Characterization of *cis*-Acting Sequences and *trans*-Acting Signals Regulating Early Growth Response 1 and c-*fos* Promoters Through the Granulocyte-Macrophage Colony-Stimulating Factor Receptor in BA/F3 Cells

By Sumiko Watanabe, Hideya Kubota, Kathleen M. Sakamoto, and Ken-ichi Arai

Human granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (hGM-CSF) activates a set of genes such as c-fos, jun, myc, and early growth response gene 1 (egr-1). Studies on BA/F3 cells that express hGM-CSF receptor (hGMR) showed that two different signaling pathways controlled by distinct regions within the β subunit are involved in activation of c-fos/c-jun genes and in c-myc, respectively. However, the region(s) of the β subunit responsible for activation of the egr-1 gene and other regulatory genes has not been identified. We describe here how egr-1 promoter is activated by hGMR through two regions of the β subunit, with these regions being required for activation of the c-fos promoter. Coexpression of dominant negative (dn) Ras (N17ras) or dn JAK2 almost completely suppressed the activation of egr-1

■ RANULOCYTE-MACROPHAGE colony-stimulating Gractor (GM-CSF) stimulates growth and differentiation of hematopoietic cells.1 Human GM-CSF receptor (hGMR) consists of two subunits, α and common β (β c), and both belong to the cytokine receptor superfamily.² We and others have reported that reconstituted hGMR in BA/F3 cells, as well as NIH3T3 cells, transduces signals to activate c-fos, c-jun, and c-myc genes and DNA replication.^{3,4} We further analyzed the signal transduction mechanism of hGMR by expressing various mutants of βc in BA/F3^{5,6} and found that there are at least two distinct signaling pathways activated by the hGMR that use distinct regions of the β c. One pathway for activation of c-myc transcription and cell proliferation requires the region βc , which covers amino acid positions 455 to 489 proximal to the transmembrane domain.^{7,8} The other pathway for activation of c-fos and cjun transcriptions requires a region between amino acids 544 to 589, which is located distal to the transmembrane region, in addition to the membrane proximal region.⁶ Furthermore, the induction of c-fos and c-jun in response to interleukin-3 (IL-3) or GM-CSF is not inhibited by the tyrosine kinase inhibitor, genistein, whereas c-myc activation and cell proliferation are completely suppressed. This indicates that the signaling pathway that leads to c-myc activation and DNA replication is likely to be independent of the signaling pathway for the induction of c-fos and c-jun mRNAs. We also noted the essential role of JAK2, which interacts in the membrane proximal region in βc for both signaling pathways.⁹ In contrast to c-fos, less is known of signaling mechanisms responsible for cell proliferation and activation of the cmyc gene. IL-3 or GM-CSF has been shown to activate or phosphorylate signaling molecules such as Ras, Raf, and mitogen-activated protein (MAP) kinases.¹⁰⁻¹³ It is likely that the MAP kinase cascade that has been shown to activate cfos in fibroblasts is also involved in c-fos gene activation in BA/F3 cells. Experiments using dominant negative (dn) types of signaling molecules support this hypothesis. To delineate the role of the membrane distal region of the βc on c-fos activation, we prepared several mutants of β c. Substitutions of tyrosine residues of βc with phenylalanine demonstrated that specific tyrosine residues in βc are responsible for c-fos promoter activation.¹⁴

and c-fos promoters. Deletion analysis of egr-1 promoter showed two cis-acting regions responsible for activation by hGM-CSF or mouse interleukin-3 (mlL-3), one between nucleotide positions (nt) -56 and -116, and the other between nt -235 and -480, which contains tandem repeats of the serum response element (SRE) sites. Similar experiments with the c-fos promoter showed that cis-acting regions containing the SRE/AP-1 sites is sufficient for activation by hGM-CSF. Based on these observations, we propose that signaling pathways activating egr-1 and c-fos promoters are controlled by SRE elements, either through the same or overlapping pathways that involve JAK2 and Ras. © 1997 by The American Society of Hematology.

In addition to induction of *c-fos*, *c-jun*, and *c-myc* genes and cell proliferation, GM-CSF activates a number of cellular genes, such as early growth response gene 1 (*egr-1*), Id-1, and cyclin D2, D3.^{15,16} However, the signals required for activation of these genes are unknown. The *egr-1* is a transcription factor that encodes three zinc finger motifs and activates transcription of target genes.^{17,18} The role of *egr-1* has been implicated in differentiation of myeloblast or myeloid cell lines along with macrophage¹⁹ or megakaryocyte²⁰ lineages. GM-CSF or IL-3 rapidly and transiently induces *egr-1* in differentiating and proliferating myeloid cells.^{21,22} However, the significance of the activation of *egr-1* gene in GM-CSF function is not known.

Sakamoto et al investigated transcriptional regulatory regions involved in activation of *egr-1* in response to GM-CSF or IL-3 stimulation in the human leukemia cell line TF-1 using a transient expression of reporter constructs containing sequences of the human *egr-1* promoter.²³ However, signals of hGMR involved in *egr-1* gene induction have not been examined. In the present work, we examined the sequences of human *egr-1* promoter responsible for hGM-CSF– or

From the Department of Molecular and Developmental Biology, Institute of Medical Science, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan; and the Departments of Pediatrics, Division of Hematology-Oncology and Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Gwynne Hazen Cherry Memorial Laboratories, UCLA School of Medicine, and Jonsson Comprehensive Cancer Center, Los Angeles, CA.

Submitted June 10, 1996; accepted October 7, 1996.

Supported in part by a grant-in-aid for scientific research on priority areas from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture of Japan.

Address reprint requests to Sumiko Watanabe, PhD, Department of Molecular and Developmental Biology, Institute of Medical Science, The University of Tokyo, 4-6-1 Shirokanedai, Minato-ku, Tokyo 108, Japan.

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

© 1997 by The American Society of Hematology. 0006-4971/97/8904-0026\$3.00/0

Downloaded from http://ashpublications.net/blood/article-pdf/89/4/1197/1409334/1197.pdf by guest on 08 June 2024

mIL-3-induced gene expression in BA/F3 cells expressing hGMR, and defined the regions within the βc of hGMR necessary for transcriptional activation of *egr*-1. We further examined signaling events that mediate *egr*-1 gene induction using dn forms of JAK and Ras.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Reagents and cells. Recombinant hGM-CSF and mouse IL-4 (mIL-4) produced in *Escherichia coli* were provided by Dr R. Kastelein, DNAX Research Institute. mIL-3 produced by silkworm, *Bom-byx mori*, was purified as described.²⁴ α [³²P]-dCTP and [³H]-acetyl CoA were from Amersham Japan (Osaka, Japan). Chloramphenicol and genistein were purchased from Wako Pure Chemical Industries (Osaka, Japan), and herbimycin and fetal calf serum (FCS) from GIBCO Life Technologies (Gaithersburg, MD). RPMI 1640 was obtained from Nikken Bio Medical Laboratory (Kyoto, Japan).

A mIL-3-dependent pro-B-cell line, BA/F3, was maintained in RPMI 1640 medium containing 1 ng/mL mIL-3, 5% FCS, 100 U/ mL penicillin, and 100 μ g/mL streptomycin.

Construction. egr480CAT lacking sequences between -56 to -116 (egr480 Δ 56-116) was constructed as follows: the fragment that contained *egr*-1 promoter sequences up to -56 and chloramphenicol acetyltransferase (CAT) coding region were isolated by the *Nco* I site and *Ava* II sites of egr56CAT plasmid. The *Ava* II site was blunt-ended using the Klenow fragment before digestion by *Nco* I. The fragment that contained the *egr*-1 promoter between -116 and -480 and the vector portion was isolated by the *Nco* I site and *Apa* I site from the egr480CAT plasmid. The *Apa* I site was blunt-ended using T4 polymerase before digestion by *Nco* I. Both fragments were ligated using a Takara ligation kit version II (Takara Biomedical, Shiga, Japan). The construction of other plasmids that contained the *egr*-1 promoter-CAT were as described elsewhere.²³

The series of CAT plasmids containing various length of c-*fos* promoter were gifts from Dr I. Verma and Dr M. Fujii.^{25,26} The 0.4-kb c-*fos* promoter fragment fused to luciferase was as described earlier.⁴

JAK1 cDNA (pBSK-JAK1) and JAK2 cDNA (pBSK-JAK2) were kindly provided by Dr J. Ihle (St Jude Children's Research Hospital). Construction of the plasmid containing wild-type JAK2 or dn JAK2 (Δ JAK2) under the control of the SR α promoter was performed as described elsewhere.⁹ dn JAK1 (Δ JAK1) was constructed as follows: Δ Jak1, which lacks the C-terminus kinase domain, was isolated at the *Eco*RI and *Sac* I (2930) sites and the *Sac* I site was blunt-ended by the T4 polymerase before *Eco*RI digestion. The fragment was inserted at the blunt-ended *Not* I site and intact *Eco*RI site of pME18S.

The dn ras gene (MMTV promoter-N17 Ras; pMT64AA)²⁷ was kindly provided by Dr G.M. Cooper (Harvard Medical School). Construction of a plasmid containing N17 Ras under the control of the SR α promoter was performed as described elsewhere.⁹ MMTV promoter-Ras12V was kindly provided by Dr Yokoyama (Tokyo University).

Northern blot analysis. BA/F3 cells or those transfectants that stably expressed hGMR (1×10^7 cells/sample) were factor-starved of mIL-3 for 5 hours and stimulated with hGM-CSF or mIL-3 (5 ng/mL) for the indicated times. Total cellular RNA was prepared by the guanidinium thiocyanate extraction method. RNA ($10 \mu g$ each) was denatured by incubating at 65°C for 15 minutes, and separated by electrophoresis through a 1.2% agarose gel containing 6% formaldehyde, transferred to a nylon membrane (Hybond-N; Amersham, UK) by capillary blotting, and immobilized by ultraviolet cross-linking. Mouse *egr*-1 cDNA was labeled with ³²P by random priming and hybridized. Blots were washed and placed on an im-

aging plate for 12 hours. The plate was visualized using a Fuji image analyzer (model BAS-2000; Fuji, Kanagawa, Japan).

Transfection of cells. BA/F3 cells (1 \times 10⁶/sample) were transfected with DNA plasmids by electroporation, as described previously.⁷ The amounts of plasmids were 2 μ g of *egr*-CAT and 3 μ g of c-fos luciferase. Coexpressed plasmids such as JAK2 or Ras are indicated in the figure legends. In cotransfection experiments, the total amount of transfected DNA was adjusted by adding control vector plasmids. Cells were then resuspended in growth factor-free RPMI media (10 mL in 10-cm plates/sample) and cultured in the CO₂ incubator for 5 hours. Dexamethasone (final concentration, 2 μ mol/L) was added to the media when MMTV-Ras12V was used in experiments. Cells were stimulated with 5 ng/mL hGM-CSF or mIL-3 for 5 hours. In the experiments that used a kinase inhibitor, cells were treated with the indicated concentrations of genistein for 15 minutes before stimulation. Cells were washed with phosphatebuffered saline (PBS) and resuspended in 130 μ L of 0.25 mol/L Tris-HCl, pH 7.4. Cells were lysed by three cycles of freeze and thawing and centrifuged for 5 minutes using a microfuge. Supernatants were divided into three portions and 100-µL aliquots were used for CAT assays, 10- μ L aliquots for luciferase assays, and 20 μ L for protein estimation. CAT and luciferase assay were performed as previously described.^{4,7,28} The amount of protein was estimated using BCA kits (Pierce, Rockford, IL) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The protein estimation was duplicated and average values were used. Luciferase activity was expressed in terms of the luminescence intensity (relative light unit [RLU]) per protein. The results are averages of three samples with the standard deviations being indicated by error bars.

RESULTS

GM-CSF or IL-3 activates human egr-1 promoter in BA/ FGMR cells. egr-1 is rapidly and transiently induced by GM-CSF in both proliferating and terminally differentiated myeloid cells.15 We first examined induction of egr-1 mRNA by the endogenous IL-3 receptor (mIL-3R) and transfected hGMR in BA/FGMR cells (BA/F3 cell expressing wild-type hGMR α and β subunits). Cells were stimulated with mIL-3 or hGM-CSF for 0, 30, 60, or 120 minutes after 5 hours of factor starvation, and total RNA was subjected to Northern blot analysis. Kinetics of induction of egr-1 mRNA by hGM-CSF peaked at 30 minutes and decreased afterwards (Fig 1A). mIL-3 stimulation resulted in activation of the egr-1 gene in kinetics similar to that by hGM-CSF. We next examined hGM-CSF/mIL-3-induced egr-1 promoter activity using egr600CAT, which contains nucleotides (nt) -606 to +8 of the human egr-1 promoter.²⁸ To assess its activity in BA/FGMR, cells were transiently transfected with egr600CAT and subjected to CAT assay after stimulation with 5 ng/mL of hGM-CSF, mIL-3, or mIL-4. mIL-3 or hGM-CSF stimulation resulted in a marked increase in CAT activity (Fig 1B). On the other hand, mIL-4 elicited no enhanced ability. Taken together, these results indicate that GM-CSF or IL-3 stimulates the egr-1 promoter in BA/F3 cells.

The cytoplasmic region of βc required for activation of egr-1 promoter. To examine signaling events that lead to activation of egr-1 gene by hGM-CSF, we defined regions within the βc that are required for activation of egr-1 promoter, using a series of cytoplasmic deletion mutants of βc (Fig 2A).^{5.6} To compare the requirement of region(s) within



Fig 1. Induction of transcription of *egr*-1 by mIL-3 or hGM-CSF in BA/FGMR cells. (A) Induction of *egr*-1 mRNA was analyzed by Northern blot analysis. Total RNA of BA/FGMR cells stimulated by either mIL-3 or hGM-CSF at the indicated time was subjected to Northern blot analysis using *egr*-1 cDNA fragment as a probe. (B) Plasmid-containing *egr*-1 promoter fragment (nts –606 to +8) fused to CAT coding region was transfected to BA/FGMR cells, and CAT activities induced by either mIL-3, hGM-CSF, or mIL-4 were analyzed by diffusion analysis, as described in the Materials and Methods.

 β c for c-*fos* promoter activation, c-*fos* promoter-luciferase plasmid⁴ was cotransfected with the egr600CAT plasmid, the wild-type hGMR α subunit, and wild-type or truncated β c into BA/F3 cells, and luciferase and CAT activities were analyzed (Fig 2B). Mutants of β c used in the experiments are schematically represented in Fig 2A. Deletion of β c from the carboxy terminus up to 589 did not affect GM-CSF– induced egr600CAT activity, but the activity was greatly reduced when β c was truncated up to position 544. Further deletion up to position 517 completely abolished the response to hGM-CSF. The membrane proximal region contains box1 and box2 motifs, which are conserved among several cytokine receptors.²⁹ To examine the role of these motifs in GM-CSF–induced activation of *egr*-1, we next analyzed activity of the *egr*-1 promoter induced in cells that expressed mutant βc lacking either box1 or box2 motif^{9,14} (Fig 2A and C). An internal deletion of the region covering amino acids 458 to 465, which contains box1, resulted in complete loss of hGM-CSF-induced egr-1 transcriptional activation. On the other hand, deletion of the region that contained box2 (amino acid positions 518 to 530) did not affect GM-CSF-induced egr-1 promoter activity. The C terminal side of βc contains several tyrosine residues. Tyr577 is the only tyrosine residue within the region that contains amino acids 544 to 589, which are required for egr-1 gene activation. We then analyzed the requirement of tyr577 for egr-1 promoter activity, using a mutant in which phenylalanine is substituted for tyr577. As shown in Fig 2C, this substitution of tyr577 of hGMR β 589 resulted in complete loss of egr-1 transcriptional activation. In contrast, the same substitution with wild-type βc did not affect the GM-CSFinduced activation of egr-1. Thus, tyr577 seems to be essential for mutant 589, but other tyrosine residue(s) may substitute for tyr577. It appears that the requirements of receptor regions for egr-1 gene activation are essentially the same as that for c-fos. We next examined the signal transduction pathways that lead to the activation of egr-1 promoter in comparison to c-fos gene activation.

Effects of dn JAK1, JAK2, and Ras. GM-CSF activates signal transduction pathways composed of Ras, Raf-1, and MAP kinase.¹⁰⁻¹³ Coexpression of a dn mutant of Ras (Ras17N) completely suppressed IL-3- or GM-CSF-induced c-fos promoter activity.9 We then examined the effect of Ras17N expression on egr-1 reporter plasmids. c-fos-luciferase and egr600CAT were transfected with either a vector control or SRaRas17N to BA/FGMR cells (Fig 3A, columns 1, 2, 5, and 6). Expression of the Ras17N almost completely suppressed activation of both egr-1 and c-fos promoters by hGM-CSF. Essentially the same results were obtained with mIL-3 stimulation (data not shown). We next examined whether coexpression of activated Ras (Ras12V) affected egr-1 and c-fos promoter activities. When MMTV-Ras12V was transfected with egr600CAT and c-fos-luciferase, these promoter activities were strongly augmented by addition of dexamethasone, which activates MMTV promoter (Fig 3A, columns 9 through 12). Cotransfection of MMTV-Ras12V plasmids with SRaRas17N resulted in no suppression of egr-1 and c-fos promoter activities by SR α Ras17N (Fig 3A, columns 13 through 16).

JAK family kinases have been shown to play a role in cytokine receptor signaling.³⁰ GM-CSF stimulates phosphorylation of JAK1 and JAK2 in BA/F3 cells and JAK2 plays an essential role in all known GM-CSF signaling events, including c-*fos* promoter activation.⁹ To elucidate roles of JAK1 or JAK2 in *egr*-1 activation in BA/FGMR cells, we examined effects of dn JAK1 (Δ JAK1) or JAK2 (Δ JAK2) on *egr*-1 promoter activity induced by IL-3 or GM-CSF. Mutant JAK1 lacking the C terminal kinase domain inhibited autophosphorylation of wild-type JAK1 when these genes were coexpressed in COS7 cells (data not shown). The same JAK2 mutant also acts in a dn manner to prevent autophosphorylation of wild-type JAK2.⁹ Expression of Δ JAK1 slightly reduced GM-CSF–induced *egr*-1 and c-*fos* expression, whereas Δ JAK2 completely suppressed *egr*-1 and c-



fos promoter activity (Fig 3B). By addition of SR α -wildtype JAK2, c-fos promoter activity was slightly augmented, whereas egr-1 promoter was greatly augmented under this condition. Cotransfection of wild-type JAK2 with Δ JAK2 recovered both c-fos and egr-1 promoter activities. These results indicate that JAK2 plays an essential role in egr-1 activation in response to GM-CSF.

Definition of cis-acting sequence(s) of egr-1 and c-fos promoter responding to hGM-CSF or mIL-3. The human egr-1 promoter contains several putative recognition sites for transcriptional regulatory proteins. We previously identified cis-sequences of egr-1 promoter that respond to hGM- CSF stimulation in TF-1 cells using various 5' deletion mutants of *egr* promoter CAT plasmids.²³ We next identified the sequences of the *egr*-1 promoter responding to GM-CSF- or IL-3-activated signals in BA/FGMR cells. We transfected various deletion mutants of egr600CAT into BA/ FGMR cells. egr480, 235, 116, and 56 contain 480-, 235-, 116-, and 56-nt length fragments of *egr*-1 promoter from the transcription start site, respectively²⁸ (Fig 4A). The egr480CAT showed an activity slightly greater than that observed with the egr600CAT in response to hGM-CSF or mIL-3. This response was markedly reduced by deletion of nt down to -235. Further deletion to nt -116 did not affect



CAT activity, and an additional 60-nt deletion to nt -56 completely abolished the response. These results suggest sequences that contain SREs distal to the transcriptional starting site and SRE/CRE proximal to the transcriptional starting site are required for hGM-CSF- or mIL-3-induced egr-1 transcriptional activation. To determine whether the region that contains proximal SRE/CRE is essential, we deleted the region including nts 56 to 116 of egr480CAT (egr480∆56-116). egr480 Δ 56-116CAT showed no appreciable CAT activity in response to either GM-CSF or IL-3 stimulation. These results suggest that the region covering -56 to -116is essential for activation of egr-1 promoter in response to IL-3 or GM-CSF in BA/FGMR cells and region nts -235 to -480 have enhancing activity. These results also suggest that sequences that contain the SREs distal to the transcriptional start site and SRE/CRE proximal to the transcriptional

2500

GM-CSF

В

Vector



starting site are required for hGM-CSF- or mIL-3-induced egr-1 transcriptional activation in BA/FGMR cells. In contrast, requirement of the distal SREs site was not observed by either hGM-CSF or mIL-3 stimulation in TF-1 cells.²³

16

+

ΔJAK2

wild type JAK2

+

wild type

JAK2

ΔJAK2

∆JAK1

Because signaling events that mediate c-fos and egr-1 transcriptional activation are not separable, we next analyzed cis-acting elements of c-fos promoter that respond to IL-3 or GM-CSF stimulation (Fig 4B). The c-fos luciferase construct used in the present work contains the 404-nt fragment from the transcriptional starting site of c-fos promoter region. The plasmid that contains the 712-nt promoter fragment shows almost the same or somewhat reduced CAT activities in response to IL-3 or GM-CSF compared with that observed with the -404 CAT plasmid. Deletion of sequences up to nt -307 reduced significantly IL-3-/GM-CSF-induced luciferase activity. Further deletion up to nt -240 further reduced the activity. We next performed a detailed analysis of required promoter region nucleotides using several plasmids that contained sequences within nt -362 to -223 of c-fos promoter fused to the herpes virus thymidine kinase promoter (TK) and the CAT coding region.²⁶ We first asked if nts -404 to -240 are sufficient for induction by IL-3 or GM-CSF using a -362 to -223 fragment fused to TK-CAT. As shown in Fig 4B, the -362 to -223 plasmid responded to IL-3 or GM-CSF, although higher background activity was observed with unstimulated cells. There are three known transcription factor recognition sites in nts -362 to -223: c-sis-inducible element (SIE), SRE, and AP-1. The SRE and AP-1 sites overlap and are inseparable.³¹ The fragment containing only the SIE site (nts -362 to -324) showed a relatively low response to IL-3 or GM-CSF. In contrast, the region between nts -323 to -276, which contains SRE/AP-1 sites, responded to IL-3 or GM-CSF. Fragment of nts -277 to -229 responded, but at a relatively low level, to IL-3 or GM-CSF.



Fig 4. Requirement of cis-sequence of egr-1 and c-fos promoter for IL-3- or GM-CSF-induced transcriptional activation. (A) Various egr-1 promoter CAT constructs were transfected into BA/FGMR cells and cells were stimulated with either mIL-3 or hGM-CSF. (B) Upper panel: plasmids with various lengths of the c-fos promoter fused to the CAT coding region were transfected into BA/FGMR cells. The CAT activities induced by mIL-3 or hGM-CSF were analyzed by diffusion analysis. Lower panel: CAT activities induced by IL-3/GM-CSF in BA/FGMR cell of the c-fos promoter fragments fused to TK-minimal promoter and CAT coding region were analyzed as described. CAT activities induced by mIL-3 (■) or hGM-CSF (2) were analyzed by diffusion analysis. (D) CAT value of unstimulated cells (A and B).

Effect of tyrosine kinase inhibitor genistein on egr-1 and *c-fos transcriptional activation*. Genistein, a tyrosine kinase inhibitor, affects tyrosine kinases, including the EGF receptor, pp60-v-src and pp110gag-Fes.³² We reported earlier that genistein superinduced *c-fos* transcription, but suppressed *c-myc* gene transcription or proliferation.⁶ The gen-

istein-induced augmentation of the activation of c-*fos* promoter is not likely to be due to suppression of the degradation pathway of the mRNA, because genistein enhanced c-*fos* transcription, as well as c-*fos*-luciferase reporter activity.⁶ To examine the effect of genistein on activation of *egr*-1 promoter, BA/FGMR cells were transfected with c-



Fig 5. Effects of the tyrosine kinase inhibitor genistein on *egr*-1 and c-*fos* promoter activities in BA/FGMR cells. (A) egr600CAT and c-*fos*-luciferase plasmids were transfected into BA/FGMR cells and the indicated concentrations of genistein were added to cells 30 minutes before stimulation. hGM-CSF-induced CAT activities (ℤ) and luciferase activities (□) were analyzed as described in the Materials and Methods. (B) Effects of genistein on various c-*fos*-CAT plasmid activities. The c-*fos*-TK-CAT plasmids were transfected into BA/FGMR cells and the effects of genistein were analyzed. The white bar indicates CAT value of unstimulated cells, and GM-CSF-induced CAT activities with (ℤ) or without (ℤ) genistein were represented.

fos-luciferase and egr600CAT, and the CAT and luciferase activities induced by GM-CSF in the presence of genistein were analyzed (Fig 5A). Genistein did augment c-*fos* promoter activation by hGM-CSF. GM-CSF-induced activity of the *egr*-1 promoter was also enhanced by genistein, but appeared weaker than that seen with the c-*fos* promoter. Essentially the same results were obtained with IL-3 stimulation (data not shown).

To further delineate the target of genistein, we analyzed the effects of genistein on plasmids containing the *c-fos* upstream fragment. As shown in Fig 5B, GM-CSF-induced CAT activities of *c-fos* promoter fragments fused to CAT plasmids containing SRE/AP-1 sites (nt -404, and -323 to -276) were augmented by low-dose genistein. In contrast,

CAT activity of the plasmid containing the fragment with the SIE site (nt -362to -324) was suppressed by genistein. These results suggest that the target of genistein is located within the SRE/AP-1 site rather than the SIE site.

To examine whether the SRE/AP-1 site is in the downstream of the Ras pathway, we next analyzed the effect of coexpression of Ras17N with c-*fos* promoter fragment (nt -323 to -276) fused to the TK-CAT construct containing the SRE/AP-1 site. As shown in Fig 6, coexpression of Ras17N completely suppressed the c-*fos* CAT activity induced by GM-CSF.

DISCUSSION

In our earlier work, we obtained evidence for two distinct signaling pathways with hGMR.^{6,8} One pathway, which leads to activation of DNA replication and the c-myc gene, requires the transmembrane proximal region of βc . The other, which leads to activation of c-fos and c-jun genes, requires the transmembrane distal region in addition to the proximal region. We then investigated whether signaling pathways for induction of other genes by hGM-CSF could be classified into the two signaling pathways. We found that the transcriptional activation of ID1 and cyclin D2 genes induced by hGM-CSF required the membrane proximal region of βc (manuscript in preparation). In contrast, membrane distal regions, as well as membrane proximal regions, are required for GM-CSF-dependent activation of the egr-1 promoter. This requirement was the same as that for c-fos activation,^{6,14} which suggests that egr-1 and c-fos gene activation are coregulated by the same signaling pathways. Although mechanisms of c-myc induction and DNA replication are unknown, signaling events involved in c-fos activation are well documented. We took the strategy to investigate the mechanism that leads to activation of egr-1, in comparison to c-fos. We obtained evidence that c-fos and egr-1 promoters are regulated by the same or overlapping mechanism through the



Fig 6. Effects of dominant negative Ras on SRE/AP-1 activity of c-fos promoter induced by hGM-CSF. The c-fos promoter fragment (nt – 323 to –276) fused to TK-CAT plasmid was transfected to BA/FGMR cells with either SR α dn Ras or vector control plasmids. After depletion of mIL-3 for 5 hours, cells were stimulated with hGM-CSF for another 5 hours. CAT activity was analyzed by diffusion assay.

JAK and Ras signaling pathway leading to SRE activation by GM-CSF.

The membrane proximal region necessary to activate cfos and egr-1 genes contains the box1 sequence, which is conserved among members of the cytokine receptor superfamily.²⁹ What is the role of the membrane proximal region of βc to activate transcription of egr-1? Experiments using Δ JAK2 showed that JAK2 is essential to activate both *egr*-1 and the c-fos gene. We found that the box1 but not the box2 region is required for activation of JAK2, and that JAK2 is responsible for phosphorylation of βc .⁹ It can be speculated that the egr-1 gene is activated through SH2containing signaling molecules, which interact with JAK2dependent phosphorylation of the βc . We have previously examined the requirement of tyrosine residues for activation of c-fos gene and signal-transducing molecules,¹⁴ and obtained results demonstrating that tyr577 is essential for activation of Shc, whereas activation of Shc is not essential for c-fos activation. Because the domains within the β c required for activation of egr-1, c-fos promoters, and PTP1D appeared to be the same, and dn Ras almost completely suppressed the transcriptional activation of egr-1, as well as c-fos, through the hGMR, we speculate that PTP1D and Ras are involved in egr-1 activation. However, activation of Shc is not essential for egr-1 gene activation. Taken together, the two regions required for egr-1 gene induction can be explained by a model that JAK2 interacts with box1 leading to phosphorylation of tyrosine residues in more membrane distal regions. This results in SH2-containing proteins transducing signals to activate c-fos and egr-1 genes through ras/ MAPK cascade.

Because the signaling events that lead to c-fos and egr-1 activation are indistinguishable, it is tempting to speculate that mechanisms for activation of these two genes are also similar or perhaps even the same. Deletion analysis of the human egr-1 promoter showed that the sequences responsible for transcriptional activation of egr-1 by hGMR were localized between -56 and -116 and -235 and -480 nt relative to the transcription start site in BA/F3 cells, a mouse pro-B-cell line. On the other hand, in the human erythroleukemic cell line, TF-1,23 hGM-CSF, or human IL-3 appear to stimulate the egr-1 promoter through a sequence located between nt -56 and -116 of egr-1 promoter. However, no enhancing effect was detected between nt -235 and -480 in TF-1 cells. Furthermore, the egr-1 autoregulatory binding site (EBS) located between nt -589 and -597 was found to be a GM-CSF-specific enhancer in TF-1 cells, which was not observed in the present study. The reason for this discrepancy is currently unknown, but we could speculate that TF-1 cells lack some factor(s) that is functional in BA/ F3 and necessary for the function of the enhancer elements located between -235 and -480, or this enhancer element is constitutively activated in TF-1 cells.

Other investigators characterized mouse egr-1 promoter elements responding to various stimulations. v-Raf activation was attributed to the cluster of SRE located between -250 and -425.³³ The sequences between nts 235 to 480 of the human egr-1 promoter are responsive to GM-CSF stimulation. Likewise, the same region is stimulated by vSrc,³⁴ v-Fps,³⁵ and ionizing radiation.³⁶ Because src family tyrosine kinases, raf, or Fps are reported to be activated by IL-3 or GM-CSF stimulation,^{13,37,38} it may be that *egr*-1 was activated through these signaling molecules in response to GM-CSF stimulation.

CAT analysis suggests that the SRE/AP-1 site in the cfos promoter is also essential for c-fos activation by hGM-CSF. We reported that IL-3 or GM-CSF induced binding of proteins to the AP-1 site in BA/F3 cells when the AP-1 site of the c-jun promoter was used as a probe.⁶ When we performed gel-shift analysis using oligonucleotide that contained the SRE/AP-1 site of the c-fos promoter, constitutive binding and no difference of binding features before and after stimulation with IL-3 or GM-CSF were observed in BA/F3 cells (data not shown). Neither binding of AP-1 nor c-jun transcriptional activation was sensitive to genistein (data not shown). These observations support the notion that AP-1 may not have a role in the c-fos promoter.

A canonical STAT binding site, SIE, did not appear to be necessary. Binding of STAT1 and STAT3 to the sites has been previously reported.³⁹ We performed gel-mobility shift assays using the SIE site of the c-fos promoter, and no differences in the pattern of binding proteins were observed before and after stimulation by IL-3 or GM-CSF in BA/F3 cells (data not shown). Furthermore, although IL-3 or GM-CSF activates phosphorylation of STAT5 and its binding to the MGF binding site,⁴⁰ we observed no phosphorylation of either STAT1 or STAT3 in response to IL-3 or GM-CSF in BA/F3 cells (data not shown). These results suggest that the SIE site of the c-fos promoter does not contribute to GM-CSF inducibility of c-fos promoter, even though this site may play a role in basal activity, because constitutive binding of proteins to the site was observed in the gel-mobility shift analysis (data not shown). The finding of a minor role for the SIE in c-fos promoter induction is in agreement with reports that demonstrated EGF-dependent c-fos activation in Hela cells⁴¹ or PDGF in 3T3 cells.⁴²

How does GM-CSF activate egr-1 and c-fos promoters through the SRE sites? Because dn Ras completely suppressed GM-CSF-induced c-fos-promoter TK-CAT activity, which contains the SRE/AP-1 sites, involvement of Ras pathway in c-fos promoter activation by GM-CSF is suggested. Activation of SRE-binding proteins of the c-fos promoter by activated ras has been reported.43 It has been well documented that SRF binds to the SRE constitutively and forms ternary complexes with other proteins, including TCF, Elk-1, or SAP-1.44,45 Phosphorylation of Elk-1 by MAPK has also been reported.44 The mechanism of activation of c-fos and egr-1 may involve phosphorylation of the ternary complex of SRF. Further experiments to define each of the complexes binding to each SRE site in the c-fos and egr-1 promoters are required. Taken together, we conclude that the signals leading to the activation of egr-1 and c-fos share the same pathway that induces activation of Ras pathway and consequent SRE activation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank Dr S.D. Nimer for helpful discussions and comments on the manuscript, E. Akagawa for technical support, and M. Ohara for comments.

REFERENCES

1. Arai K, Lee F, Miyajima A, Miyatake S, Arai N, Yokota T: Cytokines: Coordinators of immune and inflammatory responses. Ann Rev Biochem 59:783, 1990

2. Bazan JF: Structural design and molecular evolution of a cytokine receptor superfamily. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 87: 6934, 1990

3. Eder M, Griffin JD, Ernst TJ: The human granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor receptor is capable of initiating signal transduction in NIH3T3 cells. EMBO J 12:1647, 1993

4. Watanabe S, Mui AL-F, Muto A, Chen JX, Hayashida K, Miyajima A, Arai K: Reconstituted human granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor receptor transduces growth-promoting signals in mouse NIH 3T3 cells: Comparison with signalling in BA/F3 pro-B cells. Mol Cell Biol 13:1440, 1993

5. Sakamaki K, Miyajima I, Kitamura T, Miyajima A: Critical cytoplasmic domains of the common b subunit of the human GM-CSF, IL-3 and IL-5 receptors for growth signal transduction and tyrosine phosphorylation. EMBO J 11:3541, 1992

6. Watanabe S, Muto A, Yokota T, Miyajima A, Arai K: Differential regulation of early response genes and cell proliferation through the human granulocyte macrophage colony-stimulating factor receptor: Selective activation of the c-*fos* promoter by genistein. Mol Biol Cell 4:983, 1993

7. Watanabe S, Ishida S, Koike K, Arai K: Characterization of cis-regulatory elements of the *c-myc* promoter responding to human GM-CSF or mouse interleukin 3 in mouse proB cell line BA/F3 cells expressing the human GM-CSF receptor. Mol Biol Cell 6:627, 1995

8. Watanabe S, Ito Y, Miyajima A, Arai K: Granulocyte macrophage colony stimulating factor dependent replication of polyoma virus replicon in hematopoietic cells: Analyses of receptor signals for replication and transcription. J Biol Chem 270:9615, 1995

9. Watanabe S, Itoh T, Arai K: JAK2 is essential for activation of c-*fos* and c-*myc* promoters and cell proliferation through the human granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor receptor in BA/F3 cells. J Biol Chem 271:12681, 1996

10. Duronio V, Nip L, Pelch SL: Interleukin 3 stimulates phosphatidylcholine turnover in a mast/megakaryocyte cell line. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 164:804, 1989

11. Satoh T, Nakafuku M, Miyajima A, Kaziro Y: Involvement of ras in signal transduction pathways from interleukin-2, interleukin-3, and granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor, but not from interleukin-4. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 88:3314, 1991

12. Okuda K, Sanghera JS, Pelech SL, Kanakura Y, Hallek M, Griffin JD, Druker BJ: Granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor, interleukin-3, and steel factor induce rapid tyrosine phosphorylation of p42 and p44 MAP kinase. Blood 79:2880, 1992

13. Welham MJ, Duronio V, Sanghera JS, Pelech SL, Schrader JW: Multiple hemopoietic growth factors stimulate activation of mitogen-activated protein kinase family members. J Immunol 149:1683, 1992

14. Itoh T, Muto A, Watanabe S, Miyajima A, Yokota T, Arai K: Granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor provokes different modes of signaling leading to Ras activation and transcription of *c-fos* through tyrosine residues of the receptor b subunit. J Biol Chem 271:7587, 1996

15. Varnum BC, Lim RW, Kujubu DA, Luner SJ, Kaufman SE, Greenberger JS, Gasson JC, Herschman HR: Granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor and tetradecanoyl phorbol acetate induce a distinct, restricted subset of primary-response TIS genes in both proliferating and terminally differentiated myeloid cells. Mol Cell Biol 9:3580, 1989

16. Ando D, Ajchenbaum-Cymbalista F, Griffin JD: Regulation of G1/S transition by cyclins D2 and D3 in hematopoietic cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 90:9571, 1993

17. Molnar G, Crozat A, Pardee AB: The immediate-early gene *egr*-1 regulates the activity of the thymidine kinase promoter at the G0-to-G1 transition of the cell cycle. Mol Cell Biol 14:5242, 1994

18. Gupta MP, Gupta M, Zak R, Sukhatme VP: *egr*-1, a seruminducible zinc finger protein, regulates transcription of the rat cardiac alpha-myosin heavy chain gene. J Biol Chem 266:12813, 1991

19. Nguyen HQ, Hoffman-Liebermann B, Liebermann DA: The zinc finger transcription factor *egr*-1 is essential for and restricts differentiation along the macrophage lineage. Cell 72:197, 1993

20. Cheng T, Wang Y, Dai W: Transcription factor *egr*-1 is involved in phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate-induced megakaryocytic differentiation of K562 cells. J Biol Chem 269:30848, 1994

21. Kharbanda S, Nakamura T, Stone R, Hass R, Bernstein S, Datta R, Sukhatme VP, Kufe D: Expression of the early growth response 1 and 2 zinc finger genes during induction of monocytic differentiation. J Clin Invest 88:571, 1991

22. Liu J, Clegg CH, Shoyab M: Regulation of *egr*-1, *c-jun*, and *c-myc* gene expression by oncostatin M. Cell Growth Diff 3:307, 1992

23. Sakamoto KM, Fraser JK, Lee H-JJ, Lehman E, Gasson JC: Granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor and interleukin-3 signaling pathways converge on the CREB-binding site in the human *egr*-1 promoter. Mol Cell Biol 14:5975, 1994

24. Miyajima A, Schreurs J, Otsu K, Kondo A, Arai K, Maeda S: Use of the silkworm, *Bombyx mori*, and an insect baculovirus vector for high-level expression and secretion of biologically active mouse interleukin-3. Gene 58:273, 1987

25. Deschamps J, Meijlink F, Verma IM: Identification of a transcriptional enhancer element upstream from the proto-oncogene *fos*. Science 230:1174, 1985

26. Fujii M, Sassone-Corsi P, Verma IM: c-*fos* promoter transactivation by the tax1 protein of human T-cell leukemia virus type I. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 85:8526, 1988

27. Feig LA, Cooper GM: Inhibition of NIH3T3 cell proliferation by a mutant ras protein with preferential affinity for GDP. Mol Cell Biol 8:3235, 1988

28. Sakamoto KM, Nimer SD, Rosenblatt JD, Gasson JC: HTLV-I and HTLV-II Tax trans-activate the human *egr*-1 promoter through different cis-acting sequences. Oncogene 7:2125, 1992

29. Murakami M, Narazali M, Hibi M, Yawata H, Yasukawa K, Hamaguchi M, Taga T, Kishimoto T: Critical cytoplasmic region of the interleukin 6 signal transducer gp130 is conserved in the cytokine receptor family. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 88:11349, 1991

30. Ihle J, Kerr IM: Jaks and stats in signaling by the cytokine receptor superfamily. Trends Genet 11:69, 1995

31. Sassone-Corsi P, Sisson JC, Verma IM: Transcription autoregulation of the proto-oncogene *fos*. Nature 334:314, 1988

32. Badwey J, Erickson R, Crunutte J: Staurosporine inhibits the soluble and membrane-bound protein tyrosine kinases of human neutrophils. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 178:423, 1991

33. Rim M, Qureshi S, Gius D, Nho J, Sukhatme VP, Foster DA: Evidence that activation of the *egr*-1 promoter by v-Raf involves serum response elements. Oncogene 7:2065, 1992

34. Qureshi SA, Cao X, Sukhatme VP, Foster DA: v-Src activates mitogen-responsive transcription factor *egr*-1 via serum response elements. J Biol Chem 266:10802, 1991

35. Alexandropoulos K, Qureshi SA, Rim M, Sukhatme VP, Foster DA: v-Fps-responsiveness in the *egr*-1 promoter is mediated by serum response elements. Nucleic Acid Res 20:2355, 1992

36. Datta R, Rubin E, Sukhatme V, Qureshi S, Hallahan D, Weichselbaum RR, Kufe DW: Ionizing radiation activates transcription of the *egr*1 gene via CArG elements. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 89:10149, 1992

37. Torigoe T, O'Connor R, Santoli D, Reed JC: Interleukin 3

regulates the activity of the Lyn protein-tyrosine kinase in myeloidcommitted leukemic cell lines. Blood 80:617, 1992

38. Hanazono Y, Chiba S, Sasaki K, Mano H, Miyajima A, Arai K, Yazaki Y, Hirai H: c-fps/fes protein-tyrosine kinase is implicated in a signaling pathway triggered by granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor and interleukin-3. EMBO J 12:1641, 1993

39. Fu X-Y, Zhang J-J: Transcription factor p91 interacts with the epidermal growth factor receptor and mediates activation of the *c-fos* gene promoter. Cell 74:1135, 1993

40. Mui AL, Wakao H, O'Farrell A-M, Harada N, Miyajima A: Interleukin-3, granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor and interleukin-5 transduce signals through two STAT5 homologs. EMBO J 14:1166, 1995

41. Leaman DW, Pisharody S, Flickinger TW, Commane MA, Schlessinger J, Kerr IM, Levy DE, Stark GR: Roles of JAKs in

activation of STATs and stimulation of c-fos gene expression by epidermal growth factor. Mol Cell Biol 16:369, 1996

42. Lucibello FC, Lowag C, Neuberg M, Moeller R: Trans-repression of the mouse c-fos promoter: A novel mechanism of fosmediated trans-regulation. Cell 59:999, 1989

43. Fukumoto Y, Kaibuchi K, Oku N, Hori Y, Takai Y: Activation of the c-fos serum-response element by the activated c-Ha-ras protein in a manner independent of protein kinase C and cAMP-dependent protein kinase. J Biol Chem 265:774, 1990

44. Marais R, Wynne J, Treisman R: The SRF accessory protein Elk-1 contains a growth factor-regulated transcriptional activation domain. Cell 73:381, 1993

45. Hill C, Treisman R: Transcriptional regulation by extracellular signals: Mechanisms and specificity. Cell 80:199, 1995