Four-Color Flow Cytometric Investigation of Terminal Deoxynucleotidyl Transferase–Positive Lymphoid Precursors in Pediatric Bone Marrow: CD79a Expression Precedes CD19 in Early B-Cell Ontogeny

By Michael N. Dworzak, Gerhard Fritsch, Gertraud Fröschl, Dieter Printz, and Helmut Gadner

Terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase (TdT)-positive cells in human bone marrow (BM) are a phenotypically inhomogeneous population of precursor cells. In their majority, these TdT¹ **cells are unambiguously committed to the B lineage, as** evidenced by CD19 expression. However, TdT⁺ precursors **that lack CD19 also exist and these may encompass a differentiation potential for the B as well as for other lineages. Because recent data suggested that CD19 expression is not the earliest differentiation event in B-cell ontog**eny, we sought to reevaluate TdT⁺ lymphoid precursors in **pediatric BM to define the phenotypic denominator of Blineage affiliation upstream of CD19. Using four-color flow cytometry, we focused on the assessment of the CD79a antigen, which is highly B-cell specific and which may also be expressed very early in B-cell ontogeny. We found that a majority of TdT**¹ **cells coexpressed CD19 and CD79a in addition to CD10 and CD34, whereas, in all investigated**

THE SEQUENCE OF antigen acquisition during early B-cell development has been a recurrent issue of investigation. From studies of neoplastic cells it was initially suggested that CD19 is the first B-cell antigen to appear on terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase (TdT)-positive lymphoid progenitors, thus heralding lineage-commitment.1,2 Investigations of normal human bone marrow (BM) led to the conclusion that, on physiologic TdT⁺/CD34⁺ precursors, CD19 and CD10 appear essentially at the same time.³⁻⁵ Bright CD10 expression was recognized typical for these earliest B-cell precursors (BCPs).3,4 More recent publications documented that the proportions of CD10⁺ cells exceeded those of CD19⁺ cells in CD34⁺/TdT⁺ precursor populations.⁶⁻⁹ CD19⁺ B cells could be grown from such CD10⁺CD19⁻ progenitors derived from fetal liver as well as from adult BM, which corroborates the current concept that CD10 is the upstream marker in early B-cell ontogeny.10,11 However, CD10 is not B-cell specific, 12 and it has been documented that the CD10⁺CD19⁻ progenitor pool harbors also cloning potential for T, natural killer (NK), and dendritic cells.11 Quantitative relations of these different capacities have remained elusive, not at least due to the in vitro culture conditions.

In an independent approach aimed at the assessment of CD99 expression in B-cell development, we have recently observed that early $(CD34^+/TdT^+)$ lymphoid precursors in the BM can be dissected according to their levels of CD10 expression.¹³ Aside from a major $CD10^{hi}CD19^+$ population, a $CD10^{lo}$ subset was found that only partially coexpressed CD19. The $CD10¹⁰CD19⁻$ cells were considered to correspond to the CD10⁺CD19⁻ progenitors claimed in the above-mentioned studies. We speculated that, due to their uniformity in high CD99 expression and in light scattering patterns and due to their regeneration kinetics in parallel with B-cell outgrowth after chemotherapy, the majority of the CD10 $\rm{^{lo}}$ progenitors may be committed to the B lineage, irrespective of CD19 expression.¹⁴ To test this hypothesis, we reevaluated in the present study the marker coexpression patterns of TdT ⁺ progenitors in BM. Special interest was dedicated to the analysis of CD79a

samples, some TdT¹ **precursors lacked CD19 but expressed CD79a, which suggestively indicates also their B-lineage affiliation. In contrast to the CD19**¹ **precursors, which were usually CD10^{hi} and CD79b⁺, these CD19⁻CD79a⁺ putative B-cell precursors preferentially expressed CD10 at low levels** and were CD79b⁺ in only 41%. About 17% of these **TdT**¹**CD19**²**CD79a**¹ **precursors also coexpressed CD33 and CD7, but not myeloperoxidase, CD14, or cytoplasmic CD3, which is discussed in the light of cellular activation rather than lineage promiscuity. Our data confirm that the earliest differentiation stages of B cells can be dissected upon expression of the lineage antigens CD79a and CD19 and imply that CD79a is earlier expressed than CD19. This raises the chance to follow the sequential events heralding B-cell commitment in the most immature precursors by correlating phenotypic and genetic differentiation markers.** r **¹⁹⁹⁸ by The American Society of Hematology.**

expression in these cells, because this marker has been claimed to be of extraordinary B-lineage specificity.15-17 Our data document for the first time that many of those TdT^{+} progenitors that lack CD19 express CD79a in addition to CD10, which corroborates the concept that these cells are committed to the B lineage and which implies that CD79a precedes CD19 in the earliest differentiation events of B-cell ontogeny.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample description. BM samples were obtained from 9 children: $n = 5$ were BM donors for transplantation (2 years and 7 months, 3 years and 7 months, 8 years and 11 months, 12 years and 4 months, and 12 years and 11 months), $n = 1$ was a boy (3 years and 10 months old) after autologous peripheral stem cell transplantation for neuroblastoma without current evidence of disease, $n = 1$ was a girl (1 year and 10) months old) regenerating after chemotherapy for Langerhans cell histiocytosis (LCH) not involving BM and without signs of diseaseassociated hematological dysfunction, $n = 1$ was a boy (2 months old) suffering from neuroblastoma without BM infiltration, and $n = 1$ was a girl (4 years and 1 month old) with bcr/abl-positive common acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) that was in remission at the time of immunologic investigation (polymerase chain reaction-negative for bcr/abl). Data concerning these patients were obtained from the Austrian study centers of the international Berlin-Frankfurt-Münster (BFM) study group, of the international LCH trials, and of the national neuroblastoma study NB-94.

 O 1998 by The American Society of Hematology. *0006-4971/98/9209-0035\$3.00/0*

From the Children's Cancer Research Institute, St Anna Kinderspital, Vienna, Austria.

Submitted February 4, 1998; accepted June 21, 1998.

Address reprint requests to Michael N. Dworzak, MD, Children's Cancer Research Institute, St Anna Kinderspital, Kinderspitalgasse 6, A-1090 Vienna, Austria; e-mail: Dworzak@ccri.univie.ac.at.

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. This article must therefore be hereby marked ''advertisement'' *in accordance with 18 U.S.C. section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.*

Antibodies. Fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-, phycoerythrin (PE)-, allophycocyanin (APC)-labeled, or unconjugated pure monoclonal antibodies (MoAbs) were used: CD3 (UCHT1-PE), CD10 (SS2/36-PE), CD14 (TÜK4-PE), CD19 (HD37-PE, pure), CD33 (WM54-PE), CD79a (HM57-PE, pure), and CD79b (SN8 pure) were all from Dako (Glostrup, Denmark); CD3 (SK7-APC), CD19 (SJ25C1-APC), and CD34 (HPCA2-PE, -APC) were from Becton Dickinson (BD; Sunnyvale, CA); CD7 (3A1-RD1-PE) was from Coulter Immunology (Krefeld, Germany); antihuman TdT (H Tdt-1,3,4-FITC) was from Immunotech (Marseille, France); and antihuman myeloperoxidase (H-43-5-PE) was from An der Grub (Kaumberg, Austria). Murine irrelevant isotype-matched fluorescent MoAbs were purchased from Dako and BD.

Immunofluorescence staining procedure. Mononuclear cells (MNCs) were isolated from the BM specimens by density gradient centrifugation and washed twice in RPMI 1640 medium containing 2% fetal calf serum (FCS; both from GIBCO, Paisley, UK) before immunofluorescence labeling. Staining protocols used four-color investigations in all experiments, except for the cell sorting (see below). All antibodies were used at concentrations titrated for optimal staining. Cellular permeabilization (for the investigation of CD79a, CD79b, TdT, myeloperoxidase, and cytoplasmic CD3) was usually performed as the first step of a five-step labeling cascade, because CD79a detection was performed using preferentially the unconjugated HM-57 MoAb. In brief, 5 to 10 \times 105 MNCs per analysis were permeabilized as recently described using a commercially available formaldehyde-based erythrocyte lysing solution (BD) supplemented with Triton-X100 (0.015%).¹⁴ The concentration of the latter had been titrated for optimal permeabilization together with a good preservation of light scattering and fluorescence properties. Next, the cells were incubated with the unconjugated MoAbs and then with biotinylated rabbit $F(ab')_2$ antimouse Ig antibodies (from Dako). To exclude nonspecific staining via unsaturated binding sites of the second step reagent, this was followed by incubation with unlabeled irrelevant murine IgG₁ (MOPC21; Sigma, St Louis, MO). Without washing, the directly labeled MoAbs were then added simultaneously and together with streptavidin-Peridinin Chlorophyll Protein (PerCP; BD). Murine irrelevant isotype-matched fluorescent MoAbs (from Dako as well as from BD) were included in the staining protocols as negative controls. Antibody incubations were performed at 4°C in the dark over 30 minutes and were followed by washing steps using phosphate-buffered saline (0.13 mol/L NaCl, 7 mmol/L Na₂HPO₄, 3 mmol/L NaH₂PO₄) with 2% FCS. Before flow cytometry, cell suspensions were passed through a 30-µm nylon mesh (Swiss Silk Bolting Manufacturing Co, Zurich, Switzerland).

Flow cytometry. We used a FACSCalibur (BD) equipped with an Argon laser tuned to 488 nm and a diode laser with 635nm emission. Calibration beads (Calibrite; BD) were routinely used for monitoring and optimizing the instrument settings. Data were acquired with the CELL Quest software (BD). Forward light scattering (FSC), transformed orthogonal light scattering (T-SSC), and fluorescence signals (FL-1-FITC, FL-2-PE, FL-3-PerCP, and FL-4-APC) were stored in listmode data files. Samples were first analyzed conventionally (30,000 cells), and then gated acquisition was performed using the same material for a better definition of the small TdT^+ subset of MNCs. Live gates were set in the appropriate fluorescence versus SSC correlations and gated events acquired to maximum yield (mean, 8,550; range, 1,750 to 30,000; $n = 9$). All data were analyzed using PAINT-A-GATE software (BD).

Cell sorting was performed on a FACStar Plus (BD). Using a CD19/CD10/CD34 staining, CD10⁺CD34⁺ cells were sorted into the $CD19⁺$ and $CD19⁻$ fractions. Correspondence of these cell populations to the CD79a⁺TdT⁺CD19⁺ or ⁻ cells was proven in parallel conventional four-color analyses of the same material using various MoAb combinations. Cytospin preparations of the sorted subsets were made on a Shandon Cytospin II (Southern Product Ltd, Astmoor, UK) at 30*g* for 10 minutes. Slides were stained with Pappenheim stain.

RESULTS

*Analysis of TdT*¹ *precursors in the BM.* The TdT expression in the BM of 9 probands was evaluated after fixation/ permeabilization of MNCs using a triple-pool of FITC-labeled MoAbs (IgG2a and IgG1). Pooled irrelevant isotype-matched FITC MoAbs were used to correct for background fluorescence in the FITC channel. A mean of 3.6% of MNCs (on event basis in dot plots) were TdT ⁺ (range, 0.6% to 13.6%). To assess the proportions of TdT^+ precursors coexpressing CD10, CD19, CD34, and CD79a, gated TdT ⁺ events were acquired in all 9 experiments. The background positivity in the fluorescence channels used for quantification of marker coexpression was also evaluated with appropriately labeled, irrelevant, isotypematched control MoAbs. The proportions of cells positive for CD34, CD10, and CD79a were in the same range, whereas slightly less TdT^+ cells were found to be CD19⁺. A mean of 86% (range, 75% to 93%) of TdT ⁺ precursors coexpressed the stem cell antigen CD34, 87% (range, 66% to 96%) were CD10⁺, and 84% (range, 65% to 93%) were CD79a⁺, but only 68% were CD19⁺ (range, 48% to 92%). It is noteworthy that, even in individual BM samples, the proportions of cells positive with CD79a (or CD10) were always higher than those positive with CD19, regardless of whether they were derived from normal or postchemotherapy donors (Table 1). This implied that CD19 was not expressed on all $CD79a+TdT^+$ precursors. To investigate this correlation with respect to the earliest phenotypic signs of B-lineage commitment, we studied, as a next step, TdT^+ cells that coexpressed CD79a or CD19 (data are presented in the following section). However, according to the aim of our study, we did not investigate in detail the few TdT^+ cells that lacked signs of B-lineage commitment $(CD79a^{-}CD19^{-})$. Most of the latter cells were TdTdim, CD34hi and lacked myeloperoxidase (MPO), but were positive with CD33, thus resembling myeloid precursors. Some TdT^{dim} precursors (which lacked CD19 and CD79a) also coexpressed CD7. In another small proportion of cells, TdT expression was ambiguous by being marginal to the negative area. These cells stained strongly with CD33 as well as MPO, but lacked CD34, and were considered to be myelomonocytic.

Table 1. TdT⁺ Precursors in BM and Their Marker **Coexpression Patterns**

	$% TdT+$	% of TdT ⁺ Cells Positive With Marker					
Proband (age)	(of MNC)	CD34	CD ₁₀	CD ₁₉	CD79a		
1.2 mo	4.2	82	87	66	91		
2. 1 yr 10 mo	2.7	90	79	49	65		
3. 2 yr 7 mo	3.9	93	95	74	89		
4. 3 yr 7 mo	2.9	90	92	84	92		
5. 3 yr 10 mo	1.9	80	96	92	93		
6. 4 yr 1 mo	13.6	90	91	75	93		
7. 8 yr 11 mo	0.7	ND	85	48	81		
8. 12 yr 4 mo	0.6	ND	66	60	70		
9. 12 yr 11 mo	1.9	75	89	60	80		

Values were derived from gating experiments as described in Materials and Methods.

Abbreviation: ND, not determined.

*Analysis of TdT*¹ *precursors with respect to CD79a and CD19 expression.* CD19 was expressed on only 78% of gated CD79a⁺TdT⁺ precursors (range, 61% to 92%) from 9 BM specimens. Reversely, de facto, all $CD19+TdT+BCPs$ were $CD79a⁺$ (mean, 97%; range, 91% to 100%). Next, we assessed the antigen coexpression patterns of these two putatively B-lineage–committed CD79a⁺TdT⁺ precursor subsets as dissected by CD19 expression. These analyses were also performed on gated $CD79a+TdT+$ populations. Quantitative data on the marker correlations are given in Table 2, and a comprehensive phenotypic analysis is shown in Fig 1. With respect to CD34 expression, there was no difference between the CD19⁺ and CD19⁻ subsets, because almost all CD79a⁺TdT⁺ precursors were uniformly CD34⁺. CD10 was also expressed on almost all of the cells, but not homogeneously, which is in accordance with recent observations.^{13,14} Most CD79a⁺TdT⁺ precursors were CD10^{hi}, and fewer cells expressed the antigen only at low levels (CD10^{lo}). In coanalyzing the CD79a⁺TdT⁺ cells for CD19 and levels of CD10, we found that the CD19 $$ precursors preferentially resided in the CD10^{lo} subset (Fig 1). In detail, 61% (range, 33% to 78%) of CD10¹°CD79a⁺TdT⁺ cells, but only 19% (range, 4% to 39%) of CD10hi precursors, lacked CD19.

We analyzed the $CD79a^+TdT^+$ precursor subsets, dissected by CD19 expression, for coexpression of myeloid and T-cell markers (CD33, MPO, CD14, CD7, and cytoplasmic CD3). As shown in detail in Table 2, we could hardly recognize expression of these markers on $CD19⁺$ populations. However, CD19⁻CD79a⁺TdT⁺ precursors showed significant coexpression of CD7 and, in particular, of CD33 (see Fig 1), but not of MPO, CD14, and CD3. The expression levels of the former two antigens were usually low.

In three experiments, we also included an MoAb to CD79b. We found that this antigen was also expressed in the cytoplasm of a proportion of TdT^+ cells (mean, 57%; range, 51% to 65%). Whereas de facto all CD79b⁺TdT⁺ cells were CD79a⁺ (mean, 95%; range, 94% to 96%) and most were CD19⁺ (mean, 88%; range, 78% to 98%), only a proportion of $CD79a^+TdT^+$ precursors were $CD79b⁺$ (mean, 69%; range, 62% to 82%). In detail, the CD19⁺CD79a⁺TdT⁺ BCPs were mostly CD79b⁺ (mean, 85%; range, 78% to 92%), whereas the CD19⁻CD79a⁺TdT⁺ precursors were $CD79b⁺$ in only 41% (range, 35% to 47%).

We found also that the CD19⁺ and CD19⁻ subsets of the $CD79a+TdT$ ⁺ precursors differed in their light scattering properties as well as in their morphology (Fig 2). To prepare cytospin preparations for microscopic analysis, MNCs of one BM sample were stained with CD10, CD19, and CD34, and the $CD10^+CD34^+$ cells were sorted by flow cytometry into a $CD19⁺$ and a $CD19⁻$ fraction. CD10 and CD34 were used as surrogate markers substituting for CD79a and TdT to avoid the cellular fixation and permeabilization step that is obligatory for CD79a and TdT detection. We proved the correspondance of these populations to CD79a+TdT+CD19^{+ or -} cells by parallel four-color analyses, finding that similar proportions (1) of TdT⁺CD19⁻ cells were CD10⁺ or CD79a⁺ (23% and 25% of TdT⁺) and (2) of CD79a⁺CD19⁻ cells were CD34⁺ or TdT⁺ (74% and 77%). With respect to morphology, the majority of the $CD19⁺$ precursors were of typical small lymphocyte size (also defined by their very low FSC and T-SSC properties), had very scant cytoplasm, had relatively dense chromatin, and had no or inconspicuous nucleoli. In contrast, the CD19 $⁻$ precursors were</sup> a relatively homogeneous population of intermediately sized, blastoid cells with large nuclei (in part lobulated, possibly as a cytospin artifact), had evenly dispersed, relatively fine chromatin with prominent nucleoli, and displayed a comparatively wider, basophilic cytoplasm and focal juxtanuclear areas of clearing. In keeping with their morphology, these $CD19$ precursors showed elevated FSC and T-SSC properties as compared with the majority of the CD19⁺CD79a⁺TdT⁺ cells.

DISCUSSION

Recent evidence suggests that expression of CD19 is not the first differentiation event in human B-cell ontogeny. B cells have been grown from progenitors expressing CD10 but lacking CD19,10,11 and activation of the IgH locus, a prerequisite for rearrangement, has been shown to precede CD19 expression.¹⁸ However, CD10 is not restricted to B cells, 12 and T, NK, and dendritic cells have also been cloned from $CD10^+CD19^$ progenitors.11 Hence, the human precursor population in which B-lineage commitment first occurs is still phenotypically ill defined. Therefore, we sought to subcharacterize TdT ⁺ progenitors using CD79a, which seemed a good candidate antigen to specifically delineate early B-lineage affiliation. The CD79 antigen is a heterodimer containing one subunit each of the MB-1 (CD79a) and B29 (CD79b) molecules that are noncovalently associated with Igs on the surface membrane of mature B cells.19,20 By linking the antigen-recognition structure to intracellular protein tyrosin kinases, CD79 exerts a key role in signal transduction and cellular activation of B cells.21 Unique in function, the antigen has been claimed to be completely B-cell specific.^{15-17,22,23} Specificity was related particularly to the protein level, because a few myeloid and T-cell lines were found to contain CD79a/CD79b RNA transcripts, but no antigen.17 To date, the only observation challenging the B-cell specificity of CD79 was a report on positivity in paraffin sections of cases of acute promyelocytic leukemias.24 However, these data were not corroborated by investigations of the Ig rearrangement status of these leukemias. In another study dealing with acute myeloid

Table 2. Coexpression Patterns of CD79a⁺TdT⁺ Precursors

		Mean % (range; $n = 9$) of CD79a+TdT+ Cells Positive With Marker										
	CD ₁₉	$CD34*$	CD10	$CD10^{lo}$	CD _{10hi}	CD33	CD7	MPO [*]	cytoCD3*	$CD14*$	Control	
Total	78 (61-92)	93 (88-97)	96 (93-99)	26 (17-33)	73 (67-83)	$5(1-8)$	$6(2-10)$	$3(2-6)$	$3(1-6)$	$3(1-8)$	$2(1-4)$	
$CD19+$		ND	ND	$14(7-17)$	87 (83-93)	$3(1-4)$	$4(2-6)$	$3(2-5)$	$3(1-4)$	$2(1-6)$	$2(1-3)$	
$CD19-$		ND	ND	61 (30-79)	39 (21-70)	$18(2-29)$	$17(5-37)$	$6(3-12)$	$5(2-14)$	$5(2-13)$	$4(1-8)$	

Values were derived from gating experiments as described in Materials and Methods.

Abbreviations: n, number of investigated normal samples; ND, not determined.

 $*$ n = 5 investigated samples.

Downloaded from http://ashpublications.net/blood/article-pdf92/93203/1648572/3203.pdf by guest on 20 May 2024 Downloaded from http://ashpublications.net/blood/article-pdf/92/9/3203/1648572/3203.pdf by guest on 20 May 2024

leukemias, 5 of 77 cases were found to be $CD79^+$, all of which showed Ig rearrangements and were therefore considered biphenotypic.16 CD79 showed the highest correlation with molecular data and, in this regard, was found to be superior to other B-cell antigens such as CD19 or CD22. Along with the latter finding, the CD79 heterodimer chains seem therefore the most specific markers of B-lineage commitment currently known. Expression of both the CD79a and CD79b genes starts early in B-cell development, suggestively even before Ig gene rearrangement, as indicated by studies in the mouse and by experiments with three human cell lines with germline Ig genes.17,23,25 In the mouse, both the CD79a and CD79b genes have been shown to be expressed on the RNA level before upregulation of CD19 in the earliest BM B-lineage cells.26 However, such data on human BCPs are lacking. Only one recent study dealt with the CD79 expression in normal human BM.¹⁵ It showed that the antigen is expressed, before cytoplasmic μ -chains, already on TdT⁺ BCPs, but concluded that CD79 may not be expressed as early as CD19, although evidence for the latter issue was weak.

In the present study, we focused on the analysis of CD79a, which has been considered to be expressed earlier in human BCPs than CD79b.²⁷ We found that many TdT ⁺ precursors in the BM that lack CD19 express CD79a, which strongly indicates B-lineage commitment independently of CD19. Conspicuously, it may also indicate that CD79a precedes CD19 in human B-cell ontogeny. Alternatively, the existence of TdT⁺CD79a⁺CD10⁺ progenitors that are CD19⁺ or CD19⁻ might reflect parallel pathways of differentiation, as postulated for B lymphopoiesis.²⁸ However, due to (1) phenotypic patterns indicative of a phenotypic drift (CD19⁻CD10^{lo}CD34⁺ > $CD19+CD10^{lo}CD34^{+} > CD19+CD10^{hi}CD34^{+}$) in early BCPs,13,14 (2) characteristic patterns of CD99 expression in these populations,¹³ (3) a sequential evolution of CD19⁺ B cells from CD19⁻CD10⁺ progenitors,^{10,11} and (4) other (molecular) signs heralding definitive B-lineage commitment before CD19 expression,¹⁸ we find the first interpretation more likely. By examining the molecular status of the Ig genes in these

 \leftarrow

immature B-cell stages, as phenotypically dissected on the basis of CD79a and CD19 expression, it should now be possible to order the sequence of molecular differentiation events of early B cells upstream to what has recently been published.18,29 This may also formally prove that CD79a precedes CD19 in B-cell ontogeny.

It is noteworthy that we document also that CD10 is not homogeneously expressed on TdT ⁺ precursors. Given the proposed new concept of phenotypic maturation steps in early BCPs, our data may indicate that CD10 is expressed first at low levels in the suggestively most immature BCPs $(TdT+CD79a+CD19^-)$, followed by gradual upregulation to high levels in more differentiated TdT^+CD19^+ BCPs. In the classical studies on early B-cell differentiation, CD10 was found to be expressed first at high levels, followed by downregulation along with loss of expression of TdT and CD34.3,4 However, these studies only considered the numerically more prominent (and suggestively more mature) $CD10^{hi}CD19⁺TdT⁺$ BCPs, whereas the CD10^{lo}TdT⁺ putative BCPs, which are characterized by CD79a expression rather than by CD19 positivity, have elapsed description not at least due to their paucity. Hence, our data do not principally reverse the established patterns of CD10 expression in early B-cell development, but rather add a detail upfront. With respect to this proposed sequence of phenotypic maturation steps, we found also that most CD19⁺CD79a⁺TdT⁺ cells expressed CD79b, whereas the latter antigen was positive in only a proportion of the $CD79a+TdT+BCPs$ that lacked CD19. Essentially all $CD79b+TdT+$ cells were $CD79a+$. Preliminarily (because derived from only 3 experiments), these data indicate that, in human B-cell ontogeny, CD79b is expressed later than CD79a, but before CD19. This finding contrasts suggestively with the situation in the mouse, where CD79b expression, at least on the RNA level, seems to precede that of CD79a and CD19.26

Surprisingly, we found also that the myeloid and T-cell markers CD33 and CD7 were expressed at low intensity on a proportion of the CD19⁻CD79a⁺TdT⁺ putative BCPs, which could be regarded as a challenge for the unambiguous lineage-

Fig 1. Four-color flow cytometric analysis of TdT¹ **precursors with respect to expression of CD79a and CD19. BM MNCs of a healthy girl (2 years and 7 months old) were prepared from a specimen collected at BM donation for her diseased sister. Cells were stained with CD19, CD79a, and TdT, together with either CD10, CD34, CD33, or CD7. Isotype controls were also included in the experiment. First, 30,000 total events of each individual sample were acquired. Next, live gates were set in parameter correlations engaging T-SSC, TdT, and CD79a, and approximately 10,000 TdT**1**CD79a**¹ **events were acquired from the same material. Dot plots of relevant parameter correlations (logarithmic scale, except for T-SSC: linear scale) show aspects of total MNCs in row A (large plots). The small inserted plots in A visualize the respective isotype controls for each antigen. The large dot plots of rows B and C show gated events, whereas the small inserted plots display the same marker correlations in ungated mode. Only TdT**¹ **precursors are shown in colors: the subset characterized by coexpression of CD79a and CD19 is depicted in green, whereas CD79a**¹ **precursors lacking CD19 are painted red. The few TdT**¹ **cells that lack both CD79a and CD19 are painted black (see A). All other TdT**² **cells appear grey. Note that CD19**2**CD79a**1**TdT**¹ **cells (red) are CD34**1**, display slightly elevated TdT expression as well as T-SSC properties, and express CD10 at a lower level compared with CD19**¹ **precursors (green). Weak CD33 positivity can also be seen on some cells of the former subset, whereas CD7 expression is very rare in either subset of this sample.**

Fig 2. Light scattering properties and morphologic appearence of CD791**TdT**¹ **precursors that express or lack CD19. A correlation of the FSC and T-SSC properties of a BM sample stained with CD19, CD79a, and TdT is shown in dot plot A. TdT**¹ **precursor cells that express CD79a are depicted in colors: the CD19**¹ **subpopulation of these cells is painted green, whereas CD19**2**CD79a**1**TdT**¹ **cells appear red. Histograms of the same material, correlating the FSC (B) or the T-SSC (C) in the x-axis with a relative measure of the cell number (y-axis) show only gated TdT**1**CD79a**¹ **cells. Note that CD19**2**CD79a**1**TdT**¹ **cells (red) exhibit comparatively higher FSC and SSC properties than the majority of CD19**¹ **precursors (green). To study the morphology of these subsets, the same sample was stained with CD10, CD19, and CD34, and the CD10**1**CD34**¹ **cells were sorted into a CD19**¹ **and a CD19**² **fraction. CD10 and CD34 were used as surrogate antigens substituting for CD79a and TdT to avoid cellular alterations by the fixation/permeabilization reagents (the correspondance of these markers was proven in parallel experiments). Pappenheimstained cytospin preparations of sorted cells are shown in (D; CD19**¹ **subset) and (E; CD19**² **subset). Note that the majority of CD19**¹ **precursors are small lymphoid cells, whereas CD19**² **precursors are intermediately sized blasts with large nuclei and prominent nucleoli and display a wider and basophilic cytoplasm with focal juxtanuclear areas of clearing.**

assignment of this subset. However, cross-lineage expression, especially of myeloid markers, is a well-recognized phenomenon in malignant and normal B lymphopoiesis. CD13 and CD33 are frequently expressed on BCP-ALLs.30,31 Normal immature CD19⁺ BCPs as well as $CD34^+/TdT^+$ lymphoid progenitors in the BM have also been reported to express these myeloid antigens.7,28,32-35 Likewise, CD7 and other early T-cell antigens lack lineage fidelity, because they may also be expressed on myeloid as well as on B-lineage precursors, ^{28,36} although expression of CD7 on CD19 $^-$ CD34 $^+$ /TdT $^+$ BM progenitors has primarily been interpreted as evidence for T-lineage affiliation.8,37-39 Interestingly, cloning experiments proved a T-cell differentiation capacity in the $CD34⁺$ progenitor compartment of adult BM40,41 and targeted this potential to progenitors that expressed as well as that lacked T-cell mark $ers^{40,42}$ and to CD10⁺Lin⁻ progenitors.^{11,43} However, there are no absolute numbers in the literature that relate this T-cell differentiation capacity to the pool of $CD34^+$ /TdT⁺ progenitors devoid of CD19 expression, and CD7 was recently discouraged as a reliable criterion for an unambiguous delineation of a genuine T-precursor subset in BM.36,39 Hence, positivity of CD33 and CD7 on some of the putative very immature BCPs, which are characterized by lack of CD19 and by expression of the highly lineage-specific antigen CD79a, may not per se preclude B-lineage affiliation. Alternatively, it may just indicate cellular activation, as has recently been considered for both antigens, CD33 and CD7.36,44 Lack of expression of the more specific lineage markers of myeloid and T cells, MPO, CD14, and cytoplasmic CD3, in the CD19⁻CD79a⁺TdT⁺ putative BCPs corroborates this interpretation.

In summary, we believe that a subcharacterization of TdT^+ progenitors with the CD79a marker should allow to order the earliest differentiation events that herald B-lineage commitment. It may also permit a more specific dissection of Blymphoid differentiation capacity in the BM from that of the T-lineage and from multilineage potential.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are grateful to the hemato-oncology, laboratory, and anesthesia teams of the St Anna Kinderspital for their support and for providing BM specimens. Our special thanks, in this regard, go to S. Juhasz, R. Kornmüller, and U. Stalze. We are also indebted to J. Regelsberger for providing the clinical data.

REFERENCES

1. Nadler LM, Korsmeyer SJ, Anderson KC, Boyd AW, Slaughenhoupt B, Park E, Jensen J, Coral F, Mayer RJ, Sallan SE, Ritz J, Schlossman SF: B cell origin of non-T cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia—A model for discrete stages of neoplastic and normal pre-B cell differentiation. J Clin Invest 74:332, 1984

2. Hokland P, Ritz J, Schlossman SF, Nadler LM: Orderly expression of B cell antigens during the in vitro differentiation of nonmalignant human pre-B cells. J Immunol 135:1746, 1985

3. Ryan D, Kossover S, Mitchell S, Frantz C, Hennessy L, Cohen H: Subpopulations of common acute lymphoblastic leukemia antigenpositive lymphoid cells in normal bone marrow identified by hematopoietic differentiation antigens. Blood 68:417, 1986

4. Loken MR, Shah VO, Dattilio KL, Civin CI: Flow cytometric analysis of human bone marrow. II. Normal B lymphocyte development. Blood 70:1316, 1987

5. Schmitt C, Eaves CJ, Lansdorp PM: Expression of CD34 on human B cell precursors. Clin Exp Immunol 85:168, 1991

6. Saeland S, Duvert V, Pandrau D, Caux C, Durand I, Wrighton N, Wideman J, Lee F, Banchereau J: Interleukin-7 induces the proliferation of normal human B-cell precursors. Blood 78:2229, 1991

7. Saeland S, Duvert V, Caux C, Pandrau D, Favre C, Valle A, Durand I, Charbord P, de Vries J, Banchereau J: Distribution of surface-membrane molecules on bone marrow and cord blood CD34⁺ hematopoietic cells. Exp Hematol 20:24, 1992

8. Gore SD, Kastan MB, Civin CI: Normal human bone marrow precursors that express terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase include T-cell precursors and possible lymphoid stem cells. Blood 77:1681, 1991

9. Farahat N, Lens D, Zomas A, Morilla R, Matutes E, Catovsky D: Quantitative flow cytometry can distinguish between normal and leukaemic B-cell precursors. Br J Haematol 91:640, 1995

10. Uckun FM, Ledbetter JA: Immunobiologic differences between normal and leukemic human B-cells precursors. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 85:8603, 1988

11. Galy A, Travis M, Cen D, Chen B: Human T, B, natural killer, and dendritic cells arise from a common bone marrow progenitor cell subset. Immunity 3:459, 1995

12. LeBien TW, McCormack RT: The common acute lymphoblastic leukemia antigen (CD10)—Emancipation from a functional enigma. Blood 73:625, 1989

13. Dworzak MN, Fritsch G, Buchinger P, Fleischer C, Printz D, Zellner A, Schöllhammer A, Steiner G, Ambros PF, Gadner H: Flow cytometric assessment of human MIC2 expression in bone marrow thymus, and periperal blood. Blood 83:415, 1994

14. Dworzak MN, Fritsch G, Fleischer C, Printz D, Fröschl G, Buchinger P, Mann G, Gadner H: Multiparameter phenotype mapping of normal and post-chemotherapy B lymphopoiesis in pediatric bone marrow. Leukemia 11:1266, 1997

15. Mason DY, Cordell JL, Tse AGD, van Dongen JJM, van Noesel CJM, Micklem K, Pulford KAF, Valensi F, Comans-Bitter WM, Borst J, Gatter KC: The IgM-associated protein mb-1 as a marker of normal and neoplastic B cells. J Immunol 147:2474, 1991

16. Buccheri V, Mihaljevic B, Matutes E, Dyer MJS, Mason DY, Catovsky D: mb-1: A new marker for B-lineage lymphoblastic leukemia. Blood 82:853, 1993

17. Verschuren MCM, Comans-Bitter WM, Kapteijn CAC, Mason DY, Brouns GS, Borst J, Drexler HG, vanDongen JJM: Transcription and protein expression of mb-1 and B29 genes in human hematopoietic malignancies and cell lines. Leukemia 7:1939, 1993

18. Bertrand FE III, Billips LG, Burrows PD, Gartland GL, Kubagawa H, Schroeder HW Jr: Ig D_H gene segment transcription and rearrangement before surface expression of the pan-B-cell marker in normal human bone marrow. Blood 90:736, 1997

19. Reth M, Hombach J, Wienands J, Campbell KS, Chien N, Justement LB, Cambier JC: The B-cell antigen receptor complex. Immunol Today 12:196, 1991

20. Hombach J, Leclercq L, Radbruch A, Rajewsky K, Reth M: A novel 34-kd protein co-isolated with the IgM molecule in surface IgM-expressing cells. EMBO J 7:3451, 1988

21. Lin J, Justement LB: The MB-1/B29 heterodimer couples the B cell antigen receptor to multiple src family protein tyrosine kinases. J Immunol 149:1548, 1992

22. Sakaguchi N, Kashiwamura S, Kimoto M, Thalmann P, Melchers F: B lymphocyte lineage-restricted expression of mb-1, a gene with CD3-like structural properties. EMBO J 7:3457, 1988

23. Hermanson GG, Eisenberg D, Kincade PW, Wall R: B29: A member of the immunoglobulin gene superfamily exclusively expressed on B-lineage cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 85:6890, 1988

24. Arber DA, Jenkins KA, Slovak ML: CD79 alpha expression in

CD79a PRECEDES CD19 IN EARLY B-CELL ONTOGENY 3209

acute myeloid leukemia. High frequency of expression in acute promyelocytic leukemia. Am J Pathol 149:1105, 1996

25. Palacios R, Samaridis J: Fetal liver pro-B and pre-B lymphocyte clones: Expression of lymphoid-specific genes, surface markers, growth requirements, colonization of the bone marrow, and generation of B lymphocytes in vivo and in vitro. Mol Cell Biol 12:518, 1992

26. Li YS, Wasserman R, Hayakawa K, Hardy RR: Identification of the earliest B lineage stage in mouse bone marrow. Immunity 5:527, 1996

27. Mason DY, van Noesel CJM, Cordell JL, Comans-Bitter WM, Micklem K, Tse AGD, van Lier RAW, van Dongen JJM: The B29 and mb-1 polypeptides are differentially expressed during human B cell differentiation. Eur J Immunol 22:2753, 1992

28. Uckun FM: Regulation of human B-cell ontogeny. Blood 76:1908, 1990

29. Ghia P, ten Boekel E, Sanz E, de la Hera A, Rolink A, Melchers F: Ordering of human bone marrow B lymphocyte precursors by single-cell polymerase chain reaction analyses of the rearrangement status of the immunoglobulin H and L chain gene loci. J Exp Med 184:2217, 1996

30. Pui CH, Behm FG, Singh B, Rivera GK, Schell MJ, Roberts WM, Crist WM, Mirro J Jr: Myeloid-associated antigen expression lacks prognostic value in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia treated with intensive multiagent chemotherapy. Blood 75:198, 1990

31. Wiersma SR, Ortega J, Sobel E, Weinberg KI: Clinical importance of myeloid-antigen expression in acute lymphoblastic leukemia of childhood. N Engl J Med 324:800, 1991

32. Campana D, Pui C-H: Detection of minimal residual disease in acute leukemia: Methodologic advances and clinical significance. Blood 85:1416, 1995

33. Syrjälä M, Antilla VJ, Ruutu T, Jansson SE: Flow cytometric detection of residual disease in acute leukemia by assaying blasts co-expressing myeloid and lymphatic antigens. Leukemia 8:1564, 1994

34. Bradstock KF, Kerr A, Kabral A, Favaloro EJ, Hewson JW: Coexpression of p165 myeloid surface antigen and terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase: A comparison of acute myeloid leukaemia and normal bone marrow cells. Am J Hematol 23:43, 1986

35. Adriaansen HJ, Hooijkaas H, Kappers-Klunne MC, Hählen K, van't Veer MB, van Dongen JJM: Double marker analysis for terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase and myeloid antigens in acute nonlymphocytic leukemia patients and healthy subjects. Haematol Bloodtransfus 33:41, 1990

36. Barcena A, Muench MO, Galy AHM, Cupp J, Roncarolo MG, Phillips JH, Spits H: Phenotypic and functional analysis of T-cell precursors in the human fetal liver and thymus: CD7 expression in the early stages of T- and myeloid-cell development. Blood 82:3401, 1993

37. Van Dongen JJM, Hooijkaas H, Comans-Bitter M, Hählen K, de Klein A, van Zanen GE, van t' Veer MB, Abels J, Benner R: Human bone marrow cells positive for terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase (TdT), HLA-DR, and a T cell marker may represent prothymocytes. J Immunol 135:3144, 1985

38. Smith RG, Kitchens SR: Phenotypic heterogeneity of TDT+ cells in the blood and bone marrow: Implications for surveillance of residual leukemia. Blood 74:312, 1989

39. Terstappen LWMM, Huang S, Picker L: Flow cytometric assessment of human T-cell differentiation in thymus and bone marrow. Blood 79:666, 1992

40. Tjonnfjord GE, Veiby OP, Steen R, Egeland T: T lymphocyte differentiation in vitro from adult human prethymic $CD34⁺$ bone marrow cells. J Exp Med 177:1531, 1993

41. Galy AHM, Cen D, Travis M, Chen S, Chen BP: Delineation of T-progenitor cell activity within the $CD34⁺$ compartment of adult bone marrow. Blood 85:2770, 1995

42. Mossalayi MD, Dalloul AH, Bertho JM, Lecron JC, de Laforest PG, Debre P: In vitro differentiation and proliferation of purified human thymic and bone marrow CD7+CD2⁻ T-cell precursors. Exp Hematol 18:326, 1990

43. Hokland P, Hokland M, Daley J, Ritz J: Identification and cloning of a prethymic precursor T lymphocyte from a population of common acute lymphoblastic leukemia antigen (CALLA)-positive fetal bone marrow cells. J Exp Med 165:1749, 1987

44. Fritsch G, Buchinger P, Printz D, Dworzak MN, Strunk S, Agis H, Sliutz G, Wilfing A, Schulz U, Gadner H: Is CD33 a differentiation marker? Prog Clin Biol Res 389:377, 1994