Peripheral T-cell lymphoma: molecular profiling recognizes subclasses and identifies prognostic markers

Marta Rodríguez,^{1,2,*} Ruth Alonso-Alonso,^{1,2,*} Laura Tomás-Roca,^{1,*} Socorro M. Rodríguez-Pinilla,^{1,2} Rebeca Manso-Alonso,¹ Laura Cereceda,^{1,2} Jennifer Borregón,¹ Teresa Villaescusa,³ Raúl Córdoba,^{2,3} Margarita Sánchez-Beato,^{2,4} Ismael Fernández-Miranda,⁴ Isabel Betancor,¹ Carmen Bárcena,⁵ Juan F. García,^{2,6} Manuela Mollejo,^{2,7} Mónica García-Cosio,^{2,8} Paloma Martin-Acosta,^{2,9} Fina Climent,¹⁰ Dolores Caballero,¹¹ Lorena de la Fuente,^{12,13} Pablo Mínguez,¹²⁻¹⁴ Linda Kessler,¹⁵ Catherine Scholz,¹⁵ Antonio Gualberto,¹⁵ Rufino Mondéjar,^{2,16} and Miguel A. Piris^{1,2}

¹Pathology Department, Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria Fundación Jiménez Díaz, Madrid, Spain; ²Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Cáncer (CIBERONC), ISCIII, Madrid, Spain; ³Lymphoma Unit, Department of Hematology, Fundación Jiménez Díaz University Hospital, Health Research Institute IIS-FJD, Madrid, Spain; ⁴Lymphoma Research Group, Medical Oncology Department, Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria Puerta de Hierro-Segovia de Arana, Madrid, Spain; ⁵Pathology Department, Hospital Universitario 12 de Octubre, Madrid, Spain; ⁶Pathology Department, Hospital MD Anderson Cancer Center, Madrid, Spain; ⁷Pathology Department, Hospital Virgen de la Salud, Toledo, Spain; ⁸Pathology Department, Hospital Universitario Ramón y Cajal, Madrid, Spain; ⁹Pathology Department, Hospital Universitario Puerta de Hierro-Segovia de Arana, Madrid, Spain; ¹⁰Pathology Department, Hospital Universitario Ramón y Cajal, Madrid, Spain; ⁹Pathology Department, Hospital Universitario Puerta de Hierro-Segovia de Arana, Madrid, Spain; ¹⁰Pathology Department, Hospital Universitario Bellvitge, IDIBELL, L'Hospitalet de Llobregat, Barcelona, Spain; ¹¹Haematology Department, Hospitalario Universitario de Salamanca (HUS/IBSAL), Salamanca, Spain; ¹²Bioinformatics Unit, Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria-Fundación Jiménez Díaz University Hospital, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid (IIS-FJD, UAM), Madrid, Spain; ¹³Department of Genetics, Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria-Fundación Jiménez Díaz University Hospital, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid (IIS-FJD, UAM), Madrid, Spain; ¹⁴Center for Biomedical Network Research on Rare Diseases (CIBERER), ISCIII, Madrid, Spain; ¹⁵Kura Oncology Inc., San Diego, CA; and ¹⁶UGC Laboratorios, Hospital Universitario de Puerto Real, Cádiz, Spain

Key Points

- Gene expression and mutational analysis confirm the differences among the 3 peripheral TCL subclasses: AITL, PTCL-NOS, and PTCL-TFH.
- The expression of a gene set, including
 B-cell genes, is an IPI-independent prognostic factor for AITL cases.

Peripheral T-cell lymphoma (PTCL) is a clinically aggressive disease, with a poor response to therapy and a low overall survival rate of approximately 30% after 5 years. We have analyzed a series of 105 cases with a diagnosis of PTCL using a customized NanoString platform (NanoString Technologies, Seattle, WA) that includes 208 genes associated with T-cell differentiation, oncogenes and tumor suppressor genes, deregulated pathways, and stromal cell subpopulations. A comparative analysis of the various histological types of PTCL (angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma [AITL]; PTCL with T follicular helper [TFH] phenotype; PTCL not otherwise specified [NOS]) showed that specific sets of genes were associated with each of the diagnoses. These included TFH markers, cytotoxic markers, and genes whose expression was a surrogate for specific cellular subpopulations, including follicular dendritic cells, mast cells, and genes belonging to precise survival (NF-κB) and other pathways. Furthermore, the mutational profile was analyzed using a custom panel that targeted 62 genes in 76 cases distributed in AITL, PTCL-TFH, and PTCL-NOS. The main differences among the 3 nodal PTCL classes involved the RHOAGITV mutations (P < .0001), which were approximately twice as frequent in AITL (34.09%) as in PTCL-TFH (16.66%) cases but were not detected in PTCL-NOS. A multivariate analysis identified gene sets that allowed the series of cases to be stratified into different risk groups. This study supports and validates the current division of PTCL into these 3 categories, identifies sets of markers that can be used for a more precise diagnosis, and recognizes the expression of B-cell genes as an IPI-independent prognostic factor for AITL.

Submitted 17 May 2021; accepted 13 August 2021; prepublished online on *Blood Advances* First Edition 30 September 2021; final verstion published online 20 December 2021. DOI 10.1182/bloodadvances.2021005171.

*M.R., R.A.-A., and L.T.-R. contributed equally to this article.

The microarray data have been deposited in BioProject (accession number PRJNA752277); https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/752277.

Data associated with this study are available upon request by emailing marta. rodriguezm@quironsalud.es.

The full-text version of this article contains a data supplement.

^{© 2021} by The American Society of Hematology. Licensed under Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), permitting only noncommercial, nonderivative use with attribution. All other rights reserved.

Introduction

Peripheral T-cell lymphoma (PTCL) is a clinically aggressive disease, with a poor response to therapy and an approximate 5-year overall survival (OS) of only 30%.¹ Nodal PTCL is not considered a single disease but rather a group of distinct disorders, including angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma (AITL), PTCL not otherwise specified (NOS), and PTCL with T follicular helper (TFH) phenotype. Gene expression profiling studies have identified proliferation as a prognostic marker² and delineated biological and prognostic subgroups within PTCL-NOS (PTCL-GATA3 and PTCL-TBX21).³

Histological study of PTCL has revealed significant stromal participation, with the presence of follicular dendritic cells (FDCs), eosinophils, plasma cells, and high endothelial venules, in which B cells and Epstein-Barr virus play a role in PTCL pathogenesis. Molecular studies have confirmed that monoclonal mutated T cells comprise a minor part of the tumor mass in most cases and, definitively, in AITL cases.^{4,5}

Differential diagnosis of these 3 main subtypes of PTCL involves a combination of clinical, histological, and immunohistochemical markers but frequently requires more specific markers and has relatively low reproducibility.⁶ Several projects have since evaluated whether gene expression analysis could improve diagnostic accuracy by delineating specific T-cell lymphoma classes or by identifying more precise prognostic models.^{2,3,7-10} Nevertheless, the need for reliable diagnostic and prognostic markers in PTCL/AITL remains partially unmet.

We have analyzed a series of 105 cases with a diagnosis of PTCL using a customized NanoString platform that includes 208 genes related to T-cell differentiation, oncogenes and tumor suppressor genes, deregulated pathways, and stromal cell subpopulations. Specifically, the platform includes genes expressed by the cell types present in PTCL and AITL specimens, together with normal T-cell populations, for the purposes of facilitating the deconvolution of the T-cell lymphoma microenvironment, and developing an integrated view of the cell composition of PTCL tumoral specimens. We have also analyzed the mutational profile using a custom panel targeting 62 genes in 76 cases distributed in AITL, PTCL-TFH, and PTCL-NOS.

The data generated in this manner were used to identify the signature characteristics of the different types of PTCL (AITL, PTCL-NOS, and PTCL-TFH) and identify prognostic markers.

Results were validated using a separate group of 54 patients with PTCL.

Methods

Study design and patients

One hundred five patients with PTCL were included in the study, which was developed in collaboration with several Spanish hospitals whose work complied with the clinical protocols of the Grupo Español de Linfomas y Trasplante Autólogo de Médula Ósea (GEL-TAMO) Group, under the supervision of the Fundación Jiménez Díaz (FJD) local ethics committee, and in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissue sections from diagnostic biopsies were collected at the time of diagnosis before CHOP (cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone), CHOP-like, or CHOEP (cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, etoposide, vincristine, and prednisone, for treating patients younger than 60 years) regimens. We analyzed 66 patients with AITL, 21 patients with PTCL-NOS, and 18 patients with PTCL-TFH. The main clinical characteristics of the patients are shown in supplemental Table 1. Diagnoses were made using whole sections. All cases were reviewed, and a consensus diagnosis was made by 2 expert hematopathologists (S.M.R.-P., M.A.P.) following the 2017 World Health Organization Guidelines. All cases were nodal PTCL.

The validation series of 54 patients with PTCL comprised 27 AITL, 18 PTCL-NOS, and 9 PTCL-TFH cases. Clinical and molecular features, follow-up time, and current status are summarized in supplemental Table 1. Samples were obtained following the same requirements as those described for the discovery series.

Moreover, the mutational profile was analyzed using a custom panel that targeted 62 genes in 76 cases distributed in 44 patients with AITL, 18 patients with PTCL-TFH, and 14 patients with PTCL-NOS (supplemental Appendix).

nCounter gene-expression assay

Gene-expression profiling was performed with nCounter Technology (NanoString Technologies). Total RNA from 105 FFPE sections from diagnostic samples was isolated using a truXTRAC FFPE total NA kit (Covaris Inc., Woburn, MA) following the manufacturer's instructions (supplemental Appendix).

Targeted next-generation sequencing

Genomic DNA was extracted from FFPE using a truXTRAC FFPE DNA Kit (Covaris Inc.) following the manufacturer's instructions.

The SureSelect target enrichment custom panel (3110861) was designed using the SureDesign (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) web-based tool. The 62 genes included have previously been described as mutated in PTCL (supplemental Table 3). The designs covered coding exons of the selected genes. The targeted regions (according to Human Assembly GRCh37/hg19) were captured using a SureSelect Target Enrichment Low Input System (Agilent Technologies), as described in the manufacturer's instructions. Captured libraries were diluted to 1.3 pM for Illumina clustering, and paired-end sequencing was performed on a NextSeq500 sequencer (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA).

Somatic single nucleotide variants (SNVs) were identified in each sample following the best practices GATK workflow for calling somatic mutations, which involves aligning reads with the Burrows-Wheeler Aligner (version 0.7.17) and SNV detection and filtering using Mutect2¹¹ (supplemental Appendix).

In general, we excluded variants with a variant alelle frequency (VAF) of <5% because we expect these variants to be artifacts due to the sensitivity of the NGS technique. In addition, we have excluded variants with a VAF of >40% because earlier published findings led us to expect them to be germline mutations. Previously identified specific mutations, such as $RHOA^{G17V}$ and IDH2 (R172), were accepted with a threshold of 1% VAF.

Tissue microarrays and immunostaining

Immunohistochemistry with specific antibodies was performed for clinical diagnosis (antibodies listed in supplemental Table 4). Specifically, we used *PD1*, *CXCL13*, and *ICOS* as TFH markers. All PTCL-TFH cases expressed at least 2 TFH cell markers.

Tissue microarrays were designed as previously described, using two 0.6-mm tissue cores per case taken from archival FFPE tumor blocks (supplemental Appendix).

Survival analysis

First, we divided the data of the 159 patients into a discovery (training) set (n = 105) and a validation set (n = 54) at random in a 2:1 ratio. Survival data were available for 105 patients with PTCL from the discovery series and for 54 patients with PTCL from the validation series (supplemental Appendix). Survival data were available for 75 of the 76 patients sequenced.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using R 3.5.2 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria), IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows version 21 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY), and GraphPad Prism 8.4.0 (San Diego, CA) (supplemental Appendix).

Results

General features of the PTCL series

The clinical characteristics of the discovery series are summarized in supplemental Table 1. The series includes 105 patients diagnosed with PTCL, subdivided into 66 patients with AITL, 21 patients with PTCL-NOS, and 18 patients with PTCL with the TFH phenotype, all of whom were treated with curative intention following the guidelines of the GELTAMO group.¹²

Most cases were diagnosed as AITL (n = 66), the others being roughly equally split between PTCL-NOS (n = 21) and PTCL-TFH (n = 18). The median age for all patients was 67 years (range: 26-88 years) (supplemental Table 1). Mostly, the initial therapeutic approaches were CHOP, CHOP-like, and CHOEP regimens for the most common PTCL subtypes.

Survival probability for the whole series was 47.92% at 32 months, with a median follow-up of 32 months (range: 1-177 months).

Immunohistochemical analyses were performed in whole sections of all 105 samples. The tissue microarrays and immunohistochemistry were performed as a part of the histopathological review. We did not find any significant differences between histological diagnosis and either OS or time to progression (TTP) (data not shown).



Figure 1. Distribution of mutations in the 62 analyzed genes in the whole series of 76 tumor samples. Analysis of genomic alterations by target sequencing panel for primary lymphomas in patients with AITL, PTCL-TFH, and PTCL-NOS. A total of 46 368,287 mapped reads were generated, and 81.8% of the total bases were aligned to the complete human genome (UCSC hg19, GRCh37, February 2009). The mean coverage was 993X (range: 31-1379) for tumor samples. Rows correspond to sequenced genes; columns represent individual PTCL patients. Color coding: blue, missense; green, synonymous; purple, frameshift; red, stop gained; yellow, splice sit; pink, UTR; orange, in frame; gray, start gained.



Figure 2. Most frequently mutated genes (%) in the whole cohort. They are subdivided as AITL (orange), PTCL-TFH (green), and PTCL-NOS (purple).

Mutational analysis

Of the 76 sequenced cases, 44 were classified as AITL, 18 as PTCL-TFH, and 14 as PTCL-NOS. A total of 46 368,287 mapped reads were generated, and 81.8% of all the bases were aligned to the complete human genome (UCSC hg19, GRCh37, February 2009). The mean coverage was 993X (range: 31-1379) for tumor samples. The mean coverage depth for each tumor sample was calculated by HsMetrics (Picard Tools, Broad Institute, Cambridge, MA). This tool was used to analyze the results of the target-capture sequencing experiments. Twenty-seven of the 76 sequenced tumor cases from the entire series (35.53%) had values greater than the mean. The mean coverage in the coding region was the same as the mean coverage, and the same number of samples was greater than the mean.

Figure 1 and supplemental Table 5 summarize the details of the SNVs. After filtering, we observed a complex genomic landscape, defined by the presence of an average of 4 SNVs per sample (range: 1-20). We identified SNVs in 52 of the 62 genes (83.87%) analyzed. As described previously,^{3,13-15} some cases have more than 1 SNV per gene, this phenomenon being most frequently observed in *TET2* gene, although we also observed multiple mutations in *VAV1*, *RHOA*, *NOTCH1*, *MTOR*, *JAK1*, *ZEB1*, *ATM*, *DNMT3A*, and *ARID1B*. Only 2 AITL samples had no SNVs remaining after filtering.

The most recurrently mutated genes in the overall series were *TET2* (61.84%), *DNMT3A* (30.26%), *RHOA*^{G17V} (23.68%), *IDH2* (21.05%), *ARID1B* (17.1%), *VAV1* (15.78%), *MTOR* (14.47%),

NOTCH1 (13.15%), and *ZEB1* (10.52%), although the order of their frequencies differed between tumor groups. Mutations in the DNA methylation regulator *TET2* gene were the most common among the 3 nodal T-cell lymphoma types.

The main difference among the 3 nodal PTCL classes was the higher frequency of $RHOA^{G17V}$ mutations (P < .0001). They were present approximately twice as frequently in cases of AITL (34.09%) as in PTCL-TFH (16.66%) but could not be detected in PTCL-NOS. Unlike previous results,¹⁵ the *DNMT3*^{AR882} variant was identified in the 3 subgroups although at low frequencies in AITL (3/44), PTCL-TFH (1/18), and PTCL-NOS (1/14).

We ascribed the mutated genes to 10 pathways (Figure 1). The epigenetic/chromatin remodeling pathway was mutated in 77.22% of all cases, and the T-cell differentiation and PI3K/AKT signaling mTOR pathways were mutated in 60.52% and 31.57% of cases, respectively. With respect to the lymphoma-type subdivision, epigenetic/chromatin remodeling was the most frequently affected pathway in PTCL-NOS (85.71%), AITL (77.27%), and PTCL-TFH (72.22%). However, the T-cell differentiation pathway was more frequently altered in AITL and PTCL-TFH (68.18% and 66.66%, respectively) than in PTCL-NOS subtype (28.57%). In the PTCL-NOS subtype, genetic alterations were also present in the immune surveillance pathway (21.42%) but were absent from the other subtypes. Additional data are shown in Figure 2 and supplemental Table 5.

To study the prognostic impact of gene mutations on the PTCL series, we analyzed the data by Cox proportional hazards





Figure 4. Volcano plots, Venn diagram and trend plot. The upregulated/unchanged/downregulated genes for (A) AITL vs PTCL-NOS, (B) AITL vs PTCL-TFH, and (C) PTCL-TFH vs PTCL-NOS represented by volcano plots. (D) Venn diagram of the 3 entities. (E) Trend plot of cell type scores.

regression. We found no significant differences within the overall series or when specific tumor types were analyzed separately.

Unsupervised gene expression analysis

We studied the gene expression profile using the NanoString Technologies platform. The unsupervised analysis revealed clusters of coregulated genes, which corresponded to the tumor stroma or the neoplastic cells, as shown in Figure 3.

Some of the clusters of coregulated genes corresponded to the following:

Major histocompatibility complex-associated genes (*B2M*, *HLA-DRB1*, *HLA-DPA1*, *HLA-DPB1*, *HLA-DQA1*, and *HLA-DQB1*) Cytotoxic T cells (*PRF1* and *GZMB*) T cells (*CD3D*, *CD3E*, and *TRBC1/2*) B cells (*FAM30A*, *TNFRSF17*, *TCL1A*, and *BLK*) Proliferation genes (*CDK2*, *CDK4*, *CDK6*, *MKi67*, and *PCNA*)

TFH cells (*CXCR5* and *ICOS*) Epstein-Barr virus (*BMLF1*, *BZLF1*, *LMP1*, and *LMP2*) Natural killer cells (*KIR2DS2*, *KIR2DL2*, and *KIR2DL3*)

Other coregulated genes of interest were those defining FDCs, macrophages, and the NF- κ B pathway (classic and alternative), among others (Figure 3).

Unsupervised analysis did not reveal any well-defined clusters related to the tumor subtypes of patients with PTCL. However, most of the AITL cases were members of 2 main clusters, with cases of PTCL-NOS and PTCL-TFH interspersed among the other groups (Figure 3).

Supervised gene expression analysis and genes differentially expressed in PTCL entities

We compared the gene signatures of the different types of PTCL (AITL, PTCL-NOS, and PTCL-TFH).

Comparison between AITL and PTCL-NOS identified 21 differentially expressed genes (FDR < 0.05 and \log_2 FC > 2). Differential

Figure 3. Co-regulated genes, as classified by unsupervised hierarchical clustering analysis, based on the genes included in the NanoString platform. Heatmap depicting gene clusters of coregulated genes that allow the recognition of signatures expressed by tumoral and stromal cells. Each column represents sample categories (AITL in red, PTCL-NOS in blue, and PTCL-TFH in green). Each row represents the expression level of a gene, depicted according to the color scale shown. expression analyses showed 8 significantly upregulated and 4 significantly downregulated genes in AITL (FDR < 0.01 and log₂ FC > 2; Figure 4A). Supplemental Table 6 shows the top 20 genes. Genes defining AITL in this study are those that recognize the TFH phenotype (*CXCL13, CD10 [MME]*), FDCs (*CR2*), mast cells (*HDC*), alternative NF-κB (*MAP3K14 [NIK]*), and others, whereas PTCL-NOS shows a higher level of expression of cytotoxic genes (*NKG7, GZMB, PRF1*) and important immunoregulators, such as *IL-10*.

Eleven genes were differentially expressed (FDR < 0.05 and log₂ FC > 2) between AITL and PTCL-TFH subtypes when we performed a differential gene expression analysis using solver Advanced Data Analysis (NanoString Technologies). We found 8 significantly upregulated genes in the AITL subtype (FDR < 0.01 and log₂ FC > 2; Figure 4B). Supplemental Table 7 indicates the top 20 genes. The analysis showed that AITL cases were characterized by a higher level of expression of genes defining specific T-cell subpopulations such as *CD8A*, *EOMES*, *CD63*, *CD34*, *TGFB1*, and *CCR*7.

When comparing PTCL-TFH and PTCL-NOS, we found 4 genes with a higher level of expression in PTCL-NOS (*GZMB*, *NKG7*, *BATF3*, and *IL-10* with FDR < 0.05 and log₂ FC > 2), whereas the genes upregulated in the PTCL-TFH group identified the TFH phenotype (*PD1*, *CXCL13*, and *ICOS*) and *GATA3* (Figure 4C). Supplemental Table 8 shows the top 20 genes.

Differential expression analysis identified significant differences among the 3 main tumor subtypes. The Venn diagram

shows the number of genes that overlapped and differed among these 3 entities (Figure 4D). All 3 conditions seem to have a relatively large group of genes (AITL: 115 genes; PTCL-NOS: 148 genes; PTCL-TFH: 130 genes) that was specific to each entity.

Genes found to be differentially expressed among the PTCL lymphoma types identified signatures for cell types that characterize each of these tumors. For example, AITL cases had enhanced expression of FDCs, DCs, mast cells, and major histocompatibility complex-associated gene sets, whereas elevated expression of a neutrophil-associated gene set was found in the PTCL-NOS group (Figure 4E).

Box plot comparisons of cell types in the AITL, PTCL-NOS, and PTCL-TFH sample sets showed significantly higher B-cell, T-cell, and vascular scores in the AITL cases (Figure 5A-C). AITL cases and the other PTCL subtypes also showed notably variable expression of this B-cell gene set (*FAM30A*, *TNFRSF17*, *TCL1A*, and *BLK*). The level of expression of a proliferation-associated gene set was higher in the PTCL-TFH subtype (Figure 5D). Finally, a TFH gene set was enriched in AITL and PTCL-TFH (Figure 5E).

Prognostic significance of the gene expression signatures

To study the prognostic impact of the genes and clinical variables on the PTCL series, we analyzed the data by Cox proportional hazards regression. More informative models emerged when specific tumor types were analyzed separately (Model 2, Model 3, and



Figure 5. Box plots of cell type scores of AITL, PTCL-NOS, and PTCL-TFH. Scores for (A) B cells, (B) T cells, and (C) vascular, (D) proliferation, and (E) T-cell follicular helper (TFH) cells.



Figure 6. Kaplan-Meier survival analysis in relation to each prognostic model for OS and TTP. Red and blues lines indicate the high- and low-risk groups, respectively. The vertical bar represents the OS and the TTP probability (%), whereas the horizontal bar represents the follow-up time in months. Patients at risk at the corresponding time point are shown. Statistical significance (*P*) was that associated with the log-rank test. (A-B) AITL, (C-D) PTCL-NOS, and (E-F) PTCL-TFH.

Model 4 for each tumor subtype) than when analyzed together (Model 1; P = .055) (supplemental Table 9).

In AITL cases, analyzing the univariate Cox proportional hazards ratios identified International Prognostic Index (IPI) and age and 5 genes whose expression was significantly associated with differences in OS and TTP probability (supplemental Tables 10 and 11, respectively). These correspond to genes expressed by B cells (*BLK*, *CD19*, *MS4A1*, and *SDC1*) and *IDH1* (P < .05). The Cox multivariate analysis yielded an integrated model including IPI, *BLK*, *CD19*, and *MS4A1*.

In the PTCL-NOS subtype, analyzing the univariate Cox proportional hazards ratios identified IPI and 6 genes whose expression was significantly associated with differences in OS and TTP probability (supplemental Tables 12 and 13, respectively). These were genes involved in proliferation (*MIB1*, *EZF1*, and *SP1*), expressed by monocytes (*ARG1*), related to the NF- κ B pathway (*IKBKB*), and of importance in T-cell lymphoma pathogenesis (*CARD11*) (*P* < .05). The Cox multivariate analysis yielded an integrated model that included *MIB1* and *EZF1*.

In the PTCL-TFH subgroup, the univariate Cox proportional hazards ratio analysis identified IPI and 6 genes whose expression was significantly associated with differences in OS and TTP probability (supplemental Tables 14 and 15, respectively). These were genes expressed by cytotoxic T cells (*GZMA*, *PRF1*, *KLRB1*, and *NKG7*), monocytes (*CD163*), and TFH (*CXCR5*) cells (P < .05). The Cox multivariate analysis yielded an integrated model that included IPI, *GZMA*, and *KLRB1*.

In a subsequent analysis, taking the median risk score as the cutpoint, 66 patients with AITL in the discovery set were divided into high-risk (greater than the median risk score) and low-risk (less than or equal to the median) groups. The 21 patients with PTCL-NOS and 18 PTCL-TFH cases from the discovery series were analyzed in the same way. Kaplan-Meier (K-M) survival curve analyses were conducted for each patient group, which showed that both the OS and the TTP of the 3 groups of lymphoma types in the high-risk group were significantly lower than for the low-risk group (P < .05; Figure 6A-F). OS probabilities for AITL cases, stratified using the integrated model, were 75% (HR, 0.35; 95% Cl, 0.14-0.85) for the low-risk group and 30% (HR, 2.73; 95% Cl, 1.37-6.86) for the high-risk group. TTP survival probability was 70% (HR, 0.32; 95% Cl, 0.16-0.92) for the low-risk group and 20% (HR, 2.08; 95% Cl, 1.42-6.68) for the high-risk group. K-M survival curves showed 75% and 30% OS for the low-risk and high-risk groups, respectively. The TTP survival probabilities were 70% for the low-risk group and 20% for the high-risk group. These percentages were selected using the differences between the log-rank test typically reported for the Cox regression model, which is estimated by maximizing likelihood or partial likelihood.

Separate K-M survival curve analyses were performed for prognostic models, including B-cell genes and IPI in the AITL group. Taking the median risk score as the cut-point, 21 patients with PTCL-NOS in the discovery series were divided into high-risk (11 samples, greater than the median risk score) and low-risk (10 samples, less than or equal to the median) groups. Expression of these genes and IPI identified significantly shorter OS and TTP in the high-risk group



Figure 7. Kaplan-Meier survival analysis for OS and TTP in the validation series. Red and blues lines indicate the high- and low-risk groups, respectively. The vertical bar represents the TTP probability (%), whereas the horizontal bar represents the follow-up time in months. Patients at risk at the corresponding time point are shown. Statistical significance (*P*) was that associated with the log-rank test. (A-B) AITL.

than in the low-risk group (P < .01) (Figure 6A-B). Analyses were also performed for models of proliferation genes in the PTCL-NOS subtype. Taking the median risk score as the cut-point, 18 patients with PTCL-TFH in the discovery series were divided into high-risk (9 cases) and low-risk (9 samples) groups. Expression of these genes identified significantly shorter OS and TTP in the high-risk than in the low-risk group (P < .01) (Figure 6C-D. Finally, IPI and cytotoxic T-cell genes in PTCL-TFH cases were analyzed. Expression of these genes identified significantly shorter OS and TTP in the high-risk than in the low-risk group (P < .01) (Figure 6E-F).

We also specifically analyzed the potential prognostic value of *GATA3* and *TBX21* expression in the cases in the PTCL-NOS category. No significant association was found with differences in survival probability (log-rank test, P = .082 for OS and P = .087 for TTP). However, the number of patients with this diagnosis was relatively small (21).

Mutational data were not found to be associated with differences in either OS or TTP.

Validation of the prognostic models in an independent PTCL series

To validate the clinical outcome prediction models, a validation series including FFPE samples from 54 patients with PTCL (27 AITL, 18 PTCL-NOS, and 9 PTC-TFH cases) was analyzed.

The characteristics of the validation set are summarized in supplemental Table 1. All patients were treated with CHOP, CHOP-like, or CHOEP regimens. The median age of the patients was 64.5 years (range: 31-88 years). The proportional survival of the whole series was 44.46% at 83 months, with a median follow-up of 83 months (range: 1-237 months).

The prognostic models were then applied to the validation series. To assess whether each model can be validated, we first scored 54 patients and divided them into high- and low-risk groups according to the scores, with the median risk score as the cut-point. In the AITL group, analysis of OS identified the following HRs: low risk, 0.29 (95% Cl, 0.12-0.71) and high risk, 3.15 (95% Cl, 1.39-5.05). The TTP group had the following HR values: low risk, 0.27 (95% Cl, 0.11-0.51) and high risk, 3.17 (95% Cl, 1.25-6.19). In the AITL entity, the difference in survival between the high- and low-risk

groups was analyzed with a 2-sided log-rank test, which gave roughly similar significant results for OS and TTP (P < .0001) (Figure 7A-B, respectively) from a K-M survival analysis. However, we could not validate the specific prognostic models in the PTCL-NOS and PTCL-TFH validation series (data not shown). Taken together, we found robust evidence that validated the prognostic model in an independent group of patients with AITL.

Discussion

PTCL subclassification and prognostication still lack biologically sound, reproducible markers and instead rely mainly on clinical and analytical characteristics.^{16,17} The exceptions are Ki67¹⁸ and the subclassification into *GATA3/TBX21* PTCL-NOS.^{9,19} This study identifies a set of genes that may be useful for stratifying patients with PTCL and AITL according to their specific risks, thereby aiding the clinical management of these patients. The study was performed in paraffin-embedded samples and thus could be routinely applied.

Unsupervised clustering (Figure 3) shows the existence of coregulated gene sets that define specific components of the stroma and phenotypic features of the neoplastic cells, enabling the deconvolution of the complex tumor samples in terms that allow individual discrete cell subpopulations or subtle changes in the neoplastic phenotype to be identified.

Our results provide a degree of validation of the existence of consistent differences among the 3 major lymphoma subtypes, thus confirming the subclassification proposed by the World Health Organization,²⁰ corroborated through molecular analysis.³ They also identify specific gene sets that may be used for differential diagnosis and reflect differences in the neoplastic cells and/or the tumor microenvironment. Mutational data also confirm differences among the 3 subclasses. There was a higher frequency of *RHOA*^{G17V} mutations (*P* < .0001) in AITL cases, as was also reported recently by Yoon et al.²¹

It was also possible to identify a distinct subgroup of AITL cases, enriched in B cells, with a more favorable prognosis (75% at 60 months). A subset of AITL cases with a more indolent clinical course had been demonstrated in previous clinical and molecular studies.^{9,22,23} A favorable role for the presence of B cells in T-cell lymphoma prognosis was initially proposed by Iqbal and coworkers⁹ after their use of Affymetrix HG-U133 chips in a series of fresh AITL specimens. Our study confirmed these observations using a tool that can be applied in routine FFPE specimens based on the recognition of a B-cell signature that includes *TCL1A*, *PNOC*, *CD19*, *CD20*, and *SPIB* genes. An attempt to reproduce this finding, which was present in 49 of 105 (46.7%) cases, using immunohisto-chemistry for CD20 in paraffin-embedded sections was not successful.

Of the other PTCL subtypes, PTCL-NOS analysis showed that the expression of a set of proliferation-related genes was associated with shorter OS and TTP, as revealed by univariate and multivariate analyses. This was not confirmed in the validation group because the difference was too small to be statistically significant for the low number of cases, but the result was nevertheless consistent with those of other researchers.^{18,24,25}

PTCL-TFH group survival analysis showed that in contrast to the findings in AITL, the presence of a B-cell gene signature was not associated with changes in survival probability. In contrast, the expression of cytotoxic/natural killer markers (*GZMA*, *PRF1*, and *KLRB1*) was associated with shorter OS and TTP although these findings were not confirmed in the validation series.

These series of cases show that the expression of *GATA3/TBX21* is not associated with changes in survival probability, in contrast with the findings of lqbal and coworkers.^{9,19,21} Our findings are consistent with those of Drieux et al.,⁷ although both studies featured relatively small numbers of cases with a diagnosis of PTCL-NOS.

The mutational analysis of the gene panel containing 62 selected genes allowed us to confirm already known variants in PTCL. The mutational profile of AITL, PTCL-NOS, and PTCL-TFH identified variants in *TET2*, *DNMT3A*, and *RHOA*, in line with results of previous studies.^{15,21} However, unlike some previous publications, ^{15,21,26-28} we observed *IDH2* variants in all PTCL groups, albeit with different frequencies, and the *DNMT3*^{AR882} variant was identified in the 3 subgroups but at low frequencies in patients with AITL (3/44), PTCL-TFH (1/18), and PTCL-NOS (1/14). The main difference among the 3 nodal PTCL classes was the higher frequency of *RHOA*^{G17V} mutations. They were present approximately twice as frequently in AITL cases (34.09%) as in PTCL-TFH (16.66%) but could not be detected in cases of PTCL-NOS.^{21,29,30}

In summary, this study identifies gene clusters expressed by the different microenvironmental and neoplastic components of PTCL-NOS, PTCL-TFH, and AITL, including specific gene sets, which can be used with routinely processed paraffin-embedded samples to provide a more specific diagnosis and prognosis.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Kevin Troulé for his bioinformatic support at the Bioinformatics Unit of the Spanish National Cancer Research Center (CNIO) in Madrid and Ignacio Mahillo for his statistical support at the Biostatistics and Epidemiology Unit of Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria-Fundación Jiménez Díaz University Hospital in Madrid. The authors especially thank all the Biobanks and Pathology Departments for their exceptional work collecting and organizing samples. Additionally, the authors are indebted to the patients who contributed to this study.

This work was supported by grants from Instituto de Salud Carlos III, from Ministerio de Economía, Industria y Competitividad, Asociación Española Contra el Cáncer (AECC), Comunidad Autónoma de Madrid and Centre for Biomedical Network Research on Cancer (CIBERONC): SAF2013-47416-R, CIBERONC-ISCIII (CB16/12/00291), ISCIII-MINECO-AES-FEDER (Plan Estatal I + D + I 2013-2016), AECC PROYE18054PIRI, CAM B2017/BMD-3778, PIC97/2017_FJD, PIE15/0081, PIE16/01294, and PI19/00715. R.A.-A. is the recipient of PFIS predoctoral fellowship. L.T.-R. is funded by Marie Skłodowska-Curie Individual Fellowship (No 882597). M.R. is supported by CIBERONC (CB16/12/00291) P.M. has a Miguel Servet contract funded by the ISCIII (CP16/00116). L.d.I.F. was supported by the ISCIII contract CA18/00017.

Authorship

Contribution: M.R., R.A.-A., R.M., and M.A.P. conceived and designed the study; M.R., R.A.-A., S.M.R.-P., L.C., T.V., R.C., M.S.-B., I.B., C.B., J.F.G., M.M., M.G.-C., P.M.-A., F.C., D.C., and R.M. acquired the data; R.A.-A., J.B., and M.R. conducted experiments; M.R., L.T.-R., R.A.-A., L.d.I.F., and P.M. performed data analysis; M.R., L.T.-R., R.A.-A., S.M.R.-P., R.M.-A., I.F.-M., L.T.-R., M.S.-B., L.K., C.S., A.G., R.M., P.M., and M.A.P. interpreted data; R.A.-A., J.B., L.C., I.B., L.d.I.F., and P.M. provided technical assistance; M.R., L.T.-R., and M.A.P. wrote the manuscript. All authors critically read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflict-of-interest disclosure: M.A.P. declares having received lecture fees and advisory board fees from Millenium/Takeda, Jansen, NanoString, Kyowa Kirin, Gilead, and Celgene. The remaining authors declare no competing financial interests.

ORCID profiles: M.R., 0000-0001-8543-6767; R.A-A., 0000-0001-9503-7268; S.M.R-P., 0000-0002-2191-1327; R.C., 0000-0002-7654-8836; M.S.-B., 0000-0002-0058-7599; I.F-M., 0000-0002-5136-7270; P.M-A., 0000-0002-3274-4595; P.M., 0000-0003-4099-9421; L.K., 0000-0002-8677-3252; M.A.P., 0000-0001-5839-3634.

Correspondence: Miguel A. Piris, Department of Pathology, Hospital Universitario Fundación Jiménez Díaz, Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria Fundación Jiménez Díaz, Avenida Reyes Católicos, 2, E-28040, Madrid, Spain; e-mail: miguel.piris@ quironsalud.es.

References

- 1. Mourad N, Mounier N, Brière J, et al; Groupe d'Etude des Lymphomes de l'Adulte. Clinical, biologic, and pathologic features in 157 patients with angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma treated within the Groupe d'Etude des Lymphomes de l'Adulte (GELA) trials. *Blood.* 2008;111(9):4463-4470.
- 2. Cuadros M, Dave SS, Jaffe ES, et al. Identification of a proliferation signature related to survival in nodal peripheral T-cell lymphomas. *J Clin Oncol.* 2007;25(22):3321-3329.

- 3. Heavican TB, Bouska A, Yu J, et al. Genetic drivers of oncogenic pathways in molecular subgroups of peripheral T-cell lymphoma. *Blood.* 2019; 133(15):1664-1676.
- 4. Ondrejka SL, Grzywacz B, Bodo J, et al. Angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphomas with the RHOA p.Gly17val mutation have classic clinical and pathologic features. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 2016;40(3):335-341.
- 5. Sakata-Yanagimoto M, Enami T, Yoshida K, et al. Somatic RHOA mutation in angioimmunoblastic T cell lymphoma. *Nat Genet.* 2014;46(2):171-175.
- 6. Laurent C, Baron M, Amara N, et al. Impact of expert pathologic review of lymphoma diagnosis: study of patients from the French lymphopath network. J Clin Oncol. 2017;35(18):2008-2017.
- 7. Drieux F, Ruminy P, Abdel-Sater A, et al. Defining signatures of peripheral T-cell lymphoma with a targeted 20-marker gene expression profiling assay. *Haematologica*. 2020;105(6):1582-1592.
- 8. Maura F, Agnelli L, Leongamornlert D, et al. Integration of transcriptional and mutational data simplifies the stratification of peripheral T-cell lymphoma. *Am J Hematol.* 2019;94(6):628-634.
- 9. Iqbal J, Wright G, Wang C, et al; Lymphoma Leukemia Molecular Profiling Project and the International Peripheral T-cell Lymphoma Project. Gene expression signatures delineate biological and prognostic subgroups in peripheral T-cell lymphoma. *Blood.* 2014;123(19):2915-2923.
- Piccaluga PP, Agostinelli C, Califano A, et al. Gene expression analysis of peripheral T cell lymphoma, unspecified, reveals distinct profiles and new potential therapeutic targets. J Clin Invest. 2007;117(3):823-834.
- 11. Benjamin D, Sato T, Cibulskis K, Getz G, Stewart C, Lichtenstein L. Calling somatic SNVs and indels with mutect2. bioRxiv 861054. .
- Rodríguez J, Conde E, Gutiérrez A, et al; Grupo Español de Linfomas/Trasplante Autólogo de Médula Osea. Prolonged survival of patients with angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma after high-dose chemotherapy and autologous stem cell transplantation: the GELTAMO experience. Eur J Haematol. 2007;78(4):290-296.
- 13. Gunawardana J, Chan FC, Telenius A, et al. Recurrent somatic mutations of PTPN1 in primary mediastinal B cell lymphoma and Hodgkin lymphoma. *Nat Genet.* 2014;46(4):329-335.
- 14. Mata E, Díaz-López A, Martín-Moreno AM, et al. Analysis of the mutational landscape of classic Hodgkin lymphoma identifies disease heterogeneity and potential therapeutic targets. *Oncotarget.* 2017;8(67):111386-111395.
- 15. Iqbal J, Amador C, McKeithan TW, Chan WC. Molecular and genomic landscape of peripheral T-cell lymphoma. Cancer Treat Res. 2019;176:31-68.
- 16. Stroopinsky D, Kufe D, Avigan D. MUC1 in hematological malignancies. Leuk Lymphoma. 2016;57(11):2489-2498.
- 17. Federico M, Bellei M, Marcheselli L, et al; T cell Project Network. Peripheral T cell lymphoma, not otherwise specified (PTCL-NOS). A new prognostic model developed by the International T Cell Project Network. Br J Haematol. 2018;181(6):760-769.
- Went P, Agostinelli C, Gallamini A, et al. Marker expression in peripheral T-cell lymphoma: a proposed clinical-pathologic prognostic score. J Clin Oncol. 2006;24(16):2472-2479.
- Amador C, Greiner TC, Heavican TB, et al. Reproducing the molecular subclassification of peripheral T-cell lymphoma-NOS by immunohistochemistry. Blood. 2019;134(24):2159-2170.
- 20. Swerdlow SH, Campo E, Pileri SA, et al. The 2016 revision of the World Health Organization classification of lymphoid neoplasms. *Blood.* 2016; 127(20):2375-2390.
- Yoon SE, Cho J, Kim YJ, et al. Comprehensive analysis of clinical, pathological, and genomic characteristics of follicular helper T-cell derived lymphomas. Exp Hematol Oncol. 2021;10(1):33.
- 22. Rodriguez-Pinilla SM, Domingo-Domenech E, Climent F, et al. Clinical and pathological characteristics of peripheral T-cell lymphomas in a Spanish population: a retrospective study. Br J Haematol. 2021;192(1):82-99.
- 23. Tan LH, Tan SY, Tang T, et al. Angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma with hyperplastic germinal centres (pattern 1) shows superior survival to patterns 2 and 3: a meta-analysis of 56 cases. *Histopathology*. 2012;60(4):570-585.
- 24. Boding L, Bonefeld CM, Nielsen BL, et al. TCR down-regulation controls T cell homeostasis. J Immunol. 2009;183(8):4994-5005.
- 25. Lauritsen JP, Boding L, Buus TB, et al. Fine-tuning of T-cell development by the CD3γ di-leucine-based TCR-sorting motif. *Int Immunol.* 2015; 27(8):393-404.
- 26. Vallois D, Dobay MP, Morin RD, et al. Activating mutations in genes related to TCR signaling in angioimmunoblastic and other follicular helper T-cell-derived lymphomas. *Blood.* 2016;128(11):1490-1502.
- Manso R, González-Rincón J, Rodríguez-Justo M, et al. Overlap at the molecular and immunohistochemical levels between angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma and a subgroup of peripheral T-cell lymphomas without specific morphological features. Oncotarget. 2018;9(22):16124-16133.
- 28. Ye Y, Ding N, Mi L, et al. Correlation of mutational landscape and survival outcome of peripheral T-cell lymphomas. Exp Hematol Oncol. 2021;10(1):9.
- 29. Fernandez-Pol S, Ma L, Joshi RP, Arber DA. A survey of somatic mutations in 41 genes in a cohort of T-cell lymphomas identifies frequent mutations in genes involved in epigenetic modification. *Appl Immunohistochem Mol Morphol.* 2019;27(6):416-422.
- 30. Manso R, Sánchez-Beato M, Monsalvo S, et al. The RHOA G17V gene mutation occurs frequently in peripheral T-cell lymphoma and is associated with a characteristic molecular signature. *Blood.* 2014;123(18):2893-2894.