Development of a prognostically relevant cachexia index in primary myelofibrosis using serum albumin and cholesterol levels

Ayalew Tefferi,¹ Maura Nicolosi,¹ Domenico Penna,¹ Mythri Mudireddy,¹ Natasha Szuber,¹ Terra L. Lasho,¹ Curtis A. Hanson,² Rhett P. Ketterling,³ Naseema Gangat,¹ and Animesh D. Pardanani¹

¹Division of Hematology, Department of Internal Medicine, ²Division of Hematopathology, Department of Laboratory Medicine, and ³Division of Laboratory Genetics and Genomics, Department of Laboratory Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN

Key Points

- Serum albumin and cholesterol levels predict survival in primary myelofibrosis, independent of each other and contemporary risk models.
- The cachexia index, determined by serum albumin and cholesterol levels, might further refine current prognostic models in myelofibrosis.

Introduction

Constitutional symptoms, defined as the presence of weight loss that is >10% of baseline in the year before diagnosis or unexplained excessive sweats or fever persisting for at least a month¹ is a consistent risk variable in current prognostic models in primary myelofibrosis (PMF). Other prognostic models include the International Prognostic Scoring System (IPSS),¹ Dynamic IPSS (DIPSS),² DIPSS-plus,³ and the recently unveiled mutation-enhanced IPSS (MIPSS70 and MIPSS70-plus).⁴ It is currently assumed that aberrant expression of inflammatory cytokines is the underlying pathology responsible for constitutional symptoms in PMF and is also the target for Janus kinase 2 (JAK2) inhibitor activity.⁵ In other words, constitutional symptoms in PMF reflect the hypercatabolic/cachectic state of the disease; the latter might also be assessed by using laboratory biomarkers of cachexia.^{6,7} Accordingly, we focused on serum albumin and cholesterol levels because of their known susceptibility to the effects of myelofibrosis-associated inflammatory cytokines and their global applicability.⁸⁻¹¹ The phenomenon of markedly decreased cholesterol levels in myelofibrosis has long been recognized,¹² and its prognostic relevance has been highlighted in multiple abstracts.¹³⁻¹⁶ More recent reports have suggested a similar scenario with serum albumin.^{17,18} In this study, we used both serum cholesterol and albumin levels to develop a new cachexia index for PMF that might further enhance prognostication in PMF.

Methods

After approval from the Mayo Clinic review board, study patients were selected from institutional databases. PMF was diagnosed according to World Health Organization criteria.¹⁹ Patient histories were retrospectively reviewed to identify patients with available information on serum albumin or cholesterol levels collected at time of diagnosis or first referral to our institution. To minimize druginduced confounding of serum lipid levels, patients receiving statins or other lipid-lowering drugs were excluded from the study. Standard statistical tests were used to determine the significance of associations. Survival data were prepared by the Kaplan-Meier method and compared by the log-rank test. Cox proportional hazards regression models were applied for multivariable analysis. Covariates for univariable and multivariable analyses were selected on the basis of previous knowledge of their prognostic significance; a step-wise method was used with a backward elimination probability threshold of 0.1. We considered all variables currently included in DIPSS, DIPSS-plus, and MIPSS70plus. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) plots were used to determine serum cholesterol and albumin levels that were prognostically most discriminative in PMF; we made no assumptions regarding the relationship between cachexia and serum levels of cholesterol or albumin and simply used ROC analysis to determine discrimination points after confirming prognostic relevance of serum albumin and cholesterol levels as continuous variables.

Results and discussion

In all, 1109 consecutive patients with PMF were reviewed. Serum albumin level was recorded in 484 patients, and total cholesterol (TC) was recorded in 374; 179 patients had information on both

Submitted 26 February 2018; accepted 13 July 2018. DOI 10.1182/ bloodadvances.2018018051.

Table 1. Clinical and laboratory characteristics	of 414 patients with pri	mary myelofibrosis studi	ied for serum a	Ibumin level and 318 patier	its studied for serum total ch	holesterol
Characteristic	Serum albumin <4.3 g/dL (n = 285), n (%)	Serum albumin ≥4.3 g/dL (n = 129), n (%)	ط	Serum total cholesterol <122 mg/dL (n = 119), n (%)	Serum total cholesterol ≥122 mg/dL (n = 199), n (%)	٩
Age, y						
Median (range)	67 (22-92)	61 (19-84)	<.001	64 (26-86)	61 (19-88)	.02
>65	157 (55)	44 (34)	<.001	53 (45)	79 (40)	4.
Males	182 (64)	71 (55)	60.	93 (78)	108 (54)	<:001
Hemoglobin <10 g/dL	172 (60)	42 (33)	<.001	68 (57)	78 (39)	.002
Requiring transfusion	121 (43)	29 (23)	<.001	48 (40)	46 (23)	.001
Leukocytes $> 25 \times 10^9$ /L	56 (20)	10 (8)	.001	20 (17)	26 (13)	.36
Platelets <100 $ imes$ 10 9 /L	87 (31)	23 (18)	900.	29 (24)	45 (23)	.72
Circulating blasts ≥ 1%	156 (55)	44 (34)	<.001	73 (61)	87 (44)	.002
Constitutional symptoms	113 (40)	26 (20)	<.001	50 (42)	45 (23)	<:001
DIPSS risk distribution*			<.001			<:001
High	46 (16)	2 (1.6)		14 (12)	13 (7)	
Intermediate-2	126 (44)	39 (30)		66 (56)	65 (33)	
Intermediate-1	96 (34)	54 (42)		26 (22)	76 (38)	
Low	17 (6)	34 (26)		13 (11)	45 (23)	
DIPSS-plus risk distribution (no. evaluable: 411 [albumin]; 316 [cholesterol])+			< .001			<.001
High	123 (43)	23 (18)		50 (42)	50 (25)	
Intermediate-2	109 (38)	46 (36)		46 (39)	65 (33)	
Intermediate-1	37 (13)	29 (23)		12 (10)	41 (21)	
Low	15 (5)	29 (23)		11 (9)	41 (21)	
Driver mutations (no. evaluable: 277 [albumin]; 234 [cholesterol])			.67			.005
JAK2	122 (69)	63 (64)		64 (75)	83 (56)	
CALR type 1-like	31 (17)	21 (21)		5 (6)	34 (23)	
CALR type 2-like	6 (3)	2 (2)		3 (4)	7 (5)	
MPL	7 (4)	7 (7)		3 (4)	6 (9)	
Triple-negative	12 (7)	6 (6)		10 (12)	16 (11)	
Patients were stratified by ROC-determined cutoff points fr *DIPSS uses 5 independent predictors of inferior survival: tDIPSS-plus includes all the DIPSS variables plus 3 more: #Revised cytogenetic risk stratification: very high risk include karyotype or sole abnormalities of 13q-, +9, 20q-, chromosorr ASXL1, additional sex combs-like 1; CALR, calreticulin; JA	or alburnin and total cholesterol. age >65 years, hemoglobin <1 red cell transfusion need, platel ss single or multiple abnormalitie ne 1 translocation, or duplication 4/2, Janus kinase 2; MPL, myeld	The values in bold indicate a signi 0 g/dL, leukocytes $> 25 \times 10^{9}$ /L, and unfa st count $<100 \times 10^{9}$ /L, and unfa s of -7 , i(17q), inv(3)/3q21, 12p-/ or sex chromosome abnormality in proliferative leukemia virus oncog	ificant <i>P</i> value (< .0; circulating blasts ≥1 vorable karyotype. 12p11.2, 11q−/11q; including −Y; unfavoi ene; SRSF2, serine/	5). %, and constitutional symptoms. 23, +21, or other autosomal trisomies rable risk includes all other abnormalit arginine-rich splicing factor 2.	not including +8/+9; favorable risk inc	cludes normal

Table 1. (continued)						
Characteristic	Serum albumin <4.3 g/dL (n = 285), n (%)	Serum albumin ≥4.3 g/dL (n = 129), n (%)	đ	Serum total cholesterol <122 mg/dL (n = 119), n (%)	Serum total cholesterol ≥122 mg/dL (n = 199), n (%)	đ
Revised cytogenetic risk distribution (no. evaluable: 389 [albumin]; 303 [cholesterol])‡			.14			.12
Very high risk	29 (11)	6 (5)		8 (7)	5 (3)	
Unfavorable	43 (16)	22 (18)		14 (12)	32 (17)	
Favorable	196 (73)	93 (77)		95 (81)	149 (80)	
ASXI <i>1</i> -mutated (no. evaluable: 201 [albumin]; 191 [cholesterol])	51 (40)	20 (27)	90.	42 (58)	39 (33)	<.001
SRSF2-mutated (no. evaluable: 204 [albumin]; 191 [cholesterol])	21 (16)	13 (17)	P = .89	13 (18)	10 (8)	.06

hemoglobin <10 g/dL, leukocytes > 25 imes 10⁹/L, circulating blasts \ge 1%, and constitutional symptoms. The values in bold indicate a significant P value (< .05). and total cholesterol. years, for albumin DIPSS uses 5 independent predictors of inferior survival: age >65 ROC-determined cutoff points Patients were stratified by

cell transfusion need, platelet count ${<}100 imes10^{9}$ /L, and unfavorable karyotype red +DIPSS-plus includes all the DIPSS variables plus 3 more:

2. 11q-/11q23, +21, or other autosomal trisomies, not including +8/+9; favorable risk includes including -Y; unfavorable risk includes all other abnormalities. SF2, serine/arginine-rich splicing factor 2. Janus kinase 2; MPL, myeloproliferative leukemia virus oncogene; SRSF2, serine/arginine-rich splicing factor inv(3)/3q21, 12p-/12p11.2, 11q-/11q23, +21, sex chromosome abnormality abnormalities of -7, i(17q), duplication or translocation, or or multiple 20q-, chromosome 1 stratification: very high risk includes single ASXL1, additional sex combs-like 1; CALR, calreticulin; JAK2, abnormalities of 13q-, +9, risk sole **FRevised** cytogenetic p normal karyotype

cholesterol and albumin levels. Use of lipid-lowering drugs, including statins, was documented in 125 patients, including 29 patients with information on both parameters, warranting their exclusion from further analysis. After patients receiving lipid-lowering drugs were excluded, 414 patients were evaluable for serum albumin, 318 for TC, and 150 for both.

Table 1 lists presenting clinical and laboratory characteristics stratified by ROC-determined cutoff values for serum albumin (4.3 g/dL) and TC (122 mg/dL); the reference range for serum albumin level at our institution was 3.5 to 5 g/dL, and a TC level of <200 mg/dL was considered desirable (ie, normal), whereas TC levels of 200 to 239 mg/dL were considered borderline high and TC levels \geq 240 mg/dL were considered high. Table 1 shows that patients with serum albumin levels <4.3 g/dL were older and universally displayed prognostically adverse clinical features and higher DIPSS/DIPSS-plus risk distribution; by contrast, serum albumin level did not correlate with cytogenetic or mutation profiles. Patients with serum TC <122 mg/dL were also more likely to display adverse features and higher-risk disease. In addition, there was a preponderance of males, and significant clustering occurred with the presence of JAK2 and ASXL1 mutations and the absence of CALR type 1-like mutation.

During age-adjusted univariable analysis, lower levels of serum albumin (P < .001), TC (P < .001), high-density lipoprotein (P < .001), and low-density lipoprotein (P < .001) cholesterol, but not triglycerides (P = .6), were associated with shortened survival; only the first two retained their significance on multivariable analysis. ROC analysis resulted in a TC level of 122 mg/dL and serum albumin level of 4.3 g/dL as the most optimal cutoff values for survival prediction (Figure 1A-B). Serum albumin level of <4.3 g/dL and TC level of <122 mg/dL both remained significant during multivariable analysis that included DIPSS, DIPSS-plus, or the revised cytogenetic risk stratification for PMF; their respective hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (Cls) were 1.8 (95% Cl, 1.2-2.8) and 1.8 (95% Cl,1.2-2.8) with DIPSS (informative n = 150), 1.7 (95% Cl, 1.1-2.6) and 1.7 (95% CI, 1.1-2.5) with DIPSS-plus (informative n = 149), and 2.8 (95% Cl, 1.8-4.4) and 2.2 (95% Cl, 1.4-3.4) with cytogenetic risk (informative n = 137). Additional multivariable analysis that included the individual components of DIPSS confirmed the interindependent prognostic contribution of serum albumin (P = .02) and TC (P < .001), whereas it resulted in loss of significance for constitutional symptoms (P = .86) and circulating blasts $\geq 1\%$ (P = .89). The results were similar with DIPSS-plus risk variables, for which prognostic independence was confirmed for both albumin (P < .01) and TC (P < .01), as well as for unfavorable karyotype (P < .01), age >65 years (P < .01), leukocyte count >25 \times 10⁹/L (P < .01), and transfusion need (P = .03). All other DIPSS-plus variables lost significance with P values ranging from .34 to .70.

Serum albumin and cholesterol levels were consequently used to develop an operational cachexia index for PMF: a score of 0 was assigned when both albumin and TC levels were above the aforementioned ROC-determined cutoff levels and a score of 1 or 2 was assigned when 1 or both of the 2 laboratory parameters was below the cutoff level. The prognostic contribution of the PMF cachexia index was independent of DIPSS (no. evaluable = 150), DIPSS-plus (no. evaluable = 149), and the revised cytogenetic risk stratification (no. evaluable = 137). HRs with DIPSS were 1.9 (95% Cl, 1.1-3.3) for cachexia index 1 and 3.3 (95% Cl, 1.8-6.2)





for cachexia index 2. With DIPSS-plus, HRs were 1.8 (95% CI, 1.1-3.2) for cachexia index 1 and 2.8 (95% Cl, 1.5-5.3) for cachexia index 2. With the revised cytogenetic risk stratification, HRs were 2.0 (95% Cl, 1.2-3.5) for cachexia index 1 and 5.8 (95% Cl, 3.2-11.1) for cachexia index 2. To optimize its prognostic value and facilitate its incorporation into prognostic models, the cachexia index was subsequently considered as a binary variable with favorable (cachexia index 0) and unfavorable (cachexia index 1 or 2) categories (HR, 3.2; 95% Cl, 2.1-5.0; Figure 1C). The prognostic contribution of unfavorable cachexia index was independent of DIPSS (P < .001), DIPSS-plus (P < .001), and the revised cytogenetic risk stratification (P < .001). The 2-tiered PMF cachexia index also remained significant against driver mutational status (no. evaluable = 334; P < .001), ASXL1 mutations (no. evaluable = 245; P = .01), SRSF2 mutations (no. evaluable 245; P < .001), and MIPSS70-plus (no. evaluable = 185; P = .03).

We have developed an operational cachexia index for PMF using 2 simple and widely available laboratory tests: serum albumin and serum cholesterol levels. Considered as a binary variable that is favorable (cachexia index 0) or unfavorable (cachexia index 1 and 2), the PMF cachexia index remained significant in the context of contemporary prognostic models, including those with cytogenetic and molecular

information. Note that additional parameters other than serum albumin and cholesterol levels might need to be examined in the future for their additional contribution to cachexia index. Regardless, statistically optimal incorporation of cachexia index into prognostic models is likely to require its consideration as a binary variable (eg, favorable vs unfavorable cachexia index), regardless of the raw cachexia index scores. Again, note that our proposed determination of cachexia index was not based on PMF-specific disease features but on parameters that are commonly affected in a spectrum of malignant and nonmalignant diseases; that is, they are considered to be general indicators of level of cachexia and sickness. However, this fact does not undermine their value as prognostic biomarkers. Finally, the effect of treatment, especially using JAK2 inhibitors, on the PMF cachexia index should be prospectively evaluated in future studies, and the prognostic impact of its reversal should be assessed.

Authorship

Contribution: A.T. designed the study, contributed patients, helped abstract patient information, performed statistical analysis, and wrote the paper; A.D.P. and N.G. contributed patients and helped abstract patient information; R.P.K. was in charge of cytogenetic information; C.A.H. was in charge of information on pathology; T.L.L. was in charge of mutation analysis and interpretation; N.S., M.M., D.P., and M.N. helped abstract information from patient history; M.N. was in charge of obtaining serum lipid and albumin levels and also helped with statistical analysis; and all authors reviewed the manuscript and gave their approval.

Conflict-of-interest disclosure: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Correspondence: Ayalew Tefferi, Division of Hematology, Department of Medicine, Mayo Clinic, 200 First St SW, Rochester, MN 55905; e-mail: tefferi.ayalew@mayo.edu.

References

- 1. Cervantes F, Dupriez B, Pereira A, et al. New prognostic scoring system for primary myelofibrosis based on a study of the International Working Group for Myelofibrosis Research and Treatment. *Blood.* 2009;113(13):2895-2901.
- Passamonti F, Cervantes F, Vannucchi AM, et al. A dynamic prognostic model to predict survival in primary myelofibrosis: a study by the IWG-MRT (International Working Group for Myeloproliferative Neoplasms Research and Treatment). Blood. 2010;115(9):1703-1708.
- 3. Gangat N, Caramazza D, Vaidya R, et al. DIPSS plus: a refined Dynamic International Prognostic Scoring System for primary myelofibrosis that incorporates prognostic information from karyotype, platelet count, and transfusion status. *J Clin Oncol.* 2011;29(4):392-397.
- 4. Guglielmelli P, Lasho TL, Rotunno G, et al. MIPSS70: Mutation-Enhanced International Prognostic Score System for transplantation-age patients with primary myelofibrosis. J Clin Oncol. 2018;36(4):310-318.
- Verstovsek S, Kantarjian H, Mesa RA, et al. Safety and efficacy of INCB018424, a JAK1 and JAK2 inhibitor, in myelofibrosis. N Engl J Med. 2010; 363(12):1117-1127.
- 6. Shyh-Chang N. Metabolic changes during cancer cachexia pathogenesis. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2017;1026:233-249.
- 7. Loumaye A, Thissen JP. Biomarkers of cancer cachexia. Clin Biochem. 2017;50(18):1281-1288.
- Brenner DA, Buck M, Feitelberg SP, Chojkier M. Tumor necrosis factor-alpha inhibits albumin gene expression in a murine model of cachexia. J Clin Invest. 1990;85(1):248-255.
- 9. Ginsberg HN, Le NA, Gilbert HS. Altered high density lipoprotein metabolism in patients with myeloproliferative disorders and hypocholesterolemia. *Metabolism.* 1986;35(9):878-882.
- 10. Vallabhajosula S, Gilbert HS, Goldsmith SJ, Paidi M, Hanna MM, Ginsberg HN. Low-density lipoprotein (LDL) distribution shown by 99mtechnetium-LDL imaging in patients with myeloproliferative diseases. *Ann Intern Med.* 1989;110(3):208-213.
- 11. Ginsberg H, Gilbert HS, Gibson JC, Le NA, Brown WV. Increased low-density-lipoprotein catabolism in myeloproliferative disorders. *Ann Intern Med.* 1982;96(3):311-316.
- 12. Gilbert HS, Ginsberg H, Fagerstrom R, Brown WV. Characterization of hypocholesterolemia in myeloproliferative disease. Relation to disease manifestations and activity. *Am J Med.* 1981;71(4):595-602.
- 13. Mesa RA, Huang J, Schwager S, et al. Hypocholesterolemia is independently associated with decreased survival in patients with primary myelofibrosis: An analysis of lipid profiles in 558 myeloproliferative patients [abstract]. *Blood.* 2007;110(11):751a. Abstract 2548.
- 14. Mesa RA, Schwager S, Huang J, et al. Weight loss, splenomegaly, and hypocholesterolemia in myeloproliferative neoplasms: Patterns and relevance from the pre JAK2 inhibitor era [abstract]. *Blood.* 2009;114(22). Abstract 3918.
- 15. Rak K, Kiss A, Ujj G, Rejto L, Telek B. Myelofibrosis-related hypocholesterolemia in hematologic malignancies [abstract]. Blood. 1996;88(10). Abstract 3564.
- Sulai N, Mengistu B, Gangat N, et al. Decreased levels of total or HDL cholesterol in primary myelofibrosis are associated with shortened survival: DIPSS-Plus independent prognostic value [abstract]. Blood. 2012;120(21). Abstract 2851.
- 17. Lucijanic M, Veletic I, Rahelic D, et al. Assessing serum albumin concentration, lymphocyte count and prognostic nutritional index might improve prognostication in patients with myelofibrosis. *Wien Klin Wochenschr.* 2018;130(3-4):126-133.
- Kuykendall AT, Talati C, Sallman DA, et al. Serum albumin is a strong predictor of survival in myelofibrosis, independent of IPSS, DIPSS, and DIPSS plus scores [abstract]. Haematologica. 2017;102. Abstract 543.
- 19. Vardiman JW, Thiele J, Arber DA, et al. The 2008 revision of the World Health Organization (WHO) classification of myeloid neoplasms and acute leukemia: rationale and important changes. *Blood.* 2009;114(5):937-951.