Constitutive HOXA5 Expression Inhibits Erythropoiesis and Increases Myelopoiesis From Human Hematopoietic Progenitors

By Gay M. Crooks, John Fuller, Denise Petersen, Parvin Izadi, Punam Malik, Paul K. Pattengale, Donald B. Kohn, and Judith C. Gasson

The role of the homeobox gene HOXA5 in normal human hematopoiesis was studied by constitutively expressing the HOXA5 cDNA in CD34⁺ and CD34⁺CD38⁻ cells from bone marrow and cord blood. By using retroviral vectors that contained both HOXA5 and a cell surface marker gene, pure populations of progenitors that expressed the transgene were obtained for analysis of differentiation patterns. Based on both immunophenotypic and morphological analysis of cultures from transduced CD34⁺ cells, HOXA5 expression caused a significant shift toward myeloid differentiation and away from erythroid differentiation in comparison to CD34⁺ cells transduced with Control vectors (P = .001, n = 15 for immunophenotypic analysis; and P < .0001, n = 19 for morphological analysis). Transduction of more primitive progenitors (CD34+CD38- cells) resulted in a significantly greater effect on differentiation than did transduction of the largely committed CD34⁺ population (P = .006 for difference

THE PLURIPOTENT hematopoietic stem cell is capable of either self-renewal or of generation of committed progenitors that give rise to mature blood elements. How this decision is controlled at the molecular level remains an unanswered question. However, a coded pattern of gene expression must exist that carries out the maturation program. Understanding the nature of the underlying pattern of gene expression and how it arises will provide a better understanding as to how hematopoietic lineage commitment occurs.

An increasing body of work suggests that the homeobox family of genes may be at least one component of the coded pattern of gene expression regulating hematopoiesis.1 Homeobox genes were originally described as important regulators of embryogenesis in Drosophila melanogaster, through the study of homeotic mutations whereby one body part was replaced by another.²⁻⁵ Homeobox genes have subsequently been identified in numerous species spanning the evolutionary spectrum.⁶⁻⁸ The hallmark of all homeobox genes is a 183nucleotide stretch of sequence called the homeobox, which encodes a highly conserved 61-amino acid homeodomain whose helix-turn-helix tertiary structure binds DNA.9 Through this DNA-binding activity, the homeodomain proteins function as transcription factors and thereby regulate differentiation through positive and negative regulation of gene expression.4,6,10 In mice and humans, the homeobox genes located within four specific clusters on different chromosomes are referred to as the HOX family.11

Early evidence for a role of homeobox genes in blood cell differentiation came from the observation that these genes are involved in the chromosomal abnormalities associated with certain leukemias.¹² It has also been shown that *HOX* genes are expressed in somewhat lineage-specific patterns in cell lines and bone marrow and that experimental manipulation of these expression patterns can influence the proliferation and differentiation of hematopoietic cell lines.¹³⁻²¹ We have recently identified the *HOXA5* homeobox gene in a screen of *HOX* genes

between HOXA5 effect on CD34⁺ v CD34⁺CD38⁻ cells). Erythroid progenitors (burst-forming unit-erythroid [BFU-E]) were significantly decreased in frequency among progenitors transduced with the HOXA5 vector (P = .016, n = 7), with no reduction in total CFU numbers. Clonal analysis of single cells transduced with HOXA5 or control vectors (cultured in erythroid culture conditions) showed that HOXA5 expression prevented erythroid differentiation and produced clones with a preponderance of undifferentiated blasts. These studies show that constitutive expression of HOXA5 inhibits human erythropoiesis and promotes myelopoiesis. The reciprocal inhibition of erythropoiesis and promotion of myelopoiesis in the absence of any demonstrable effect on proliferation suggests that HOXA5 diverts differentiation at a mulitpotent progenitor stage away from the erythroid toward the myeloid pathway.

© 1999 by The American Society of Hematology.

expressed early during myelopoiesis.²¹ Using an antisense approach, we demonstrated that reducing *HOXA5* expression in human bone marrow cells potentiates erythroid development while reducing granulocytic/monocytic cell development.²¹ Conversely, ectopic expression of *HOXA5* inhibited the erythroid development of the myeloid cell line, K562.²¹ In experiments reported here, we enforced expression of *HOXA5* in normal human hematopoietic progenitor cells by transducing CD34⁺ and CD34⁺CD38⁻ cells with a retroviral vector containing the *HOXA5* cDNA. In these studies, we show that ectopic expression of *HOXA5* results in a shift toward myeloid differentiation at the expense of erythroid differentiation. These data support the hypothesis that *HOXA5* functions as an important regulator of lineage commitment, specifically, determination of myeloid versus erythroid fates.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Construction of retroviral vectors. Two *HOXA5*-containing vectors (and their paired controls) were constructed. Each incorporated the rat low-affinity nerve growth factor receptor (NGFR; p75) as a cell surface

From the Division of Research Immunology and Bone Marrow Transplantation, Department of Pathology, and Division of Hematology/ Oncology, Childrens Hospital Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA; and the Department of Biological Chemistry, University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA.

Supported by National Institutes of Health SCOR Grant No. HL54850. Address reprint requests to Gay M. Crooks, MD, Division of Research Immunology/BMT, MS#62, Childrens Hospital Los Angeles, 4650 Sunset Blvd LA, CA 90027.

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

© 1999 by The American Society of Hematology. 0006-4971/99/9402-0022\$3.00/0

Submitted June 30, 1998; accepted March 16, 1999.

marker gene. The NGFR cDNA was truncated to remove the cytoplasmic tail to produce the inactivated tNGFR.²² In the first pair of constructs, the SV40 promoter and neo^R gene were removed from the LXSN vector²³ and replaced with the murine phosphoglycerate kinase (PGK) promoter,²⁴ followed by the tNGFR cDNA to make the control vector L-X-PGK-tNGFR. The human *HOXA5* cDNA, generated as described previously,²¹ was cloned into L-X-PGK-tNGFR to make the vector L-A5-PGK-tNGFR. The *HOXA5* cDNA encodes a protein that is truncated after the homeodomain: there is no difference in the activities of this construct and the full-length clone.²¹

In the second construct, the human *HOXA5* cDNA was cloned downstream of the internal ribosome entry site (IRES) from the encephalomyocarditis virus (ECMV)²⁵ to align the ATG start codon of the *HOXA5* cDNA in-frame with that from the IRES. tNGFR was cloned into the LN vector plasmid²³ replacing the neo^R gene to make the control vector L-tNGFR. The IRES-*HOXA5* fusion was then cloned downstream of tNGFR to produce the vector L-tNGFR-IRES-A5.

Stable high titer vector producing clones of the cell line PG13 were generated for each of the two HOXA5 vectors and their respective negative control vectors (Fig 1A). PG13 is a murine fibroblast cell line that expresses the Gibbon ape leukemia virus (GALV) envelope protein. HOXA5 expression in PG13 producer clones was assessed by reverse polymerase chain reaction (PCR) as follows. RNA was extracted according to manufacturer's guidelines using the RNA STAT-60 kit (Tel-Test, Friendswood, TX) and reverse transcribed to cDNA (GIBCO BRL, Gaithersburg, MD). The cDNA was then subjected to 35 cycles of PCR as follows: 94°C (1 minute), 60°C (1 minute), and 72°C (1.5 minutes). HOXA5 primers sequences were 5' primer (5'CGCCGGCAG-CACCCACATCAG3') and 3' primer (5'TTCCGGGCCGCCTATGT-TGT3'), which amplified a 193-bp product (Fig 1B). On each sample, mRNA for the gene GAPDH was also determined by reverse PCR as a positive control to confirm the presence of mRNA and successful reverse transcription. Primers for the GAPDH cDNA were as follows: 5' primer (5'TGATGACATCAAGAAGGTGGTGAAG3') and 3' primer (5'TCCTTGGAGGCCATGTGGGCCAT3'), which amplify a 240-bp fragment of GAPDH cDNA. Twenty-five cycles of PCR at 94°C (2 minutes), 55°C (1.5 minutes), and 72°C (1.5 minutes) were performed for PCR of GAPDH.

To ensure that no splicing or rearrangement of the vector had occurred during packaging, Southern blot analysis was performed on 293A cells (a human kidney cell line) that had been transduced with supernatants containing the two *HOXA5*-containing vectors and the two control vectors. DNA was extracted from the 293A cells selected posttransduction by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) for tNGFR expression. DNA was digested with the *Eco*R5 restriction enzyme that cleaves 3' and 5' of the *HOXA5* cDNA at bp 387 and 4195 in the vector L-A5-PGK-tNGFR (providing a 3,808-bp fragment including *HOXA5*) and at bp 213 and 4176 in the vector L-tNGFR-IRES-A5 (providing a 3,963-bp fragment including *HOXA5*). Digested DNA was run on a 1% agarose gel, transferred to nylon, and probed with an 800-bp fragment on *HOXA5* (Fig 1C).

Isolation of target progenitors. CD34⁺ cells were isolated from cord blood and bone marrow acquired from healthy normal donors according to guidelines from the Committee of Clinical Investigation (CCI) at Childrens Hospital Los Angeles (Los Angeles, CA). Mononuclear cells were first isolated from fresh samples by density centrifugation using Ficoll-hypaque (Pharmacia, Piscataway, NJ). CD34⁺ cells were then isolated from the mononuclear cells using a MiniMacs column (Miltenyi Biotec, Auburn, CA). In some experiments, CD34⁺CD38⁻ cells were isolated by incubating the CD34⁺ enriched population with CD34-fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) (HPCA2; Becton Dickinson Immunocytometry Systems [BDIS], San Jose, CA) and CD38-phycoerythrin (PE) (leu-17; BDIS) and isolating the more primitive CD34⁺CD38⁻ cells on the FACSVantage (BDIS) as previously described.²⁶

Retroviral transduction of progenitors. CD34⁺ or CD34⁺CD38⁻ cells were incubated with viral supernatant for up to 4 days in transduction medium (Iscove's modified Dulbecco medium [IMDM; GIBCO BRL], 5% fetal calf serum [FCS], 1% bovine serum albumin [BSA], 2-mercapoethanol, 10^{-6} mol/L hydrocortisone, penicillin/ streptomycin, and glutamine with 5 ng/mL interleukin-3 [IL-3], 3.3 ng/mL IL-6, and 25 ng/mL Steel factor [SF]). Progenitor cells were cultured during transduction on plates coated with the recombinant human fibronectin fragment CH-296 (Retronectin; Takara, Otsu, Shiga, Japan). Twenty-four hours after final transduction, cells were incubated with 5 μ L MC192 antibody (Oncogene Research Products, Cambridge, MA), which binds to tNGFR, followed by 5 μ L of 1:20 PE-goat antimouse (GAM; Caltag, Burlingame, CA) and CD34-FITC. CD34⁺MC192⁺ cells were then isolated by FACS for culture and further analysis.

Cultures of transduced cells. HOXA5 and control transduced CD34⁺ cells that expressed tNGFR were cultured in 25-cm vent cap flasks (Costar, Cambridge, MA) on human irradiated allogeneic bone marrow stroma in myeloid conditions, ie, long-term bone marrow culture (LTBMC) medium (IMDM, 30% FCS, 1% BSA, 2-mercapoethanol, 10^{-6} mol/L hydrocortisone, penicillin/streptomycin, and glutamine) with 5 ng/mL IL-3, 3.3 ng/mL IL-6, 25 ng/mL SF, 2 U/mL erythropoietin (EPO), and 50 ng/mL granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF); or in erythroid conditions, ie, LTBMC medium with IL-3, IL-6, SF, and EPO (no GM-CSF). Cultures were fed twice weekly with fresh medium. After 2 to 4 weeks of culture, nonadherent and adherent cells were analyzed by immunophenotype and morphology.

Clonal analysis of single CD34⁺tNGFR⁺ cells was performed in liquid and semisolid cultures as follows. Single CD34⁺tNGFR⁺ cells were either isolated by FACS and cultured in 96-well plates (Falcon, BD Labware, Lincoln Park, NJ) on irradiated stroma in erythroid conditions or plated immediately after transduction in duplicates or quadruplicates in semisolid medium (1.3% methylcellulose, IMDM, 30% FCS, 1% BSA, 2-ME 10⁻⁶ mol/L hydrocortisone, penicillin/ streptomycin, glutamine, 10 ng/mL IL-3, 3.3 ng/mL IL-6, and 50 ng/mL SF). EPO (2 U/mL) was added once to the methylcellulose cultures between days 4 and 7. Colony-forming unit-cells (CFU-C), ie, colonyforming units–granulocyte-macrophage (CFU-GM), colony-forming units–granulocyte erythroid macrophage megakaryocyte (CFU-GEMM), and burst-forming unit-erythroid (BFU-E) were enumerated after 14 days.

Immunophenotypic analysis. Cultures generated from bulk transduced cells were harvested, washed once in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; Irvine Scientific, Santa Ana, CA), and incubated with 20 μ L glycophorin-FITC (Coulter Immunotech, Miami, FL) and 20 μ L CD11b-PE (leu 15; BDIS). FITC and PE isotype controls (Coulter Immunotech) and mock (nontransduced) cells were used to define positive and negative quadrants (Fig 2). The immunophenotype of

Fig 1. Characterization of retroviral vectors. (A) Schematic diagram of *HOXA5* and control vectors with FACS analysis of tNGFR expression (MC192-PE-GAM fluorescence) in corresponding PG13 vector-producing clones. (B) Ethidium bromide gel showing products of reverse PCR from RNA extracted from vector producing PG13 clones (lanes 3 through 10). The 193-bp *HOXA5* product is detected in lane 1 (a positive control using vector plasmid) and lanes 3 and 4, showing that *HOXA5* expression is present in PG13 clones containing *HOXA5* vectors and not in PG13 clones with control vectors. (+/–RT, reverse transcriptase added/not added). (C) Southern blot analyses of 293A cells transduced with vectors shown, after digestion of DNA with *Eco*R5, which cuts at points 3' and 5' to the *HOXA5* cDNA, and probing with *HOXA5* cDNA.



Downloaded from http://ashpublications.net/blood/article-pdf/94/2/519/1655915/519.pdf by guest on 02 June 2024



Fig 2. tNGFR expression after transduction of CD34⁺ progenitors with *HOXA5* and control vectors. Numbers shown are the percentage of total cells expressing tNGFR (%MC192⁺/total cells) and the percentage of CD34⁺ cells expressing tNGFR (%MC192⁺CD34⁺/total CD34⁺ cells) from 12 experiments (mean ± SEM). (A) and (B) show typical FACS profiles of cultures 24 hours after transduction with either the *HOXA5* vector or control vector, respectively. The R1 gate was used to isolate MC192⁺CD34⁺ cells or further culture and analysis. (C) Isotype control. (D) Mock (nontransduced) control.

cultures was analyzed on a FACSVantage with CellQuest software (BDIS).

Morphologic analysis. Cultures of transduced cells in bulk and clones of single cells were harvested and cytospin slides were prepared and stained with Wright-Giemsa. Differential counts were performed on at least 100 cells per slide noting the number of undifferentiated blasts, myeloid lineage cells (promyelocytes, myelocytes, neutrophils, monocytes, and macrophages), and erythroid lineage cells (basophilic pronormoblasts, polychromatophilic normoblasts, and orthochromic normoblasts).

RESULTS

Retroviral vectors expressing HOXA5. Retroviral vectors were used to establish transduction and constitutive expression of HOXA5 in human hematopoietic progenitors. Two different Moloney murine leukemia virus (MoMuLV)-based vector backbones were used in these studies. Both vector backbones incorporated the inactive marker gene tNGFR that can be detected by FACS on the surface of transduced cells using the monoclonal antibody MC192. In the first vector (L-A5-PGKtNGFR), HOXA5 was expressed from the MoMuLV LTR and tNGFR was expressed from an internal PGK promoter (Fig 1A). The second vector (L-tNGFR-IRES-A5) used an IRES to express both tNGFR and HOXA5 from the MoMuLV LTR. tNGFR expression was similar in PG13 producer fibroblasts expressing the two HOXA5 vectors and their respective control vectors (L-X-PGK-tNGFR and L-tNGFR; Fig 1A). Reverse PCR of RNA extracted from PG13 clones demonstrated HOXA5 message in L-A5-PGK-tNGFR and L-tNGFR-IRES-A5 clones with no HOXA5 expression in the two control producer clones (Fig 1B). Southern blot analysis of 293A cells transduced with each vector confirmed that no splicing or rearrangement of vectors had occurred during packaging (Fig 1C).

Transduction of $CD34^+$ cells with HOXA5 vectors. CD34⁺ cells were isolated from cord blood and bone marrow to 75% to 99% purity using two passes through the MiniMacs column. Freshly isolated CD34⁺ enriched cells analyzed at day 0 showed CD11b expression in 1.3% to 6.9% of cells and glycophorin expression in less than 1% cells. CD34⁺ cells were transduced with supernatant containing either *HOXA5* vectors or control vectors. The levels of transduction with the *HOXA5* and control vectors as measured by tNGFR expression (%MC192⁺) are shown in Fig 2. Twenty-four to 48 hours after transduction, cells coexpressing CD34 and tNGFR were isolated by FACS using the R1 gate shown in Fig 2 and placed onto irradiated human stroma for long-term culture in either ery-throid conditions (IL-3, IL-6, SF, EPO, and GM-CSF).

Immunophenotype of long-term cultures. After 2 to 4 weeks of culture, cells were harvested, incubated with glycophorin-FITC (to assay erythroid differentiation) and CD11b-PE (to assay myeloid differentiation), and analyzed by FACS. As expected, CD11b expression was higher and glycophorin expression was lower in myeloid culture conditions compared with erythroid culture conditions. However, *HOXA5* expression caused a shift toward myeloid and away from erythroid differentiation relative to control cultures irrespective of culture conditions (Fig 3). The frequency of CD11b⁺ cells was significantly increased in the *HOXA5* transduced cultures compared with cultures of control transduced cells (Fig 4; P =.001 by Wilcoxon Rank test, n = 15). The increase in CD11b



Fig 3. Immunophenotypic analysis of *HOXA5* and control cultures initiated with MC192+CD34+ cells. Nonadherent cells from 4-weekold cultures were harvested and incubated with CD11b-PE and glycophorin-FITC to measure myeloid and erythroid differentiation, respectively. *HOXA5* transduction increased CD11b and decreased glycophorin expression relative to control transduction. The percentages of cells in the two quadrants are shown.

expression was accompanied by an equivalent decrease in glycophorin. The frequency of cells expressing neither CD11b nor glycophorin (ie, CD33^{neg}glycophorin^{neg} cells) was not significantly different in cultures from *HOXA5* and control transduced cells. The number of total cells in *HOXA5* and control cultures was not different. The same effect on CD11b and glycophorin expression was seen whether cells were transduced with L-A5-PGK-tNGFR or with L-tNGFR-IRES-A5, demonstrating that the effect on differentiation was specific to *HOXA5* expression rather than an uncharacterized artifact specific to the vector itself. Thus, *HOXA5* expression in CD34⁺ progenitors caused a shift toward myelopoiesis at the expense of erythropoiesis.

Morphologic analysis of lineage differentiation. To confirm the immunophenotypic shift in differentiation patterns induced by HOXA5 expression, HOXA5 and control cultures were also analyzed morphologically using cytospin preparations from the total nonadherent cell population (Fig 5). Again, HOXA5 expression significantly increased the proportion of cells with myeloid morphology and decreased cells with erythroid morphology (P < .0001, using Wilcoxon Rank Sign test to analyze the difference in myeloid morphology between HOXA5 and control cultures, n = 19).

In addition, to confirm the lineage specificity of the abovenoted immunophenotypic analyses, CD11b⁺ and glycophorin⁺ cells were isolated from culture by FACS and examined morphologically using cytospin preparations and Wright Giemsa staining. CD11b⁺ sorted populations contained 76.7% \pm 2.8% myeloid cells, 18.6% \pm 3.0% undifferentiated blasts, and 8.9% \pm 2.5% pronormoblasts (n = 9). Glycophorin⁺ sorted populations contained 96.4% \pm 1.5% erythroid cells, 5.3% \pm 2.2% undifferentiated blasts, and 0.2% \pm 0.1% myeloid cells (n = 15). Thus, CD11b and glycophorin expression correlated closely with cell morphology within each culture.

HOXA5 *expression in CD34⁺CD38⁻ cells.* The effect of inducing *HOXA5* expression in CD34⁺ cells produced reproduc-





Fig 4. CD11b expression is increased in *HOXA5*-transduced cultures. (A) In 6 of a total of 6 experiments in which MC192⁺CD34⁺ cells were cultured in erythroid conditions (P = .03 by Wilcoxon Rank test) and (B) 6 of 9 experiments cultured in myeloid conditions, *HOXA5* increased the frequency of cells expressing CD11b (P = .009). (\blacksquare) HOX A5; (\blacksquare) control.



Fig 5. Morphologic analysis of *HOXA5* and control cultures. Percentages of cells of myeloid and erythroid lineage were determined morphologically using cytospin preparations of cultures. In 17 of total of 19 experiments, *HOXA5*-transduced cultures contained a higher frequency of myeloid cells than control cultures (P = .0001). (\blacksquare) HOX A5; (\boxtimes) control.

ible but relatively modest differences in the proportions of myeloid and erythroid cells in the experiments described to date. Reasoning that the variability seen in the experiments may be due to the high frequency of CD34⁺ progenitors already committed to erythroid or myeloid lineage before transduction, we next explored whether HOXA5 expression in a more primitive and uncommitted progenitor population might cause a greater effect on differentiation. In 6 of 6 experiments targeting CD34+CD38- cells, HOXA5 again caused a shift toward myelopoiesis and away from erythropoiesis relative to control cultures (Fig 6A and B). The effect on differentiation pattern was significantly greater when CD34+CD38- cells were transduced than when CD34⁺ cells were transduced (24.0% \pm 5.1% $v 4.2\% \pm 3.1\%$ increase in CD11b⁺ cells and 22.4% $\pm 5.6\% v$ $4.6\% \pm 3.5\%$ decrease in glycophorin expression in $CD34^+CD38^-$ [n = 6] and $CD34^+$ cultures [n = 15], respectively; P = .006 by Wilcoxon Rank-Sum test; Fig 6C).

Clonal analysis of HOXA5-*transduced progenitors.* We next studied the effect of *HOXA5* expression on progenitor differentiation at a clonal level. Erythroid culture conditions (IL-3, IL-6, SF, and EPO) were chosen to determine to what extent *HOXA5* could induce myeloid differentiation in the absence of GM-CSF. CD34⁺ cells were transduced on days 0



Fig 6. Effects of HOXA5 overexpression in CD34+CD38- cells. (A) CD11b and (B) glycophorin expression from 4-week-old cultures of CD34+CD38- cells transduced with either HOXA5 or control vectors (n = 6 independent experiments). (C) The effect of HOXA5 expression on CD11b and glycophorin expression is greater when CD34+CD38- cells are transduced than when CD34+ cells are transduced (P = .006). Shown are the mean ± SEM of the increase in the percentage of CD11b⁺ cells (% HOXA5 - % control) and the decrease in the percentage of glycophorin+ cells (% control - % HOXA5; n = 6 CD34+CD38- experiments and n = 15 CD34⁺ experiments).

and 1 on fibronectin with either L-A5-PGK-tNGFR or the control vector L-X-PGK-tNGFR. On day 4, CD34⁺MC192⁺ cells were analyzed either in semisolid medium for CFU-C content or deposited by FACS as single cells in each well of a 96-well plate prepared with irradiated stroma for clonal analysis.

The frequency of erythroid progenitors (BFU-E) was consistently decreased in CD34⁺ cells transduced with *HOXA5* (P = .016, n = 7; Fig 7). Colonies containing both erythroid and myeloid cells (CFU-GEMM) were also reduced in the *HOXA5*-transduced cells and pure myeloid colonies (CFU-GM) were increased, but the results did not reach statistical significance. It is noteworthy that the total number of CFU-C (erythroid and myeloid) was not significantly different between *HOXA5*- and control-transduced cultures.

Similar results were obtained in the assay of single clonogenic CD34⁺ cells cocultured with stroma in liquid culture with identical growth factors. Total cloning efficiency was not altered by HOXA5 expression; after 14 days of erythroid culture, a total of 21 HOXA5-transduced clones and 22 control-transduced clones were visible. The color of each clone was reported as red or white by direct visualization of the plates. Eight of 21 (38.1%) of the HOXA5 clones were red (hemoglobinized), compared with 16 of 22 (72.3%) of control clones (Fig 8A). Nineteen HOXA5 clones and 20 control clones contained sufficient cells for analysis of morphology (performed by a second observer who was blinded to the particular vector used in transduction and to the color previously noted for each clone; Fig 8B). One clone in each of the HOXA5 and control groups contained myeloid cells (both had been scored as white). Most (11 of 19 [57.9%]) of the HOXA5 clones contained only undifferentiated blasts. In contrast, 3 of 20 (15%) control clones contained only undifferentiated blasts, and most (16/20 [80%]) of the control clones contained a mixture of blasts and mature erythroid precursors (polychromatophilic normoblasts and orthochromic normoblasts). These two analyses of erythroid differentiation (clone color and cell morphology) were validated by the strong correlation of the analyses within each clone studied by independent, blinded observers. All red clones contained at least some mature erythroid precursors (a mean of 57% \pm 6.5%



Fig 7. HOXA5 expression in CD34⁺ cells decreases the frequency of erythroid progenitors (BFU-E). CD34⁺tNGFR⁺ cells were isolated after transduction and immediately plated in semisolid medium to enumerate and characterize CFU-C content. Shown are data from seven independent experiments. *P < .016. (**■**) HOX A5; (**②**) control.



Fig 8. Analysis of clones derived from single MC192⁺CD34⁺ cells after transduction with *HOXA5* or control vectors. (A) Percentage of clones scored as red (hemoglobinized) or white (nonhemoglobinized) by direct visualization. Over each bar is shown the actual number of clones of each color over the total analyzed. (B) The percentage of clones scored by morphology as undifferentiated, erythroid, or myeloid. (C) Cell proliferation of clones according to cell morphology. The number of clones analyzed is shown over each bar.

polychromatophilic normoblasts and orthochromic normoblasts were found in each red clone). White clones, in contrast, consisted almost entirely (94.7% \pm 2.8%) of undifferentiated blasts, with only 2 of the total 14 white clones containing any mature erythroid precursors. Thus, by two independent but strongly correlated analyses, clones expressing *HOXA5* contained cells at a more morphologically primitive stage than control clones. It cannot be determined from these studies whether the morphologically undifferentiated blasts were committed at a molecular level to either the myeloid or erythroid lineage. However, it can be concluded that *HOXA5* was not

sufficient to increase differentiation to a mature myeloid phenotype in the absence of GMCSF, but appeared at least to inhibit erythroid differentiation.

Finally, the cell number within each clone was measured to determine whether the inhibition of erythroid differentiation was associated with inhibition of proliferation. *HOXA5* and control clones with mature erythroid morphology had similar cell proliferation. In contrast, cell proliferation of undifferentiated clones was higher in the *HOXA5* group than in the control group, suggesting that the inhibition of erythropoiesis with *HOXA5* was not accompanied by inhibition of total cell proliferation and in fact may be associated with increased cell proliferation (Fig 8C).

DISCUSSION

HOXA5 is one of several HOX genes implicated in regulating hematopoietic decision-making. Studies have shown HOXA5 expression to be restricted to cells of the myelomonocytic lineage and absent in cells of the erythroid lineage.^{17,27} It has also been observed that the level of HOXA5 message in a subpopulation of human CD34⁺ cells displaying erythroid potential is lower than that found in more primitive cells or CD34⁺ cells displaying granulocytic potential.²⁰ In other work, we have shown that the HOXA5 gene is expressed early in myeloid development and that manipulation of this gene's expression in normal hematopoietic cells and cell lines results in a significant alteration in the relative levels of erythroid and myeloid cell development.²¹ Considered together, these studies suggest that HOXA5 is expressed in a stage- and lineagespecific manner and that this pattern of expression may influence the fate of the developing blood cell.

In our current work, we have extended previous studies by expressing HOXA5 in normal human hematopoietic progenitor cells, using retroviral transduction, in an attempt to further define the role for this gene during blood cell development. Ectopic expression of HOXA5 in human CD34⁺ hematopoietic cells resulted in a reproducible increase in myelopoiesis in the culture conditions used, as measured by cell surface expression of CD11b and cell morphology. This increase in myelopoiesis was accompanied by an equivalent decrease in erythropoiesis, as measured by cell surface glycophorin expression and cell morphology. This reciprocal effect suggests that HOXA5 is influencing lineage commitment events at a common myeloid/ erythroid progenitor stage. Transduction of HOXA5 into the more primitive CD34⁺CD38⁻ cells resulted in a more pronounced shift from erythroid to myeloid development, further suggesting that HOXA5 functions at a crucial early stage in lineage commitment. These findings correlate well with our previous work showing that inhibition of HOXA5 expression in human CD34⁺ cells resulted in increased erythropoiesis and decreased myelopoiesis. Together, these data support a role for HOXA5 as part of the molecular mechanism that directs a multipotent progenitor cell towards myeloid versus erythroid fates. In this role, erythroid lineage commitment and development would require downregulation of HOXA5 expression, whereas myeloid development requires and is potentiated by HOXA5.

Shifting lineage commitment by manipulating the expression of a single *Hox* gene has been observed previously. Thorsteins-

dottir et al²⁸ transduced murine bone marrow cells with *HoxA10*. Clonogenic assays showed a significant increase in megakaryocytic colonies, coupled to an inhibition of monocyte/macrophage colony formation, supporting the notion that normal hematopoiesis requires the precise and coordinated control of several *Hox* genes.

In the experiments reported here, there were no differences in the levels of proliferation of committed myeloid or erythroid progenitors transduced with *HOXA5*, suggesting that the proliferation of cells already committed to these lineages is refractory to the effects of *HOXA5* expression. However, clones transduced with *HOXA5* that remained undifferentiated in vitro displayed over twice the level of proliferation as undifferentiated clones containing the control vector. These data indicate that the inhibition of erythropoiesis seen in the *HOXA5*transduced cells is not caused by the reduced proliferation of an erythroid-committed progenitor, but rather, appears to result in a molecular block of erythroid maturation. Equally intriguing, these data indicate that *HOXA5* may play a role in regulating the self-renewal and/or proliferation of progenitor cells.

Such an effect on proliferation has been observed in similar experiments in which primitive murine bone marrow cells have been transduced to ectopically express Hox genes. Perkins and Cory29 demonstrated that HoxB8-transduced murine bone marrow could generate immortalized myeloid cell lines in the presence of high amounts of IL-3. Transplantation of the HoxB8-expressing marrow produced an acute leukemia in recipient mice. Sauvageau et al³⁰ transplanted mice with HoxB4-transduced bone marrow cells, resulting in mice that exhibited a normal peripheral blood count but showed a 50- to 100-fold expansion of stem cell numbers. When HoxB3 was expressed in murine bone marrow, the numbers of myeloid progenitors in the marrow increased, and the mice eventually developed a myeloproliferative disorder.³¹ Based on these observations, it has been postulated that the temporal pattern of Hox gene expression follows blood cell lineage commitment; a large number of highly expressed Hox genes are present in early uncommitted progenitors, and lineage commitment is characterized by a restriction in the number and levels of HOX genes expressed in committed progenitors and mature cells.^{32,33} Our data further support this model, because it appears that by enforcing expression of HOXA5 at a certain early stage of hematopoietic development, the cell is maintained in an uncommitted and highly proliferative state.

Because the precise downstream effectors of the *HOX* genes remain largely undefined, explaining how ectopic expression of *HOXA5* produces the observed phenotype is difficult. One possible explanation arises from the evidence for the existence of a system of transcriptional cross-regulation between hox proteins and the promoter elements of other homeobox genes.^{34,35} For instance, Lobe³⁵ demonstrated that exogenous expression of *HoxA5* activated the expression of numerous endogenous *Hox* genes, and *HoxA5* has been shown to bind to its own promoter. Therefore, enforced expression of human *HOXA5* may alter the expression of other *HOX* genes. Additionally, the hox proteins heterodimerize with a non-hox family of homeodomaincontaining proteins called Pbx.³⁶⁻³⁸ The formation of this heterodimer pair results in altered binding specificity and affinity for target sequences.^{38,39} Consequently, ectopic expression of *HOXA5* may serve to titrate Pbx proteins away from the hox partners normally found during hematopoiesis, thereby altering the expression of numerous downstream genes.

This is the first report describing the experimental overexpression of a homeobox gene in normal human hematopoietic progenitor cells. A full understanding of how *HOX* genes regulate human hematopoiesis requires similar studies with more members of this gene family, both singly and in combination with each other, and the discovery of specific downstream effectors for these genes.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are very grateful to Lora Barsky for technical assistance with flow cytometry, Karen Pepper for vector production, Earl Leonard for biostatistical analysis, and Kaiser Permanente Sunset Hospital for collection of cord blood.

REFERENCES

1. Lawrence H, Largman C: Homeobox genes in normal hematopoiesis and leukemia. Blood 80:2445, 1992

2. Bridges C, Morgan T: The third chromosome group of mutant characters of Drosophila melanogaster. Carnegie Inst Wash 327:93, 1923

3. Garber R, Kuroiwa A, Gehring WJ: Genomic and cDNA clones of the homeotic locus *Antennapedia* in Drosophila. EMBO J 2:2027, 1983

4. Akam M: HOX and HOM: Homologous gene clusters in insects and vertebrates. Cell 57:347, 1989

5. De Robertis E, Oliver G, Wright C: Homeobox genes and the analysis of vertebrate development. Sci Am 262:46, 1990

6. Gehring W: Homeoboxes in the study of development. Science 236:1245, 1987

7. Krumlauf R: Evolution of the vertebrate HOX homeobox genes. Bioessays 14:245, 1992

8. Kenyon C: If birds can fly, why can't we? Homeotic genes and evolution. Cell 78:175, 1994

9. Gehring W, Affolter M, Burglin T: Homeodomain Proteins. Annu Rev Biochem 63:487, 1994

10. Han K, Levine M, Manley J: Synergistic activation and repression of transcription by Drosophila homeobox proteins. Cell 56:573, 1989

11. Scott MP: A rational nomenclature for vertebrate homeobox (*HOX*) genes. Nucleic Acids Res 21:1687, 1993

12. Hatano M, Roberts CWM, Minden M, Crist WM, Korsmeyer SJ: Deregulation of a homeobox gene, *HOX11*, by the t(10;14) in T cell leukemia. Science 253:79, 1991

13. Kongsuwan K, Webb E, Housiaux P, Adams JM: Expression of multiple homeobox genes within diverse mammalian haematopoietic lineages. EMBO J 7:2131, 1988

14. Shen WF, Largman C, Lowney P, Corral J, Detmer K, Hauser C, Simonitch T, Hack FM, Lawrence HJ: Lineage-restricted expression of homeobox-containing genes in human hematopoietic cell lines. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 86:8536, 1989

15. Deguchi Y, Kehrl JH: Selective expression of two homeobox genes in CD34-positive cells from human bone marrow. Blood 78:323, 1991

16. Deguchi Y, Kirschenbaum A, Kehrl JH: A diverged homeobox gene is involved in the proliferation and lineage commitment of human hematopoietic progenitors and highly expressed in acute myelogenous leukemia. Blood 79:2841, 1992

17. Magli M, Barba P, Celetti A., De Vita G, Cillo C, Boncinelli E: Coordinate regulation of *HOX* genes in human hematopoietic cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci 88:6348, 1991

18. Shen W-F, Detmer K, Mathews CHE, Hack FM, Morgan DA,

Largman C, Lawrence HJ: Modulation of homeobox gene expression alters the phenotype of human hematopoietic cell lines. EMBO J 11:983, 1992

19. Lill MC, Fuller JF, Crooks GM, Gasson JC: The role of the homeobox gene *HOXB7* in human myelomonocytic differentiation. Blood 85:692, 1995

20. Sauvageau G, Lansdorp PM, Eaves CJ, Hogge DE, Dragowska WH, Reid DS, Largman C, Lawrence HJ, Humphries RK: Differential expression of homeobox genes in functionally distinct CD34+ subpopulations of human bone marrow cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 91:12223, 1994

21. Fuller JF, Sakaguchi M, Fraser JK, Gasson JC: Characterization of HOX gene expression during myelopoiesis: Role of *HOX A5* in lineage commitment and maturation. Blood (in press)

22. Malik P, McQuiston SA, Yu XJ, Pepper KA, Krall WJ, Podsakoff GM, Kurtzman GJ, Kohn DB: Recombinant adenoassociated virus (rAAV) mediates a high level of gene transfer but less efficient integration in the K562 human hematopoietic cell line. J Virol 71:1776, 1997

23. Miller AD, Rosman GJ: Improved retroviral vectors for gene transfer and expression. Biotechniques 7:980, 1989

24. Adra CN, Boer PH, McBurney MW: Cloning and expression of the mouse PGK1 gene and the nucleotide sequence of its promoter. Gene 60:65, 1987

25. Jang SK, Krausslich HG, Nicklin MJ, Duke GM, Palmenberg AC, Wimmer E: A segment of the 5' nontranslated region of encephalomyocarditis virus RNA directs internal entry of ribosomes during in vitro translation. J Virol 62:2636, 1988

26. Hao QL, Shah AJ, Thiemann FT, Smogorzewska EM, Crooks GM: A functional comparison of CD34⁺CD38 cells in cord blood and bone marrow. Blood 86:3745, 1995

27. Vieille GI, Roullot V, Courtois G: Lineage and stage specific expression of *HOX1* genes in the human hematopoietic system. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 183:1124, 1992

28. Thorsteinsdottir U, Sauvageau G, Hough MR, Dragowska W, Lansdorp PM, Lawrence HJ, Largman C, Humphries RK: Overexpression of *HoxA10* in murine hematopoietic cells perturbs both myeloid and lymphoid differentiation and leads to acute myeloid leukemia. Mol Cell Bio 17:495, 1997

29. Perkins A, Cory S: Conditional immortalization of mouse myelomonocytic, megakaryocytic and mast cell progenitors by the *HOX2.4* homeobox gene. EMBO J 12:3835, 1993

30. Sauvageau G, Thorsteinsdottir U, Eaves CJ, Lawrence HJ, Largman C, Lansdorp PM, Humphries RK: Overexpression of *HoxB4* in hematopoietic cells causes the selective expansion of more primitive populations in vitro and in vivo. Genes Dev 9:1753, 1995

31. Sauvageau G, Thorsteinsdottir U, Hough MR, Hugo P, Lawrence HJ, Largman C, Humphries RK: Overexpression of *HoxB3* in hematopoietic cells causes defective lymphoid development and progressive myeloproliferation. Immunity 6:13, 1997

32. Lawrence HJ, Sauvageau G, Ahmadi N, Lopez AR, Le Beau MM, Link M, Humphries RK, Largman C: Stage and lineagespecific expression of the *HOXA10* homeobox gene in normal and leukemic hematopoietic cells. Exp Hematol 23:1160, 1995

33. Care A, Testa U, Bassani A, Tritarelli E, Montesoro E, Samoggia P, Cianetti L, Peschle C: Coordinate expression and proliferative role of *HOXB* genes in activated adult T lymphocytes. Mol Cell Biol 14:4872, 1994

34. Faiella A, Zappavigna V, Mavilio R, Boncinelli E: Inhibition of retinoic acid induced activation of 3' human *HOXB* genes by antisense oligonucleotides affects sequential activation of genes located upstream in the four *HOX* clusters. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 91:5335, 1994

35. Lobe C: Activation of Hox gene expression by Hoxa5. DNA Cell Biol 14:817, 1995 36. Chang C, Shen W, Rozenfeld S, Lawrence HJ, Largman C, Cleary ML: Pbx proteins display hexapeptide dependent cooperative DNA binding with a subset of hox proteins. Genes Dev 9:663, 1995

37. Lu Q, Knoepfler P, Scheele J, Wright DD, Kamps MP: Both Pbx1 and E2APbx1 bind the DNA motif ATCAATCAA cooperatively with the products of multiple murine *Hox* genes, some of which are themselves oncogenes. Mol Cell Biol 15:3786, 1995

38. Phelan M, Rambaldi I, Featherstone MS: Cooperative interactions between hox and pbx proteins mediated by a conserved peptide motif. Mol Cell Biol 15:3989, 1995

39. Chang C, Brocchieri L, Shen W, Largman C, Cleary ML: Pbx modulation of hox homeodomain aminoterminal arms establishes different DNA binding specificities across the hox locus. Mol Cell Biol 16:1734, 1996