



American Society of Hematology 2021 L Street NW, Suite 900, Washington, DC 20036 Phone: 202-776-0544 | Fax 202-776-0545 editorial@hematology.org

Real-World and Clinical Trial Outcomes in Large B-cell Lymphoma with Axicabtagene Ciloleucel Across Race and Ethnicity

Tracking no: BLD-2023-023447R1

Frederick Locke (Moffitt Cancer Center, United States) Tanya Siddiqi (City Of Hope National Medical Center, United States) Caron Jacobson (Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, United States) Armin Ghobadi (Washington University in St. Louis, United States) Sairah Ahmed (University of Texas, MD Anderson Cancer Center, United States) David Miklos (Stanford University Medical School, United States) Miguel-Angel Perales (Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, United States) Javier Munoz (Mayo Clinic, United States) Warren Fingrut (Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, United States) Martina Pennisi (Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori Milano, Italy) Jordan Gauthier (Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, United States) Mazyar Shadman (Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, United States) Lohith Gowda (Yale School of Medicine, United States) Abu-Sayeef Mirza (Moffitt Cancer Center, United States) Muhammad Bilal Abid (Medical College of Wisconsin, United States) Sanghee Hong (Duke University School of Medicine, United States) Navneet Majhail (Sarah Cannon Cancer Institute, United States) Mohamed Kharfan-Dabaja (Mayo Clinic, United States) Arushi Khurana (Mayo Clinic, United States) Talha Badar (Mayo Clinic, United States) Yi Lin (Mayo Clinic, United States) N. Bennani (Mayo Clinic, United States) Megan Herr (Roswell Park Comprehensive Cancer Center, United States) Zhen-Huan Hu (Kite, a Gilead Company, United States) Hailin Wang (Kite, a Gilead Company, United States) Anjani Baer (Kite, a Gilead Company, United States) Elande Baro (Kite, a Gilead Company, United States) Harry Miao (Kite, a Gilead Company, United States) Clare Spooner (Kite, A Gilead Company, United Kingdom) Hairong Xu (Kite, A Gilead Company, United States) Marcelo Pasquini (Medical College of Wisconsin, United States)

Abstract:

Axicabtagene ciloleucel (axi-cel) is an autologous anti-CD19 chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T-cell therapy approved for treatment of relapsed/refractory (R/R) large B-cell lymphoma (LBCL). Despite extensive data supporting the use of axi-cel in patients with LBCL, outcomes stratified by race and ethnicity groups are limited. Here, we report clinical outcomes with axi-cel in patients with R/R LBCL by race and ethnicity in both real-world and clinical trial settings. In the real-world setting, 1290 patients with R/R LBCL who received axi-cel between 2017-2020 were identified from the Center for International Blood and Marrow Transplant Research database; 106 and 169 patients were included from the ZUMA-1 and ZUMA-7 clinical trials, respectively. Adjusted odds ratio (OR) and hazard ratio (HR) for race and ethnicity groups are reported. Overall survival was consistent across race/ethnicity groups. However, non-Hispanic (NH) Black patients had lower overall response rate (OR, 0.37, [95% CI, 0.22-0.63]) and lower complete response rate (OR, 0.57, [95% CI, 0.33-0.97]) than NH-white patients. NH-Black patients also had a shorter progression-free survival versus NH-white (HR, 1.41, [95% CI, 1.04-1.90]) and NH-Asian patients (HR, 1.67, [95% CI, 1.08-2.59]). NH-Asian patients had a longer duration of response compared with NH-white (HR, 0.56, [95% CI, 0.33-0.94]) and Hispanic patients (HR, 0.54, [95% CI, 0.30-0.97]). There was no difference in cytokine release syndrome by race/ethnicity; however, higher rates of any-grade ICANS were observed in NH-white patients compared with other patients. These results provide important context when treating patients with R/R LBCL with axi-cel across different racial and ethnic groups. ZUMA-1 (NCT02348216) and ZUMA-7 (NCT03391466), both registered on ClinicalTrials.gov

Conflict of interest: COI declared - see note

COI notes: Author disclosures are reported in full in the manuscript.

Preprint server: No;

Author contributions and disclosures: FLL, TS, ZHH, HW, EB, HM, CS, HX, MCP designed the study; MCP, HM, HW, ZHH, HX acquired and assembled data; ZHH, HW, EB analyzed data and prepared the analysis reports. All authors were involved in the interpretation of the data and writing of the article and provided final approval to submit for publication.

Non-author contributions and disclosures: Yes; Medical writing support was provided by Hameda Khandaker, PhD, and Danielle Fanslow, PhD, of Nexus Global Group Science, funded by Kite, a Gilead Company

Agreement to Share Publication-Related Data and Data Sharing Statement: Kite is committed to sharing clinical trial data with external medical experts and scientific researchers in the interest of advancing public health, and access can be requested by contacting medinfo@kitepharma.com.

Clinical trial registration information (if any): ZUMA-1 (NCT02348216) and ZUMA-7 (NCT03391466), both registered on ClinicalTrials.gov

Title: Real-World and Clinical Trial Outcomes with Axicabtagene Ciloleucel Across Race and Ethnicity

in Large B-cell Lymphoma

Short Title: Race and Ethnicity Analysis of Axi-Cel in LBCL

Authors: Frederick L. Locke, MD^{1*}; Tanya Siddiqi, MD^{2*}; Caron A. Jacobson, MD, MMSc³; Armin Ghobadi, MD⁴; Sairah Ahmed, MD⁵; David B. Miklos, MD, PhD⁶; Miguel-Angel Perales, MD⁷; Javier Munoz, MD, MS, MBA, FACP⁸; Warren B. Fingrut, MD⁷; Martina Pennisi, MD⁹; Jordan Gauthier, MD, MSc¹⁰; Mazyar Shadman, MD, MPH¹⁰; Lohith Gowda, MD, MRCP¹¹; Abu-Sayeef Mirza, MD, MPH^{1,11}; Muhammad Bilal Abid, MD, MS¹²; Sanghee Hong, MD¹³; Navneet S. Majhail, MD, MS, FASTCT¹⁴; Mohamed A. Kharfan-Dabaja, MD, MBA¹⁵; Arushi Khurana, MBBS¹⁶; Talha Badar, MD, MBBS¹⁵; Yi Lin, MD, PhD¹⁶; N. Nora. Bennani, MD¹⁶; Megan M. Herr, PhD¹⁷; Zhen-Huan Hu, MPH¹⁸; Hailin Wang, MPH¹⁸; Anjani Baer, MBBS¹⁸; Elande Baro, PhD¹⁸; Harry Miao, MD, PhD¹⁸; Clare Spooner, MBBS¹⁸; Hairong Xu, MD, PhD¹⁸†; Marcelo C. Pasquini, MD, MS¹²†

*Co-primary authors. +Co-senior authors.

Affiliations: ¹Moffitt Cancer Center, Tampa, FL, USA; ²City of Hope National Medical Center, Duarte, CA, USA; ³Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA, USA; ⁴Washington University School of Medicine, St Louis, MO, USA; ⁵The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX, USA; ⁶Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, USA; ⁷Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA; ⁸Mayo Clinic Arizona, Phoenix, AZ, USA; ⁹Hematology Division, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori, Milan, Italy; ¹⁰Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center and University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA; ¹¹Yale School of Medicine, Yale Cancer Center, New Haven, CT, USA; ¹²Center for International Blood and Marrow Transplant Research, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI, USA; ¹³Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, NC, USA; ¹⁴Sarah Cannon Transplant and Cellular Therapy Program, Sarah Cannon Cancer Institute, Nashville, TN, USA; ¹⁵Mayo Clinic Florida, Jacksonville, FL, USA; ¹⁶Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA; ¹⁷Roswell Park Comprehensive Cancer Center, Buffalo, NY, USA; ¹⁸Kite, a Gilead Company, Santa Monica, CA, USA.

Corresponding Author:

Marcelo C. Pasquini, MD, MS Center for International Blood and Marrow Transplant Research Medical College of Wisconsin 9200 W. Wisconsin Avenue, Suite C5500 Milwaukee, WI 53226 USA <u>mpasquini@mcw.edu</u> (414) 805-0505

Downloaded from http://ashpublications.net/blood/article-pdf/doi/10.1182/blood.2023023447/2222635/blood.2023023447.pdf by guest on 17 May 2024

Data Sharing Statement

Kite is committed to sharing clinical trial data with external medical experts and scientific

researchers in the interest of advancing public health, and access can be requested by

contacting medinfo@kitepharma.com.

Word count: 3975/4000 Figures (limit 7): 4 Tables: 3 References: (limit 100): 42 Scientific Categories: Clinical Trials and Observations; Immunobiology and Immunotherapy; Lymphoid Neoplasia

KEY POINTS

- Overall survival and most safety outcomes with axi-cel were consistent across race/ethnicity in relapsed/refractory large B-cell lymphoma (139 characters)
- Real-world outcomes with CAR T-cell therapy are different among non-Hispanic Black

patients and may be related to barriers to access (134 characters)

ABSTRACT (249/250 words)

Axicabtagene ciloleucel (axi-cel) is an autologous anti-CD19 chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) Tcell therapy approved for treatment of relapsed/refractory (R/R) large B-cell lymphoma (LBCL). Despite extensive data supporting the use of axi-cel in patients with LBCL, outcomes stratified by race and ethnicity groups are limited. Here, we report clinical outcomes with axi-cel in patients with R/R LBCL by race and ethnicity in both real-world and clinical trial settings. In the real-world setting, 1290 patients with R/R LBCL who received axi-cel between 2017-2020 were identified from the Center for International Blood and Marrow Transplant Research database; 106 and 169 patients were included from the ZUMA-1 and ZUMA-7 clinical trials, respectively. Adjusted odds ratio (OR) and hazard ratio (HR) for race and ethnicity groups are reported. Overall survival was consistent across race/ethnicity groups. However, non-Hispanic (NH) Black patients had lower overall response rate (OR, 0.37, [95% CI, 0.22-0.63]) and lower complete response rate (OR, 0.57, [95% CI, 0.33-0.97]) than NH-white patients. NH-Black patients also had a shorter progression-free survival versus NH-white (HR, 1.41, [95% CI, 1.04-1.90]) and NH-Asian patients (HR, 1.67, [95% CI, 1.08-2.59]). NH-Asian patients had a longer duration of response compared with NH-white (HR, 0.56, [95% CI, 0.33-0.94]) and Hispanic patients (HR, 0.54, [95% CI, 0.30-0.97]). There was no difference in cytokine release syndrome by race/ethnicity; however, higher rates of any-grade ICANS were observed in NH-white patients compared with other patients. These results provide important context when treating patients with R/R LBCL with axi-cel across different racial and ethnic groups. ZUMA-1 (NCT02348216) and ZUMA-7 (NCT03391466), both registered on ClinicalTrials.gov

INTRODUCTION

People from racial and ethnic minority groups across the United States (US) experience worse survival outcomes after a cancer diagnosis compared with non-Hispanic white patients.^{1,2} Among patients with B-cell malignancies, use of and response rates to first-line chemoimmunotherapy (CIT) are similar across all races and ethnicities.³ However, therapeutic approaches for relapsed or refractory (R/R) disease may be limited for people from racial and ethnic minority groups due to socioeconomic and geographic disparities.³⁻⁵ Large B cell lymphoma (LBCL) is a common and aggressive B-cell malignancy for which 20-50% of patients develop R/R LBCL.⁶

Axicabtagene ciloleucel (axi-cel) is a CD19-directed autologous chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T-cell therapy with a CD28 co-stimulatory domain that provides rapid and strong expansion and reprograms T-cells to trigger target-specific cytotoxicity of cancer cells. Axi-cel is approved for the treatment of adult patients with R/R LBCL after receipt of \geq 2 lines of therapy based on favorable results in the pivotal Phase 1/2 ZUMA-1 study in refractory LBCL.^{7,8} Its utilization was subsequently expanded for second-line treatment of primary R/R LBCL within \leq 12 months from frontline therapy after demonstration of statistically significant improvements in event-free survival and overall survival (OS) in the randomized phase 3 ZUMA-7 trial of axi-cel versus standard of care.^{7,9}

Due to strict eligibility criteria in clinical trials, efficacy outcomes may not always reflect real-world medical practice, where patients may exhibit more heterogenous outcomes due to biological differences, comorbidities, and racial differences.^{8,10} Recently, a non-interventional post-authorization safety study (PASS) was initiated using the Center for International Blood

Despite extensive data supporting the efficacy and safety of axi-cel in LBCL, sparse data are available on outcomes by race and ethnicity in clinical trials and real-world studies published to date.^{7,9,11-14} Here, we examine outcomes with axi-cel in R/R LBCL by race and

METHODS

Patients and Study Design

The CIBMTR is a collaborative working group of >500 treatment centers worldwide managed by the Medical College of Wisconsin and the National Marrow Donor Program (NMDP). Detailed information on patient, treatment and disease characteristics, as well as demographics were longitudinally reported by participating centers. Integrity and quality of data were monitored at different levels, including onsite audits, and automated and manual checks for discrepancies. Patients signed informed consent forms to share data with the CIBMTR for research studies, and use of these data for research was overseen by the NMDP central institutional review board. A PASS was conducted using the CIBMTR data infrastructure to prospectively capture long-term outcomes of axi-cel in the real-world, with the enrollment of 1497 patients from 79 centers completed in August 2020. Data collected for the PASS up until May 4, 2022, were used for the real-world assessment.

and Marrow Transplant Research (CIBMTR®) registry as a prospective, long-term, non-

were consistent with the results of the ZUMA-1 clinical trial.⁸

ethnicity in both clinical trials and real-world settings.

interventional cohort study of real-world axi-cel use in LBCL and found that efficacy outcomes

In the real-world study population, patients received post-approval axi-cel per institutional practice or per protocol as part of the clinical trials. No treatments, therapy protocols, or procedures were mandated. Participating sites were responsible for the completion of data collection at predetermined time points that aligned with routine medical care. Patients who received axi-cel in a non-commercial setting, with prior history of nontransplant cellular therapy, and of unknown/other race (who were non-Hispanic and not Asian, Black, or white) or ethnicity were excluded.

Additionally, a post hoc assessment of axi-cel in R/R LBCL by race and ethnicity in eligible patients enrolled in ZUMA-1 (NCT02348216; Phases 1 and 2, Cohorts 1 and 2) and ZUMA-7 (NCT03391466; phase 3) was conducted.^{7,15} Data from axi-cel treated patients enrolled in ZUMA-1 (April 2015-January 2017) and ZUMA-7 (January 2018-October 2019) were included in the clinical trial analysis.^{7,15} R/R disease in ZUMA-7 was defined as patients who were refractory to first-line CIT or experienced a relapse \leq 12 months after first-line CIT.⁷ In ZUMA-1, refractory disease was defined as progressive or stable disease as the best response to the most recent therapy or relapse \leq 12 months after autologous stem cell transplantation.¹⁵ Eligibility criteria and data collection schedule of ZUMA-1 and ZUMA-7 trials were previously described.^{7,15}

Race (Asian, Black, white, or Other) and ethnicity (Hispanic/Latino, or non-Hispanic/Latino) were self-reported. Patients treated outside of the US were excluded.

Treatment and Endpoints

In the real-world assessment, efficacy endpoints assessed in this analysis by race and ethnicity included overall response rate (ORR), complete response (CR) rate (per Lugano¹⁶ and institution), duration of response (DOR), progression-free survival (PFS), and OS. Safety

outcomes assessed were cytokine release syndrome (CRS) graded per Lee et al.¹⁷ and immune effector cell-associated neurotoxicity syndrome (ICANS; per American Society for Transplantation and Cellular Therapy [ASTCT] consensus grading)¹⁷ at follow-up 100 days postinfusion, and prolonged cytopenias (defined as failure to resolve within the first 30 days after infusion) at 30 days post-infusion. In the clinical trial analysis, ORR and CR were assessed centrally per Lugano.¹⁶ CRS (per Lee¹⁷) and neurologic events (NE; graded per NCI Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events v4.03) were also analyzed.

Statistical Methods

Baseline characteristics, efficacy, and safety outcomes were assessed in all eligible patients for the real-world assessment and in axi-cel treated patients in ZUMA-1 Phases 1 and 2 (Cohorts 1 and 2) and ZUMA-7 for the clinical trial analysis.

For both real-world and clinical trial analyses, race (white, Black, and Asian) and ethnicity (Hispanic versus non-Hispanic) were combined as a single variable with 4 categories: non-Hispanic white, non-Hispanic Black, non-Hispanic Asian and Hispanic. Dichotomous outcomes were described using percentages with 95% exact confidence intervals. For the realworld assessment, DOR, PFS, and OS were summarized via Kaplan-Meier estimator. Time to CRS/ICANS resolution was summarized using cumulative incidence function with death without resolution as a competing risk. In the real-world analysis, multivariate logistic and Cox regression models were used to assess the associations between race and ethnicity with efficacy and safety endpoints of interest while adjusting for other potential risk factors (age, sex, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status, comorbidities, and disease and treatment characteristics; please see **Supplemental Methods** for the complete list of covariates). The proportionality assumption for the Cox model was tested for the main race/ethnicity variable using an interaction term with the logarithm of the event time. A stepwise variable selection process was used to determine the final list of covariates for both the logistic and Cox regression models, using a *p*-value cutoff of 0.2 for variables to enter the model and 0.05 for variables to stay in the model. Sensitivity analyses with race and ethnicity kept as separate variables were also performed. All analyses were conducted using SAS 9.4 M6.

Patients signed informed consent forms to share data with the CIBMTR for research studies, and use of these data for research was overseen by the National Marrow Donor Program central institutional review board.

RESULTS

Disposition and Baseline Characteristics

A total of 1497 patients with R/R LBCL were enrolled in the real-world cohort between October 2017 and August 2020 (**Supplement Figure 1**); 207 patients were excluded from the analysis due to histology of non-LBCL cancer (n=24), prior non-hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT) cellular therapy (n=29), missing data on comorbidities (n=43), missing data on time from leukapheresis to infusion (n=2), no follow-up for efficacy or safety (n=5), and other or missing race/ethnicity (n=104; due to missing ethnicity [n=73], missing race [n=20], other race including Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander [n=4], American Indian/Alaska native [n=2], and more than 1 race [n=5]). In total, 1290 patients were included in the real-world analysis. Non-Hispanic Black patients were primarily treated in centers along the east coast of the US, and those of Hispanic ethnicity were primarily treated on either coast or the southern regions of the US (**Figure 1**). Most patients were non-Hispanic white (77%, n=992); 12% were Hispanic (n=152), and 5% and 6% were non-Hispanic Black (n=68) and non-Hispanic Asian (n=78), respectively. Within the Hispanic ethnicity group, 69% of patients were white, 1% were Black, <1% were Asian, and 29% were of Other or Unknown races (unknown, n=28; not reported, n=12; American Indian or Alaska native, n=2; more than 1 race, n=2).

Baseline characteristics by race and ethnicity in the real world are reported in **Table 1** and consistent across non-Hispanic white, non-Hispanic Black, non-Hispanic Asian and Hispanic patient groups with a few exceptions. The median age across all groups was 62.2 years (range, 19.6-90.8). Of those included for analysis, both non-Hispanic Black patients (median age, 55.5) and Hispanic patients (median age, 58.3) were less likely to be ≥65 years of age than non-Hispanic white patients (median age, 63.0). The baseline prevalence of moderate to severe pulmonary disease was higher in non-Hispanic Black patients (41%) than non-Hispanic white patients (29%), though the prevalence of prior malignancies was lower (6% versus 17%, respectively). Hispanic patients had a lower prevalence of moderate to severe pulmonary disease (20%) and prior malignancy (7%) compared with non-Hispanic white patients. Furthermore, 75% of non-Hispanic Black, 60% of non-Hispanic Asian and 58% of non-Hispanic white and Hispanic patients received axi-cel infusion ≥12 months after diagnosis.

A total of 106 patients were included from ZUMA-1 (data cutoff date: August 11, 2018) and 169 patients from ZUMA-7 (data cutoff date: March 18, 2021). The median age of patients included from ZUMA-1 and ZUMA-7 was 58 years (range, 23-76) and 59 years (range, 21-80), respectively. Within the Hispanic group of ZUMA-1, 68% of patients were of white race and 32% were reported as other or unknown. In ZUMA-7, within the Hispanic group, 60% of patients were white, 10% were Black, and 30% were of other or unknown races. More baseline details on patients included from clinical trials with axi-cel can be found in **Supplemental Table 1**. **Efficacy**

The median follow-up for patients receiving axi-cel within the real-world cohort was 24.3 months (95% CI, 24.2-24.5). ORR was 75% (CR rate, 59%), 54% (CR rate, 46%), 71% (CR rate, 58%), and 73% (CR rate, 57%) in non-Hispanic white, non-Hispanic Black, non-Hispanic Asian, and Hispanic patients, respectively (Figure 2a). The median DOR in non-Hispanic white patients was 23.6 months (95% CI, 17.8-31.3), in non-Hispanic Black patients, 22.6 months (95% CI, 6.5-not estimable [NE]), in non-Hispanic Asian patients, not reached (95% CI, 25.3-NE), and in Hispanic patients, 21.3 months (95% CI, 16.4-NE; Figure 3a). The 12-month event-free probability of DOR for each racial and ethnic minority group was higher among non-Hispanic Asian patients (78%) compared to non-Hispanic Black (58%), non-Hispanic white (59%), and Hispanic patients (64%). Median PFS was 9.3 months (95% CI, 6.5-12.7) in non-Hispanic white patients and 3.8 months (95% CI, 2.9-8.5), 21.7 months (95% CI, 3.3-NE), and 7.8 months (95% Cl, 4.1-17.4) for non-Hispanic Black, non-Hispanic Asian, and Hispanic patients, respectively (Figure 3b). Median OS was 25.9 months (95% CI, 20.7-31.4) in non-Hispanic white patients, 28.0 months (95% CI, 8.3-NE) in non-Hispanic Black patients, 24.7 months (95% CI, 16.0-NE) and 19.3 months (95% CI, 13.6-29.3) in Hispanic patients (Figure 3c). The 24-month PFS rate was generally consistent among all patients, except among non-Hispanic Black patients, and the cumulative incidence of relapse was higher among non-Hispanic Black patients (Figure 4a, Supplemental Table 2). The primary cause of death was lymphoma-related in 71% of nonHispanic white, 73% of non-Hispanic Black, 60% of non-Hispanic Asian, and in 71% of Hispanic patients. Non-adjusted OR/HRs are reported in **Supplemental Table 7**.

After multivariate adjustment (**Figure 4a**), non-Hispanic Black patients had statistically lower ORR than non-Hispanic white (odds ratio [OR], 0.37; 95% CI, 0.22-0.63), non-Hispanic Asian (OR, 0.45; 95% CI, 0.22-0.94), and Hispanic patients (OR, 0.45; 95% CI, 0.24-0.84); a lower CR rate than non-Hispanic white patients (OR, 0.57; 95% CI, 0.33-0.97); and a shorter PFS than non-Hispanic white (HR, 1.41; 95% CI 1.04-1.90) and non-Hispanic Asian patients (HR, 1.67; 95% CI, 1.08-2.59). Non-Hispanic Asian patients had a longer DOR than non-Hispanic white (HR, 0.56; 95% CI, 0.33-0.94) and Hispanic patients (HR, 0.54; 95% CI, 0.30-0.97). There was a trend towards longer DOR in Non-Hispanic Asian patients when compared to non-Hispanic Black patients (HR, 0.51; 95% CI, 0.26-1.00). No statistical differences were found in OS across all race and ethnicity, or in any efficacy outcome between Hispanic and non-Hispanic white patients. In a sensitivity analysis with race and ethnicity separated as two variables, efficacy results were consistent with the main analysis of the real-world assessment (**Supplemental Table 3**).

In ZUMA-1 (n=99), CR rates were reported as the following among non-Hispanic white (n=74; ORR, 73%; CR rate, 55%), non-Hispanic Black (n=4; ORR, 100%; CR rate, 100%), non-Hispanic Asian (n=3; ORR, 67%; CR rate, 67%) and Hispanic patients (n=18, ORR, 78%; CR rate, 44%; **Figure 2b**). Among patients who received axi-cel in ZUMA-7 (n=169), ORR remained largely consistent across all race and ethnicity groups (range, 80%-83%; **Figure 2c**); with the following CR rates among non-Hispanic Black (CR rate, 70%), non-Hispanic white (CR rate, 66%), non-Hispanic Asian (CR rate, 58%), and Hispanic patients (CR rate, 50%). In a sensitivity analysis with race and ethnicity separated as two variables, efficacy results were consistent with the main analysis of clinical trials (Supplemental Table 4).

Safety

As shown in **Table 2**, CRS rates were generally similar in all patients who received axi-cel in the real-world assessment; 83% in non-Hispanic white (grade \geq 3, 9%), 82% in non-Hispanic Black (grade \geq 3, 6%), 90% in non-Hispanic Asian (grade \geq 3, 10%) and 81% in Hispanic patients (grade \geq 3, 5%). The median time to onset of CRS post-infusion was 4 days (range, 1.0-73.0) between race and ethnicity groups and most cases resolved within 3 weeks of onset regardless of race or ethnicity. Rate of ICANS of all grade and Grade 3 in patients were reported as the following: non-Hispanic white, 59% (grade \geq 3, 29%); non-Hispanic Black, 40% (grade \geq 3, 19%); non-Hispanic Asian, 45% (grade \geq 3, 21%); Hispanic, 43% (grade \geq 3, 16%; **Table 2**). The median time to onset of ICANS was 7 days (range, 1.0-36.0), and median time to resolution was 8 days (range, 1.0-115.0) after onset. For the treatment of CRS or ICANS, 59% of patients received tocilizumab and 48% received corticosteroids (**Table 2**). Prolonged neutropenia and prolonged thrombocytopenia (among patients who survived Day 30 post-infusion) was generally similar across all patients (**Table 2**). Non-adjusted OR/HRs are reported in **Supplemental Table 7**.

Safety analyses with multivariate adjustment are briefly described in **Figure 4b** and included in the supplement (**Supplemental Tables 5 and 6**). No differences were observed for CRS (any-grade or grade ≥3) or prolonged neutropenia by race or ethnicity. Non-Hispanic Asian, non-Hispanic Black, and Hispanic patients had a lower risk of any-grade ICANS versus non-Hispanic white patients (non-Hispanic Asian, OR 0.56 [95% CI, 0.35-0.90]; non-Hispanic Black, OR 0.54 [95% CI, 0.32-0.91]; Hispanic, OR 0.58 [95% CI, 0.40-0.82]). Hispanic patients also had lower risk of grade ≥3 ICANS (OR 0.45 [95% CI, 0.28-0.71]) versus non-Hispanic white patients. Non-Hispanic Asian patients had a higher incidence of thrombocytopenia than both non-Hispanic white (OR, 1.77; 95% CI, 1.02-3.09) and non-Hispanic Black patients (OR, 2.5; 95% CI, 1.07-5.83). Safety outcomes in the sensitivity analysis were also consistent with the main analysis (**Supplemental Table 5**).

In ZUMA-1 (n=106), CRS rates were 92% (grade \geq 3, 13%) in non-Hispanic white, 100% (grade \geq 3, 40%) in non-Hispanic Black, 67% (no grade \geq 3) in non-Hispanic Asian, and 95% (no grade \geq 3) in Hispanic patients. NEs developed in 72% (grade \geq 3, 34%) of non-Hispanic white, 80% (grade \geq 3, 60%) of non-Hispanic Black, 33% (no grade \geq 3) of non-Hispanic Asian, and 47% (grade \geq 3, 21%) of Hispanic patients (**Table 3**).

In ZUMA-7 (n=159), CRS rates and NEs were also generally similar across groups (**Table 3**). CRS rates were 92% (grade \geq 3, 5%) in non-Hispanic white, 88% (no grade \geq 3) in non-Hispanic Black, and 100% in non-Hispanic Asian (grade \geq 3, 9%) and Hispanic patients (grade \geq 3, 13%). In total, 59% (grade \geq 3, 21%) of non-Hispanic white, 50% (grade \geq 3, 25%) of non-Hispanic Black, 45% (grade \geq 3, 18%) of non-Hispanic Asian, and 100% (grade \geq 3, 25%) of Hispanic patients developed NEs in the ZUMA-7 trial. In a sensitivity analysis with race and ethnicity separated as 2 variables, safety results were consistent with the main analysis (**Supplemental Table 6**).

DISCUSSION

In the real-world cohort and the ZUMA-1 and ZUMA-7 clinical trials, OS and most safety outcomes of axi-cel in patients with R/R LBCL were consistent across all racial and ethnic groups included in this analysis. In the real-world cohort, lower rates of response and PFS were observed among non-Hispanic Black patients compared with non-Hispanic white patients,

Downloaded from http://ashpublications.net/blood/article-pt/i/doi/10.1182/blood.2023023447/2222635/blood.2023023447.pdf by guest on 17 May 2024

though these differences did not translate to a difference in OS. Additionally, non-Hispanic Asian patients had a significantly longer DOR compared with non-Hispanic white and Hispanic patients, with a trend towards longer DOR compared with non-Hispanic Black patients.

There were no significant differences in rates of CRS or prolonged neutropenia in patients treated with axi-cel by race or ethnicity in both the real-world and clinical trial analysis. However, in the real-world cohort, non-Hispanic Black and non-Hispanic Asian patients had a lower incidence of any-grade ICANS than non-Hispanic white patients. These results in non-Hispanic Asian patients were consistent with outcomes in a recent study on Chinese patients with R/R non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL) treated with axi-cel, in which lower rates of any grade NEs (n=17, 16.2%) were reported.¹⁸ Hispanic patients also had a lower incidence of any-grade and grade ≥3 ICANS. Furthermore, non-Hispanic Asian patients had a higher rate of prolonged thrombocytopenia than non-Hispanic white or non-Hispanic Black patients.

In the current analysis, the proportions of non-Hispanic Black, non-Hispanic Asian and Hispanic patients were comparable between the real-world setting (5-12%) and clinical trials (5-11%). The population expected to be Hispanic from the SEER estimation (10.95% of 1500 patients) was similar to proportion of Hispanic patients included in this analysis (11.78% in the real-world and 10.55% in the clinical trial analyses). However, the proportion of non-Hispanic Black patients in the real-world analysis (5.27% of 1290) and clinical trial analysis (5.45% of 275 from ZUMA-1 and ZUMA-7 combined) was inconsistent with the proportion of the Black population in the US diagnosed with LBCL and numerically lower than a recent SEER estimation of disease prevalence in this population (7.24% of 1500 patients with LBCL were expected to be Non-Hispanic Black).¹⁹ The sample size for other races (eg, Pacific Islander, Native Americans)

racial and ethnic minorities may be further exacerbated by inaccuracies in the recording of patient race or ethnicity due to the lack of a standardized demographic data collection Age also impacts cancer mortality, and the median age of non-Hispanic Black patients in the current analysis was numerically lower than that of non-Hispanic white patients.²¹

Generally, Black patients are more likely to be diagnosed with DLBCL at a younger age, have stage 3-4 disease, and have a worse 5-year survival rate relative to white patients.²² However, in the ZUMA-1 and ZUMA-7 clinical trials, older age did not impact efficacy with axi-cel and a manageable safety profile was maintained in all patients with R/R LBCL \geq 65 years of age.^{23,24}

was too small to be evaluated in the current study. Differences in axi-cel treatment among

process.²⁰

Additionally, in the real-world cohort, a larger proportion of non-Hispanic Black patients had ≥12-month timeframes from diagnosis to infusion compared with all other patients, suggesting axi-cel may have been reserved as a treatment option in later lines of therapy. Barriers to treatment access among non-Hispanic Black patients may not be specific to CAR Tcell therapy alone, but extend throughout the course of therapy.^{3,20} In light of this, and taking into consideration that non-Hispanic Black patients also experienced lower response rates, shorter PFS, and a greater proportion of patients waited \geq 28 days from leukapheresis to lymphodepleting chemotherapy compared with other racial and ethnic groups, it is imperative to understand treatment access barriers that may differentially impact diverse patient populations.

Several factors disproportionately affect patients from racial and ethnic minority groups that may account for the underrepresentation of these patients in clinical trials.^{3,25-28} In the

16

real-world cohort, numerically higher rates of non-Hispanic Black patients did not meet eligibility criteria for the ZUMA-1 trial compared with all other patients. Historically, factors such as higher poverty levels, poorer community health status, employment, education, and insufficient caregiver support²⁵ were considered major barriers to clinical trial enrollment, particularly among non-Hispanic Black patients.^{3,25,26} Based on a SEER-based report, proximity to authorized treatment centers alone was insufficient to explain underrepresentation of minority patients in trial participation and optimal access to care.¹⁹ Furthermore, although race and ethnicity have been consistently associated with poorer outcomes and an absence of adequate treatment, a higher cancer burden and mortality rate may also be closely linked to negative social determinants of health. This includes low income (<\$25,000 yearly), lack of health insurance, and living in states with poorer socioeconomic status and inadequate public health infrastructure.²⁶

A study from the ASTCT-NMDP ACCESS Initiative assessing barriers to HCT and CAR T-cell therapy found that many states across the US have potentially discriminatory policy restrictions and inadequate support for patients and their caregivers (eg, non-coverage if patient has a child with non-suitable family support or history of mental illness) that result in financial burden when seeking these treatments.²⁹ Timely allogeneic and autologous HCT is particularly low in Black patients compared with white patients.^{30,31} This can impact rate of survival 1-year post transplant^{33,34} and access to proper post-transplant therapies.^{28,33,35} Access to timely HCT therapy is crucial for the post-HCT journey in patients with hematologic malignancies. With respect to CAR T-cell therapy, the ASTCT-NMDP ACCESS Initiative also found that Black patients were more likely to travel longer distances to receive this therapy and, in general, were less likely to actually be treated.³⁶

CAR T-cell therapy is costly and, in the US, medical insurance coverage varies by race and ethnicity.³⁷ A recent report of US patients showed that those receiving CAR T-cell therapy were more likely to have commercial insurance and less likely to be uninsured or covered by Medicare.³⁸ Furthermore, given the high out-of-pocket cost for CAR T-cell therapy that includes expenses for travel or hospitalization, inadequate insurance coverage (which do not cover these expenses) further limit access to treatment for minority groups.³⁸ Additional areas of inclusivity research related to CAR T-cell therapy are needed, with a focus on promoting timely referral of patients from racial/ethnic minority groups, reporting whether access for minority groups is improving over time, and describing any disparities related to delivery of the CAR T-cell product for infusion. Identification of other disparities related to race, ethnicity, and socioeconomic status in the setting of CAR T-cell therapy is also important to close treatment gaps and help promote equal, inclusive treatment strategies. Healthcare providers may consider developing active inclusion strategies to limit race- and ethnicity-based social deterrents to CAR T-cell therapy to benefit a broader range of patients.^{22,26,39} A successful treatment approach may also include patient-specific screening; for example, based on the safety findings with axi-cel in this study, risk factors for thrombocytopenia could be assessed in all non-Hispanic Asian patients.²⁶

As with all observational studies, this analysis had some key limitations. In both the realworld and clinical trial assessments, race and ethnicity were self-reported, with no standardized definitions, potentially leading to biases and variability in reporting. CIBMTR reporting was not mandatory and results may not be reflective of the entirety of axi-cel usage and outcomes in the US. Due to the small sample size of racial/ethnic minority groups in the clinical trial analysis, confidence intervals were wide and time to event analyses could not be conducted. The analysis only included patients treated in the US and thus results may not be globally applicable but may provide context in different geographic locations.⁴⁰ Finally, this analysis did not include patient reported outcomes or quality-of-life endpoints.

Overall, outcomes with axi-cel CAR T-cell therapy in R/R LBCL were mostly consistent between races and ethnicities reported here, with some exceptions among non-Hispanic Black patients. These results provide important context regarding barriers of access to therapies for lymphoma to help develop interventions that improve health care access for all patients.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the patients who participated in this study and their families, caregivers, and friends; the study investigators, coordinators, and healthcare staff at each site. Medical writing support was provided by Hameda Khandaker, PhD, and Danielle Fanslow, PhD, of Nexus Global Group Science, funded by Kite, a Gilead Company. The CIBMTR is supported primarily by Public Health Service U24CA076518 from the National Cancer Institute (NCI), the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute (NHLBI) and the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID); U24HL138660 and U24HL157560 from NHLBI and NCI; U24CA233032 from the NCI;

OT3HL147741 and U01HL128568 from the NHLBI; HHSH250201700005C,

HHSH250201700006C, and HHSH250201700007C from the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA); and N00014-20-1-2832 and N00014-21-1-2954 from the Office of Naval Research; Additional federal support is provided by P01CA111412, R01CA100019, R01CA152108, R01CA218285, R01CA231141, R01CA231838, R01CA262899, R01AI128775, R01AI150999, R01AI158861, R01HL155741, R01HL131731, SC1MC31881, UM1CA121947, U01AI069197, U01AI126612, UG1HL06924. Support is also provided by Be the Match Foundation; Boston Children's Hospital; Dana Farber; St. Baldrick's Foundation; PBMTF; Stanford University; Medical College of Wisconsin; National Marrow Donor Program; and from the following commercial entities: AbbVie; Actinium Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; Adaptive Biotechnologies Corporation; ADC Therapeutics; Adienne SA; Allogene; Allovir, Inc.; Amgen, Inc.; Anthem; Astellas Pharma US; AstraZeneca; Atara Biotherapeutics; BeiGene; bluebird bio, Inc.; Bristol Myers Squibb Co.; CareDx Inc.; CRISPR; CSL Behring; CytoSen Therapeutics, Inc.; Eurofins Viracor, DBA Eurofins Transplant Diagnostics; Fate Therapeutics; Gamida Cell, Ltd.; Gilead; GlaxoSmithKline; HistoGenetics; Incyte Corporation; Iovance; Janssen Research & Development, LLC; Janssen/Johnson & Johnson; Jasper Therapeutics; Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; Kadmon, a Sanofi Company; Karius; Kiadis Pharma; Kite, a Gilead Company; Kyowa Kirin; Legend Biotech; Magenta Therapeutics; Mallinckrodt Pharmaceuticals; Medac GmbH; Medexus Pharma; Merck & Co.; Millennium, the Takeda Oncology Co.; Miltenyi Biotec, Inc.; MorphoSys; Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation; Omeros Corporation; OptumHealth; Orca Biosystems, Inc.; Ossium Health, Inc.; Pfizer, Inc.; Pharmacyclics, LLC, an AbbVie Company; Priothera; Sanofi; Sanofi Aventis U.S. Inc.; Sobi, Inc.; Stemcyte; Takeda Pharmaceuticals; Talaris Therapeutics; Terumo Blood and Cell Technologies; TG Therapeutics; Vertex Pharmaceuticals; Xenikos BV. The views expressed in this article do not reflect the official policy or position of the National Institute of Health, the Department of the Navy, the Department of Defense, Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) or any other agency of the U.S. Government. FLL is

supported by the Leukemia and Lymphoma Society as a Scholar in Clinical Research, and the National Cancer Institute (R01CA244328).

AUTHORSHIP CONTRIBUTIONS

FLL, TS, ZHH, HW, EB, HM, CS, HX, MCP designed the study. MCP, HM, HW, ZHH, HX acquired and assembled data. ZHH, HW, EB analyzed data and prepared the analysis reports. All authors were involved in the interpretation of the data and writing of the article and provided final approval to submit for publication.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURES

FLL had a scientific advisory role/consulting role with A2, Allogene, Amgen, bluebird bio, BMS/Celgene, Calibr, Caribou, Cellular Biomedicine Group, Cowen, Daiichi Sankyo, EcoR1, Emerging Therapy Solutions, GammaDelta Therapeutics, Gerson Lehrman Group (GLG), lovance, Kite Pharma, Janssen, Legend Biotech, Novartis, Sana, Takeda, Wugen, Umoja; patents, royalties, other intellectual property in several patents held by the institution in my name (unlicensed) in the field of cellular immunotherapy; travel support from A2 Bio; and other relationships with Allogene (Institutional), Aptitude Health, ASH, bluebird bio (Institutional), BioPharma Communications CARE Education, BMS (Institutional), CERo Therapeutics (Institutional), Clinical Care Options Oncology, Imedex, Kite, a Gilead Company (Institutional), Novartis (Institutional), National Cancer Institute, Leukemia and Lymphoma Society, Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer. **TS** had a consultancy or advisory role for AbbVie, AstraZeneca, BeiGene, Celgene, Juno, Kite, a Gilead Company, and PCYC; speakers' bureau participation for AstraZeneca, BeiGene, BMS; and institutional research funding from Ascentage Pharma, AstraZeneca, BeiGene, Bristol Myers Squibb, Celgene, Juno, Kite, a Gilead Company, Oncternal, PCYC, TG Therapeutics. CAJ had a consulting/advisory role for AbbVie, Abintus Bio, ADC Therapeutics, BMS/Celgene, Caribou Bio, Daiichi Sankyo, ImmPACT Bio, Instil Bio, Ipsen, Kite, a Gilead Company, Miltenyi Biotec, MorphoSys, Novartis, and Synthekine; and research funding from Kite, a Gilead Company and Pfizer. AG had honoraria from Kite, a Gilead Company; consulting/advisory role for Amgen, Atara, BMS, CRISPR Therapeutics, Kite, and Wugen Inc.; research funding from Amgen, Genentech, and Kite. AG had honoraria from Kite, a Gilead Company; consulting/advisory role for Amgen, Atara, BMS, CRISPR Therapeutics, Kite, and Wugen Inc.; research funding from Amgen, Genentech, and Kite. SA received research funding from BMS, Merck, Nektar, Tessa Therapeutics, and Xencor. **DBM** had honoraria and travel support from Janssen; consulting/advisory role for Adaptive Biotechnologies, Bristol Myers Squibb, Janssen, Kite, a Gilead Company, and Miltenyi Biotec; research funding from 2Seventy Bio, Adicet, Allogene, Fate Therapeutics, Kite, and Miltenyi Biotec; patents, royalties, or other intellectual property from cGVHD patent holder for Ibrutinib as cGVHD therapy but no compensation. M-AP had honoraria from AbbVie, Astellas, Celgene, Bristol Myers Squibb, Incyte, Karyopharm, Kite, a Gilead Company, Miltenyi Biotec, MorphoSys, Novartis, Nektar Therapeutics, and Takeda; consulting/advisory role for Merck and Omeros; institutional research funding for clinical trials from Incyte, Kite, Miltenyi Biotec, and Novartis; and other relationship with DSMB: Cidara Therapeutics, Medigene, and Servier. JM had honoraria from Curio, Kyowa Kirin, OncView, Physicians' Education Resource, Targeted Oncology, and Seagen;

consulting/advisory role for ADC Therapeutics, Alexion, Bayer, BeiGene, Bristol Myers Squibb, Debiopharm, Epizyme, Fosunkite, Genmab, Innovent, Janssen, Juno/Celgene, Karyopharm, Kite, a Gilead Company, Kyowa Kirin, Lilly/Loxo, MEI, MorphoSys/Incyte, Novartis, Pfizer, Pharmacyclics/AbbVie, Seagen, Servier, TG Therapeutics, and Zodiac; Speakers' bureau participation for Acrotech/Aurobindo, AstraZeneca, Bayer, BeiGene, Celgene/Bristol Myers Squibb, Genentech/Roche, Kite, a Gilead Company, Kyowa Kirin, Pharmacyclics/Janssen, Seagen, and Verastem; research funding from Bayer, Celgene, Genentech, Incyte, Janssen, Kite, Merck, Millennium, Pharmacyclics, Portola, and Seagen. WBF had no relevant financial relationships to disclose. MP: honoraria from Bristol Myers Squibb; consulting/advisory role for Nektar Therapeutics; and travel support from Novartis. JG had a consulting/advisory role for Janssen, Kite, a Gilead Company, Legend Biotech, MorphoSys, and Sobi; and research funding from Angiocrine Bioscience, Celgene, Juno Therapeutics, a BMS company, and Sobi. MS had employment with BMS (spouse); consulting/advisory role for AbbVie, Adaptimmune, Adaptive Biotechnologies, AstraZeneca, Atara Biotherapeutic, BeiGene, Bristol Myers Squibb, Eli Lilly, Epizyme, Fate therapeutics, Genentech, Innate Pharma, Kite, a Gilead Company, MEI pharma, Merck, MorphoSys/Incyte, Mustang Bio, Pharmacyclics, Regeneron, Sound Biologics, and TG Therapeutics; and research funding from AbbVie, AstraZeneca, Atara Biotherapeutics, BeiGene, Bristol Myers Squibb, Celgene, Genentech, Genmab, Gilead, MorphoSys/Incyte, Mustang Bio, Pharmacyclics, Sunesis, and TG Therapeutics. LG had honorarium from BMS. ASM had no relevant financial relationships to disclose. MBA had research funding from Ansun, BioPharma, Inc., and Janssen. SH had employment with Adaptive Biotechnologies. NM had employment with and stock or other ownership in HCA Healthcare; and consulting/advisory role for Anthem, Inc. MAK-D received research funding from BMS, Pharmacyclics and Novartis. AK had no relevant financial relationships to disclose. TB had stock or other ownership in Aprea Therapeutics; honoraria from Pfizer Hematology-Oncology; research funding from Mayo Clinic, Cancer Center Support Grant. YL had a consulting/advisory role for Kite/Gilead, Celgene/BMS, Juno/BMS, bluebird bio, Janssen, Legend Biotech, Gamida Cell, Novartis, Iovance, Takeda, Fosun Kite, and Pfizer; research funding from Kite/Gilead, Celgene/BMS, bluebird bio, Janssen, Legend Biotech, Merck, Takeda, and Boston Scientific. NNB had a consulting/advisory role for Acrotech, Affimed, Astellas, Kymera, and Secura Bio; and research funding from Affimed, Daiichi Sankyo, and Kite, a Gilead Company. **MMH** had no relevant financial relationships to disclose. **ZHH** had employment with Kite, a Gilead Company; and stock or other ownership in Gilead Sciences. HW had employment with Kite, a Gilead Company. AB had employment with, and stock or other ownership in Gilead Sciences. **EB** had employment with, stock or other ownership in, and consulting/advisory role for Kite, a Gilead Company. HM had employment with Kite, a Gilead Company; and stock or ownership in Gilead Sciences. CS had employee with Kite, a Gilead Company; and stock or other ownership in Gilead Sciences. **DLM** had no relevant financial relationships to disclose. **HX** had employment with Kite, a Gilead Company. **MCP** had honoraria from Celgene; consulting/advisory role for Amgen, Medigene, and Pfizer; and research funding from Bristol Myers Squibb, Kite, a Gilead Company, and Novartis.

REFERENCES

1. Zavala VA, Bracci PM, Carethers JM, et al. Cancer health disparities in racial/ethnic minorities in the United States. *Br J Cancer*. 2021;124(2):315-332.

2. Ellis L, Canchola AJ, Spiegel D, Ladabaum U, Haile R, Gomez SL. Racial and ethnic disparities in cancer survival: The contribution of tumor, sociodemographic, institutional, and neighborhood characteristics. *J Clin Oncol*. 2018;36(1):25-33.

3. Flowers CR, Shenoy PJ, Borate U, et al. Examining racial differences in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma presentation and survival. *Leuk Lymphoma*. 2013;54(2):268-276.

4. Hong S, Majhail NS. Increasing access to allotransplants in the United States: The impact of race, geography, and socioeconomics. *Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program*.

2021;2021(1):275-280.

5. Klink AJ, Nabhan C, Lee CH. Real-world management and outcomes of patients with relapsed/refractory diffuse large B-cell lymphoma treated in the United States. *J Clin Pathways*. 2020;6(1):44-53.

6. Crump M, Neelapu SS, Farooq U, et al. Outcomes in refractory diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: results from the international SCHOLAR-1 study. *Blood*. 2017;130(16):1800-1808.

7. Locke FL, Miklos DB, Jacobson CA, et al. Axicabtagene ciloleucel as second-line therapy for large B-cell lymphoma. *N Engl J Med*. 2022;386(7):640-654.

8. Jacobson C, Locke F, Hu Z-H, et al. Real-world evidence of axicabtagene ciloleucel (axicel) for the treatment of large B cell lymphoma in the United States. *J Clin Oncol.* 2021;39(15 suppl):7552-7552. 9. Westin JR, Oluwole OO, Kersten MJ, et al. Survival with axicabtagene ciloleucel in large B-cell lymphoma. *N Engl J Med*. 2023;389:148-157.

10. Becnel M, Flowers CR, Nastoupil LJ. Disparities in lymphoma on the basis of race, gender, HIV status, and sexual orientation. *Ann Lymphoma*. 2017;1:8.

11. Locke FL, Ghobadi A, Jacobson CA, et al. Long-term safety and activity of axicabtagene ciloleucel in refractory large B-cell lymphoma (ZUMA-1): a single-arm, multicentre, phase 1-2 trial. *Lancet Oncol*. 2019;20(1):31-42.

12. Wang M, Munoz J, Goy A, et al. KTE-X19 CAR T-cell therapy in relapsed or refractory mantle-cell lymphoma. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;382(14):1331-1342.

13. Jacobson CA, Chavez JC, Sehgal AR, et al. Axicabtagene ciloleucel in relapsed or refractory indolent non-Hodgkin lymphoma (ZUMA-5): a single-arm, multicentre, phase 2 trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 2022;23(1):91-103.

14. Nastoupil LJ, Jain MD, Feng L, et al. Standard-of-care axicabtagene ciloleucel for relapsed or refractory large B-cell lymphoma: Results from the US Lymphoma CAR T Consortium. *J Clin Oncol*. 2020;38(27):3119-3128.

15. Neelapu SS, Locke FL, Bartlett NL, et al. Axicabtagene ciloleucel CAR T-cell therapy in refractory large B-cell lymphoma. *N Engl J Med*. 2017;377(26):2531-2544.

16. Cheson BD, Fisher RI, Barrington SF, et al. Recommendations for initial evaluation, staging, and response assessment of Hodgkin and non-Hodgkin lymphoma: the Lugano classification. *J Clin Oncol*. 2014;32(27):3059-3068.

17. Lee DW, Santomasso BD, Locke FL, et al. ASTCT consensus grading for cytokine release syndrome and neurologic toxicity associated with immune effector cells. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. 2019;25(4):625-638.

18. Zhao W, Li Y, Zou D, et al. Efficacy and safety of axicabtagene ciloleucel (axi-cel) for the treatment of relapse/refractory non-Hodgkin lymphoma: First real-world data in Chinese population. *Hematol Oncol*. 2023;41:457-458.

19. Goldfinger M, Hu Z-H, Rapoport AP, et al. Minority patients in the US receiving chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T-cell therapy: A SEER-based simulation on representation and impact of proximity to authorized treatment center (ATC). *Blood*. 2022;140(Suppl 1):9520-9522.

20. Fingrut WB, Davis E, Chinapen S, et al. Inaccuracies in assignment of patient race and ethnicity: Implications for unrelated donor searches and health care delivery. *Blood Adv*. 2023;7(10):1996-1999.

21. Hoffmann MS, Hunter BD, Cobb PW, Varela JC, Munoz J. Overcoming barriers to referral for chimeric antigen receptor T cell therapy in patients with relapsed/refractory diffuse large B cell lymphoma. *Transplant Cell Ther*. 2023;29(7):440-448.

22. Ayers AA, Lyu L, Dance K, et al. Characterizing lymphoma incidence and disparities for a cancer center catchment region. *Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk*. 2019;19(11):699-708 e695.

23. Neelapu SS, Jacobson CA, Oluwole OO, et al. Outcomes of older patients in ZUMA-1, a pivotal study of axicabtagene ciloleucel in refractory large B-cell lymphoma. *Blood*.

2020;135(23):2106-2109.

24. Westin JR, Locke FL, Dickinson M, et al. Safety and efficacy of axicabtagene ciloleucel versus standard of care in patients 65 years of age or older with Relapsed/Refractory large B-cell lymphoma. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2023;29(10):1894-1905.

25. Jabo B, Morgan JW, Martinez ME, Ghamsary M, Wieduwilt MJ. Sociodemographic disparities in chemotherapy and hematopoietic cell transplantation utilization among adult acute lymphoblastic and acute myeloid leukemia patients. *PLoS One*. 2017;12(4):e0174760.

26. Pinheiro LC, Reshetnyak E, Akinyemiju T, Phillips E, Safford MM. Social determinants of health and cancer mortality in the Reasons for Geographic and Racial Differences in Stroke (REGARDS) cohort study. *Cancer*. 2022;128(1):122-130.

27. Auletta JJ, Kou J, Chen M, et al. Real-world data showing trends and outcomes by race and ethnicity in allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation: A report from the Center for International Blood and Marrow Transplant Research. *Transplant Cell Ther*. 2023;29(6):346 e341-346 e310.

28. Blue BJ, Brazauskas R, Chen K, et al. Racial and socioeconomic disparities in long-term outcomes in ≥1 year allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation survivors: A CIBMTR Analysis. *Transplant Cell Ther*. 2023;29(11):709.e1-709.e11.

29. Auletta JJ, Khera N, DeMartino P, et al. Assessing Medicaid coverage for hematopoietic cell transplantation and chimeric antigen receptor T cell therapy: A project from the American Society for Transplantation and Cellular Therapy and the National Marrow Donor Program ACCESS Initiative. *Transplant Cell Ther*. 2023;29(11):713-720.

30. Shah H, Kim S, Klimecki S, et al. Racial disparities in time to hematopoietic cell transplant among patients with hematologic malignancies at a large urban academic center. *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 2022;57(7):1213-1215.

31. Fingrut WB, Gyurkocza B, Flynn JR, et al. Analysis of disparities in time to allogeneic transplantation in adults with acute myelogenous leukemia. *Blood Adv*. 2023;7(15):3824-3833.

32. Fingrut WB, Gyurkocza B, Davis E, et al. Racial disparities in access to alternative donor allografts persist in the era of "donors for all". *Blood Adv*. 2022;6(20):5625-5629.

33. Hong S, Brazauskas R, Hebert KM, et al. Community health status and outcomes after allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation in the United States. *Cancer*. 2021;127(4):609-618.

34. Bhandari R, Teh JB, He T, et al. Social vulnerability and risk of nonrelapse mortality after allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2022;114(11):1484-1491.

35. Fingrut WB, Chinapen S, Flynn J, et al. Association between non-European ancestry, low socioeconomic status, and receipt of HLA-disparate allografts in adult BMT recipients. *Blood Adv*. 2023;7(15):3834-3837.

36. Auletta JJ, Sandmaier BM, Jensen E, et al. The ASTCT-NMDP ACCESS Initiative: A collaboration to address and sustain equal outcomes for all across the hematopoietic cell transplantation and cellular therapy ecosystem. *Transplant Cell Ther*. 2022;28(12):802-809.

37. Census Bureau Releases New Report on Health Insurance by Race and Hispanic Origin. United States Census Bureau. Published November 22, 2022. Accessed March 20, 2024. https://www.census.gov/newsroom/press-releases/2022/health-insurance-by-race.html

29

38. Ahmed N, Shahzad M, Shippey E, et al. Socioeconomic and racial disparity in chimeric antigen receptor T cell therapy access. *Transplant Cell Ther*. 2022;28(7):358-364.

39. Flannelly C, Tan BE, Tan JL, et al. Barriers to hematopoietic cell transplantation for adults in the United States: A systematic review with a focus on age. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. 2020;26(12):2335-2345.

40. Alqazaqi R, Schinke C, Thanendrarajan S, et al. Geographic and racial disparities in access to chimeric antigen receptor-T cells and bispecific antibodies trials for multiple myeloma. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2022;5(8):e2228877.

41. Sorror ML. How I assess comorbidities before hematopoietic cell transplantation. *Blood*. 2013;121(15):2854-2863.

42. Lee DW, Gardner R, Porter DL, et al. Current concepts in the diagnosis and management of cytokine release syndrome. *Blood*. 2014;124(2):188-195.

TABLES

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics by Race and Ethnicity in the Real-World

Key Variable of Interest, n (%) unless specified	Hispanic (n=152)	Non-Hispanic Asian (n=78)	Non-Hispanic Black (n=68)	Non-Hispanic white (n=992)
Age, median (range), years	58.3 (23.9- 80.6)	61.8 (21.5- 82.1)	55.5 (21.5- 84.4)	63.0 (19.6- 90.8)
Age ≥65 years	37 (24)	27 (35)	16 (24)	411 (41)
Male sex	98 (64)	41 (53)	42 (62)	663 (67)
ECOG PS ≥2 before infusion	4 (3)	9 (12)	1 (1)	44 (4)
Elevated LDH at initial diagnosis	38 (25)	22 (28)	17 (25)	303 (31)
HCT-CI score prior to infusion				
0	67 (44)	29 (37)	16 (24)	300 (30)
1	24 (16)	17 (22)	11 (16)	178 (18)
2	32 (21)	9 (12)	10 (15)	115 (12)
≥3	29 (19)	23 (29)	31 (46)	399 (40)
Disease histology at diagnosis				
DLBCL	119 (78)	61 (78)	61 (90)	803 (81)
PMBCL	4 (3)	2 (3)	2 (3)	30 (3)
HGBL	29 (19)	15 (19)	5 (7)	159 (16)
With <i>MYC</i> and BCL2 and/or BCL6 rearrangements	26 (17)	13 (17)	4 (6)	147 (15)
NOS	3 (2)	2 (3)	1 (1)	12 (1)
Key comorbidities				
Pulmonary, moderate to severe	30 (20)	14 (18)	28 (41)	289 (29)

Prior cancer	10 (7)	8 (10)	4 (6)	166 (17)
Obesity (BMI >35 kg/m ²)	15 (10)	1 (1)	9 (13)	90 (9)
Renal, moderate to severe, or prior renal implant	2 (1)	1 (1)	1 (1)	28 (3)
Histologic transformation	37 (24)	18 (23)	17 (25)	300 (30)
Disease sensitivity before infusion				
Sensitive	44 (29)	19 (24)	16 (24)	213 (21)
Resistant	93 (61)	53 (68)	46 (68)	662 (67)
Unknown	15 (10)	6 (8)	6 (9)	117 (12)
No. of lines of prior therapies, median (range)	3 (2-8)	3 (1-12)	3 (1-8)	3 (1-18)
1-2	40 (26)	19 (24)	17 (25)	275 (28)
≥3	102 (67)	54 (69)	49 (72)	691 (70)
Unknown	10 (7)	5 (6)	2 (3)	26 (3)
Prior HCT (any type)	32 (21)	22 (28)	17 (25)	305 (31)
Prior ASCT	31 (20)	21 (27)	17 (25)	290 (29)
Bridging therapy (any type) ^b	31 (20)	12 (15)	11 (16)	224 (23)
Year of axi-cel infusion				
2018 or before	46 (30)	20 (26)	21 (31)	284 (29)
2019	66 (43)	36 (46)	29 (43)	466 (47)
2020	40 (26)	22 (28)	18 (26)	242 (24)
≥12 Months from diagnosis to infusion	88 (58)	47 (60)	51 (75)	572 (58)
≥28 Days from leukapheresis to lymphodepleting	75 (49)	37 (47)	42 (62)	488 (49)

chemotherapy				
Estimated trial eligibility for ZUMA-1 ^c				
Eligible	80 (53)	39 (50)	23 (34)	426 (43)
Ineligible	72 (47)	39 (50)	45 (66)	566 (57)

^a Comorbidities were assessed per Sorror et al 2013.⁴¹

^b The incidence of bridging therapy was derived from the number of patients who initiated a prior therapy after leukapheresis and before conditioning chemotherapy.

^c The rates of ZUMA-1 trial eligibility used adapted eligibility criteria and were estimated based on available registry data.

ASCT, autologous stem cell transplantation; BMI, body mass index; DLBCL, diffuse large B-cell

lymphoma; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; HCT,

hematopoietic cell transplantation; HCT-CI, hematopoietic cell transplantation-specific

comorbidity index; HGBL, high-grade B-cell lymphoma; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; No.,

number; NOS, not otherwise specified; PMBCL, primary mediastinal large B-cell lymphoma.

	Hispanic	Non-Hispanic Asian	Non-Hispanic Black	Non-Hispanic white
n (%)	(n=152)	(n=78)	(n=68)	(n=992)
Any-grade CRS ^a	123 (81)	70 (90)	56 (82)	824 (83)
Grade ≥3 CRS	7 (5)	8 (10)	4 (6)	89 (9)
Any-grade ICANS	65 (43)	35 (45)	27 (40)	584 (59)
Grade ≥3 ICANS	24 (16)	16 (21)	13 (19)	285 (29)
Management of CRS and ICANS				
Tocilizumab	94 (62)	54 (69)	38 (56)	571 (58)
Corticosteroids	55 (36)	42 (54)	19 (28)	509 (51)
Prolonged cytopenia ^b	37 (25) (n=148)	25 (36) (n=70)	13 (20) (n=66)	234 (24) (n=957)
Neutropenia	11 (7)	5 (7)	3 (5)	67 (7)
Thrombocytopenia	35 (24)	23 (33)	11 (17)	215 (22)

Table 2. Safety Outcomes by Race and Ethnicity in the Real World

CRS was graded per Lee et al.⁴² and ICANS were graded per ASTCT consensus grading.¹⁷

^a Reported on the 100-day follow-up case-report form.

^b Defined as failure to resolve within the first 30 days after infusion, measured among patients

who survived day 30 post-infusion.

ASTCT, American Society for Transplantation and Cellular Therapy; CRS, cytokine release

syndrome; ICANS, immune effector cell-associated neurotoxicity syndrome.

n (%)	Hispanic	Non-Hispanic Asian	Non-Hispanic Black	Non-Hispanic white
ZUMA-1	n=19	n=3	n=5	n=79
Any-grade CRS	18 (95)	2 (67)	5 (100)	73 (92)
Grade ≥3 CRS	0	0	2 (40)	10 (13)
Any-grade NEs	9 (47)	1 (33)	4 (80)	57 (72)
Grade ≥3 NEs	4 (21)	0	3 (60)	27 (34)
ZUMA-7	n=8	n=11	n=8	n=132
Any-grade CRS	8 (100)	11 (100)	7 (88)	121 (92)
Grade ≥3 CRS	1 (13)	1 (9)	0	7 (5)
Any-grade NEs	8 (100	5 (45)	4 (50)	78 (59)
Grade ≥3 NEs	2 (25)	2 (18)	2 (25)	28 (21)
CRS was graded per Lee et al ⁴² and NEs were graded per ASTCT consensus grading. ¹⁷				
ASTCT, American Society fo	or Transplantati	on and Cellular The	erapy; CRS, cytokir	ne release

Table 3. Safety Outcomes by Race and Ethnicity in Clinical Trials

syndrome; NE, neurologic event.

Figure 1. Patient Geographic Distribution in the Real World and Clinical Trials. The race and ethnicity distributions of patients enrolled in the real world (orange circles) and clinical trial (teal circles) settings within authorized treatment centers at the city level are shown. The size of the circle is commensurate with the number of patients enrolled in that setting. RWE, real-world evidence.

Figure 2. Response by Race and Ethnicity in the Real World and Clinical Trials. Per central assessment. CR, complete response; ORR, overall response rate.

Figure 3. Duration of Response (A), Progression-Free Survival (B), and Overall Survival (C) by Race and Ethnicity in the Real World. CR, complete response; DOR, duration of response; OS, overall survival; PFS, progression-free survival; PR, partial response.

Figure 4. Outcomes From the Real World With Multivariable Adjustment. Adjusted odds ratios for ORR, CR Rate, and hazard ratios for DOR, PFS, and OS (A) and adjusted odds ratio for CRS, ICANS, prolonged neutropenia and prolonged thrombocytopenia (B). ^a Additional covariates associated with efficacy outcomes were adjusted (data not shown). ^b OR was used for the analysis of ORR and CR and HR was used for the analysis of DOR, PFS, and OS. ^c Variables with multivariate P<.05. CR, complete response; CRS, cytokine release syndrome; DOR, duration of response; HR, hazard ratio; ICANS, immune effector cell–associated neurotoxicity syndrome;

NHA, non-Hispanic Asian; NHB, non-Hispanic Black; NHW, non-Hispanic white; OR, odds ratio;

ORR, overall response rate; OS, overall survival; PFS, progression-free survival.

Figure 1

Figure 1





Figure 3



tert the second

Adjusted Odds Ratios for ORR, CR Rate and Hazard Ratios for DOR, PFS and OS^a



Adjusted Odds Ratios for CRS, ICANS, Prolonged Neutropenia and Thrombocytopenia



Risk factors

В



Downloaded from http://ashpublications.net/blood/article-pdf/doi/10.1182/blood.2023023447/2222635/blood.2023023447.pdf by guest on 17 May 2024

Abstract