Chromosomal defects and survival in patients with adult T-cell leukemia/ lymphoma after allogeneic HSCT

Nobuaki Nakano,^{1,2} Atae Utsunomiya,^{1,2} Keitaro Matsuo,^{3,4} Noriaki Yoshida,^{5,6} Masao Seto,⁵ Kouichi Ohshima,⁵ Hiroshi Fujiwara,⁷ Shigeo Fuji,⁸ Yoshifusa Takatsuka,^{1,2} Ayumu Ito,⁹ Toshihiro Miyamoto,¹⁰ Youko Suehiro,¹¹ Hirohisa Nakamae,¹² Yasushi Sawayama,¹³ Mitsuhiro Yuasa,¹⁴ Yasuhiko Miyazaki,¹⁵ Shuichi Ota,¹⁶ Kazunori Imada,¹⁷ Takahiro Fukuda,⁹ Tatsuo Ichinohe,¹⁸ Yoshiko Atsuta,^{19,20} and Koji Kato¹⁰

¹Department of Hematology, Imamura General Hospital, Kagoshima, Japan; ²ATL Working Group of Japan Society for Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation, Nagoya, Japan; ³Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Prevention, Aichi Cancer Center Research Institute, Nagoya, Japan; ⁴Division of Cancer Epidemiology, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine, Nagoya, Japan; ⁵Department of Pathology, Kurume University, Kurume, Japan; ⁶Department of Clinical Studies, Radiation Effects Research Foundation, Hiroshima, Japan; ⁷Department of Personalized Cancer Immunotherapy, Mie University Graduate School of Medicine, Tsu, Japan; ⁸Department of Hematology, Osaka International Cancer Institute, Osaka, Japan; ⁹Department of Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation, National Cancer Center Hospital, Tokyo, Japan; ¹⁰Medicine and Biosystemic Science, Graduate School of Medical Sciences, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan; ¹¹Department of Hematology, National Kyushu Cancer Center, Fukuoka, Japan; ¹²Hematology, Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka City University, Osaka, Japan; ¹³Department of Hematology, Nagosaki University Hospital, Nagasaki, Japan; ¹⁴Department of Hematology, Federation of National Public Service Personnel Mutual Aid Associations, Toranomon Hospital, Tokyo, Japan; ¹⁵Department of Hematology, Oita Prefectural Hospital, Oita, Japan; ¹⁶Department of Hematology, Sapporo Hokuyu Hospital, Sapporo, Japan; ¹⁷Department of Hematology, Japanese Red Cross Osaka Hospital, Osaka, Japan; ¹⁸Department of Hematology and Oncology, Research Institute for Radiation Biology and Medicine, Hiroshima University, Graduate School of Medicine, Nagoya, Japan; ¹⁹Japanese Data Center for Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation, Nagoya, Japan; and ²⁰Department of Healthcare Administration, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine, Nagoya, Japan

Key Points

- Chromosomal defects in 2q and 5q were related to poor prognoses for patients with ATL after allo-HSCT.
- In patients with ATL, a complex karyotype and several chromosomal defects were associated with ATLrelated death after allo-HSCT.

Adult T-cell leukemia/lymphoma (ATL) cells frequently exhibit chromosomal abnormalities, including numerical aberrations and structural defects. However, no studies have examined the correlation between these abnormalities and survival in patients with ATL after allogeneic HSCT (allo-HSCT). In this study, 300 patients with ATL (median age, 55 years; range, 24-74) who were registered in a Japanese nationwide registry database were analyzed. The majority (n = 183) had acute ATL. Specimens for chromosomal analysis were collected from bone marrow (n = 166), lymph nodes (n = 86), peripheral blood (n = 41), and other locations (n = 7). In survival analyses, breakpoints at 2q (hazard ratio [HR], 1.63; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.12-2.38; P = .012) and 5q (HR, 2.18; 95% CI, 1.25-3.80; P = .006) were significantly poor prognostic factors for overall survival (OS). In terms of ATL-related death, loss of chromosome 14 and breakpoints at 3p, 1q, 5q, and 6q were extracted as significantly poor prognostic factors. Moreover, complex karyotypes were associated with ATL-related death. This study of the survival impact of chromosomal abnormalities in patients with ATL after allo-HSCT demonstrated that several structural breakpoints were independent risk factors for OS and ATL-related death.

Introduction

Adult T-cell leukemia/lymphoma (ATL) is a peripheral T-cell neoplasm with poor prognosis that is caused by human T-cell leukemia virus type 1 (HTLV-1).¹⁻³ Clinically, ATL is classified according to the Shimoyama classification, which groups ATL into 4 subtypes: smoldering, chronic, lymphoma, and acute.⁴ Acute and lymphoma-type ATL and chronic ATL with unfavorable prognostic factors are considered to be aggressive forms of the disease. Allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (allo-HSCT) is the standard curative treatment option for aggressive-type ATL,⁵⁻⁹ and the 3-year overall

Submitted 19 October 2020; accepted 6 December 2020; published online 21 January 2021. DOI 10.1182/bloodadvances.2020003639.

Original data are available by e-mail request to the corresponding author (Nobuaki Nakano; nobunobuprince@yahoo.co.jp).

survival (OS) rate is 36% in the largest retrospective study conducted to date.⁷ Several prognostic indices have been developed for patients with ATL.^{3,10-12} Recently, Yoshimitsu et al reported a prognostic index specifically in patients with ATL who underwent allo-HSCT.¹¹

It has been reported that ATL cells normally exhibit chromosomal abnormalities, such as numerical aberrations and structural defects.¹³ However, only 1 small study has assessed the relationship between these chromosomal abnormalities and survival outcomes in patients with ATL,¹⁴ and no study has investigated the relationship in allo-HSCT recipients. It is presumed that patients who receive only chemotherapy will demonstrate a significant difference, in terms of abnormal chromosome profiles related to survival outcomes, from those who undergo allo-HSCT. Thus, it is important to clarify the survival impact of chromosomal abnormalities in patients with ATL who undergo allo-HSCT. Moreover, this chromosome information may be a significant factor when added to existing prognostic models.

HTLV-1 is endemic in Japan, and the prevalence of HTLV-1 in the Japanese population was reported to be \sim 1%.¹⁵ More than 1500 patients with ATL who underwent allo-HSCT were registered before December 2012 in the Japanese Transplant Registry Unified Management Program (TRUMP), which is the nationwide survey database of the Japanese Data Center for Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation. On behalf of the ATL working group of the Japan Society for Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation (JSHCT), we analyzed the TRUMP database and herein report the impact of chromosomal abnormalities on survival in patients receiving allo-HSCT.

Methods

Data collection

A total of 756 patients with ATL were enrolled in the TRUMP database from January 2000 through December 2012. To collect detailed information regarding chromosomal analysis and clinical background that was not listed in the TRUMP database, we conducted a nationwide secondary survey of these 756 patients. The response rate to the case report form was 69.8% (n = 528). The exclusion criteria were as follows: lack of chromosomal analysis (n = 37), insufficient information on G-banding (n = 56) or information on posttransplantation chromosome testing (n = 4), the presence of multiploidy (n = 30), lack of mitosis or clonality (n =12), and lack of chromosomal information on the bone marrow (BM) in the absence of ATL invasion of the BM (n = 89). As a result, 300 patients (170 male, 130 female) were analyzed (supplemental Figure 1A). There was no significant difference in OS between the 228 excluded patients and the 300 analyzed patients (P = .79; supplemental Figure 1B).

The study was approved by the data management committees of the JSHCT and the Institutional Ethics Committee of Imamura General Hospital.

Karyotype analyses

Karyotype analysis was conducted using G-banding. The analysis was conducted in all cases before stem cell transplantation. Two hundred fifty-seven patients were analyzed before initial chemotherapy, and 43 were analyzed after initial treatment. Abnormal karyotypes were analyzed in terms of numerical and structural abnormalities. Clones were defined by the International System for Human Cytogenetic Nomenclature, 1995 version.¹⁶ To identify specific abnormalities and exclude secondary changes, the stemline karyotype, which indicates the simplest abnormal clone, was used as the representative karyotype for analyses. A karyotype with 3 or more abnormalities was defined as complex. We selected chromosomal abnormalities that occurred in at least 15 individuals as variables for subsequent survival analysis.

Statistical analyses

Analyzed variables were calculated with Fisher's exact test in patients with normal and abnormal karyotypes. OS was analyzed with a Cox model, and transplant-related mortality (TRM) and ATLrelated mortality were calculated with a competing-risk regression model. OS was defined as duration of survival between transplantation and death or date last verified. Because all of the causes of death other than ATL progression after transplantation were complications related to transplantation, TRM was defined as death of any cause other than ATL. ATL-related mortality was defined as death caused by disease progression of ATL. Multivariate analysis with the backward stepwise method was used to examine the association of OS and ATL-related death with each of the chromosomal abnormalities and other clinical variables, such as age (\geq 55 or <55 years), sex, type of ATL (acute or other), disease status (complete response [CR] or non-CR), and level of soluble IL-2 receptor (slL2R; \geq 20 000 U/mL or <20 000 U/mL). The results of univariate analyses of the association between each factor and OS, ATL-related death, and TRM are shown in supplemental Table 2. Furthermore, we identified significant abnormalities with Holm's method and evaluated their correlations with the χ^2 test. When a correlation existed between extracted abnormalities and ATL-related death, we used Gray's test to perform a cumulativeincidence analysis of ATL-related death in patients with or without these abnormalities. Moreover, we conducted a survival analysis of complex karyotypes. Eventually, we performed a Kaplan-Meier analysis of OS and used Gray's test to assess ATL-related death in terms of significant abnormalities, complex karyotypes, normal karyotypes, and several clonal evolutions from stemline karyotypes. Gray's test for ATL-related death was used to calculate TRM as the competing risk. Regarding clonal evolutions, patients who had stemline karyotypes with 3 or more syngeneic clones were categorized as the high clonal evolution group. Statistical analysis was performed with the EZR software program.¹⁷ Statistical significance was defined as P < .05.

Results

Patient characteristics

Patient characteristics, including the variables that were used in the analysis model, are presented in Table 1. The median age of all the analyzed patients was 55 years (range, 24-74). At the time of chromosomal analysis, the ATL type was acute in 183 patients, lymphoma in 70, chronic in 33, and smoldering in 14. In terms of conditioning intensity, myeloablative conditioning (MAC) regimens and reduced-intensity conditioning (RIC) regimens were used in 118 and 182 patients, respectively. Regarding stem cell source, bone marrow transplantation and peripheral blood transplantation from a sibling donor were performed in 31 and 67 patients, respectively, whereas unrelated bone marrow and cord blood transplantations were performed in 137 and 65 patients,

Variable	Total (n = 300)	Abnormal karyotype (n = 204)	Normal karyotype (n = 96)	Р
Age				.316
Median (range)	55 (24-70)	56 (25-70)	54 (24-69)	
Sex				.314
Male	170	119	51	
Female	130	85	45	
Disease type at diagnosis				<.001
Acute	183	129	56	
Lymphoma	70	55	15	
Chronic	33	16	15	
Smoldering	14	4	10	
Conditioning regimens				.99
MAC	118	81	37	
RIC	182	123	59	
Stem cell source				.887
sib-BM	31	21	10	
sib-PB	67	43	24	
uBM	137	96	41	
uCB	65	44	21	
Samples				<.001
PB	41	34	7	
BM	166	84	82	
Lymph node	86	79	7	
Others	7	7	0	
LDH level at diagnosis				.025
Median, U/mL (range)	487 (135-7144)	517.5 (169-7 144)	424 (135-2851)	
Level of sIL2R at diagnosis				.505
Median, U/mL (range)	17 969 (443.8-1 130 505)	20 100 (443.8-254 000)	14 798 (976-1 130 505)	

Data are the number of patients, unless stated otherwise. Statistically significant P values are in bold.

LDH, lactase dehydrogenase; sib-BM, bone marrow from sibling donor; sib-PB, peripheral blood from sibling donor; uBM, bone marrow from unrelated donor; uCB, cord blood from unrelated donor.

respectively. The median concentration of slL-2R at diagnosis was 17 969 U/mL (range, 443-1 130 505). In this study, 204 patients demonstrated karyotypic abnormalities (supplemental Table 1). Specimens for chromosomal analysis were collected from BM (n = 166), lymph nodes (n = 86), peripheral blood (PB; n = 41), and other locations (n = 7). ATL cells in indolent cases tended to exhibit normal karyotypes. Although we excluded BM samples that showed no invasion, most of these samples showed normal karyotypes (Table 1).

Frequency distributions of numerical and structural abnormalities

Frequency distributions of numerical and structural abnormalities are presented in Figure 1. Common numerical aberrations were losses of chromosome 14 (n = 44), 13 (n = 25), and Y (n = 23) and gains of chromosome 3 (n = 31). The median number of numerical abnormalities was 0 (range, 0-17; Figure 1A).

Meanwhile, structural abnormalities were frequently located at 6q (n = 58), 9q (n = 52), 1q (n = 50), 3q (n = 50), 2q (n = 42), and 14q

(n = 42), and the median number of such abnormalities was 3 (range, 0-22; Figure 1B).

Survival analyses for each chromosomal abnormality

The median follow-up period after allo-HSCT was 345 days (range, 3-5479). In survival analyses, breakpoints at 2q (hazard ratio [HR], 1.63; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.12-2.38; P = .012) and 5q (HR, 2.18; 95% Cl, 1.25-3.80; P = .006) negatively and significantly contributed to OS according to multivariate analyses (Table 2). Because these 2 abnormalities did not correlate with each other, as shown by the χ^2 test (P = .726), they were determined to be independent negative predictors of OS (Table 2; Figure 2). The details of the structural breakpoints at 2q in all 42 patients are as follows: 5 patients with del(2)(q?), 3 with del(2)(q31-33), 7 with add(2)(q11-13), 8 with add(2)(q21), 14 with add(2)(q31-37), 2 with ins(2;?)(q31;?), and 1 each with ins(2;?)(q33;?), inv(2)(p13q33), and der(2)add(2)(p13)add(2)(q33). Moreover, the 18 patients with structural breakpoints at 5q comprised 7 with del(5)(q?), 1 with del(5)(q31q32), 1 with del(5)(q13q33), 3 with add(5)(q13-15),



Figure 1. Distributions of numerical abnormalities and structural breakpoints. (A) Loss of chromosomes 14 (n = 44), 13 (n = 25), and Y (n = 23), and gain of chromosome 3 (n = 31), are common; the median number of these abnormalities is 0 (range, 0-17). (B) Structural breakpoints at 6q (n = 58), 9q (n = 52), 1q (n = 50), 3q (n = 50), 2q (n = 42), and 14q (n = 42) are common; the median number of structural breakpoints is 3 (range, 0-22).

2 with add(5)(q31-33), 1 with add(5)(q22), 1 with t(5;14)(q31;q32), and 1 with t(5;14)(q13;q32) (supplemental Table 1; see Figure 5). All patients with structural breakpoints at 2q and 5q had a complex karyotype.

Multivariate analysis showed negative predictive factors for ATL-related death to be loss of chromosome 14 (HR, 2.01; 95% Cl, 1.23-3.30; P = .006) and breakpoints at 3p (HR, 2.09; 95% Cl, 1.21-3.63; P = .009), 1q (HR, 1.90; 95% Cl, 1.10-3.28; P = .021), 2q (HR, 1.72; 95% Cl, 1.05-2.82; P = .033), 3q (HR, 1.73; 95% Cl, 1.06-2.84; P = .029), 5q (HR, 2.98; 95% Cl, 1.690-5.27; P < .001), 6q (HR. 1.88; 95% Cl, 1.15-3.06; P = .012), and 15q (HR, 2.33, 95% Cl, 1.14-4.76; P = .021) (Table 2; Figure 3). Chromosomal abnormalities with loss of chromosome 14 and structural breakpoints at 3p, 1q, 5q, and 6q were extracted by Holm's method. After using the χ^2 test to determine the presence or absence of correlations between the 3p and 6q breakpoints, the 3p breakpoint and monosomy 14, the 1q and 6q breakpoints, and the 1q breakpoint and monosomy 14

(supplemental Table 2), we performed a cumulative-incidence analysis of ATL-related death. The incidence of ATL-related death in patients with each abnormality was similarly higher than in patients without the abnormality, except in patients who had monosomy 14 with or without a breakpoint at 1q, but even those patients did not show a statistically significant difference in ATL-related death (supplemental Figure 2). Therefore, we extracted these 4 abnormalities as independent predictive factors for ATL-related death. Eventually, we extracted the following abnormalities as significant negative predictive factors: monosomy 14, and structural breakpoints at 3p, 1q, 5q, and 6q (Table 2).

Survival analysis in patients with complex or normal karyotypes and number of clonal evolutions from stemline karyotypes

Complex karyotypes were analyzed separately from each chromosomal abnormality. Kaplan-Meier survival analysis of OS revealed

Table 2. Multivariate analysis on OS, ATL-related death, and TRM in each chromosomal abnormality

Chromosomal abnormalities	n	HR	OS 95% CI	P	HR	ATL-related death 95% Cl	P	HR	TRM 95% Cl	P
Numerical abnormalities										
Loss										
Chromosome 14	44	_	—	NS	2.01	1.229-3.303	.006	_	_	NS
Structural breakpoints										
p-Arm										
3	29	_	_	NS	2.09	1.207-3.630	.009	—	_	NS
q-Arm				NS						
1	50	—	—	NS	1.9	1.103-3.280	.021	_	_	NS
2	42	1.63	1.115-2.375	.012	1.72	1.046-2.816	.033	_	_	NS
3	50	_	_	NS	1.73	1.057-2.842	.029	—	_	NS
5	18	2.18	1.253-3.796	.006	2.98	1.685-5.265	<.001	_	_	NS
6	58	_	_	NS	1.88	1.148-3.063	.012	—	_	NS
15	16	—	_	NS	2.33	1.139-4.762	.021	—	-	NS

Clinical variables using multivariate analysis of each of the chromosomal abnormalities were age, sex, ATL disease type, disease status, and level of soluble IL2 receptor. P values of significant factors extracted by the Holm method are in bold.

p-arm, short arm of the chromosome; q-arm, long arm of the chromosome; NS, no statistically significant difference.

that complex karyotypes had a negative impact, but the difference was not statistically significant. Complex karyotypes did not demonstrate any negative impact on OS in multivariate analysis (Figure 4A; Table 3; supplemental Table 4). In terms of ATL-related death, complex karyotypes had a negative impact according to both Gray's test and multivariate analysis (Figure 4B; Table 3; supplemental Table 4). Normal karyotypes did not have any significant impact on survival (Figure 4C-D). Among 204 patients who had abnormal karyotypes, the OS of the 50 patients categorized as having a high number of clonal evolutions from stemline karyotypes was significantly higher than that of the 154 patients with a low number of clonal evolutions. Moreover, ATL-related death was significantly higher in patients with a high number of clonal evolutions (Figure 4E-F).

Discussion

Because ATL cells generally present with various complex karyotypes, we separately analyzed numerical and structural abnormalities, with a particular emphasis on structural breakpoints, as they are common in ATL cells. Regarding the specific types of structural abnormalities, patients with ATL in our series demonstrated a variety of common patterns, such as additional chromosome materials of unknown origin, chromosome deletions, and derivative chromosomes (supplemental Table 1).



Figure 2. OS in patients with ATL with or without structural breakpoints at 2q and 5q. (A) OS in patients with ATL with structural breakpoints at 2q. Patients with a structural breakpoint at 2q had a significantly worse outcome than those without it (P = .014). (B) OS in patients with ATL with a structural breakpoint at 5q. Patients with a structural breakpoint at 5q had a significantly worse outcome than those without it (P = .014). (B) OS in patients with ATL with a structural breakpoint at 5q. Patients with a structural breakpoint at 5q had a significantly worse outcome than those without it (P < .001).



Figure 3. Incidence of ATL-related death in patients with each significantly adverse karyotype. Incidence of ATL-related death in patients with or without loss of chromosome 14 (A) and with or without breakpoints at 3p3p (B); 1q (C); 2q (D); 3q (E); 5q (F); 6q (G); and 15q (H).



Figure 4. Survival outcomes in patients with complex karyotypes, normal karyotypes, and a high or low number of clonal evolutions. (A) OS in patients with or without complex karyotypes. (B) Incidence of ATL-related death in patients with or without complex karyotypes. (C) OS in patients with normal vs abnormal karyotypes. (D) Incidence of ATL-related death in patients with normal karyotypes. (E) OS in patients with a high vs low number of clonal evolutions. (F) Incidence of ATL-related death in patients with a high vs low number of clonal evolutions. (F) Incidence of ATL-related death in patients with a high vs low number of clonal evolutions.

Table 3. Regression analysis of survival outcomes in patients with or without complex karyotype

variableininper latper latper latper latper latper latCorrect karyotyce1.1410.089Without1161.290.9626 1.718Ager	W. C.L.		Univariate analysis Multivariate analysis											
<th and="" concept="" pro<="" provided="" th=""><th>Variable</th><th>n</th><th>HR</th><th>95% CI</th><th>P</th><th>нк</th><th>95% CI</th><th>μ</th></th>	<th>Variable</th> <th>n</th> <th>HR</th> <th>95% CI</th> <th>P</th> <th>нк</th> <th>95% CI</th> <th>μ</th>	Variable	n	HR	95% CI	P	нк	95% CI	μ					
Compose baryongWilsout1410.099Win1981.290.0028-1.718<	05													
Whind1141.4	Complex karyotype													
Wah 168 1.29 0.69261.718 — — — — — — — — — — — — — — … 5255 162 162 1 326 1 3265 326 32 326	Without	114	1		.089	—		—						
App3-56 y1380.040.639 1.1120.650.441 0.948Sec0.650.441 0.948Sec </td <td>With</td> <td>186</td> <td>1.29</td> <td>0.9626-1.718</td> <td></td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td></td>	With	186	1.29	0.9626-1.718		_	_							
=55y10211.2261.025-55y1380.040.050-1120.040.04SerFende1301.0720-1235Make1301Disease type	Age													
659 () 0.64 0.639 (112) 0.65 0.441.0.948 Sor Male 130 1 0.760 1.925 Desam type Acute 183 1 0.017 1 .004 Noncolfs 183 1 0.017 1 .004 Noncolfs 118 1 0.017 1 .004 Conditioning room .004 .004 .004 RiC 118 1 .005 1 .004 .004 RiC 118 1 .005 1 .004 .0	≥55 у	162	1		.226	1		.025						
Shere	<55 y	138	0.84	0.639-1.112		0.65	0.441-0.948							
Fende1901.98Male1701Male18310171Mandu18310171Mandu1831 <td>Sex</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Sex													
Make100.760-1.325Decases type	Female	130	1		.98	—		_						
Disease by a strain of the st	Male	170	1	0.760-1.325			_							
Acta1631.00711.008Nonaute1081.082.1031.158.2.119.1.158.2.119MAC1181.3591.049RC1280.08.0603.1.16.041.049Disease status at RSGT010.010.001.001Non-CR1001.82.1.347.2.452.1.33.1.405.2.862stal2.87 :2000144.019.0404.133	Disease type													
Nacoute1171.41.062:1.8531.571.158-2.119Conditioning regimenMAC11818.5890.444-0.999RiC1290.880.683.116.6810.444-0.999Desses status at HSCT1.681.0.444-0.999Desses status at HSCT1101.681.0.444-0.999BLR ≈200001401.921.933.1405-2.952atLR ≈200001441.963atLR ≈200001441Attreated desthVinduxi1141Afge113.1.237.30.17AgeSexMAG1301.237.30.17Nynduxi1141.0	Acute	183	1		.017	1		.004						
Conditioning regimen Conditioning regimen Set of the	Nonacute	117	1.4	1.062-1.853		1.57	1.158-2.119							
MAC11813691049RC1620.880.681.160.6810.648-0.999Desease status at HSCTF<001	Conditioning regimen													
RC1620.880.663·1.16.6810.464-0.999Deserve status at HSCT<	MAC	118	1		.359	1		.049						
Decesse status at HSCTCR101<.001	RIC	182	0.88	0.663-1.16		.681	0.464-0.999							
CR1101<00110<001No-CR1901.821.347.24521.931.405.262slL2R ≥20001410.990.740.133slL2R ≥20001210.990.740.133AT-relaced deathVision 10.990.740.133AT-relaced deathVision 10.990.740.133Without1141Open 10.991.230.041Without114AgeSexFende1300.49Open 20001.0AgeAgeSexAgeAgeAgeAgeAgeAgeAgeAgeAgeAgeAgeAgeAge <td cols<="" td=""><td>Disease status at HSCT</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td>	<td>Disease status at HSCT</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Disease status at HSCT												
Non-CR1901.821.347-2.4521.931.405-2.662alL2R level at diagnosisalL2R \ge 20001441.063alL2R \ge 20001241alL2R \ge 20001241AlLC \ge 200012410AlLC \ge 200012410	CR	110	1		<.001	1		<.001						
all2R level at diagnosisall2R level at diagnosis1441.063 <td< td=""><td>Non-CR</td><td>190</td><td>1.82</td><td>1.347-2.452</td><td></td><td>1.93</td><td>1.405-2.662</td><td></td></td<>	Non-CR	190	1.82	1.347-2.452		1.93	1.405-2.662							
sll 2R ≥20001441	sIL2R level at diagnosis													
sll2R < 20001210.990.740.133———AT-related deathComplex karyotypeWithout1141.0041.001Without1141.0041.001Without1141.0041.001Without1141.0041.001Mithout1181.237.3017.0231.440.3915Age	$sIL2R \geq \! 20000$	144	1		.963	—		_						
AFL-related ceath Complex karyotype Without 114 1 0004 1 <0001 Without 168 1.93 1.237-3.017 2.38 1.440-3.015 Age $ < < < < < < <<<<<<<<<$	$<<<<<<<$	$<<<<<$	$<<<<$	$<<<$	< <th></th>		slL2R <20 000	121	0.99	0.740-1.333		_	_	
Complex karyotype Set without 114 1 0004 1 <0016 Without 186 1.93 1.237.3.017 2.38 1.440-3.915 Age $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $=$ 55 y 162 1 $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ \leq 55 y 162 1 $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ \leq 55 y 182 0.74 0.494.1.095 $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ \leq 56 y 138 0.74 0.494.1.095 $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ <t< td=""><td>ATL-related death</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	ATL-related death													
Without1141.0041.001With1861.931.237.30172.381.440.3.915Age\$\alpha\$ 55 y1621.13\$\alpha\$ 55 y1380.740.494.1.095\$\alpha\$ 55 y1380.740.494.1.095\$\alpha\$ 56 y1380.740.494.1.095	Complex karyotype													
With1861.931.237.30172.381.440-3.915Age $\cong 55$ y1621.13 $\subseteq 55$ y1380.740.494-1.095 < 55 y1380.740.494-1.095 Sex Sex	Without	114	1		.004	1		<.001						
Age ≥ 55 y1621.13 < 55 y1380.740.4941.095 Sex Male1301.38Male1701.20.8031.778Disease typeAcute1831.64Nonacute1170.910.6061.363Conditioning regimenRiC1821.390.92.2.11Disease status at HSCTCR1101<<001	With	186	1.93	1.237-3.017		2.38	1.440-3.915							
= 55 y 162 1 $.13$ $ < 55 y$ 138 0.74 $0.4941.095$ $ Sex$ $ Male$ 130 1 $.38$ $ Male$ 100 1.2 $0.8031.778$ $ Disease type$ $ Acute$ 183 1 $.644$ $ Nonacute$ 117 0.91 $0.6061.363$ $ Nonacute$ 117 0.91 $0.6061.363$ $ Nonacute$ 117 0.91 $0.6061.363$ $ Nonacute$ 118 1 $.12$ $ MAC$ 118 1 $.12$ $ NonCR$ 118 1 $.202$ $ SuL2R + 20000$ 144 1 $.222$ $ suL2R < 20000$ 121 1.29 $0.858 + 1952$ $ -$	Age													
< 55 y1380.740.494-1.095 $ -$ SexFemale1301.38 $ -$ Male1701.20.803-1.778 $ -$ Disease typeAcute1831.64 $ -$ Nonacute1170.910.606-1.363 $ -$ Conditioning regimenMAC1181.12 $ -$ RC1821.390.92-2.11 $ -$ Disease status at HSCTCR1101<01	≥55 у	162	1		.13	_		_						
SexFemale130138 $ -$ Male1701.20.603 1.778 $ -$ Disease typeAcute183164 $ -$ Nonacute1170.910.606 1.363 $ -$ Conditioning regimenMAC1181 $ -$ RC1821.390.92 2.11 $ -$ Disease status at HSCTCR1101<001 $<$ 001 Non-CR1902.351.499 3.6782.521.589 3.997slL2R ≥200014412.22 $ -$	<55 y	138	0.74	0.494-1.095		—	_							
Female1301.38Male1701.20.803·1.778Disease type $ -$ Acute1831.64Nonacute1170.910.606·1.633Conditioning regimen $-$ MAC1181.12RIC1821.390.92·2.11Disease status at HSCT $-$ CR1101<<001	Sex													
Male 170 1.2 0.803-1.778 $ -$ Disease type $ -$ Acute 183 1 $.64$ $ -$ Nonacute 117 0.91 0.606-1.363 $ -$ Conditioning regimen $ -$ MAC 118 1 $.12$ $ -$ RC 182 1.39 0.92-2.11 $ -$ Disease status at HSCT $ -$ CR 110 1 < <001	Female	130	1		.38	_		_						
Disease type Acute 183 1 .64 $ -$ Nonacute 117 0.91 0.606-1.363 $ -$ Conditioning regimen $ -$ MAC 118 1 $.12$ $ -$ RIC 182 1.39 0.92-2.11 $ -$ Disease status at HSCT $ -$ CR 110 1 $.20$ $ -$ Non-CR 190 2.35 1.499-3.678 2.52 1.589-3.997 slL2R level at diagnosis $ -$ slL2R < 20000 144 1 $.22$ $ -$	Male	170	1.2	0.803-1.778		_	_							
Acute 183 1 .64 Nonacute 117 0.91 0.606-1.363 Conditioning regimen MAC 118 1 .12 RIC 182 1.39 0.92-2.11 Disease status at HSCT CR 110 1 <0.09-2.11 Disease status at HSCT SL2R level at diagnosis sL2R ≥20000 124 1.29 0.858-1.952	Disease type													
Nonacute 117 0.91 0.606-1.363 - - Conditioning regimen I . <td>Acute</td> <td>183</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>.64</td> <td>_</td> <td></td> <td>_</td>	Acute	183	1		.64	_		_						
Conditioning regimen MAC 118 1 .12 RIC 182 1.39 0.92-2.11 Disease status at HSCT	Nonacute	117	0.91	0.606-1.363		_	_							
MAC 118 1 .12 RIC 182 1.39 0.92-2.11 Disease status at HSCT - - CR 110 1 <.001	Conditioning regimen													
RIC 182 1.39 0.92-2.11 $ -$ Disease status at HSCT CR 110 1 <001 Non-CR 190 2.35 1.499-3.678 2.52 1.589-3.997 slL2R level at diagnosis slL2R >20000 144 1 .22 $ -$	MAC	118	1		.12	_		_						
Disease status at HSCT CR 110 1 <.001 Non-CR 190 2.35 1.499-3.678 2.52 1.589-3.997 slL2R level at diagnosis slL2R ≥20000 144 1 .222 - slL2R < 20000	RIC	182	1.39	0.92-2.11		_	_							
CR 110 1 <.001 1 <.001 Non-CR 190 2.35 1.499-3.678 2.52 1.589-3.997 slL2R level at diagnosis slL2R ≥20000 144 1 .22 - - slL2R <20000	Disease status at HSCT													
Non-CR 190 2.35 1.499-3.678 2.52 1.589-3.997 slL2R level at diagnosis slL2R ≥20000 144 1 .22 - - slL2R < 20000	CR	110	1		<.001	1		<.001						
slL2R level at diagnosis slL2R ≥20 000 144 1 .22 slL2R <20 000 121 1.29 0.858-1.952	Non-CR	190	2.35	1.499-3.678		2.52	1.589-3.997							
slL2R ≥20 000 121 1.29 0.858-1.952 — — —	sIL2R level at diagnosis													
slL2R <20 000 121 1.29 0.858-1.952 — — —	slL2R ≥20.000	144	1		22	_								
	slL2R <20 000	121	1.29	0.858-1.952		_	_							

Significant *P* values are in bold. CR, complete response.

Table 3. (continued)

Variable	n	HR	Univariate analysis 95% Cl	P	HR	Multivariate analysis 95% Cl	Р
TRM							
Complex karyotype							
Without	114	1		.22	—		_
With	186	0.78	0.531-1.154		—	—	
Age							
≥55 y	162	1		.78	—		—
<55 y	138	1.06	.719-1.553		—	—	
Sex							
Female	130	1		.3	—		_
Male	170	.82	.557-1.200		—	—	
Disease type							
Acute	183	1		.006	1		.003
Nonacute	117	1.72	1.169-2.522		1.88	1.241-2.843	
Conditioning regimen							
MAC	118	1		.01	1		.017
RIC	182	0.6	0.410-0.885		0.6	0.395-0.912	
Disease status at HSCT							
CR	110	1		.89	—		_
Non-CR	190	0.97	0.657-1.438		—	—	
sIL2R level at diagnosis							
slL2R \geq 20000	144	1		.31	—		_
sIL2R <20 000	121	0.8	0.529-1.222		_	_	
Significant P values are in	bold.						

CR, complete response.

The results of our study showed that chromosomal breakpoints at 2q and 5q had a significantly negative impact on OS in allo-HSCT recipients with ATL. Only 1 small study has reported the impact of chromosomal abnormalities on the survival of patients with ATL with chemotherapy.¹⁴ That study showed that shorter survival correlated with the following: multiple breakpoints (≥6); abnormalities in chromosome 1p, 1p22, 1q, 1q10-21, 2q, 3q, 3q10-12, 3q21, 14q, 14q32, and 17q; and partial loss of chromosomes 2q, 9q, 14p, 14q, and 17q. These poor prognostic factors differed from those identified in our series, except for the breakpoints at 2q. We speculate that this discrepancy was attributable to different sample sizes and patient characteristics. Because allo-HSCT itself has an impact on OS, the survival time of patients in our series was much longer than that in the previous study. Moreover, the results of our study could be related to graft-versus-ATL after allo-HSCT.

CD28, *CTLA4*, and *PDCD1* on chromosome 2q and *CSNK1A1* on chromosome 5q were recognized as candidate oncogenes or suppressor oncogenes in ATL (Figure 5). Kataoka et al showed that CTLA4-CD28 and ICOS-CD28 fusions were frequently detected in patients with ATL.¹⁸ Moreover, another study showed that loss of *CD28* was related to escape from alloimmunity.¹⁹ Rapid progression of ATL after the use of the PD-1 inhibitor was recently reported; therefore, care should be taken when administering these immune checkpoint inhibitors.²⁰

Structural abnormalities in 5q were another significant risk factor for poor prognosis in patients with ATL who received allo-HSCT. It was shown that cerebron and ubiquitinated casein kinase 1A1 (CSNK1A1),

which maps to 5q32, promoted apoptosis of $5q^-$ cells in patients with myelodysplastic syndrome.²¹ Kataoka et al discovered frequent mutations of *CSNK1A1* in ATL cells.¹⁹ In our series, chromosomal deletion and chromosomal material of unknown origin in 5q seemed to have monoallelic variation (Figure 5B; supplemental Table 1). However, there are no reports about the survival impact of *CSNK1A1* mutations in patients with ATL. Recently, an immuno-modulatory drug, lenalidomide, was approved for ATL in Japan and other countries.²² Preemptive or preventive therapy with lenalidomide after allo-HSCT should improve the survival of patients with del(5)(q), although no studies have examined this possibility thus far. A recent report indicated that other genes frequently observed in 5q were *MAN2A1* and *GABRG2.*¹⁸ Nevertheless, it remains unclear how these genes relate to survival outcomes in patients with ATL.

Loss of chromosome 14 and breakpoints at 3p, 1q, 5q, and 6q were extracted as significant factors associated with ATL-related death after allo-HSCT, but the mechanisms remain unclear. Future research on the relationship between genomic mutations and allogeneic immunity should provide clarification.

Multivariate analysis showed that, although complex karyotypes did not affect OS, they negatively contributed to ATL-related death. Furthermore, the finding that all patients who had structural breakpoints at 2q and 5q had a complex karyotype suggests that these karyotypes negatively contribute to survival results after allo-HSCT in patients with ATL.



Figure 5. Structural breakpoints at 2q and 5q, and candidate genomes in ATL. Structural breakpoints with chromosome deletions and additional chromosome material of unknown origin. (A) Chromosome 2. Mutations in CD28, CTLA4, and ICOS frequently caused fusion, and mutations in PDCD1 frequently caused deletion. (B) Chromosome 5. Mutations in CSNK1A1 and GABRG2 frequently caused fusion, and mutations in MAN2A1 frequently caused deletion.

A previous report showed that ATL cells normally had abnormal karyotypes.¹³ In our study, however, there were 96 patients with ATL whose ATL cells did not have detectable chromosomal abnormalities. We assessed the correlation between normal

karyotypes and clinical outcome in patients with ATL who received allo-HSCT. In our series, there was no statistically significant association between OS and ATL-related death. This study included BM samples but strictly excluded those in which the secondary survey demonstrated no BM invasion. Nevertheless, we cannot completely rule out the possibility that we included abnormal karyotypes from other diseases such as myelodysplastic syndrome. This possibility is one limitation of our study.

In terms of survival outcomes associated with the number of clonal evolutions from stemline karyotypes, a high number of clonal evolutions negatively contributed to OS and ATL-related death. As 1 band represents 5×10^6 to 10×10^6 base pairs of DNA, and could thus potentially contain numerous genes, cytogenetic analysis is not considered to be a high-resolution technique.

In this study, because we focused on the timing of the chromosome test, we assessed the type of ATL disease at the time of diagnosis. Accordingly, 41 of the 47 patients with smoldering and chronic ATL, which are considered indolent types, had transitioned to the aggressive type at the time of transplantation, and most of those patients had transitioned to the acute type. It is certainly possible that patients who transition from the nonacute to the acute form of the disease have a poor prognosis.

This study had several limitations unrelated to the issue of normal karyotypes in BM samples discussed herein. We could not clearly identify genetic mutations in this series, and thus it was not possible to identify potential relationships between candidate genes and chromosomes. In addition, we did not determine why the chromosomal risk factors for OS and ATL-related death differed in this cohort. Because this retrospective study was based on nationwide registry data, it is possible that we did not strictly exclude nonrelapse mortality from ATL-related death in patients who relapsed after HSCT. Moreover, there were 43 patients whose cytogenetic information was derived from testing performed after the start of chemotherapy. The majority of these patients (39 of 43) were tested for chromosomes that were not evaluated at the time of diagnosis, but rather at the time of disease transition after diagnosis. The significance of chromosome aberrations in ATL is not yet clear, which may partly be because chromosome testing at the time of diagnosis was uncommon in Japan, especially in indolent types of ATL.

In summary, to our knowledge, this is the first large-scale study to reveal the survival impact of chromosomal abnormalities in patients with ATL receiving allo-HSCT. The results demonstrate that structural breakpoints at 2q and 5q are independent risk factors for poor OS, and loss of chromosome 14 and structural breakpoints at 3p, 1q, 5q, and 6q are significant factors associated with ATL-related death.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank all the physicians and data managers at the 92 Japanese institutions that provided valuable data on transplantation for adult T-cell leukemia/lymphoma (ATL) at the Japan Society for Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation (JSHCT), all the members of the JSHCT ATL working group, and the data management committees of the JSHCT.

This work was supported, in part, by Practical Research Programs of the Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development (AMED) (grants JP19ck0106342h0003 [T.F., A.U., S.F., A.I.] and JP19ek0510023h0002 [Y.A., T.I.]).

Authorship

Contribution: N.N., A.U., H.F., S.F., T.F., T.I., Y.T., N.Y., M.S., K.O., and K.K. designed the research, organized the project, and wrote the manuscript; N.N., K. Matsuo, and Y.A. performed the statistical analyses; A.I., K.I., H.N., N.N., T.F., T.M., K. Miyashita, S.O., Y.M., Y.S., and M.Y. collected the data; N.N., A.U., H.F., S.F., Y.T., N.Y., M.S., K.O., and K.K. wrote the draft of the manuscript; and all the authors contributed to the final version.

Conflict of interest statement: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

ORCID profiles: N.N., 0000-0001-9826-7616; K.M., 0000-0003-1761-6314; N.Y., 0000-0001-7001-0106; H.F., 0000-0003-3340-6915; S.F., 0000-0001-5118-7950; A.I., 0000-0001-5681-6357; S.O., 0000-0002-3631-244X; T.I., 0000-0002-0393-4066.

Correspondence: Nobuaki Nakano, 11-23 Kamoikeshinmachi, Kagoshima 890-0064, Japan; e-mail: nobunobuprince@yahoo.co.jp.

References

- 1. Tsukasaki K, Utsunomiya A, Fukuda H, et al; Japan Clinical Oncology Group Study JCOG9801. VCAP-AMP-VECP compared with biweekly CHOP for adult T-cell leukemia-lymphoma: Japan Clinical Oncology Group Study JCOG9801. J Clin Oncol. 2007;25(34):5458-5464.
- 2. Bazarbachi A, Plumelle Y, Carlos Ramos J, et al. Meta-analysis on the use of zidovudine and interferon-alfa in adult T-cell leukemia/lymphoma showing improved survival in the leukemic subtypes. J Clin Oncol. 2010;28(27):4177-4183.
- 3. Katsuya H, Yamanaka T, Ishitsuka K, et al. Prognostic index for acute- and lymphoma-type adult T-cell leukemia/lymphoma. J Clin Oncol. 2012;30(14): 1635-1640.
- 4. Shimoyama M. Diagnostic criteria and classification of clinical subtypes of adult T-cell leukaemia-lymphoma. A report from the Lymphoma Study Group (1984-87). Br J Haematol. 1991;79(3):428-437.
- 5. Utsunomiya A, Miyazaki Y, Takatsuka Y, et al. Improved outcome of adult T cell leukemia/lymphoma with allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 2001;27(1):15-20.
- Hishizawa M, Kanda J, Utsunomiya A, et al. Transplantation of allogeneic hematopoietic stem cells for adult T-cell leukemia: a nationwide retrospective study. Blood. 2010;116(8):1369-1376.
- 7. Ishida T, Hishizawa M, Kato K, et al. Allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for adult T-cell leukemia-lymphoma with special emphasis on preconditioning regimen: a nationwide retrospective study. *Blood.* 2012;120(8):1734-1741.
- 8. Bazarbachi A, Cwynarski K, Boumendil A, et al. Outcome of patients with HTLV-1-associated adult T-cell leukemia/lymphoma after SCT: a retrospective study by the EBMT LWP. *Bone Marrow Transplant.* 2014;49(10):1266-1268.

- 9. Kato K, Choi I, Wake A, et al. Treatment of patients with adult T cell leukemia/lymphoma with cord blood transplantation: a Japanese nationwide retrospective survey. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. 2014;20(12):1968-1974.
- Fukushima T, Nomura S, Shimoyama M, et al. Japan Clinical Oncology Group (JCOG) prognostic index and characterization of long-term survivors of aggressive adult T-cell leukaemia-lymphoma (JCOG0902A). Br J Haematol. 2014;166(5):739-748.
- 11. Yoshimitsu M, Tanosaki R, Kato K, et al; ATL Working Group of the Japan Society for Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation. Risk assessment in adult T cell leukemia/lymphoma treated with allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant.* 2018;24(4):832-839.
- 12. Fuji S, Yamaguchi T, Inoue Y, et al. Development of a modified prognostic index for patients with aggressive adult T-cell leukemia-lymphoma aged 70 years or younger: possible risk-adapted management strategies including allogeneic transplantation. *Haematologica*. 2017;102(7):1258-1265.
- 13. Kamada N, Sakurai M, Miyamoto K, et al. Chromosome abnormalities in adult T-cell leukemia/lymphoma: a karyotype review committee report. Cancer Res. 1992;52(6):1481-1493.
- 14. Itoyama T, Chaganti RS, Yamada Y, et al. Cytogenetic analysis and clinical significance in adult T-cell leukemia/lymphoma: a study of 50 cases from the human T-cell leukemia virus type-1 endemic area, Nagasaki. *Blood*. 2001;97(11):3612-3620.
- 15. Satake M, Yamaguchi K, Tadokoro K. Current prevalence of HTLV-1 in Japan as determined by screening of blood donors. J Med Virol. 2012;84(2): 327-335.
- 16. Mitelman F; International Standing Committee on Human Cytogenetic Nomenclature. *ISCN 1995: an international system for human cytogenetic nomenclature*. Farmington, CT: Karger; 1995.
- 17. Kanda Y. Investigation of the freely available easy-to-use software "EZR" for medical statistics. Bone Marrow Transplant. 2013;48(3):452-458.
- 18. Kataoka K, Nagata Y, Kitanaka A, et al. Integrated molecular analysis of adult T cell leukemia/lymphoma. Nat Genet. 2015;47(11):1304-1315.
- Blazar BR, Taylor PA, Boyer MW, Panoskaltsis-Mortari A, Allison JP, Vallera DA. CD28/B7 interactions are required for sustaining the graft-versus-leukemia effect of delayed post-bone marrow transplantation splenocyte infusion in murine recipients of myeloid or lymphoid leukemia cells. J Immunol. 1997;159(7):3460-3473.
- 20. Ratner L, Waldmann TA, Janakiram M, Brammer JE. Rapid progression of adult T-cell leukemia-lymphoma after PD-1 Inhibitor therapy. N Engl J Med. 2018;378(20):1947-1948.
- 21. Krönke J, Fink EC, Hollenbach PW, et al. Lenalidomide induces ubiquitination and degradation of CK1α in del(5q) MDS. *Nature*. 2015;523(7559): 183-188.
- 22. Ishida T, Fujiwara H, Nosaka K, et al. Multicenter Phase II study of Lenalidomide in relapsed or recurrent adult T-Cell leukemia/lymphoma: ATLL-002. J Clin Oncol. 2016;34(34):4086-4093.