical listory, n (%) 11201 (22.5) 11201 (22.5) 508 (10.2) 5008 (10.5) Vascular disease* 19 (32.2) 149 (28.5) 317 (29.0) 12120 (24.6) Vascular disease* 19 (31.1) 24 (4.6) 36 (32.2) <t< td=""><td>Paroxysmal</td><td>146 (23.5)</td><td>136 (26.0)</td><td>292 (26.6)</td><td>13 709 (27.6)</td></t<>	Paroxysmal	146 (23.5)	136 (26.0)	292 (26.6)	13 709 (27.6)	
Care setting specialty at diagnosis, n (%) 126 (23.8) 126 (20.6) 9933 (20.0) Internal medicine/neurology/geriatrics 146 (23.5) 126 (23.8) 226 (20.6) 9933 (20.0) Primary care/general practice 370 (86.5) 290 (65.3) 652 (69.4) 32826 (66.1) Care setting conclusion at diagnosis, n (%) 2 202 (20.0) 69933 (20.0) Care setting conclusion at diagnosis, n (%) 2 202 (20.0) 69937 (14.0) Office/anticoagulation clinic/thrombosis center 168 (27.0) 158 (30.2) 335 (30.5) 15225 (30.6) Office/anticoagulation clinic/thrombosis center 168 (27.0) 158 (30.2) 335 (30.5) 15225 (30.6) Medical history, n (%) 111 (12.8) 130 (24.8) 247 (22.5) 112 (12.25) Acute coronary synchromes 101 (16.3) 64 (12.2) 155 (14.2) 5208 (10.5) Vascular disease* 199 (32.2) 149 (28.5) 317 (29.0) 12 (12 (24.6) Prior stock/TIASE 81 (42.1) 24 (4.6) 35 (3.2) 12 (12 (24.6) Prior stock/TIASE 81 (42.1) 24 (4.6)	New onset (unclassified)	324 (52.1)	235 (44.8)	529 (48.2)	22 203 (44.7)	
Internal medicine/neurology/geniatrics 146 (23.5) 125 (23.9) 226 (20.6) 9933 (20.0) Cardiology 370 (59.5) 290 (55.3) 652 (59.4) 32826 (66.1) Primary care/general practice 106 (17.0) 109 (20.8) 220 (20.0) 6937 (14.0) Care setting location at diagnosis, n(%) 75 (52.5) 598 (64.5) 2906 (58.6) Office/anticoaguitation clinic/thrombosis center 188 (27.0) 158 (30.2) 353 (50.5) 5385 (10.8) Office/anticoaguitation clinic/thrombosis center 188 (27.0) 110 (17.8) 91 (17.4) 185 (15.0) 5385 (10.8) Medicat history, n (%) 112 (12.2) 130 (24.8) 247 (22.5) 112 01 (22.5) Acut coronary synchomes 101 (16.3) 64 (12.2) 155 (14.2) 2008 (10.5) Vascular disease* 199 (31.1) 24 (4.6) 35 (3.2) 1212 (22.6) Quito clusive disease 199 (31.1) 24 (4.6) 35 (3.2) 1214 (2.6) Prior stoke/TIA/SE 88 (14.2) 75 (14.4) 152 (13.9) 5514 (11.2) Prior stoke/TIA/SE 88 (14.2)	Care setting specialty at diagnosis, n (%)					
Cardiology 370 (59.5) 290 (55.3) 652 (59.4) 32826 (66.1) Primary care/general practice 106 (17.0) 109 (20.8) 220 (20.0) 6937 (14.0) Care setting location at diagnosis, n (%) 598 (54.5) 598 (54.5) 29085 (58.5) Office/anticoagulation clinic/thrombosis center 180 (20.0) 185 (80.2) 385 (03.6) 15225 (00.6) Emergency room 111 (17.8) 191 (17.4) 165 (15.0) 383 (51.6) Medical history, n (%) 111 (17.8) 130 (24.8) 247 (22.5) 11201 (22.5) Actic coronary syndromes 101 (16.3) 64 (12.2) 155 (14.2) 5208 (10.5) Vascular disease* 199 (32.2) 149 (28.5) 317 (29.0) 1212 (24.6) Vascular disease* 199 (32.2) 149 (28.5) 317 (29.0) 1445 (29 VTE 19 (3.1) 24 (4.6) 56 (3.2) 1212 (42.6) Prior bleeding 40 (6.5) 27 (5.2) 60 (5.5) 1188 (24.0) Prior bleeding 40 (6.5) 27 (6.2) 60 (5.5)	Internal medicine/neurology/geriatrics	146 (23.5)	125 (23.9)	226 (20.6)	9933 (20.0)	
Primary care/general practice 106 (17.0) 109 (20.8) 220 (20.0) 6937 (14.0) Haspital 343 (65.1) 275 (52.5) 598 (64.5) 29085 (68.5) Office/anticoagulation clinic/thrombosis center 168 (27.0) 158 (20.2) 335 (30.5) 152 25 (30.6) Office/anticoagulation clinic/thrombosis center 168 (27.0) 158 (20.2) 335 (30.5) 152 25 (30.6) Metical history, n (%) 101 (16.3) 130 (24.8) 347 (22.5) 11201 (22.5) Mart failure 144 (23.2) 130 (24.8) 247 (22.5) 11201 (22.5) Acute coronary syndromes 101 (16.3) 64 (12.2) 155 (14.2) 5208 (10.5) Vascular disease* 199 (32.2) 149 (28.5) 317 (29.0) 1212 (24.8) Vascular diseases 37 (60.0) 17 (3.3) 40 (3.7) 1445 (2.9) VTE 19 (3.1) 24 (4.6) 36 (3.2) 1212 (24.8) Prior baleding 40 (6.5) 275 (5.1) 3783 (76.3) 1186 (24.1) Prior baleding 40 (25.2) 40 (57.3) 40 (45.1) 3783 (76.3) <td< td=""><td>Cardiology</td><td>370 (59.5)</td><td>290 (55.3)</td><td>652 (59.4)</td><td>32826 (66.1)</td></td<>	Cardiology	370 (59.5)	290 (55.3)	652 (59.4)	32826 (66.1)	
Care setting location at diagnosis, n (%) 343 (55.1) 275 (52.5) 598 (64.5) 290 85 (58.5) Office/anticoagulation clinic/thrombosis center 168 (27.0) 158 (30.2) 335 (30.5) 15225 (30.6) Emergency room 111 (17.8) 91 (17.4) 165 (15.0) 5385 (10.8) Metical history, n (%) 112 (12.5) 112 (12.5) Acute coronary syndromes 101 (16.3) 64 (12.2) 155 (14.2) 5208 (10.5) Vascular disease* 199 (32.2) 149 (28.5) 317 (29.0) 1212 (24.6) Carotid occlusive disease 37 (6.0) 17 (3.3) 40.61 20.9 VTE 19 (3.1) 24 (4.6) 35 (3.2) 1214 (26.0) Prior stroke/TIA/SE 88 (14.2) 75 (14.4) 152 (13.9) 5514 (11.2) Prior bleeding 40 (6.5) 27 (5.2) 60 (5.5) 1186 (24.0) Prior bleeding 40 (6.5) 27 (5.2) 60 (5.5) 1186 (24.0) Diabetes 177 (28.5) 122 (23.3) 228 (20.8) 19991 (21.1) Diabetes 171	Primary care/general practice	106 (17.0)	109 (20.8)	220 (20.0)	6937 (14.0)	
Hospital 343 (55.1) 275 (52.5) 598 (54.5) 29085 (58.5) Office/anticoagulation clinic/thrombosis center 168 (27.0) 158 (30.2) 335 (30.5) 15225 (30.6) Emergency room 111 (17.8) 91 (17.4) 165 (15.0) 5386 (10.8) Medical history, n(%) 112 (12.5) 5208 (10.8) Medical history, n(%) 130 (24.8) 247 (22.5) 11201 (22.5) Actic coronary syndromes 101 (16.3) 64 (12.2) 155 (14.2) 5208 (10.5) Vascular disease ¹ 199 (32.2) 149 (28.5) 317 (29.0) 12129 (24.6) Carotid occlusive disease 199 (32.2) 149 (28.5) 317 (29.0) 1274 (26.6) VTE 91 (3.1) 24 (4.6) 40 (3.7) 1445 (2.9) 1274 (26.6) Prior stroke/TIA/SE 88 (14.2) 75 (14.4) 152 (13.9) 5514 (11.2) Prior bleeding 40 (65.5) 27 (5.2) 60 (5.5) 1186 (2.4) Hypercholesterolemia 281 (46.1) 240 (47.3) 490 (45.8) 19917 (41.4) D	Care setting location at diagnosis, n (%)					
Office/anticoagulation clinic/thrombosis center 168 (27.0) 158 (30.2) 335 (30.5) 15 225 (30.6) Emergency room 111 (17.8) 91 (17.4) 165 (15.0) 5385 (10.8) Medical history, n (%) 111 (21.2) 130 (24.8) 247 (22.5) 11 201 (22.5) Acute coronary syndromes 101 (16.3) 64 (12.2) 155 (14.2) 5208 (10.5) Vascular disease* 199 (32.2) 149 (28.5) 317 (29.0) 12 129 (24.6) Carotid occlusive disease 37 (6.0) 17 (3.3) 40 (3.7) 1445 (2.9) VTE 19 (3.1)) 24 (4.6) 35 (3.2) 1274 (2.6) Prior stroke/TIA/SE 88 (14.2) 75 (14.4) 152 (13.9) 5514 (11.2) Prior bleeding 40 (6.5) 27 (5.2) 60 (5.5) 1186 (2.4) Hypertcholesterolemia 281 (46.1) 240 (47.3) 490 (45.8) 19917 (41.4) Diabetes 17 (1.1) 30 (0.6) 5 (0.5) 219 (0.6) 1998 (22.1) Cirrhosis 7 (1.1) 30 (0.6) 5 (0.5) 299 (0.6) 1991 (3.	Hospital	343 (55.1)	275 (52.5)	598 (54.5)	29 085 (58.5)	
Emergency room 111 (17.8) 91 (17.4) 165 (15.0) 5385 (10.8) Medical history, n (%) 112 (12.2) 130 (24.8) 247 (22.5) 112 01 (22.5) Acute coronary syndromes 101 (16.3) 64 (12.2) 155 (14.2) 5208 (10.5) Vascular disease* 199 (32.2) 149 (28.5) 317 (29.0) 12 12 0 (24.6) Carotid occlusive disease 37 (6.0) 17 (3.3) 40 (3.7) 1445 (2.9) VTE 19 (3.1) 24 (4.6) 35 (3.2) 1274 (2.6) Prior stroke/TIA/SE 88 (14.2) 75 (14.4) 152 (13.9) 6514 (11.2) Prior bleeding 40 (6.5) 27 (52.2) 60 (55.5) 1186 (2.4) Hypercholesterolemia 281 (46.1) 240 (47.3) 490 (45.8) 19917 (41.4) Diabetes 177 (28.5) 122 (23.3) 228 (20.8) 10998 (22.1) Girthosis 7 (1.1) 3 (0.6) 5 (0.5) 279 (0.6) Dementia 182 (1.9) 9 (1.7) 9 (1.7) 728 (15.3) Heavy alcohol consumption	Office/anticoagulation clinic/thrombosis center	168 (27.0)	158 (30.2)	335 (30.5)	15 225 (30.6)	
Heatical history, n (%) I Heat failure 144 (23.2) 130 (24.8) 247 (22.5) 11 20 (22.5) Acute coronary syndromes 101 (16.3) 64 (12.2) 155 (14.2) 5208 (10.5) Vascular disease* 199 (32.2) 149 (28.5) 317 (29.0) 12 129 (24.6) Carotid occlusive disease 37 (6.0) 17 (3.3) 40 (3.7) 1445 (2.9) VTE 19 (3.1) 24 (4.6) 35 (3.2) 1274 (2.6) Prior stroke/TIA/SE 88 (14.2) 75 (14.4) 152 (13.9) 5514 (11.2) Prior bleeding 40 (6.5) 27 (5.2) 60 (5.5) 1186 (2.4) Prior bleeding 40 (6.5) 27 (5.2) 60 (5.5) 1186 (2.4) Prior bleeding 40 (6.5) 27 (5.2) 60 (5.5) 1186 (2.4) Diabetes 177 (28.5) 122 (23.3) 228 (20.8) 10991 (2.1) Diabetes 17 (1.9) 30 (6.2) 279 (0.6) 138 (2.9) 102 (20.0) 186 (17.6) 4915 (10.3) Dementia 12 (1.9) 9 (1.7) 9 (3.0) 979 (2.3	Emergency room	111 (17.8)	91 (17.4)	165 (15.0)	5385 (10.8)	
Heat failure 144 (23.2) 130 (24.8) 247 (22.5) 11 201 (22.5) Acute coronary syndromes 101 (16.3) 64 (12.2) 155 (14.2) 5208 (10.5) Vascular disease* 199 (32.2) 149 (28.5) 317 (29.0) 12 12 (24.6) Carotid occlusive disease 37 (6.0) 17 (3.3) 40 (3.7) 1445 (2.9) VTE 19 (3.1) 24 (4.6) 35 (3.2) 1274 (2.6) Prior stroke/TIA/SE 88 (14.2) 75 (14.4) 152 (13.9) 5514 (11.2) Prior bleeding 40 (6.5) 27 (5.2) 60 (5.5) 1186 (2.4) Prior bleeding 492 (79.4) 405 (77.4) 825 (75.1) 37823 (76.3) Pypercholesterolemia 281 (46.1) 240 (47.3) 490 (45.8) 19917 (41.4) Diabetes 177 (28.5) 122 (23.3) 228 (20.8) 10998 (22.1) Moderate to severe CKD 138 (22.9) 102 (20.0) 186 (17.6) 4915 (10.3) Dementia 12 (1.9) 9 (1.7) 19 (1.7) 723 (1.5) Heavy alcohol consumption 10 (1.9)	Medical history, n (%)					
Acute coronary syndromes 101 (16.3) 64 (12.2) 155 (14.2) 5208 (10.5) Vascular disease* 199 (32.2) 149 (28.5) 317 (29.0) 12129 (24.6) Carotid occlusive disease 37 (6.0) 17 (3.3) 40 (3.7) 1445 (2.9) VTE 19 (3.1)) 24 (4.6) 35 (3.2) 1274 (2.6) Prior stroke/TIA/SE 88 (14.2) 75 (14.4) 152 (13.9) 5514 (11.2) Prior stroke/TIA/SE 88 (14.2) 75 (14.4) 152 (13.9) 5514 (11.2) Prior stroke/TIA/SE 88 (14.2) 75 (14.4) 152 (13.9) 5514 (11.2) Prior bleeding 40 (6.5) 27 (5.2) 60 (5.5) 1186 (2.4) Hypercholesterolemia 492 (79.4) 405 (77.4) 825 (75.1) 37 823 (76.3) Diabetes 177 (28.5) 122 (23.3) 228 (20.8) 10998 (22.1) Cirrhosis 7 (1.1) 3 (0.6) 5 (0.5) 279 (0.6) Moderate to severe CKD 138 (22.9) 102 (20.0) 186 (17.6) 4915 (10.3) Peanytalcohol consumption 10 (1.9)	Heart failure	144 (23.2)	130 (24.8)	247 (22.5)	11 201 (22.5)	
Vascular disease* 199 (32.2) 149 (28.5) 317 (29.0) 12129 (24.6) Carotid occlusive disease 37 (6.0) 17 (3.3) 40 (3.7) 1445 (2.9) VTE 19 (3.1) 24 (4.6) 35 (3.2) 1274 (2.6) Prior stroke/TIA/SE 88 (14.2) 75 (14.4) 152 (13.9) 5514 (11.2) Prior bleeding 40 (6.5) 27 (5.2) 60 (5.5) 1186 (2.4) Hypertension 492 (79.4) 405 (77.4) 825 (75.1) 37823 (76.3) Pior bleeding 281 (48.1) 240 (47.3) 490 (45.8) 19917 (41.4) Diabetes 177 (28.5) 122 (23.3) 228 (20.8) 10998 (22.1) Cirnhosis 7 (1.1) 3 (0.6) 5 (0.5) 279 (0.6) Moderate to severe CKD 138 (22.9) 102 (20.0) 186 (17.6) 4915 (10.3) Pementia 12 (1.9) 9 (1.7) 19 (1.7) 723 (1.5) Heavy alcohol consumption 10 (1.9) 8 (1.9) 27 (3.0) 979 (2.3) Current smoker 54 (9.5) 26 (5.5) 81 (8.2) <td>Acute coronary syndromes</td> <td>101 (16.3)</td> <td>64 (12.2)</td> <td>155 (14.2)</td> <td>5208 (10.5)</td>	Acute coronary syndromes	101 (16.3)	64 (12.2)	155 (14.2)	5208 (10.5)	
Carotid occlusive disease 37 (6.0) 17 (3.3) 40 (3.7) 1445 (2.9) VTE 19 (3.1) 24 (4.6) 35 (3.2) 1274 (2.6) Prior stroke/TIA/SE 88 (14.2) 75 (14.4) 152 (13.9) 5514 (11.2) Prior bleeding 40 (6.5) 27 (5.2) 60 (5.5) 1186 (2.4) Hypertension 492 (79.4) 405 (77.4) 825 (75.1) 37 823 (76.3) Hypercholesterolemia 281 (46.1) 240 (47.3) 490 (45.8) 19 917 (41.4) Diabetes 177 (28.5) 122 (23.3) 228 (20.8) 10 998 (22.1) Cirrhosis 7 (1.1) 3 (0.6) 5 (0.5) 279 (0.6) Moderate to severe CKD 138 (22.9) 102 (20.0) 186 (17.6) 4915 (10.3) Pementia 12 (1.9) 9 (1.7) 19 (1.7) 723 (1.5) Heavy alcohol consumption 10 (1.9) 8 (1.9) 27 (3.0) 979 (2.3) Current smoker 54 (9.5) 26 (5.5) 81 (82.2) 5031 (11.1) Tratment, n(%) 100 (26.3) 148 (28.8) 307 (28	Vascular disease*	199 (32.2)	149 (28.5)	317 (29.0)	12129 (24.6)	
VTE19 (3.1)24 (4.6)35 (3.2)1274 (2.6)Prior stroke/TIA/SE88 (14.2)75 (14.4)152 (13.9)5514 (11.2)Prior bleeding40 (6.5)27 (5.2)60 (5.5)1186 (2.4)Hypertension492 (79.4)405 (77.4)825 (75.1)37 823 (76.3)Hypercholesterolemia281 (46.1)240 (47.3)490 (45.8)19917 (41.4)Diabetes177 (28.5)122 (23.3)228 (20.8)10988 (22.1)Cirrhosis7 (1.1)3 (0.6)5 (0.5)279 (0.6)Moderate to severe CKD138 (22.9)102 (20.0)186 (17.6)4915 (10.3)Dementia12 (1.9)9 (1.7)19 (1.7)723 (1.5)Heavy alcohol consumption10 (1.9)8 (1.9)27 (3.0)979 (2.3)Current smoker54 (9.5)26 (5.5)81 (8.2)5031 (11.1)Textement, n (%)NOAC $\pm AP$ 160 (26.3)148 (28.8)307 (28.2)13488 (27.5)	Carotid occlusive disease	37 (6.0)	17 (3.3)	40 (3.7)	1445 (2.9)	
Prior stroke/TIA/SE 88 (14.2) 75 (14.4) 152 (13.9) 5514 (11.2) Prior bleeding 40 (6.5) 27 (5.2) 60 (5.5) 1186 (2.4) Hypertension 492 (79.4) 405 (77.4) 825 (75.1) 37 823 (76.3) Hypercholesterolemia 281 (46.1) 240 (47.3) 490 (45.8) 19 917 (41.4) Diabetes 177 (28.5) 122 (23.3) 228 (20.8) 10 988 (22.1) Cirrhosis 7 (1.1) 3 (0.6) 5 (0.5) 279 (0.6) Moderate to severe CKD 138 (22.9) 102 (20.0) 186 (17.6) 4915 (10.3) Dementia 12 (1.9) 9 (1.7) 19 (1.7) 723 (1.5) Heavy alcohol consumption 10 (1.9) 8 (1.9) 27 (3.0) 979 (2.3) Current smoker 54 (9.5) 26 (5.5) 81 (8.2) 5031 (11.1) Treatment, n (%) T 160 (26.3) 148 (28.8) 307 (28.2) 13488 (27.5)	VTE	19 (3.1))	24 (4.6)	35 (3.2)	1274 (2.6)	
Prior bleeding 40 (6.5) 27 (5.2) 60 (5.5) 1186 (2.4) Hypertension 492 (79.4) 405 (77.4) 825 (75.1) 37 823 (76.3) Hypercholesterolemia 281 (46.1) 240 (47.3) 490 (45.8) 19917 (41.4) Diabetes 177 (28.5) 122 (23.3) 228 (20.8) 10998 (22.1) Cirrhosis 7 (1.1) 3 (0.6) 5 (0.5) 279 (0.6) Moderate to severe CKD 138 (22.9) 102 (20.0) 186 (17.6) 4915 (10.3) Dementia 12 (1.9) 9 (1.7) 19 (1.7) 723 (1.5) Heavy alcohol consumption 10 (1.9) 8 (1.9) 27 (3.0) 979 (2.3) Current smoker 54 (9.5) 26 (5.5) 81 (8.2) 5031 (11.1) Treatment, n (%) T T 148 (28.8) 307 (28.2) 13488 (27.5)	Prior stroke/TIA/SE	88 (14.2)	75 (14.4)	152 (13.9)	5514 (11.2)	
Hypertension 492 (79.4) 405 (77.4) 825 (75.1) 37 823 (76.3) Hypercholesterolemia 281 (46.1) 240 (47.3) 490 (45.8) 19 917 (41.4) Diabetes 177 (28.5) 122 (23.3) 228 (20.8) 10 998 (22.1) Cirrhosis 7 (1.1) 3 (0.6) 5 (0.5) 279 (0.6) Moderate to severe CKD 138 (22.9) 102 (20.0) 186 (17.6) 4915 (10.3) Dementia 12 (1.9) 9 (1.7) 19 (1.7) 723 (1.5) Heavy alcohol consumption 10 (1.9) 8 (1.9) 27 (3.0) 979 (2.3) Current smoker 54 (9.5) 26 (5.5) 81 (8.2) 5031 (11.1) Treatment, n (%) NOAC ± AP 160 (26.3) 148 (28.8) 307 (28.2) 13488 (27.5)	Prior bleeding	40 (6.5)	27 (5.2)	60 (5.5)	1186 (2.4)	
Hypercholesterolemia 281 (46.1) 240 (47.3) 490 (45.8) 19917 (41.4) Diabetes 177 (28.5) 122 (23.3) 228 (20.8) 10998 (22.1) Cirrhosis 7 (1.1) 3 (0.6) 5 (0.5) 279 (0.6) Moderate to severe CKD 138 (22.9) 102 (20.0) 186 (17.6) 4915 (10.3) Dementia 12 (1.9) 9 (1.7) 19 (1.7) 723 (1.5) Heavy alcohol consumption 10 (1.9) 8 (1.9) 27 (3.0) 979 (2.3) Current smoker 54 (9.5) 26 (5.5) 81 (8.2) 5031 (11.1) Treatment, n (%) NOAC ± AP 160 (26.3) 148 (28.8) 307 (28.2) 13488 (27.5)	Hypertension	492 (79.4)	405 (77.4)	825 (75.1)	37 823 (76.3)	
Diabetes 177 (28.5) 122 (23.3) 228 (20.8) 10 998 (22.1) Cirrhosis 7 (1.1) 3 (0.6) 5 (0.5) 279 (0.6) Moderate to severe CKD 138 (22.9) 102 (20.0) 186 (17.6) 4915 (10.3) Dementia 12 (1.9) 9 (1.7) 19 (1.7) 723 (1.5) Heavy alcohol consumption 10 (1.9) 8 (1.9) 27 (3.0) 979 (2.3) Current smoker 54 (9.5) 26 (5.5) 81 (8.2) 5031 (11.1) Treatment, n (%) NOAC ± AP 160 (26.3) 148 (28.8) 307 (28.2) 13488 (27.5)	Hypercholesterolemia	281 (46.1)	240 (47.3)	490 (45.8)	19917 (41.4)	
Cirrhosis 7 (1.1) 3 (0.6) 5 (0.5) 279 (0.6) Moderate to severe CKD 138 (22.9) 102 (20.0) 186 (17.6) 4915 (10.3) Dementia 12 (1.9) 9 (1.7) 19 (1.7) 723 (1.5) Heavy alcohol consumption 10 (1.9) 8 (1.9) 27 (3.0) 979 (2.3) Current smoker 54 (9.5) 26 (5.5) 81 (8.2) 5031 (11.1) Treatment, n (%) NOAC ± AP 160 (26.3) 148 (28.8) 307 (28.2) 13488 (27.5)	Diabetes	177 (28.5)	122 (23.3)	228 (20.8)	10998 (22.1)	
Moderate to severe CKD 138 (22.9) 102 (20.0) 186 (17.6) 4915 (10.3) Dementia 12 (1.9) 9 (1.7) 19 (1.7) 723 (1.5) Heavy alcohol consumption 10 (1.9) 8 (1.9) 27 (3.0) 979 (2.3) Current smoker 54 (9.5) 26 (5.5) 81 (8.2) 5031 (11.1) Treatment, n (%) NOAC ± AP 160 (26.3) 148 (28.8) 307 (28.2) 13488 (27.5)	Cirrhosis	7 (1.1)	3 (0.6)	5 (0.5)	279 (0.6)	
Dementia 12 (1.9) 9 (1.7) 19 (1.7) 723 (1.5) Heavy alcohol consumption 10 (1.9) 8 (1.9) 27 (3.0) 979 (2.3) Current smoker 54 (9.5) 26 (5.5) 81 (8.2) 5031 (11.1) Treatment, n (%) NOAC ± AP 148 (28.8) 307 (28.2) 13488 (27.5)	Moderate to severe CKD	138 (22.9)	102 (20.0)	186 (17.6)	4915 (10.3)	
Heavy alcohol consumption 10 (1.9) 8 (1.9) 27 (3.0) 979 (2.3) Current smoker 54 (9.5) 26 (5.5) 81 (8.2) 5031 (11.1) Treatment, n (%) V V V V NOAC ± AP 160 (26.3) 148 (28.8) 307 (28.2) 13488 (27.5)	Dementia	12 (1.9)	9 (1.7)	19 (1.7)	723 (1.5)	
Current smoker 54 (9.5) 26 (5.5) 81 (8.2) 5031 (11.1) Treatment, n (%) NOAC ± AP 160 (26.3) 148 (28.8) 307 (28.2) 13488 (27.5)	Heavy alcohol consumption	10 (1.9)	8 (1.9)	27 (3.0)	979 (2.3)	
NOAC ± AP 160 (26.3) 148 (28.8) 307 (28.2) 13 488 (27.5)	Current smoker	54 (9.5)	26 (5.5)	81 (8.2)	5031 (11.1)	
NOAC ± AP 160 (26.3) 148 (28.8) 307 (28.2) 13 488 (27.5)	Treatment, n (%)					
	NOAC \pm AP	160 (26.3)	148 (28.8)	307 (28.2)	13 488 (27.5)	

BMI, body mass index; bpm, beats per minute; Q, quartile; TIA, transient ischemic attack.

*Defined as peripheral artery disease and/or coronary artery disease.

The risk factor "labile international normalized ratios" is not included in the HAS-BLED score, as it is not collected at baseline. As a result, the maximum HAS-BLED score at baseline is 8 points (not 9).

*The risk of mortality within 1 year.

 $\$ The risk of nonhemorrhagic stroke/SE within 1 year.

||The risk of major bleeding within 1 year.

Table 1. (continued)

	Bleeding occurrence and severity			
Baseline characteristics	Major bleed (n = 622)	CRNM bleed ($n = 524$)	Minor (n = 1098)	No bleed (n = 49702)
VKA ± AP	311 (51.1)	247 (48.1)	529 (48.7)	19064 (38.9)
AP agent only	72 (11.8)	72 (14.0)	147 (13.5)	10 457 (21.3)
None	66 (10.8)	46 (9.0)	104 (9.6)	6003 (12.2)
AP treatment (alone or in combination)	222 (36.5)	176 (34.3)	359 (33.0)	17321 (35.3)
CHA ₂ DS ₂ -VASc score, median (Q1;Q3)	4.0 (3.0;5.0)	4.0 (3.0;5.0)	3.0 (2.0;5.0)	3.0 (2.0;4.0)
HAS-BLED score, median (Q1;Q3)†	2.0 (1.0;2.0)	2.0 (1.0;2.0)	2.0 (1.0;2.0)	1.0 (1.0;2.0)
GARFIELD death score, median (Q1;Q3)‡	4.4 (2.5;7.8)	3.9 (2.2;6.9)	3.3 (1.7;6.5)	2.6 (1.4;4.8)
GARFIELD stroke score, median (Q1;Q3)§	1.2 (0.9;1.8)	1.1 (0.8-1.7)	1.0 (0.7;1.6)	0.9 (0.6;1.4)
GARFIELD bleeding score, median (Q1;Q3)	1.5 (1.0;2.3)	1.4 (0.9-2.0)	1.3 (0.8;1.9)	1.0 (0.6;1.5)

BMI, body mass index; bpm, beats per minute; Q, quartile; TIA, transient ischemic attack.

*Defined as peripheral artery disease and/or coronary artery disease.

The risk factor "labile international normalized ratios" is not included in the HAS-BLED score, as it is not collected at baseline. As a result, the maximum HAS-BLED score at baseline is 8 points (not 9).

*The risk of mortality within 1 year.

§The risk of nonhemorrhagic stroke/SE within 1 year.

The risk of major bleeding within 1 year.

and NOACs and AP agents (2.08 [1.57-2.76]) per 100 personyears (Figure 1; supplemental Table 2). The most potent predictors were age (HR, 1.23 [1.18-1.29] per 5-year increment), VKAs vs NOACs (HR, 1.38 [1.09-1.75]), combination therapy with an OAC plus AP agent (NOAC + AP vs NOAC: HR, 1.78 [1.26-2.51]; VKA + AP vs VKA: HR, 1.53 [1.20-1.95]), moderate to severe CKD (HR, 1.72 [1.41-2.10]), history of bleeding (HR, 2.38 [1.72-3.30]), baseline heart rate (HR, 1.02 [1.01-1.04] per 5-beat increment), and diabetes (HR, 1.26 [1.05-1.50]), and all were significant predictors of major bleeding. Asian ethnicity was an independent

Table 2. Sites, precipitants, and management of bleeding per bleeding types

	Major (n = 622)	CRNM (n = 524)	Minor (n = 1098)	Unknown (n = 86)	Total (n = 2330)
Site of bleed, n (%)					
Eyes ears nose skin	33 (5.3)	192 (36.6)	494 (45)	30 (34.9)	749 (32.1)
Gastrointestinal tract	249 (40)	112 (21.4)	188 (17.1)	21 (24.4)	570 (24.5)
Genitourinary	28 (4.5)	116 (22.1)	160 (14.6)	11 (12.8)	315 (13.5)
Thorax and lungs	6 (1)	18 (3.4)	50 (4.6)	3 (3.5)	77 (3.3)
Intracranial/intraspinal	154 (24.8)				154 (6.6)
Other critical sites	42 (6.8)				42 (1.8)
Surgery or access	8 (1.3)	8 (1.5)	15 (1.4)		31 (5.6)
Other	77 (12.4)	67 (12.8)	171 (15.6)	14 (16.3)	329 (14.1)
Unknown	25 (4)	11 (2.1)	20 (1.8)	7 (8.1)	63 (2.7)
Bleeding precipitant, n (%)					
Spontaneous	337 (54.2)	346 (66)	687 (62.6)	20 (23.3)	1390 (59.6)
Trauma related (nonsurgical)	68 (10.9)	45 (8.6)	93 (8.5)	7 (8.1)	213 (9.1)
Noncardiac surgery	32 (5.1)	30 (5.7)	22 (2)	1 (1.2)	8 (0.3)
Cardiac surgery	10 (1.6)	2 (0.4)	4 (0.4)		16 (0.6)
Unknown	175 (28.1)	101 (19.3)	292 (26.6)	58 (67.4)	626 (26.8)
Intervention required for bleed, n (%)					
Surgical	132 (21.2)	58 (11.1)	33 (3)	3 (3.5)	226 (9.6)
Medical	352 (56.6)	227 (43.3)	205 (18.7)	19 (22.1)	803 (34.4)
None	88 (14.1)	233 (44.5)	833 (75.9)	38 (44.2)	1192 (51.1)
Transfusion					
Yes	317 (51)				317 (13.6)
None	226 (36.3)	511 (97.5)	1091 (99.4)	66 (76.7)	1894 (59.8)
Unknown	79 (12.7)	13 (2.5)	7 (0.6)	20 (23.3)	119 (5.1)

Description	χ ²	Р	HR (95% CI)*
Age,y	82.57	<.0001	1.23 (1.18-1.29)
Comparisons of antithrombotic strategies	62.25	<.0001	
AP vs NOAC			0.71 (0.52-0.96)
NOAC + AP vs NOAC			1.78 (1.26-2.51)
VKA vs NOAC			1.38 (1.09-1.75)
VKA + AP vs VKA			1.53 (1.20-1.95)
Moderate or severe CKD	28.54	<.0001	1.72 (1.41-2.10)
History of bleeding	27.22	<.0001	2.38 (1.72-3.30)
Pulse (per 5 bpm)	9.17	.003	1.02 (1.01-1.04)
Diabetes	6.43	.011	1.26 (1.05-1.50)
Asian vs not Asian	5.66	.018	0.77 (0.62-0.96)
Height per 5 (cm)	5.27	.022	0.95 (0.91-0.99)
Carotid occlusive disease	3.88	.049	1.41 (1.00-1.98)
Vascular disease	3.49	.061	1.19 (0.99-1.43)

CI, confidence interval.

*Adjusted for country of enrolment, cohort of enrolment, sex, age, race/ethnicity, type of AF, care setting, specialty, heart failure, acute coronary syndromes, vascular disease, carotid occlusive disease, prior stroke/TIA/SE, prior bleeding, VTE, hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, diabetes, cirrhosis, moderate to severe CKD, dementia, hyperthyroidism, hypothyroidism, current smoking, heavy alcohol consumption, BMI, heart rate, baseline systolic and diastolic blood pressure, baseline AP use.

predictor of reduced risk of bleeding (HR, 0.77 [0.62-0.96]) (Table 3).

Death rates

In patients in whom bleeding was classified, all-cause death occurred in 253 (11%) patients. Of those with a bleed, 163 out of 622 (26.2%) died after major bleeding, 35 out of 524 (6.7%) died after CRNM bleeding, and 56 out of 1098 (5.1%) died after minor bleeding. Most deaths occurred within the first 30 days. Seventy-eight patients (31%) died within the first day, 114 (45%) within 30 days, and 140 (55%) after 30 days, explaining the initial abrupt decrease in the event-free survival curve after major bleeding. The landmark analysis showed that after 30 days, the survival curve for major bleeding continued to diverge from the survival curves of minor and CRNM bleeding (Figure 2). Most of these early deaths occurred after major bleeding (103/114), most frequently after ICH (46 in 103 deaths within 30 days of major bleeding).

The rates of all-cause mortality per 100 patient-years were 4.00 (3.82-4.17) in no bleeding, 5.3 (4.1-6.9) in minor bleeding, 7.0 (5.0-9.8) in CRNM bleeding, and 34.4 (29.5 to 40.1) in major bleeding. After adjustment on a large variety of variables, the HR of all-cause mortality was higher in all 3 categories of bleeding compared with no-bleeding patients, respectively (aHR, 1.53 [1.07-2.19], 2.59 [1.80-3.73], and 8.24 [6.76-10.04] for minor, CRNM, and major bleeding) (Figure 3).

The death rates after major bleeding occurring under NOACs or VKAs were not significantly different (NOAC \pm AP, 29.2 [21.3-40.1] vs VKA \pm AP, 34.3 [27.6-42.7]) per 100 person-years (P = .483). The rates of all-cause death and cardiovascular death were 2.4-fold and 5.3-fold higher, respectively, after intracranial compared with extracranial hemorrhage (supplemental Table 3).

Causes of death

In patients who died after a bleed, the cause of death was cardiovascular in 99 patients (39%), mostly from ICH (53; 20.9%) and less often from heart failure (15; 5.9%), sudden death (10; 3.9%), or ischemic stroke (9; 3.5%). The cause was noncardiovascular in 113 patients (44.5%) and included cancer (30; 11.8%), respiratory failure (23; 9.1%), infection/sepsis (14; 5.5%), renal failure (6; 2.4%), or miscellaneous (40; 15.7%). The cause of death was undetermined in 42 patients (16.5%) (Table 4).

Comparative effectiveness analysis

This analysis was run on a subset of 19640 patients from cohorts 3 to 5 (when NOACs were available) without OAC treatment prior



Figure 2. Survival curves after bleeding. (A) Survival curves after minor, clinically relevant nonmajor and major bleeding at 1 year (where bleed type is defined by the worst bleed type). (B) Landmark analysis from day 30 until a year from bleed.

Figure 3. HRs for the risk of all-cause mortality according to type of bleeding. Adjusted for factors previously derived for all-cause mortality in the GARFIELD-AF study (age, treatment, CHF, BMI, sex, race, type of AF, current smoker, heavy alcohol use, diabetic, moderate to severe CKD, hypertension, history of bleeding, vascular disease, prior stroke/SE, or TIA). Bleed type was set as a time-dependent covariate.



to enrolment who received at baseline either NOACs (9870; 50.3%) or VKAs (9770; 49.7%). The rates of any-event, all-cause death and all bleeding types were lower in NOAC-treated patients than in VKA-treated patients (Tables 5 and 6).

The HR for any bleeding was significantly lower with NOAC \pm AP than VKA \pm AP (aHR, 0.85 [0.73-0.98]) and substantially (although not significantly) lower for major bleeding (aHR, 0.79 [0.60-1.04]). The HR for ICH (aHR, 0.78 [0.42-1.43]) was in the same range as for major bleeding but with a low statistical power due to a low rate of events. The risk of death to was significantly lower with NOAC \pm AP than with VKA \pm AP (aHR, 0.73 [0.62-0.85]) (Tables 5 and 6).

Discussion

We found that NOAC use, either alone or combined with AP agents, was associated with a lower risk of any bleeding or major bleeding compared with VKAs, used either alone or combined with AP agents. The risk of ICH was also reduced with NOACs use to than same extent as major bleeding, though the reduction was nonsignificant. Use of VKAs rather than NOACs was among the strongest independent predictor of major bleeding and any bleeding, along with age, history of bleeding, moderate to severe CKD, as well as combination therapy with OACs and AP agents, which is still widely used in this population (7350 patients [14%]). Asian ethnicity was a predictor of a reduced risk of bleeding. Higher risks of bleeding and ICH in Asians compared with non-Asians were reported mostly in ancillary analyses of RCTs testing NOACs vs VKAs.²⁴⁻²⁷ This discrepancy is related to the different nature of RCTs and registry populations. Our earlier reports showed that Asians had a lower baseline risk profile, with younger age and lower rates of comorbidities compared with non-Asians.²⁸ In addition, AP agents and VKAs remain widely prescribed in several Asian countries in whom target international normalized ratio in VKAtreated patients is lower than in non-Asian countries. This results in a lower risk of bleeding compared with non-Asian patients, yet with no excess risk of stroke/SE.29-31

Previous reports derived from RCTs, retrospective populationbased registries, health care databases, or prospective registries deliver a common message, though with some degree of heterogeneity across reports. Meta-analyses of RCTs show that NOAC use is associated with a lower risk of stroke/SE, ICH, and death than VKA use,^{16,32,33} with some heterogeneity across NOACs.³³ The message about major bleeding is less consistent. A lower risk of major bleeding was reported in Hicks meta-analysis that included phase 2 trials data in addition to all phase 3 RCTs,³² but neither in Ruff meta-analysis¹⁶ nor in Tereshchenko network meta-analysis.³³ A lower risk of ICH was reported in Ruff and Hicks meta-analyses.^{16,32} In Tereshchenko meta-analysis, ICH was a component of the definition of major bleeding.³³

In retrospective analyses of population-based or health care databases, heterogeneity was also found across NOAC subtypes in regard to risks of major bleeding and ICH. Major bleeding risk was found reduced in 2 analyses^{34,35} and ICH in 3.³⁴⁻³⁶ All 3 studies tended to show that apixaban and dabigatran had a more favorable efficacy/safety risk profile than other OACs. In the ORBIT-AF 2

Table 4. Causes of death after bleeding

All-cause mortality	Major	CRNM	Minor	Total
Cardiovascular, n (%)				
Myocardial infarction	4 (1.6)	1 (0.4)	0 (0)	5 (2.0)
Intracranial hemorrhage	53 (20.9)			53 (20.9)
Ischemic stroke	4 (1.6)	3 (1.2)	2 (0.8)	9 (3.5)
CHF	5 (2.0)	3 (1.2)	7 (2.7)	15 (5.9)
Sudden death	3 (1.2)	3 (1.2)	4 (1.6)	10 (3.9)
Miscellaneous	4 (1.6)	1 (0.4)	2 (0.8)	7 (2.7)
Total cardiovascular	70 (27.5)	13 (5.1)	16 (6.3)	99 (38.9)
Noncardiovascular, n (%)				
Respiratory failure	12 (4.7)	5 (2.0)	6 (2.4)	23 (9.0)
Malignancy	13 (5.1)	8 (3.1)	9 (3.5)	30 (11.8)
Renal	2 (0.8)	1 (0.4)	3 (1.2)	6 (2.4)
Infection/sepsis	7 (2.7)	3 (1.2)	4 (1.6)	14 (5.5)
Miscellaneous	36 (14.2)	1 (0.4)	3 (1.2)	40 (15.7)
Total noncardiovascular	70 (27.5)	18 (7.1)	25 (9.8)	113 (44.5)
Unknown	23 (9.0)	3 (1.2)	16 (6.3)	42 (16.5)
Total	163 (64.2)	34 (13.4)	57 (22.4)	254

	Event rates				
	N	NOAC ± AP		/KA ± AP	
Type of event	Events	Rate (95% CI)	Events	Rate (95% CI)	
All-cause mortality	354	3.67 (3.31-4.08)	518	5.51 (5.05-6.00)	
Any bleed*	495	5.28 (4.84-5.77)	567	6.23 (5.74-6.76)	
Major bleed	127	1.33 (1.11-1.58)	177	1.90 (1.64-2.20)	
CRNM bleed	117	1.22 (1.02-1.47)	121	1.30 (1.08-1.55)	
Minor	235	2.47 (2.18-2.81)	258	2.79 (2.47-3.15)	
ICH	27	0.28 (0.19-0.41)	39	0.41 (0.30-0.57)	

*Includes bleeds of unknown types.

registry, the risk of major bleeding and ICH rates was not significantly different between NOACs and VKAs use.³⁷

These differences may be inherent to the varied populations analyzed in these reports. Baseline characteristics differ in RCTs compared with cohort studies and prospective registries. The quality of retrospective analyses depends on the completeness of data collection. In addition, the outcomes are affected by the impact of recall bias and survivorship bias.³⁸ In addition, differences in code schemas used to assess rates and severity of bleeding may result in marked differences, even from analyses of the same database.³⁹ Prospective registries provide a more robust model for collecting data and outcomes. The prospective nature of GARFIELD-AF registry as well as the use of the same bleeding scale (International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis) as in RCTs may explain the differences between our study and previous analyses.

The second important finding of this study is the confirmation that NOAC use either alone or combined with AP agents was associated with a significantly lower risk of all-cause mortality. A reduced risk of death was reported with NOACs compared with VKAs in meta-analyses of RCTs,^{16,32} although the reduction of mortality was not significant in some phase 3 trials.^{15,40} A reduced risk of death associated with NOAC use compared with VKA use was inconsistently reported in population-based analyses.33,36 In our study, the substantially lower risk of major bleeding with NOAC use was most likely the main driver of the reduction in the risk of allcause death, as the rate of death after major bleeding was similar, irrespective of the OAC in use, at the time of bleeding. The lower risk of death in NOAC-treated patients cannot be explained by different baseline characteristics and risk profiles for death and bleeding between NOAC-treated and VKA-treated subgroups, as they were similar in both subgroups.

One-third of all deaths in those who had a bleed (78 patients) occurred the same day as the bleeding, and almost half of all deaths occurred within the first 30 days, explaining the early abrupt decrease in the event-free survival curve. Most of these early deaths occurred after ICH, which had a much worse prognosis than extracranial major bleeding. ICH accounted for 20% of all deaths at 1 year. Major bleeding was associated with the highest risk of death, but CRNM and minor bleeding carried a higher risk of death than no bleeding. After CRNM and minor bleeding, death was rarely related to bleeding complications but mostly driven by comorbidities, either

Table 6. Unadjusted and adjusted hazard ratio for NOAC + AP and VKA + AP (reference group)

Type of event	Unadjusted HR (95% CI)	P	aHR* (95% CI)	Р
All-cause mortality	0.67 (0.58-0.77)	<.0001	0.73 (0.62-0.85)	<.0001
Any bleed	0.85 (0.75-0.96)	.008	0.85 (0.73-0.98)	.024
Major bleed	0.70 (0.56-0.88)	.002	0.79 (0.60-1.04)	.090
CRNM bleed	0.95 (0.73-1.22)	.670	0.88 (0.65-1.19)	.392
Minor	0.89 (0.75-1.06)	.192	0.88 (0.71-1.09)	.231
ICH	0.67 (0.41-1.11)	.119	0.78 (0.42-1.43)	.417

*Adjusted for country of enrolment, cohort of enrolment, sex, age, race/ethnicity, type of AF, care setting, specialty, heart failure, acute coronary syndromes, vascular disease, carotid occlusive disease, prior stroke/TIA/SE, prior bleeding, VTE, hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, diabetes, cirrhosis, moderate to severe CKD, dementia, hyperthyroidism, hypothyroidism, current smoking, heavy alcohol consumption, BMI, heart rate, baseline systolic and diastolic blood pressure, baseline AP use.

cardiovascular (acute coronary syndrome/myocardial infarction, congestive heart failure [CHF], and sudden death) or noncardiovascular (respiratory failure, malignancy, or sepsis). In these patients, bleeding episodes may be considered as markers rather than the root cause of the higher risk of death.

Strengths and limitations

This report is based on prospective data collected worldwide that are representative of real-world practice. Our data are robust, as per protocol, 20% of all eCRFs were monitored against source documentation.

Our study did not assess efficacy/safety profile of the different NOAC subtypes; the steering committee made a decision at the beginning of the registry not to pursue this question when an RCT had not been first performed.

We cannot provide detailed information about postbleeding management. Data on noncardiovascular medications (such as proton pump inhibitors) were not collected. The severity of bleeding and cause of death were not independently adjudicated.

Clinical implications

Major bleeding is potentially catastrophic and is associated with an increased risk of death. Our findings should encourage clinicians to favor NOACs over VKAs whenever possible. Careful assessment of the risk of bleeding should be carried out before introduction of OACs, considering the baseline characteristics of patients and the information provided by bleeding risk assessment tools. Combination therapy with OACs plus AP agents should be avoided when possible, because AP agents are associated with higher risks of bleeding and ischemic events.3,41 Biological features that increase bleeding risk, such as low hemoglobin levels or platelet counts,^{42,43} and noncardiovascular concurrent medications that increase bleeding risk should be noted.⁴⁴ As the risk of death is also driven by comorbidities and not only by bleeding, we advocate comprehensive management, targeting modifiable risk factors such as heart failure, vascular disease, diabetes, hypertension, and CKD. Minor and CRNM bleeding should not be considered benign events, as both are associated with a higher risk of death than no bleeding.

Conclusions

In patients with AF, use of NOACs rather than VKAs is associated with lower risks of all-cause death, all bleeding categories, and major bleeding. Half of all deaths after major bleeding occurred within the first month (one-third within the first day), predominantly from intracranial hemorrhage. Major bleeding was associated with the highest risk of death, and CRNM and minor bleeding were associated with a higher risk of death than nobleeding patients. Underlying comorbidities that also affect the risk of death warrant comprehensive medical management of AF.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the physicians, nurses, and patients involved in the GARFIELD-AF registry. Programming support was provided by Madhusudana Rao (TRI, London, United Kingdom). Editorial support was provided by Surekha Damineni (TRI).

The GARFIELD-AF registry is sponsored by the Thrombosis Research Institute, London, United Kingdom, and is supported by an unrestricted research grant from Bayer AG, Berlin, Germany. The work is supported by the Kantor Charitable Foundation for the Kantor-Kakkar Global Centre for Thrombosis Science.

Authorship

Contributions: J.-P.B., F.W.A.V., A.J.C., K.A.A.F., S.Z.G., S.G., S.H., W.H., F.M., A.G.G.T., M.v.E., and A.K.K. contributed to the study design; S.V. and K.S.P. analyzed the data; and all authors supervised the data analysis, provided the interpretation of results, contributed to the drafting and critical review of the manuscript, and approved the final draft.

Conflict-of-interest disclosure: F.W.A.V. has received grants from Bayer Healthcare and personal fees from Bayer Healthcare, BMS/Pfizer, Daiichi-Sankyo, and Boehringer-Ingelheim. A.J.C. has received institutional grants and personal fees from Bayer, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Pfizer/BMS, and Daiichi-Sankyo. F.C. reports minor speaking and consultancy fees from Boehringer-Ingelheim, Bayer, Daiichi-Sankyo, BMS, and Pfizer outside the submitted work. K.A.A.F. reports grants and personal fees from Bayer/Janssen AstraZeneca and personal fees from Sanofi/Regeneron outside the submitted work. S.Z.G. has received research support from BiO2 Medical, Boehringer-Ingelheim, BMS, Boston Scientific, Daiichi, Janssen, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, and the Thrombosis Research Institute and has served as a consultant for Agile, Bayer, Boehringer-Ingelheim, BMS, Daiichi, Janssen, Portola, and Zafgen. S.G. has received personal fees from the Thrombosis Research Institute, Harvard University, and the American Heart Association and grants from the Vehicle Racing Commemorative Foundation, Nakatani Foundation for Advancement of Measuring Technologies in Biomedical Engineering, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Sanofi, Ono, and Pfizer. S.H. has received personal fees from Aspen, Bayer Healthcare, BMS/Pfizer, Daiichi-Sankyo, and Sanofi. F.M. is an employee of Bayer AG. K.S.P. reports personal fees from the Thrombosis Research Institute during the conduct of the study. A.G.G.T. has received personal fees from Bayer Healthcare, Janssen Pharmaceutical Research & Development, Astellas, and Portola. A.K.K. has received grants from Bayer AG and Sanofi and personal fees from Bayer AG, Janssen, Pfizer, Sanofi, Verseon, and Anthos Therapeutics. The remaining authors declare no competing financial interests.

A complete list of the GARFIELD-AF Investigators appears in the supplemental appendix.

ORCID profiles: M.B., 0000-0002-4199-4019; A.J.C., 0000-0002-2536-2871; K.A.A.F., 0000-0002-0140-2752; S.G., 0000-0002-6821-1504; F.M., 0000-0003-1366-7958.

Correspondence: Jean-Pierre Bassand, Department of Cardiology, EA3920, University Hospital Jean Minjoz, Boulevard Fleming, 25000 Besançon, France; e-mail: jpbassand@tri-london.ac.uk

References

- 1. Hart RG, Pearce LA, Aguilar MI. Meta-analysis: antithrombotic therapy to prevent stroke in patients who have nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. Ann Intern Med. 2007;146(12):857-867.
- 2. Stroke prevention in atrial fibrillation study. Final results. Circulation. 1991;84(2):527-539.
- Olesen JB, Lip GY, Lindhardsen J, et al. Risks of thromboembolism and bleeding with thromboprophylaxis in patients with atrial fibrillation: A net clinical benefit analysis using a "real world" nationwide cohort study. *Thromb Haemost.* 2011;106(4):739-749.
- 4. Eikelboom JW, Wallentin L, Connolly SJ, et al. Risk of bleeding with 2 doses of dabigatran compared with warfarin in older and younger patients with atrial fibrillation: an analysis of the randomized evaluation of long-term anticoagulant therapy (RE-LY) trial. *Circulation.* 2011;123(21):2363-2372.
- Flaker GC, Eikelboom JW, Shestakovska O, et al. Bleeding during treatment with aspirin versus apixaban in patients with atrial fibrillation unsuitable for warfarin: the apixaban versus acetylsalicylic acid to prevent stroke in atrial fibrillation patients who have failed or are unsuitable for vitamin K antagonist treatment (AVERROES) trial. *Stroke*. 2012;43(12):3291-3297.
- 6. Gomes T, Mamdani MM, Holbrook AM, Paterson JM, Hellings C, Juurlink DN. Rates of hemorrhage during warfarin therapy for atrial fibrillation. *CMAJ*. 2013;185(2):E121-E127.
- 7. Held C, Hylek EM, Alexander JH, et al. Clinical outcomes and management associated with major bleeding in patients with atrial fibrillation treated with apixaban or warfarin: insights from the ARISTOTLE trial. *Eur Heart J.* 2015;36(20):1264-1272.
- Hylek EM, Held C, Alexander JH, et al. Major bleeding in patients with atrial fibrillation receiving apixaban or warfarin: the ARISTOTLE Trial (apixaban for reduction in stroke and other thromboembolic events in atrial fibrillation): predictors, characteristics, and clinical outcomes. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014; 63(20):2141-2147.
- van Rein N, Heide-Jørgensen U, Lijfering WM, Dekkers OM, Sørensen HT, Cannegieter SC. Major bleeding rates in atrial fibrillation patients on single, dual, or triple antithrombotic therapy. Circulation. 2019;139(6):775-786.

- Wieloch M, Själander A, Frykman V, Rosenqvist M, Eriksson N, Svensson PJ. Anticoagulation control in Sweden: reports of time in therapeutic range, major bleeding, and thrombo-embolic complications from the national quality registry AuriculA. Eur Heart J. 2011;32(18):2282-2289.
- 11. O'Brien EC, Holmes DN, Thomas LE, et al. Prognostic significance of nuisance bleeding in anticoagulated patients with atrial fibrillation. *Circulation*. 2018;138(9):889-897.
- 12. Connolly SJ, Eikelboom J, Joyner C, et al; AVERROES Steering Committee and Investigators. Apixaban in patients with atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med. 2011;364(9):806-817.
- Giugliano RP, Ruff CT, Braunwald E, et al; ENGAGE AF-TIMI 48 Investigators. Edoxaban versus warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med. 2013;369(22):2093-2104.
- 14. Granger CB, Alexander JH, McMurray JJ, et al; ARISTOTLE Committees and Investigators. Apixaban versus warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation. *N Engl J Med.* 2011;365(11):981-992.
- 15. Patel MR, Mahaffey KW, Garg J, et al; ROCKET AF Investigators. Rivaroxaban versus warfarin in nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med. 2011; 365(10):883-891.
- 16. Ruff CT, Giugliano RP, Braunwald E, et al. Comparison of the efficacy and safety of new oral anticoagulants with warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation: a meta-analysis of randomised trials. *Lancet.* 2014;383(9921):955-962.
- 17. Kakkar AK, Mueller I, Bassand JP, et al. International longitudinal registry of patients with atrial fibrillation at risk of stroke: global anticoagulant registry in the FIELD (GARFIELD). Am Heart J. 2012;163(1):13-19.e1.
- 18. Kakkar AK, Mueller I, Bassand JP, et al; GARFIELD Registry Investigators. Risk profiles and antithrombotic treatment of patients newly diagnosed with atrial fibrillation at risk of stroke: perspectives from the international, observational, prospective GARFIELD registry. *PLoS One.* 2013;8(5):e63479.
- Bassand JP, Accetta G, Camm AJ, et al; GARFIELD-AF Investigators. Two-year outcomes of patients with newly diagnosed atrial fibrillation: results from GARFIELD-AF. Eur Heart J. 2016;37(38):2882-2889.
- Fox KAA, Lucas JE, Pieper KS, et al; GARFIELD-AF Investigators. Improved risk stratification of patients with atrial fibrillation: an integrated GARFIELD-AF tool for the prediction of mortality, stroke and bleed in patients with and without anticoagulation. BMJ Open. 2017;7(12):e017157.
- 21. Fox KAA, Gersh BJ, Traore S, et al; GARFIELD-AF Investigators. Evolving quality standards for large-scale registries: the GARFIELD-AF experience. Eur Heart J Qual Care Clin Outcomes. 2017;3(2):114-122.
- 22. Bassand JP, Virdone S, Goldhaber SZ, et al. Early risks of death, stroke/systemic embolism, and major bleeding in patients with newly diagnosed atrial fibrillation. *Circulation*. 2019;139(6):787-798.
- 23. Li F, Morgan KL, Zaslavsky AM. Balancing covariates via propensity score weighting. J Am Stat Assoc. 2018;113(521):390-400.
- 24. Shen AY, Yao JF, Brar SS, Jorgensen MB, Chen W. Racial/ethnic differences in the risk of intracranial hemorrhage among patients with atrial fibrillation. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2007;50(4):309-315.
- 25. Hori M, Matsumoto M, Tanahashi N, et al. Rivaroxaban vs. warfarin in Japanese patients with atrial fibrillation: the J-ROCKET AF study. Circulation. 2012; 76:2104-2111.
- 26. Yamashita T, Koretsune Y, Yang Y, et al. Edoxaban vs. warfarin in East Asian patients with atrial fibrillation: an ENGAGE AF-TIMI 48 subanalysis. Circ J. 2016;80(4):860-869.
- Goto S, Zhu J, Liu L, et al; ARISTOTLE Investigators. Efficacy and safety of apixaban compared with warfarin for stroke prevention in patients with atrial fibrillation from East Asia: a subanalysis of the Apixaban for Reduction in Stroke and Other Thromboembolic Events in Atrial Fibrillation (ARISTOTLE) Trial. Am Heart J. 2014;168(3):303-309.
- 28. Bassand JP, Accetta G, Al Mahmeed W, et al; GARFIELD-AF Investigators. Risk factors for death, stroke, and bleeding in 28,628 patients from the GARFIELD-AF registry: Rationale for comprehensive management of atrial fibrillation. *PLoS One*. 2018;13(1):e0191592.
- Atarashi H, Inoue H, Okumura K, Yamashita T, Kumagai N, Origasa H; J-RHYTHM Registry Investigators. Present status of anticoagulation treatment in Japanese patients with atrial fibrillation: a report from the J-RHYTHM Registry. Circ J. 2011;75(6):1328-1333.
- 30. Inoue H. Thromboembolism in patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation: comparison between Asian and Western countries. J Cardiol. 2013;61(1):1-7.
- 31. JCS Joint Working Group. Guidelines for pharmacotherapy of atrial fibrillation (JCS 2008): digest version. Circ J. 2010;74(11):2479-2500.
- Hicks T, Stewart F, Eisinga A. NOACs versus warfarin for stroke prevention in patients with AF: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Open Heart. 2016; 3(1):e000279.
- Tereshchenko LG, Henrikson CA, Cigarroa J, Steinberg JS. Comparative effectiveness of interventions for stroke prevention in atrial fibrillation: a network meta-analysis. J Am Heart Assoc. 2016;5(5):5.
- Larsen TB, Skjøth F, Nielsen PB, Kjældgaard JN, Lip GYH. Comparative effectiveness and safety of non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulants and warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation: propensity weighted nationwide cohort study. BMJ. 2016;353:i3189.
- 35. Yao X, Abraham NS, Sangaralingham LR, et al. Effectiveness and safety of dabigatran, rivaroxaban, and apixaban versus warfarin in nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. J Am Heart Assoc. 2016;5(6):5.
- 36. Graham DJ, Baro E, Zhang R, et al. Comparative stroke, bleeding, and mortality risks in older medicare patients treated with oral anticoagulants for nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. *Am J Med.* 2019;132(5):596-604.e11.
- 37. Steinberg BA, Simon DN, Thomas L, et al; Outcomes Registry for Better Informed Treatment of Atrial Fibrillation (ORBIT-AF) Investigators and Patients. Management of major bleeding in patients with atrial fibrillation treated with non-vitamin k antagonist oral anticoagulants compared with warfarin in clinical practice (from phase II of the Outcomes Registry for Better Informed Treatment of Atrial Fibrillation [ORBIT-AF II]). Am J Cardiol. 2017;119(10): 1590-1595.

- Fox KAA, Accetta G, Pieper KS, et al; GARFIELD-AF Investigators. Why are outcomes different for registry patients enrolled prospectively and retrospectively? Insights from the global anticoagulant registry in the FIELD-Atrial Fibrillation (GARFIELD-AF). Eur Heart J Qual Care Clin Outcomes. 2018;4(1):27-35.
- 39. Coleman CI, Vaitsiakhovich T, Nguyen E, et al. Agreement between coding schemas used to identify bleeding-related hospitalizations in claims analyses of nonvalvular atrial fibrillation patients. *Clin Cardiol.* 2018;41(1):119-125.
- 40. Connolly SJ, Ezekowitz MD, Yusuf S, et al; RE-LY Steering Committee and Investigators. Dabigatran versus warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation. *N Engl J Med.* 2009;361(12):1139-1151.
- 41. Fox KAA, Velentgas P, Camm AJ, et al; GARFIELD-AF Investigators. Outcomes associated with oral anticoagulants plus antiplatelets in patients with newly diagnosed atrial fibrillation. *JAMA Netw Open.* 2020;3(2):e200107.
- 42. Hankey GJ, Stevens SR, Piccini JP, et al; ROCKET AF Steering Committee and Investigators. Intracranial hemorrhage among patients with atrial fibrillation anticoagulated with warfarin or rivaroxaban: the rivaroxaban once daily, oral, direct factor Xa inhibition compared with vitamin K antagonism for prevention of stroke and embolism trial fibrillation. *Stroke.* 2014;45(5):1304-1312.
- 43. Bassand JP, Afzal R, Eikelboom J, et al; OASIS 5 and OASIS 6 Investigators. Relationship between baseline haemoglobin and major bleeding complications in acute coronary syndromes. *Eur Heart J.* 2010;31(1):50-58.
- Chang S-H, Chou JJ, Yeh Y-H, et al. Association between use of non-vitamin K oral anticoagulants with and without concurrent medications and risk of major bleeding in nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. JAMA. 2017;318(13):1250-1259.