Prognostic impact of low allelic ratio *FLT3*-ITD and *NPM1* mutation in acute myeloid leukemia

Masahiro Sakaguchi,^{1,*} Hiroki Yamaguchi,^{1,*} Yuho Najima,² Kensuke Usuki,³ Toshimitsu Ueki,⁴ lekuni Oh,⁵ Sinichiro Mori,⁶ Eri Kawata,⁷ Nobuhiko Uoshima,⁷ Yutaka Kobayashi,⁷ Shinichi Kako,⁸ Kenji Tajika,⁹ Seiji Gomi,⁹ Katsuhiro Shono,¹⁰ Kensuke Kayamori,¹¹ Masao Hagihara,¹² Junya Kanda,¹³ Hitoji Uchiyama,¹⁴ Junya Kuroda,¹⁵ Naoyuki Uchida,¹⁶ Yasushi Kubota,¹⁷ Shinya Kimura,¹⁷ Saiko Kurosawa,¹⁸ Nana Nakajima,¹ Atsushi Marumo,¹ Ikuko Omori,¹ Yusuke Fujiwara,¹ Shunsuke Yui,¹ Satoshi Wakita,¹ Kunihito Arai,¹ Tomoaki Kitano,¹ Kazuhiko Kakihana,² Yoshinobu Kanda,^{5,8} Kazuteru Ohashi,² Takahiro Fukuda,¹⁸ and Koiti Inokuchi¹

¹Department of Hematology, Nippon Medical School, Tokyo, Japan; ²Division of Hematology, Tokyo Metropolitan Cancer and Infectious Diseases Center, Komagome Hospital, Tokyo, Japan; ³Department of Hematology, NTT Medical Center Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan; ⁴Department of Hematology, Nagano Red Cross Hospital, Nagano, Japan; ⁵Division of Hematology, Department of Medicine, Jichi Medical University, Tochigi, Japan; ⁶Hemato-Oncology Department, St Luke's International Hospital, Tokyo, Japan; ⁷Department of Hematology, Japanese Red Cross Kyoto Daini Hospital, Kyoto, Japan; ⁸Division of Hematology, Jichi Medical University Saitama Medical Center, Saitama, Japan; ⁹Department of Hematology, Yokohama Minami Kyousai Hospital, Kanagawa, Japan; ¹⁰Department of Hematology, Chiba Aoba Municipal Hospital, Chiba, Japan; ¹¹Department of Hematology, Chiba University Hospital, Chiba, Japan; ¹²Department of Hematology, Eiju General Hopital, Tokyo, Japan; ¹³Department of Hematology and Oncology, Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan; ¹⁴Department of Hematology, Japanese Red Cross Kyoto Daiichi Hospital, Kyoto, Japan; ¹⁵Division of Hematology and Oncology, Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine, Kyoto, Japan; ¹⁶Department of Hematology, Federation of National Public Service Personnel Mutual Aid Associations, Toranomon Hospital, Tokyo, Japan; ¹⁷Division of Hematology, Respiratory Medicine and Oncology, Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Saga University, Saga, Japan; and ¹⁸Department of Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation, National Cancer Center Hospital, Tokyo, Japan

Key Points

- The ELN guideline classifying *FLT3*-ITD low allele ratio with *NPM1* mutation as having a favorable prognosis is questionable.
- Performing allo-HSCT during CR1 irrespective of the *FLT3*-ITD allele ratio and *NPM1* mut status significantly improves outcome.

In the opinion of the European LeukemiaNet (ELN), nucleophosmin member 1 gene mutation (*NPM1* mut)–positive acute myeloid leukemia (AML) with an fms-like kinase 3-internal tandem duplication (*FLT3*-ITD) allele ratio (AR) <0.5 (low AR) has a favorable prognosis, and allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplant (allo-HSCT) in the first complete remission (CR1) period is not actively recommended. We studied 147 patients with *FLT3*-ITD gene mutation–positive AML, dividing them into those with low AR and those with AR of \geq 0.5 (high AR), and examined the prognostic impact according to allo-HSCT in CR1. Although *FLT3*-ITD AR and *NPM1* mut are used in the prognostic stratification, we found that *NPM1* mut–positive AML with *FLT3*-ITD low AR was not associated with favorable outcome (overall survival [OS], 41.3%). Moreover, patients in this group who underwent allo-HSCT in CR1 had a significantly more favorable outcome than those who did not (relapse-free survival [RFS] *P* = .013; OS *P* = .003). Multivariate analysis identified allo-HSCT in CR1 as the sole favorable prognostic factor (RFS *P* < .001; OS *P* < .001). The present study found that prognosis was unfavorable in *NPM1* mut–positive AML with *FLT3*-ITD low AR when allo-HSCT was not carried out in CR1.

Introduction

Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) is a heterogeneous hematological malignancy characterized by myeloblast invasion of the bone marrow, peripheral blood, and other tissues in association with impaired differentiation and autonomous proliferation of hematopoietic stem cells. Induction therapy achieves complete remission (CR) in 60% to 80% of cases. However, the subsequent 5-year survival rate remains at ~40%.¹ Allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (allo-HSCT) is a useful treatment aimed at cure of AML. However, non-relapse mortality in allo-HSCT is as high as ~20%,² and allo-HSCT therefore needs to be applied appropriately based on a consideration of the prognosis. To allow this, prognostic stratification plays an

Submitted 28 April 2018; accepted 10 September 2018. DOI 10.1182/ bloodadvances.2018020305. *M.S. and H.Y. contributed equally to this study. The full-text version of this article contains a data supplement. © 2018 by The American Society of Hematology

important role, but at present, chromosomal analysis places more than half of patients in the intermediate prognosis group, suggesting that this form of stratification is as yet insufficient. Our current tasks are to achieve more detailed prognostic stratification and a more accurate understanding of when hematopoietic stem cell transplant is indicated.

The prognostic factors in AML include age, white blood cell count at initial presentation, and chromosomal abnormality. The advent of the next-generation sequencer has enabled prognostic stratification to additionally take account of gene mutations.³ It has been suggested that the gene mutations nucleophosmin member 1 (*NPM1*), CCAAT/ enhancer-binding protein α (*CEBPA*), and fms-like kinase 3-internal tandem duplication (*FLT3*-ITD) may act as prognostic factors in AML of normal karyotype, and these mutations are also used in the prognostic classification of the European LeukemiaNet (ELN). However, they are found in only ~30% of patients in the intermediate prognosis group. A more detailed stratification is therefore required going forward.

FLT3, a member of the type III receptor tyrosine kinase family, consists of a ligand-binding extracellular domain, a single transmembrane domain, a cytoplasmic domain containing the juxtamembrane domain, tyrosine kinase domain 1, and tyrosine kinase domain 2. FLT3-ITD gene mutation was first reported in 1996 by Nakao et al⁴ and is observed in \sim 25% of AML patients.⁵ In the FLT3-ITD gene mutation, the ITD is inserted into the FLT3 gene on chromosome 13, and its length varies from 3 to several hundred nucleotides.⁶ The FLT3-ITD gene mutation promotes proliferative activation through persistent phosphorylation of the FLT3 receptor and simultaneously suppresses apoptosis.7-9 In clinical terms, FLT3-ITD gene mutation is associated with increased white blood cell count, elevation of myeloblast proportion, and risk of relapse from CR and has been reported to carry an unfavorable prognosis.^{5,10,11} For this reason, allo-HSCT in the first complete remission (CR1) is recommended in FLT3-ITD-positive AML patients of transplant-eligible age.12-16

In FLT3-ITD gene mutation-positive AML cases, there was for many years no settled opinion regarding the status as prognosis-regulating factors of FLT3-ITD allele ratio (AR), the size of the ITD insertion mutation, the presence in ITD of tyrosine kinase domain 1, and the presence of the NPM1 gene mutation (NPM1 mut).¹²⁻²¹ In recent years, however, it has been reported that the inclusion of FLT3-ITD AR may make possible more detailed prognostic stratification in *NPM1* mut-positive AML.^{12,22-24} In response to these findings, the ELN proposed a new prognostic classification in 2017.²⁵ In the opinion of the ELN, NPM1 mut-positive AML with FLT3-ITD AR <0.5 has a favorable prognosis, and allo-HSCT in CR1 is not actively recommended. In contrast, the guideline of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network classifies FLT3-ITD gene mutation as a poor prognostic factor.²⁶ Although it involves only a certain proportion of FLT3-ITD-positive cases, this is the first time that FLT3-ITD-positive AML has been classified in the favorable prognosis group, and there appears to be a fair number of clinicians who view the ELN recommendation with skepticism. The present study therefore aimed to examine the prognostic impact of FLT3-ITD AR and explore whether allo-HSCT is indicated in FLT3-ITD-positive AML.

Materials and methods

Patients

The study was a retrospective analysis of the 147 FLT3-ITDpositive cases among de novo AML patients diagnosed at Nippon Medical School Hospital or partner research institutions in the period since the year 2000 after excluding therapy-related AML, AML arising from myelodysplastic syndromes, and acute promyelocytic leukemia (M3). None of the patients was treated with *FLT3* inhibitors. All samples were obtained at diagnosis after obtaining written informed consent in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. All the experiments were approved by the ethics committee of each institution.

Screening for cytogenetic abnormalities

G-band analysis was carried out using bone marrow aspirate sampled at the time of initial presentation. In cases where sampling was difficult, peripheral blood was used for the test instead. The cytogenetic prognosis was then classified in accordance with the system recommended by the ELN.

Gene mutation analysis

Following reference to existing studies, 11,27,28 5'-GCAATT-TAGGTATGAAAGCCAGC-3' was used as the forward primer and 5'-CTTTCAGCATTTTGACGGCAAC-3' as the reverse primer. Approximately 1 ng DNA was added to a mixture of 0.2 μ M of the respective primer with TaKaRa Tag (Takara Bio, Shiga, Japan) (5 µL Ex Tag Buffer, 4 µL dDNP mixture, and 0.25 µL TaKaRa Ex Tag polymerase), and the whole mixture was brought to an overall volume of 50 μ L with sterile purified water. The resulting mixture was subjected to polymerase chain reaction amplification at 95°C for 3 minutes, followed by 35 cycles at 98°C for 5 seconds, 64°C for 30 seconds, 72°C for 1 minute, and 72°C for 7 minutes. The amplified products were electrophoresed through 2% agarose gels and visualized under UV light with ethidium bromide staining. Cases in which an additional higher molecular weight band was observed were judged to be FLT3-ITD gene mutation-positive (FLT3-ITD). The AR and mutant size of FLT3-ITD patient samples were measured by fragment analysis using Applied Biosystems 3130 and 3130xl Genetic Analyzers (Thermo Fisher, Carlsbad, CA). FLT3-ITD AR was calculated as the ratio of the area under the curve of mutant to wild-type alleles (FLT3-ITD/FLT3wt). FLT3-ITD allele frequency (AF) was calculated as the area under the curve of mutant alleles as a percentage of mutant and wild-type alleles. In cases with >1 mutant, all FLT3-ITD mutants were aggregated. Mutant size was calculated by subtracting the total number of bases with wild-type FLT3 from the total number of bases containing mutant FLT3. As in previous reports, screening was carried out for NPM1 mut and CEBPA mutation.29,30

Statistical analysis

CR in the present study was defined according to the criteria for CR (bone marrow blasts <5%, absence of circulating blasts and blasts with Auer rods, absence of extramedullary disease, absolute neutrophil count $\geq 1.0 \times 10^9$ /L, and platelet count $\geq 100 \times 10^9$ /L) or those for CR with incomplete hematologic recovery (the same except for residual neutropenia [<1.0 $\times 10^9$ /L] or thrombocytopenia [<100 $\times 10^9$ /L]) in the ELN's Response Criteria in AML.²⁵ Relapse was defined as a return to \geq 5% blast cells in the bone marrow after successful achievement of CR. Primary induction failure was defined as nonresponse to remission induction. Overall survival (OS) was defined as the time interval measured from the date of diagnosis to the date of death. Relapse-free survival (RFS) for patients who had achieved CR was calculated as the time interval from the date of CR to the

Table 1. Clinical background of the AML patients with FLT3-ITD

		A	R	
	All (N = 147)	<0.5 (n = 59)	≥0.5 (n = 88)	Р
Age, median (range), y	56 (18-90)	54 (21-86)	54 (18-90)	.853
Sex				.172
Male	66	30	36	
Female	77	26	51	
Unknown	4	3	1	
ECOG-PS, 0/1/2/3/4	41/46/6/3/3	21/17/1/1/0	20/29/5/2/3	
WBC count, median (range), $\times 10^{9}$ /L	56.1 (1.0-677.0)	47.2 (1.0-620.0)	75.6 (1.3-677.0)	.342
Hb, median (range), g/dL	8.4 (3.3-15.1)	8.4 (3.3-15.0)	8.6 (4.1-15.1)	.799
Plt count, median (range), ×10 ⁹ /L	50.0 (5.0-630.0)	55.0 (6.0-630.0)	49.0 (5.0-540.0)	.515
LDH, median (range), IU/L	719 (151-5930)	718 (151-5930)	765 (156-4144)	.437
FAB				
MO	6	1	5	.402
M1	51	17	34	.220
M2	37	19	18	.108
M4	29	13	16	.565
M5	17	4	13	.190
Not determined	7	5	2	.117
Chromosomal aberrations				
t(8,21)	4	2	2	1.000
inv(16)	1	1	0	.401
Normal	106	40	66	.354
Trisomy 8	3	0	3	.274
11q23	0	0	0	1.000
Complex	4	1	3	.649
Unknown	8	4	4	.558
Gene mutation				
FLT3-TKD	0	0	0	1.000
NPM1	83	31	52	.432
CEBPA(sm)	8	5	3	.268
CEBPA(dm)	3	3	0	.063
Induction therapy				
(IDA/DNR/ACR) + Ara-C	108	41	67	.371
AVVV, BHAC-DM, CAG	25	12	13	.382
Others	14	6	8	.827
Stem cell transplantation				
All	65	26	39	.976
In CR1	31	16	15	.142

Data are numbers of patients, except as noted. Some data are missing due to the unavailability of certain follow-up data in a retrospective study.

ACR, aclarubicin; Ara-C, cytarabine; AVVV, cytarabine + etoposide + vincristine + vinblastine; BHAC-D, enocitabine + daunorubicin + 6-mercaptopurine; CAG, cytarabine + cytarabine + granulocyte colony-stimulating factor; dm, double mutation; DNR, daunorubicin; ECOG-PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; *FLT3*-TKD, fms-like kinase 3-tyrosine kinase domain; IDA, idarubicin; PIt, platelet; sm, single mutation; WBC, white blood cell.

date of relapse. These were defied according to the ELN. 25 An χ^2 test was used for the analysis of nominal variables. Where a figure of <5 appeared in any field of the 2 \times 2 table, a Fisher's exact test was used for analysis. The nonparametric Mann-Whitney U test was used to determine the statistical significance of differences in median values. All statistical tests were 2 sided. To analyze OS

and RFS, the Kaplan-Meier method and the log-rank test were used. Events at a significance level of P < .05 were analyzed. Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism (version 7.03 for Windows; GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA), and EZR (version 1.36; Saitama Medical Center, Jichi Medical University, Saitama, Japan).³¹

Results

Patient background

Patient background is shown in Table 1. The median age was 56 years. There were 66 males and 77 females. The median follow-up period was 0.95 years (345 days). Cytogenetic test results found normal karyotype in 106 cases, t(8;21) in 4, inv(16) in 1, trisomy 8 in 3, and complex karyotype in 4. Gene mutations other than *FLT3*-ITD consisted of *NPM1* in 83 cases and *CEBPA* biallelic mutation in 3. Induction therapy consisted in 108 cases of standard chemotherapy in the form of an anthracycline-type drug (idarubicin, daunorubicin, or aclarubicin) combined with cytarabine. Allo-HSCT was carried out in 65 patients, in 31 of whom it took place during CR1.

Study of FLT3-ITD AR

The median value for *FLT3*-ITD AF was 36.98% (range 2.08% to 100%), similar to that of a previous report,¹² in which the median value for *FLT3*-ITD AF was 35% (range 1% to 96%) (supplemental Figure 1A). The characteristic distribution of AF and mutant size in the 124 cases with a single *FLT3*-ITD mutant is shown in supplemental Figure 1B-C.

RFS and OS were studied with the cutoff values for *FLT3*-ITD AR set variously at 0.25 (AF 20%), 0.5 (AF 33.3%), and 1.0 (AF 50%). When the cutoff value was set at *FLT3*-ITD AR 0.25, RFS and OS were found to be significantly more favorable in the low-AR group than in the high-AR group (RFS P = .030; OS P = .037) (supplemental Figure 2A). When the cutoff value was set at *FLT3*-ITD AR 0.5, RFS and OS were again significantly more favorable in the low-AR group (RFS P = .008; OS P = .015) (Figure 1). In contrast, when the cutoff value was set at *FLT3*-ITD AR 1.0, no significant difference in RFS or OS was observed between the low-AR group and the high-AR group (RFS P = .174; OS P = .624) (supplemental Figure 2B). These findings indicate that a cutoff value set at *FLT3*-ITD AR 0.5 was the most appropriate for prognostic stratification.

Based on the above results, the patients were divided into 2 groups: a low-AR group with AR of <0.5 (low AR) and a high-AR group with AR of \geq 0.5 (high AR). The patient background of the 2 groups (Table 1) showed no significant difference in any factors.

Impact of AR on CR1 success rate, relapse rate, and CR2 success rate

The success rate of CR1, the relapse rate, and the success rate of the second complete remission (CR2) in the low-AR and high-AR groups are summarized in Table 2. The overall success rate of CR1 was 68.6% (94/137), with no significant difference found between the low-AR and high-AR groups (low AR 62.7% vs high AR 64.8%, P = .985). The relapse rate was examined with analysis restricted to cases in which allo-HSCT was not performed during CR1. The relapse rate was 84.4% (38/45) overall, with no significant difference noted between the low-AR and high-AR groups (low AR 85.7% vs high AR 83.9%, P = 1.000). Next, we examined the efficacy in these cases of postrelapse second induction therapy. The overall success rate of CR2 was 31.8% (7/22 patients), with no significant difference found between low-AR and high-AR groups (low AR 20.0% vs high AR 35.3%, P = .637). Relapse cases where transplant was not carried out in CR1 were thus associated with poor outcome irrespective of AR, with a probability of achieving second remission of \sim 30%.



Figure 1. Impact on RFS and OS of *FLT3***-ITD AR with cutoff value set at 0.5.** (A) RFS. (B) OS. RFS and OS were found to be significantly more favorable in the low-AR group than in the high-AR group (RFS at 5 years: low-AR group 48.9% vs high-AR group 23.8%, P = .008; OS at 5 years: low-AR group 39.1% vs high-AR group 15.0%, P = .015).

Impact of AR on OS and RFS

Taking all cases of *FLT3*-ITD-positive AML, the 5-year RFS was 34.3%, and the 5-year OS was 25.8% (supplemental Figure 3). The overall relapse rate was 58.2% (53/91 patients).

In stratified analysis focusing on patients <70 years of age who have intermediate prognosis based on karyotype, the low-AR group was also found to have significantly better outcomes in both RFS and OS than the high-AR group (RFS P = .017; OS P = .049) (Figure 2A).

When analysis was restricted to *NPM1* mut-positive cases, RFS and OS were again found to be significantly more favorable in the low-AR group than the high-AR group (RFS P = .026; OS P = .041) (Figure 2B). Table 3 shows patient background stratified by AR status in cases positive and negative for *NPM1* mut. No significant difference in patient background was observed between the low-AR and high-AR groups in the *NPM1* mut-positive cases. Thus, although cases with low-AR *FLT3*-ITD accompanied by *NPM1* mut are classified according to the ELN recommendation²⁵ as having favorable prognosis, our results indicate an associated OS of \leq 50%, which, far from being favorable, represents an intermediate outcome. Moreover, although high-AR *FLT3*-ITD cases additionally positive for *NPM1* mut are classified by the

Table 2. Outcome data according to FLT3-ITD AR level

	А	R		
	<0.5 (n = 59)	≥0.5 (n = 88)	OR (95% CI)	Р
All patients				
PIF	17 (28.9)	26 (29.5)	0.993 (0.477-2.063)	.985
CR1	37 (62.7)	57 (64.8)		
Excluding patients who received allo-HSCT in CR1				
Relapse after CR1	12 (20.3)	26 (29.5)	0.867 (0.171-4.576)	1.000
Nonrelapse after CR1	2 (3.9)	5 (5.7)		
Resistant to reinduction	4 (6.8)	11 (12.5)	0.458 (0.058-4.063)	.637
CR2	1 (1.7)	6 (6.8)		

Values represent n (%) of patients.

CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio; PIF, primary induction failure.

ELN guidelines 25 in the intermediate group, according to our findings, this group has OS of $\leq\!25\%$, corresponding to an unfavorable outcome.

Prognostic impact of AR and allo-HSCT

FLT3-ITD-positive AML patients who did not undergo allo-SCT had significantly less favorable outcome (Figure 3A). In the group in which allo-HSCT was performed, cases with low AR had significantly more favorable outcome in RFS and OS than cases with high AR (RFS: low AR vs high AR, P = .012; OS: low AR vs high AR, P = .004) (Figure 3B). In the group in which allo-HSCT was not performed, no significant difference in RFS and OS was found between the low-AR and high-AR groups, both of which had unfavorable outcomes (RFS: low AR vs high AR, P = .812; OS: low AR vs high AR, P = .967) (Figure 3B) (supplemental Table 1A). Cases with *FLT3*-ITD low AR are classified by the ELN recommendation as having good prognosis, but in our analysis, cases in this group not undergoing allo-HSCT had a very poor outcome.

In the group in which allo-HSCT was performed in CR1, no significant difference in RFS and OS was found between cases with low AR and those with high AR, with both groups having a favorable outcome (RFS: low AR vs high AR, P = .501; OS: low AR vs high AR, P = .266) (Figure 4A) (supplemental Table 1B).

Among *NPM1* mut–positive AML cases with *FLT3*-ITD where allo-HSCT was performed in CR1, no significant difference in RFS and OS was found between cases with low AR and those with high AR, with both groups having a favorable outcome (RFS: low AR vs high AR, P = .372; OS: low AR vs high AR, P = .695) (Figure 4B) (supplemental Table 1C).

Significance of allo-HSCT in CR1 in cases with low-AR *FLT3*-ITD

Among cases with low-AR *FLT3*-ITD, those undergoing allo-HSCT in CR1 had a significantly more favorable outcome than those who did not receive allo-HSCT in CR1 (RFS: P < .001; OS: P < .001) (Figure 4A). Moreover, in cases with low-AR *FLT3*-ITD, even with stratification for *NPM1* mut, those who underwent allo-HSCT in CR1 had a significantly more favorable outcome than those that did not have allo-HSCT in CR1 (RFS P = .013; OS P = .003) (Figure 4B). To allow for the possibility that age influenced the decision on whether to carry out transplant in CR1, an analysis stratified by age of <70 years was performed in the low-AR *FLT3-ITD* group. However, the result of this stratified analysis also showed that performing transplant in CR1 significantly improved outcome, regardless of whether *NPM1* mut was also present (low AR, RFS P < .001; OS P < .001) (low AR + *NPM1* mut, RFS P = .044; OS P = .028) (supplemental Figure 4; supplemental Tables 2 and 3).



Figure 2. Impact on RFS and OS of FLT3-ITD AR focus on patients younger than 70 years with intermediate prognosis based on karyotype and NPM1 mut. (A) RFS (left) and OS (right) of patients <70 years with intermediate prognosis based on karyotype stratified for FLT3-ITD AR. The low-AR group was found to have significantly better outcomes in both RFS and OS than the high-AR group (RFS at 5 years: low-AR group 51.9% vs high-AR group 22.8%, P = .017; OS at 5 years: low-AR group 41.9% vs high-AR group 17.1%, P = .049). (B) RFS (left) and OS (right) of NPM1 mut-positive cases stratified for FLT3-ITD AR. When analysis was restricted to NPM1 mut-positive cases, RFS and OS were again found to be significantly more favorable in the low-AR group than the high-AR group (RFS at 5 years: low-AR group 50.5% vs high-AR group 11.7%, P = .026; OS at 5 years: low-AR group 41.3% vs high-AR group 14.7%, P = .041). However, in contrast to the classification of the ELN guidelines,²⁵ the low-AR group, with a 5-year survival rate of 41.3%, was found to have not a good but an intermediate prognosis, and the high-AR group, with a 5-year survival rate of 14.7%, was found to have not an intermediate but an unfavorable prognosis.

Table 3. Clinical background of AN	ML patients with and v	vithout <i>NPM1</i> mut					
		+IMdN	(u = 83)		– LMIAN	(u = 63)	
	All (N = 146)	AR <0.5 (n = 31)	AR ≥0.5 (n = 52)	Р	< 0.5 (n = 27)	≥ 0.5 (n = 36)	P
Age (y), median (range)	56 (18-90)	58 (26-80)	56 (18-90)	.873	52 (21-86)	55 (20-90)	.673
Sex							
Male	66	13	15	.240	17	21	797.
Female	77	17	36	.239	8	15	.430
Unknown	4	t	۲	1.000	2	0	.180
ECOG-PS, 0/1/2/3/4	41/45/6/3/3	12/9/1/0/0	11/19/3/2/2		9/7/0/3/0	9/10/2/0/1	
WBC count, median (range), $\times 10^9$ /L	60.4 (1.0-677.0)	49.9 (1.0-470.5)	85.6 (2.1-677.0)	.519	36.2 (1.1-620.0)	48.9 (1.3-450.1)	0.570
Hb, median (range), g/dL	8.5 (3.5-15.1)	8.9 (3.3-14.3)	8.5 (4.4-14.9)	.756	8.0 (4.1-15.0)	8.7 (4.1-15.1)	.926
Plt count, median (range), $\times 10^9$ /L	50.0 (5.0-630.0)	49.0 (11.0-160.0)	45.0 (5.0-339.0)	.708	82.0 (6.0-630.0)	50.0 (6.0-540.0)	.602
LDH, median (range), IU/L	718 (151-5930)	588 (204-3788)	695 (156-4144)	.093	718 (151-5930)	819 (157-3915)	0.891
FAB							
MO	9	0	2	.526	-	б	0.629
M1	51	13	22	1.000	4	12	0.144
M2	36	7	8	.556	11	10	.296
M4	29	വ	8	1.000	8	æ	.566
M5	17	4	10	.554	0	б	.253
Not determined	7	2	2	.627	m	0	.073
Chromosomal aberrations							
t(8,21)	4	0	0	1.000	2	2	1.000
inv(16)	+	0	0	1.000	÷	0	.429
Normal	105	23	46	.130	16	20	.802
Trisomy 8	3	0	0	1.000	0	ß	.253
11q23	0	0	0	1.000	0	0	1.000
Complex	4	0	t	1.000	÷	2	1.000
Unknown	8	2	ю	1.000	2	-	.572
Gene mutation							
<i>FL</i> 73-TKD	0	0	0	1.000	0	0	1.000
CEBPA(sm)	8	2	÷	.553	ß	2	0.643
CEBPA(dm)	ę	0	0	1.000	ဗ	0	0.073
Induction therapy							
(IDA/DNR/ACR) + Ara-C	108	24	39	1.000	17	28	.262
AVVV, BHAC-DM, CAG	24	Q	9	.739	9	7	1.000
Others	14	2	2	.473	4	-	.155
Stem cell transplantation							
AII	65	12	22	.821	14	17	.801
In CR1	31	8	9	.130	8	σ	777.
Data are numbers of patients, except as not	pted. Some data are missing d	ue to the unavailability of certain	follow-up data in a retrospective s	tudy.			

HU, hydroxyurea.



Figure 3. Impact on RFS and OS of FLT3-ITD AR and

allo-HSCT. (A) Comparison of RFS (left) and OS (right) with and without allo-HSCT. The group in which transplant was carried out had significantly better OS than the nontransplant group. Additionally, although the difference was not significant, RFS showed a superior tendency in the transplant group compared with the nontransplant group (RFS at 3 years: allo-HSCT [+] 47.4% vs allo-HSCT [-] 9.9%, P = .165; OS at 3 years: allo-HSCT [+] 46.1% vs allo-HSCT [-] 10.1%, P < .001). (B) RFS (left) and OS (right) with and without allo-HSCT and stratified for AR. When analysis was restricted to FLT3-ITD low-AR cases, RFS and OS were again found to be significantly more favorable in the allo-HSCT (+) group than the allo-HSCT (-) group (RFS at 2 years: allo-HSCT [+] group 72.6% vs allo-HSCT [-] group 0.0%, P = .012; OS at 2 years: allo-HSCT [+] group 76.5% vs allo-HSCT [-] group 17.4%, P < .001). Among FLT3-ITD high-AR cases, the transplant group had significantly better OS than the nontransplant group. Additionally, although the difference was not significant, RFS showed a superior tendency in the transplant group compared with the nontransplant group (RFS at 5 years: allo-HSCT [+] group 32.4% vs allo-HSCT [-] group 12.7%, P = .784; OS at 2 years: allo-HSCT [+] group 33.7% vs allo-HSCT [-] group 6.4%, P = .002).

The findings presented above suggest that prognosis in *FLT3*-ITD-positive AML could be improved by performing allo-HSCT in CR1 irrespective of *FLT3*-ITD AR and *NPM1* mut.

Prognostic factor analysis

The results of prognostic factor analysis carried out using Cox proportional hazard regression analysis are shown in Table 4. Favorable prognostic factors associated with significant difference in RFS in univariate analysis were white blood cell count of $\leq 20 \times 10^9$ /L (HR, 0.415; P < .001), AR <0.5 (HR, 0.460; P = .010), and allo-HSCT in CR1 (HR, 0.083; P < .001). In multivariate analysis, the only favorable prognostic factor associated with significant difference was allo-HSCT in CR1 (HR, 0.066; P < .001). Prognostic factors associated with significant difference in OS in univariate analysis were white blood cell count of 20×10^9 /L or below (HR, 0.544; P = .024), age (HR, 1.039; P < .001), AR <0.5 (HR, 0.555; P = .017), allo-HSCT (HR, 0.248; P < .001), and allo-HSCT in CR1 (HR, 0.092; P < .001) and allo-HSCT in CR1 (HR, 0.092; P < .001).

Discussion

We found that *FLT3*-ITD low AR with *NPM1* mut was not associated with favorable outcome and that careful interpretation was required with respect to the ELN recommendation, which classifies such cases as having favorable prognosis. In *FLT3*-ITD-positive AML, we additionally found that performing allo-HSCT during CR1 irrespective of AR and *NPM1* mut significantly improves outcome. Although *FLT3*-ITD AR is used in the prognostic stratification of *FLT3*-ITD-positive AML, low AR was not associated with favorable prognosis and was not a factor influencing therapeutic strategy. AML with low-AR *FLT3*-ITD accompanied by *NPM1* mut is reported in some studies to have intermediate outcome, with 5-year OS of 35% to 47%, ^{12,22,23,32} while elsewhere it is reported to be associated with good outcome, with a 3-year OS of ~60%.²⁴ However, as the reports quoted include some whose analysis is restricted to cases with intermediate cytogenetic prognosis^{23,32} or to cases aged 60 years or below,²² and one that excludes cases having undergone allo-HSCT,²⁴ their results need to be interpreted with care. When our data are supplemented with the findings of these reports, AML with low-AR *FLT3*-ITD and *NPM1* mut appears to be of intermediate outcome, rather than belonging in the favorable prognostic classification proposed by the ELN.

So what should we conclude as to whether to perform allo-HSCT in CR1 for the treatment of AML with low-AR FLT3-ITD combined with NPM1 mut? Pratcorona et al reported no usefulness of allo-HSCT in CR1 in patients who were NPM1 mut-positive and had wild-type or low-AR FLT3-ITD; however, as the group that received allo-HSCT in CR1 did show a clear tendency to more favorable outcome than the group that did not receive allo-HSCT in CR1, guestions remain regarding the conclusion of the study.²² Meanwhile, Ho et al report that, in a group with FLT3-ITD AR < 0.8, patients who received allo-HSCT in CR1 and those that received chemotherapy without allo-HSCT in CR1 had similar therapeutic outcomes, with a 5-year OS of \sim 60%.³³ However, no stratification for *NPM1* mut was carried out, and in the group that received chemotherapy rather than allo-HSCT in CR1, information is lacking as to whether allo-HSCT was performed after first relapse, making the results difficult to interpret. Kim et al analyzed outcome comparing patients with AF ${<}50\%$ and mutant size <70 bp with other cases.³⁴ Focusing only on patients with a normal karyotype, they found that the group with AF <50% and



Figure 4. Impact on RFS and OS of allo-HSCT in CR1 and *FLT3***-ITD AR.** (A) RFS (left) and OS (right) with and without allo-HSCT in CR1 and stratified for *FLT3*-ITD AR. Among *FLT3*-ITD low-AR cases, the group in which transplant was carried out in CR1 had significantly more favorable RFS and OS than the group in which transplant was not carried out in CR1 (RFS at 3 years: allo-HSCT in CR1 [+] group 92.9% vs allo-HSCT in CR1 [-] group 12.8%, P < .001; OS at 4 years: allo-HSCT in CR1 [+] group 92.9% vs allo-HSCT in CR1 [-] group 12.8%, P < .001; OS at 4 years: allo-HSCT in CR1 [+] group 92.9% vs allo-HSCT in CR1 [-] group 12.8%, P < .001; OS at 4 years: allo-HSCT in CR1 [-] group 20.4%, P < .001). Similarly, among *FLT3*-ITD high-AR cases, RFS and OS were significantly more favorable in the group with transplant in CR1 than in the group without transplant in CR1 (RFS at 3 years: allo-HSCT in CR1 [+] group 59.3% vs allo-HSCT in CR1 [-] group 9.2%, P < .001). (B) RFS (left) and OS (right) in patients positive for both *FLT3*-ITD and *NPM1* mut, showing results with and without allo-HSCT in CR1 and stratified for *FLT3*-ITD AR. Among *FLT3*-ITD low-AR cases, RFS and OS were significantly more favorable in the group with transplant in CR1 than in the group without transplant in CR1 (RFS at 3 years: allo-HSCT in CR1 [-] group 15.2%, P = .013; OS at 4 years: allo-HSCT in CR1 [+] group 66.7% vs allo-HSCT in CR1 [-] group 15.6%, P = .003). Among *FLT3*-ITD high-AR cases similarly, RFS and OS were significantly more favorable in the group with transplant in CR1 than in the group without transplant in CR1 (RFS at 3 years: allo-HSCT in CR1 [-] group 66.7% vs allo-HSCT in CR1 [-] group 9.0%, P = .003). Among *FLT3*-ITD high-AR cases similarly, RFS and OS were significantly more favorable in the group with transplant in CR1 (RFS at 3 years: allo-HSCT in CR1 [-] group 9.0%, P = .036; OS at 4 years: allo-HSCT in CR1 [+] group 66.7% vs allo-HSCT in CR1 [-] group 9.0%, P = .036; OS at 4 years: allo-HSCT in

mutant size <70 bp had a 5-year OS of ~35%, rising to ~65% if allo-HSCT was performed, which is equivalent to the outcome associated with wild-type *FLT3*. However, this study also did not stratify for *NPM1* mut and did not indicate at which stage allo-HSCT was performed. We carried out stratification for *NPM1* mut in cases with *FLT3*-ITD low AR, and our analysis took account of the stage at which allo-HSCT was performed, the relapse rate in cases not undergoing allo-HSCT in CR1, and the rate of successful second remission following the first relapse. As a result, we found that allo-HSCT in CR1 significantly improved outcomes in this group of patients. Therefore, in contrast to the ELN recommendation against allo-HSCT in CR1 for *NPM1* mut–positive AML with *FLT3*-ITD low AR, we recommend that *NPM1* mut–positive AML with *FLT3*-ITD low AR, should be treated with allo-HSCT in CR1 if a suitable donor is available.

One potential problem with the present study lies in the small number of cases of *FLT3*-ITD low-AR AML in which allo-HSCT was not performed in CR1. In clinical practice since 2010, based on the view that *FLT3*-ITD–positive AML patients have poor prognosis,

allo-HSCT in CR1 is frequently and actively pursued when a suitable donor is available. We therefore included in the present study a retrospective analysis of cases from a period when genetic mutation analysis was not carried out as a prognostic factor. This makes possible a comparison within *FLT3*-ITD-positive AML cases between those in which allo-HSCT was carried out in CR1 and those in which it was not. Given the above and the improvement in allo-HSCT treatment techniques, it is possible that our results may have been influenced by the period in which treatment was received. As the present study was a retrospective one, a further problem is that we could not perform an analysis of the reasons why transplant was not possible in CR1 (eg, comorbid infectious disease).

The multikinase inhibitor midostaurin has been shown to improve the therapeutic result when administered concomitantly with chemotherapy.³⁵ In the relevant report, allo-HSCT in CR1 was carried out in 28.1% of the midostaurin group and in 22.7% of the placebo group, and the 4-year OS rate was found to show a more favorable tendency in the midostaurin group. However, as no account was taken of AR,

Table 4. Multivariate analysis of prognostic factor

	Univariate analysis			Multivariate analysis			
	HR	95% CI	Р	HR	95% CI	Р	
RFS							
WBC count ${<}20 \times 10^9$ /L	0.415	0.216-0.800	<.001	1.113	0.474-2.614	.805	
Age	1.017	0.998-1.036	.077				
Not poor cytogenetic prognosis	1.008	0.245-4.148	.991				
AR <0.5	0.460	0.255-0.830	.010	0.578	0.284-1.178	.135	
Presence of NPM1 mut	1.536	0.903-2.614	.114				
Allo-HSCT at any time	0.641	0.343-1.197	.163	NA	NA	NA	
Allo-HSCT at CR1	0.083	0.030-0.234	<.001	0.066	0.020-0.218	<.001	
os							
WBC count ${<}20 \times 10^9$ /L	0.544	0.321-0.924	.024	0.754	0.376-1.513	.427	
Age	1.039	1.023-1.055	<.001	1.033	1.016-1.051	<.001	
Not poor cytogenetic prognosis	1.037	0.379-2.838	.944				
AR <0.5	0.555	0.343-0.898	.017	0.747	0.435-1.286	.293	
Presence of NPM1 mut	1.313	0.846-2.038	.225				
Allo-HSCT at any stage	0.248	0.150-0.409	<.001	NA	NA	NA	
Allo-HSCT in CR1	0.113	0.045-0.281	<.001	0.092	0.028-0.302	<.001	

HR, hazard ratio; NA, not available.

the impact of AR and midostaurin remains unclear. Going forward, it would be helpful to undertake a renewed analysis of prognosis based on the inclusion of AR. In the present study, 5-year OS in the low-AR group was 39.1%. If the use of *FLT3* inhibitors and other therapies succeeds in raising OS by ~10% to 15%, then in the future, patients in the low-AR group might be treated as if they belong to the favorable prognosis group. *FLT3* inhibitors may thus make it possible to avoid allo-HSCT.

The present study consisted of a prognostic analysis of AR in *FLT3*-ITD-positive AML before the advent of *FLT3* inhibitors. Going forward, the advent of *FLT3* inhibitors may bring about major changes in therapeutic methods and outcomes. For example, Döhner et al report that low-AR *FLT3*-ITD patients with *NPM1* mut have a 5-year OS of ~50% with chemotherapy alone, indicating intermediate prognosis as in our findings, but that chemotherapy supplemented with *FLT3* inhibitor treatment may improve 5-year OS to ~70%, placing these patients in the favorable prognosis group.³⁶

For the present, however, we recommend allo-HSCT in CR1 for *FLT3*-ITD–positive AML in cases where a suitable donor is available, regardless of whether *NPM1* mut is also present.

Acknowledgment

The authors thank the physicians who cared for patients and collected clinical data during this study.

Authorship

Contribution: M.S. and H.Y. were the principal investigators and take primary responsibility for the paper; Y.N., K.U., T.U., I. Oh, S.M., E.K., N. Uoshima, Y. Kobayashi, S. Kako, K.T., S.G., K.S., K. Kayamori, M.H., J. Kanda, H.U., J. Kuroda, N. Uchida, Y. Kubota, S. Kimura, S. Kurosawa, K. Kakihana, Y. Kanda, T.F., and. K.O. recruited the cases; M.S., H.Y., N.N., K.A., and T.K. performed the laboratory work for the study; and M.S., H.Y., N.N., A.M., I. Omori, Y.F., S.Y., S.W., and K.I. analyzed the data and wrote the paper.

Conflict-of-interest disclosure: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

ORCID profile: K. Kakihana, 0000-0001-5062-5795.

Correspondence: Hiroki Yamaguchi, Department of Hematology, Nippon Medical School, 1-1-5 Sendagi, Bunkyo-Ku, Tokyo 113-8603, Japan; e-mail: y-hiroki@fd6.so-net.ne.jp.

References

- 1. Ohtake S, Miyawaki S, Fujita H, et al. Randomized study of induction therapy comparing standard-dose idarubicin with high-dose daunorubicin in adult patients with previously untreated acute myeloid leukemia: the JALSG AML201 Study. *Blood.* 2011;117(8):2358-2365.
- Kurosawa S, Yakushijin K, Yamaguchi T, et al. Changes in incidence and causes of non-relapse mortality after allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation in patients with acute leukemia/myelodysplastic syndrome: an analysis of the Japan Transplant Outcome Registry. *Bone Marrow Transplant.* 2013;48(4):529-536.
- Patel JP, Gönen M, Figueroa ME, et al. Prognostic relevance of integrated genetic profiling in acute myeloid leukemia. N Engl J Med. 2012;366(12): 1079-1089.

- 4. Nakao M, Yokota S, Iwai T, et al. Internal tandem duplication of the *flt3* gene found in acute myeloid leukemia. *Leukemia*. 1996;10(12):1911-1918.
- 5. Small D. FLT3 mutations: biology and treatment. Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program. 2006;2006:178-184.
- Kayser S, Schlenk RF, Londono MC, et al; German-Austrian AML Study Group (AMLSG). Insertion of FLT3 internal tandem duplication in the tyrosine kinase domain-1 is associated with resistance to chemotherapy and inferior outcome. Blood. 2009;114(12):2386-2392.
- Hayakawa F, Towatari M, Kiyoi H, et al. Tandem-duplicated *Flt3* constitutively activates STAT5 and MAP kinase and introduces autonomous cell growth in IL-3-dependent cell lines. *Oncogene*. 2000;19(5):624-631.
- Mizuki M, Fenski R, Halfter H, et al. Flt3 mutations from patients with acute myeloid leukemia induce transformation of 32D cells mediated by the Ras and STAT5 pathways. Blood. 2000;96(12):3907-3914.
- Brandts CH, Sargin B, Rode M, et al. Constitutive activation of Akt by Flt3 internal tandem duplications is necessary for increased survival, proliferation, and myeloid transformation. Cancer Res. 2005;65(21):9643-9650.
- 10. Stirewalt DL, Radich JP. The role of FLT3 in haematopoietic malignancies. Nat Rev Cancer. 2003;3(9):650-665.
- 11. Kottaridis PD, Gale RE, Linch DC. Flt3 mutations and leukaemia. Br J Haematol. 2003;122(4):523-538.
- Gale RE, Green C, Allen C, et al; Medical Research Council Adult Leukaemia Working Party. The impact of FLT3 internal tandem duplication mutant level, number, size, and interaction with NPM1 mutations in a large cohort of young adult patients with acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood*. 2008; 111(5):2776-2784.
- 13. Schlenk RF, Döhner K, Krauter J, et al; German-Austrian Acute Myeloid Leukemia Study Group. Mutations and treatment outcome in cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia. N Engl J Med. 2008;358(18):1909-1918.
- Bornhäuser M, Illmer T, Schaich M, Soucek S, Ehninger G, Thiede C; AML SHG 96 study group. Improved outcome after stem-cell transplantation in FLT3/ITD-positive AML. Blood. 2007;109(5):2264-2265, author reply 2265.
- 15. Brunet S, Labopin M, Esteve J, et al. Impact of *FLT3* internal tandem duplication on the outcome of related and unrelated hematopoietic transplantation for adult acute myeloid leukemia in first remission: a retrospective analysis. *J Clin Oncol.* 2012;30(7):735-741.
- Meshinchi S, Arceci RJ, Sanders JE, et al. Role of allogeneic stem cell transplantation in *FLT3/ITD*-positive AML. *Blood.* 2006;108(1):400-401, author reply 400-401.
- 17. Schnittger S, Schoch C, Kern W, et al. Nucleophosmin gene mutations are predictors of favorable prognosis in acute myelogenous leukemia with a normal karyotype. *Blood.* 2005;106(12):3733-3739.
- 18. Döhner K, Schlenk RF, Habdank M, et al. Mutant *nucleophosmin (NPM1)* predicts favorable prognosis in younger adults with acute myeloid leukemia and normal cytogenetics: interaction with other gene mutations. *Blood.* 2005;106(12):3740-3746.
- Verhaak RG, Goudswaard CS, van Putten W, et al. Mutations in *nucleophosmin (NPM1)* in acute myeloid leukemia (AML): association with other gene abnormalities and previously established gene expression signatures and their favorable prognostic significance. *Blood*. 2005;106(12): 3747-3754.
- Thiede C, Koch S, Creutzig E, et al. Prevalence and prognostic impact of NPM1 mutations in 1485 adult patients with acute myeloid leukemia (AML). Blood. 2006;107(10):4011-4020.
- 21. Brown P, McIntyre E, Rau R, et al. The incidence and clinical significance of nucleophosmin mutations in childhood AML. Blood. 2007;110(3):979-985.
- Pratcorona M, Brunet S, Nomdedéu J, et al; Grupo Cooperativo Para el Estudio y Tratamiento de las Leucemias Agudas Mieloblásticas. Favorable outcome of patients with acute myeloid leukemia harboring a low-allelic burden *FLT3*-ITD mutation and concomitant *NPM1* mutation: relevance to postremission therapy. *Blood.* 2013;121(14):2734-2738.
- Schlenk RF, Kayser S, Bullinger L, et al; German-Austrian AML Study Group. Differential impact of allelic ratio and insertion site in *FLT3*-ITD-positive AML with respect to allogeneic transplantation. *Blood.* 2014;124(23):3441-3449.
- 24. Schnittger S, Bacher U, Kern W, Alpermann T, Haferlach C, Haferlach T. Prognostic impact of *FLT3*-ITD load in *NPM1* mutated acute myeloid leukemia. *Leukemia*. 2011;25(8):1297-1304.
- Döhner H, Estey E, Grimwade D, et al. Diagnosis and management of AML in adults: 2017 ELN recommendations from an international expert panel. Blood. 2017;129(4):424-447.
- 26. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines). Acute Myeloid Leukemia Version 2.2014. Available at: http://williams.medicine. wisc.edu/aml.pdf. Accessed 1 April 2017.
- 27. Zwaan CM, Meshinchi S, Radich JP, et al. FLT3 internal tandem duplication in 234 children with acute myeloid leukemia: prognostic significance and relation to cellular drug resistance. *Blood*. 2003;102(7):2387-2394.
- Kottaridis PD, Gale RE, Frew ME, et al. The presence of a FLT3 internal tandem duplication in patients with acute myeloid leukemia (AML) adds important prognostic information to cytogenetic risk group and response to the first cycle of chemotherapy: analysis of 854 patients from the United Kingdom Medical Research Council AML 10 and 12 trials. *Blood.* 2001;98(6):1752-1759.
- 29. Wakita S, Yamaguchi H, Ueki T, et al. Complex molecular genetic abnormalities involving three or more genetic mutations are important prognostic factors for acute myeloid leukemia. 2016;30(3):545-554.
- Wakita S, Yamaguchi H, Omori I, et al. Mutations of the epigenetics-modifying gene (DNMT3a, TET2, IDH1/2) at diagnosis may induce FLT3-ITD at relapse in de novo acute myeloid leukemia. Leukemia. 2013;27(5):1044-1052.
- 31. Kanda Y. Investigation of the freely available easy-to-use software 'EZR' for medical statistics. Bone Marrow Transplant. 2013;48(3):452-458.
- Linch DC, Hills RK, Burnett AK, Khwaja A, Gale RE. Impact of FLT3(ITD) mutant allele level on relapse risk in intermediate-risk acute myeloid leukemia. Blood. 2014;124(2):273-276.

- 33. Ho AD, Schetelig J, Bochtler T, et al; Study Alliance Leukemia. Allogeneic stem cell transplantation improves survival in patients with acute myeloid leukemia characterized by a high allelic ratio of mutant *FLT3*-ITD. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. 2016;22(3):462-469.
- 34. Kim Y, Lee GD, Park J, et al. Quantitative fragment analysis of FLT3-ITD efficiently identifying poor prognostic group with high mutant allele burden or long ITD length. *Blood Cancer J*. 2015;5(8):e336.
- 35. Stone RM, Mandrekar SJ, Sanford BL, et al. Midostaurin plus Chemotherapy for Acute Myeloid Leukemia with a *FLT3* Mutation. *N Engl J Med.* 2017; 377(5):454-464.
- 36. Döhner K, Thiede C, Larson RA, et al. Prognostic impact of NPM1/FLT3-ITD genotypes from randomized patients with acute myeloid leukemia (AML) treated within the international ratify study [abstract]. *Blood.* 2017;130(suppl 1). Abstract 467.