# Impact of Marrow Cytogenetics and Morphology on In Vitro Hematopoiesis in the Myelodysplastic Syndromes: Comparison Between Recombinant Human Granulocyte Colony-Stimulating Factor (CSF) and Granulocyte-Monocyte CSF

By Arnon Nagler, Christian Binet, Mary Lee Mackichan, Robert Negrin, Charles Bangs, Timothy Donlon, and Peter Greenberg

Marrow cells from 36 patients with myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS) (13 refractory anemia [RA], 14 refractory anemia with excess of blasts [RAEB], 9 RAEB in transformation [RAEB-T]) were evaluated for their in vitro proliferative and differentiative responsiveness to recombinant human granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF) or granulocyte-monocyte CSF (GM-CSF). GM-CSF exerted a stronger proliferative stimulus than G-CSF for marrow myeloid clonal growth (CFU-GM) in these patients (44 v 12 colonies per 10<sup>5</sup> nonadherent buoyant bone marrow cells [NAB], respectively, P < .025). GM-CSF stimulated increased CFU-GM growth in the 16 patients with abnormal marrow cytogenetics in comparison with the 20 patients who had normal cytogenetics (52 and 30 colonies per 10<sup>5</sup> NAB, respectively, P < .05), whereas no such difference could be demonstrated with G-CSF (11 and 16 colonies per

THE MYELODYSPLASTIC syndromes (MDS) are a heterogeneous group of clonal disorders of hematopoiesis entailing hypoproliferative and ineffective hematopoiesis associated with morphologic evidence of marrow cell dysplasia resulting in refractory cytopenias.<sup>1-5</sup> During the course of MDS, hematopoietic precursor cell differentiation may become progressively impaired, and in 10% to 40% of cases the disorders culminate in the severe maturational block characteristic of acute myelogenous leukemia (AML).<sup>1</sup>

Human granulocyte and granulocyte-monocyte colonystimulating factors (G-CSF and GM-CSF) have recently been characterized and produced in recombinant form.<sup>6,7</sup> These CSFs have been shown to enhance proliferation and differentiation of human hematopoietic cells.8-10 In vitro studies in murine models and human hematopoietic disorders have shown these factors to have potent myelostimulatory effects for normal<sup>6,8,11,12</sup> and leukemic cells.<sup>13-15</sup> G-CSF has been shown to have a predominantly granulocytic differentiative function as well as proliferative effects.<sup>6,10,11,16,17</sup> In vitro leukemic cell clonogenicity and in vivo tumorigenesis were diminished on exposure of murine leukemic cells to differentiation factors, including both G-CSF and GM-CSF.<sup>18-20</sup> Inducing leukemic stem cell differentiation, thereby decreasing neoplastic self-replicative potential, has been proposed as a model for decreasing leukemogenicity.<sup>21-23</sup>

Recently, both GM-CSF and G-CSF have been used clinically for treating MDS, raising peripheral blood leukocyte and neutrophil counts, and stimulating granulopoiesis and myeloid maturation in the bone marrow.<sup>24-27</sup> The biology of the MDS may relate to an uncoupling of hematopoietic cellular differentiative and proliferative programs.<sup>28</sup> In vitro marrow cell myeloid colony growth patterns, cytogenetic abnormalities, and bone marrow morphology are parameters that have been used as possible indicators of disease progression and prognosis in these patients.<sup>3,29-34</sup> In vitro myeloid (CFU-GM) and erythroid (BFU-E) colony growth of MDS marrow cells has previously been shown to generally decrease by using a variety of nonpurified sources of CSF,<sup>3,5,29,35</sup> and  $10^5$  NAB, respectively). In contrast, granulocytic differentiation of marrow cells was induced in liquid culture by G-CSF in 15 of 32 (47% patients), while GM-CSF did so in only 4 of 18 (22%) patients (P < .025) including, for RAEB/RAEB-T patients: 9 of 18 versus 0 of 9, respectively (P < .025). For MDS patients with normal cytogenetics, G-CSF- and GM-CSF-induced marrow cell granulocytic differentiation in 12 of 18 (67%) versus 3 of 11 (27%), respectively (P < .025), contrasted with granulocytic induction in only 3 of 14 (21%) and 1 of 7 (14%) patients with abnormal cytogenetics, respectively. We conclude that G-CSF has greater granulocytic differentiative and less proliferative activity for MDS marrow cells than GM-CSF in vitro, particularly for RAEB/RAEB-T patients and those with normal cytogenetics.

© 1990 by The American Society of Hematology.

more recently with recombinant human GM-CSF<sup>26,36-38</sup> and G-CSF.<sup>36</sup> Concern has been raised regarding the potential for altering leukemic progression in MDS by in vivo use of these CSFs.<sup>24-27</sup> To determine possible differing effects of G-CSF and GM-CSF in subgroups of MDS that are clinically distinct,<sup>39</sup> we evaluated the in vitro proliferative and differentiative responsiveness to these factors of marrow cells from a relatively large group of these patients with disparate marrow morphologic and cytogenetic features.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

*Marrow samples.* Bone marrow (BM) samples were obtained from MDS patients according to guidelines established by the Stanford University Human Experimentation Committee. Marrow aspirates were diluted with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), layered over Ficoll-Hypaque (density 1.077 g/mL), centrifuged at 400g for 20 minutes, and the interface buoyant mononuclear cells (MNC) were collected, washed, and counted.

From the Departments of Medicine and Pathology, Stanford University Medical Center, Stanford; and Veterans Administration Medical Center, Palo Alto, CA.

Submitted December 28, 1989; accepted June 4, 1990.

Supported in part by the National Institutes of Health Fogarty International Fellowship (AN), US Public Health Service Grant ROI CA36915 from the National Cancer Institute, Veterans Administration Research Funds, and AMGen.

Presented in part at the International Society for Experimental Hematology Meeting, Paris, July 1989 (Exp Hematol 17:646, 1989).

Address reprint requests to Peter Greenberg, MD, Division of Hematology, Stanford University Medical Center, Stanford, CA 94305.

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

© 1990 by The American Society of Hematology. 0006-4971/90/7607-0016\$3.00/0

# Monoclonal Antibodies (MoAbs) and CSFs

Goat antimouse immunoglobulin G (IgG) unconjugated and fluorescein-conjugated antibodies were purchased from Tago Inc (Burlingame, CA). Monoclonal mouse antihuman antibodies anti-My8, 1 mg/mL protein (which recognizes myeloid cells more mature than promyelocytes<sup>40,41</sup> and antiglycophorin A, 1 mg/mL protein (which recognizes erythroid precursors more mature than BFU-E),<sup>41</sup> were kindly provided by Dr J. Griffin (Dana Farber Cancer Center, Boston, MA). Recombinant human G-CSF, derived from *Escherichia coli*, not glycosylated, specific activity of approximately 10<sup>8</sup> U/mg was provided by AMGen (Thousand Oaks, CA). Recombinant human GM-CSF derived from CHO cells, glycosylated, ( $2 \times 10^6$  U/mg) was provided by Genetics Institute (Cambridge, MA).

Immune adsorption ("panning"). As previously described,<sup>42</sup> after depletion of adherent cells nonadherent buoyant (NAB) cells were exposed to My8 and glycophorin A antihuman MoAbs before immune adsorption on tissue culture dishes coated with goat antimouse IgG. The nonbound (My8 [-] glycophorin A [-]) cells obtained were a relatively enriched immature marrow cell population (EIMCP), consisting of approximately 90% myeloblasts and promyelocytes and 10% lymphocytes and hematopoietic progenitor cells (CFUs).

# Clonogenic Assays for Colony Formation of Hematopoietic Progenitor Cells (CFU-GM and BFU-E)

To assess colony formation, NAB marrow cells were plated in clonogenic culture either with 5 nmol/L G-CSF or GM-CSF. For clonal assays the NAB cells  $(1 \times 10^5 \text{ cells/mL})$  were cultured in Iscove's modified Dulbecco's medium (IMDM) supplemented with 15% fetal calf serum (FCS), 0.9% bovine serum albumin (Armour Pharmaceutical, Tarrytown, NY), 50 µmol/L 1-mercaptoethanol (2ME), 1% penicillin-streptomycin, 1% l-glutamine, and methylcellulose (final concentration 1.1%) with or without growth factors as indicated. Cultures with  $0.375 \times 10^5$  cells were seeded in duplicate in 0.25-mL vol (ie,  $1.5 \times 10^5$  cells/mL) in Mark II microwell tissue culture plates (Costar, Cambridge, MA). CFU-GM colonies stimulated with G-CSF or GM-CSF were scored on days 10 and 14, respectively, of incubation at 37°C in humidified air/5% CO<sub>2</sub>. To evaluate BFU-E, cells were cultured as described above but with 1% Mo conditioned medium (MoCM, a T-cell line CM kindly provided by Dr David Golde, UCLA Medical Center) as a burst promoting activity (BPA) source and 0.5 U/mL recombinant human erythropoietin (Ep), 10,000 U/mL (kindly provided by Ortho Pharmaceutical Corp, Raritan, NJ). BFU-E colonies were scored on day 14.36,42

### Differentiation Assay

The EIMCP were plated at  $2 \times 10^5$  cells/mL in suspension culture in 5 to 10 mL IMDM supplemented with 15% FCS, and incubated for 7 days in a fully humidified air/5% CO<sub>2</sub> in 50-mL polypropylene tubes with either 5 nmol/L GM-CSF, 5 nmol/L G-CSF, or no stimulus. The virtual absence of mature myeloid, monocytic, and erythroid cells from this EIMC population permitted assessment of induced differentiation. After incubation, cell counts were made and were demonstrated to be greater than 90% viable by trypan blue dye exclusion. To assess morphologic differentiation, cells were cytocentrifuged (Shandon cyto-centrifuge, Sewickley, PA), stained with Wright-Giemsa stain, and examined microscopically, performing 100 cell differential counts, as previously described.<sup>36,43</sup>

Cytogenetics. Chromosome analyses were determined for native marrow mononuclear cells and (if native cytogenetic abnormalities were present) for EIMCP cells incubated for 7 days in liquid suspension culture without or with G-CSF or GM-CSF. Synchronized chromosome preparations using G banding were obtained on native marrow using a modification of the methods of Yunis and Chandler<sup>44</sup> and Seabright.<sup>45</sup> Suspension culture chromosome preparations were prepared similarly, but without cell synchronization. Briefly, 6 mL of hypotonic solution<sup>46</sup> was added to each culture, along with colcemid (0.05  $\mu$ g/mL) and incubated for 25 minutes at 37°C. After this time 5 drops of Carnoy fixative was added and the remainder of the harvest technique was performed as previously described.<sup>44</sup>

# Patients

Marrow specimens were obtained from 36 MDS patients (27 men and 9 women) (median 68 years, range 54 to 86) at the time of their initial clinical evaluation (Table 1), and from 8 normal elderly individuals. Diagnostic criteria for MDS have previously been described and include refractory cytopenias in patients with characteristically abnormal marrow morphology. We classified patients according to the FAB (French-American-British) Group classification.<sup>39</sup> Thirteen patients had refractory anemia (RA), 14 had RA with excess of blasts (RAEB), and 9 had RAEB in transformation (RAEB-T) (Table 1). Twenty patients had no cytogenetic abnormality in their native BM cells (NN), 9 had both normal and abnormal karyotypes (AN), and 7 had only abnormal karyotypes (AA). In 12 patients the nonrandom cytogenetic abnormalities involved the no. 5 and/or no. 7 chromosomes (Table 2).

## Statistical Analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using the student's *t*-test, the paired student's *t*-test, and the Wilcoxon rank test.

#### RESULTS

## Effects of G-CSF and GM-CSF on Myeloid Colony Formation (CFU-GM)

We assessed the proliferative effects of G-CSF and GM-CSF on myeloid colony formation (CFU-GM) of NAB marrow cells. As can be seen from the titration curves of CSFs for CFU-GM (Fig 1), optimal clonogenic responses were demonstrated for normal subjects and MDS patients

Table 1. Morphologic and Cytogenetic Features of Patients With Myelodysplastic Syndromes

		Cytogenetics							
Morphology FAB Classification		Normal	Abnormal		Abnormality				
		NN	AN	AA	5 and/or 7 Chromosomes	Others			
RA	13	8	4	1	4	1			
RAEB	14	9	2	3	3	2			
RAEB-T	9	3	3	3	5	1			
Total	36	20	9	7	12	4			

Marrow karyotypes: AA, abnormal; NN, normal; AN, mixture of normal and abnormal cells.

			Cultured					
	Native		Media		G-CSF			
Patient No.	N	A	N	A	N	A	Dominant Abnormality	
Abnormal cytogenetics (AA)								
15	0	14	8	12	1	19	-7,+8,+der(7),t(1;7),del(20)	
19	0	21	0	8	0	30	+ 1, -5, 12q - , - 14, - 17	
26	0	19	2	20	0	23	-7,-19	
31	0	24	0	20	0	20	-5, -7, -16, -20, -22	
Mixture of abnormal and normal cyto- genetics (AN)								
2	1	20	15	6	6	14	21q+	
25	1	9	2	13	1	49	-22, + dic(21;22)	
30	7	4	ND	ND	11	1	-7,+der(7),t(1;7)	
34	2	21	0	20	0	11	+8	
35	4	16	0	20	0	20	-3, -5, -7, -17, -20,22a+	

Table 2. Cytogenetic Analyses of Native and Cultured Marrow Cells From Myelodysplastic Patients With Abnormal Karyotypes

Giemsa banding of chromosomes from native BM and BM cells cultured for 7 days in the presence or absence of 5 nmol/L G-CSF. Numbers of normal (N) and abnormal (A) metaphases are depicted. Patients with greater than 10 analyzable metaphases are included.

Abbreviation: ND, not done.

with approximately 5 nmol/L G-CSF and GM-CSF. These dose-response curves indicated that at lower concentrations of CSF, GM-CSF was generally a more potent stimulus for MDS patients than was G-CSF.

The median marrow CFU-GM colony growth from the total group of MDS patients with 5 nmol/L GM-CSF was 44 colonies per 10<sup>5</sup> NABs (range 0 to 620). These values for the group of patients were significantly lower than those obtained from normal marrow cells ( $61 \pm 7$  per 10<sup>5</sup> NAB marrow cells, P < .025). GM-CSF stimulated increased CFU-GM colony growth in the subgroup of MDS patients with cytogenetic abnormalities (52 per 10<sup>5</sup> NABs [range 8 to 620]), in comparison to those with normal cytogenetics (30 per 10<sup>5</sup> NABs [range 0 to 227] [P < .05]). We compared the effects of GM-CSF on CFU-GM colony growth from MDS

patients morphologically categorized as RA versus those with RAEB or RAEB-T. Median colony growth values were 47 (range 3 to 227), 42 (range 0 to 176), and 28 (range 7 to 549) per  $10^5$  NABs, for RA, RAEB, and RAEB-T, respectively, and did not differ significantly from each other.

The median marrow CFU-GM colony growth from the MDS patients with G-CSF (5 nmol/L) as a stimulatory source was 12 (range 0 to 693) per 10<sup>5</sup> NAB marrow cells. As a group, these values were significantly lower than those obtained from normal marrow cells ( $42 \pm 10$  per 10<sup>5</sup> NAB marrow cells, P < .025). G-CSF demonstrated similar CFU-GM proliferative effects for patients with either abnormal or normal cytogenetics (median 11 [range 4 to 693] and 16 [range 0 to 248] per 10<sup>5</sup> NAB marrow cells, respectively). Similarly, no significant differences in CFU-GM growth



Fig 1. Myeloid clonogenic responsiveness of marrow cells from normal subjects (gray area) and individual MDS patients to recombinant human G-CSF and GM-CSF. NAB marrow cells,  $1 \times 10^5$ , were plated in duplicate with various concentrations of G-CSF or GM-CSF; colonies were scored on days 10 and 14, respectively, and calculated as percent of maximal growth. Gray area represents mean  $\pm$  SE of values for six normal individuals.

were noted for differing MDS morphologic categories with G-CSF (21 [range 0 to 242], 12 [range 0 to 253] and 5 [range 0 to 693] per  $10^5$  NAB marrow cells for RA, RAEB, and RAEB-T, respectively).

Comparative analysis indicated that GM-CSF was more potent than G-CSF as a stimulatory source for marrow CFU-GM growth in 19 of 36 MDS patients (median 44 [range 0 to 620] v 12 [range 0 to 693], respectively [P < .05] for all patients]). GM-CSF was also a stronger stimulus than G-CSF for CFU-GM colony growth in MDS patients with abnormal cytogenetics (median 52 [range 8 to 620] v 11 [range 4 to 693]) CFU-GM per 10<sup>5</sup> NAB marrow cells, respectively (P < .025) (Fig 2). Although marrow CFU-GM values for MDS patients with normal marrow cytogenetics were higher with GM-CSF than with G-CSF stimulation (median 30 v 16 per  $10^5$  marrow cells), these differences were not significant (Fig 2). Comparison of myeloid colony growth in morphologic subgroups of MDS indicated that GM-CSF was also a stronger proliferative stimulus than G-CSF for CFU-GM colony growth for patients with RAEB and RAEB-T. Median colony growth was 42 (range 0 to 176) and 28 (range 7 to 549) CFU-GM per 10<sup>5</sup> NAB marrow cells with GM-CSF versus 12 (range 0 to 253) and 5 (range 0 to 693) with G-CSF, respectively (P < .05) (Fig 3). Although



Fig 2. Relationship between marrow cytogenetics and myeloid clonogenic growth in MDS: comparison of stimulation with G-CSF and GM-CSF. NAB marrow cells,  $10^6$ , were plated in duplicate with either 5 nmol/L G-CSF or 5 nmol/L GM-CSF. CFU-GM colonies were scored on days 10 and 14, respectively. (-), Median CFU-GM colony growth. Our normal values for CFU-GM growth with 5 nmol/L G-CSF and 5 nmol/L GM-CSF are 42  $\pm$  7 and 61  $\pm$  10/10<sup>5</sup> NAB marrow cells, respectively. Individual patient responses are connected by the solid lines.

marrow CFU-GM values for RA patients were higher with GM-CSF than with G-CSF stimulation (median 47 v 21 per 10<sup>5</sup> cells), these differences were not statistically significant (Fig 3).

### Erythroid Colony Growth (BFU-E)

In marrow cells from 33 of 36 patients, subnormal BFU-E growth was noted (1 to 45 per  $10^5$  NAB marrow cells). Our normal BFU-E values are  $103 \pm 20$  per  $10^5$  NAB marrow cells, using 1% MoCM and 0.5 U/mL Ep as a stimulus. No BFU-E growth occurred when marrow cells were plated in the absence of added Ep. Neither marrow morphologic classification (FAB) nor cytogenetic abnormalities were associated with differences in BFU-E colony growth. BFU-E colony growth was 5 and 13 per  $10^5$  NAB marrow cells for MDS patients with abnormal and normal cytogenetics, respectively. Similarly, median BFU-E colony growth for RA, RAEB, and RAEB-T patients were 11, 5, and 8 per  $10^5$ NAB marrow cells, respectively.

# Effects of CSFs on Myeloid Cell Differentiation

The differentiative effects of G-CSF and GM-CSF on the EIMCP of MDS marrow cells in liquid culture were assessed by comparing induced granulocytic and monocytic differentiation at day 7 with that at day 0. EIMCP recovery after 7 days of culture was similar for G-CSF, GM-CSF, and medium only  $(72\% \pm 34\%, 68\% \pm 24\%, \text{ and } 58\% \pm 27\%,$ respectively). These values of cell recovery are similar to those we reported previously for normal subjects.<sup>36</sup> Doseresponse curves (with concentrations of 0.5 to 50 nmol/L) for myeloid differentiation of normal (n = 3) and MDS (n = 3)marrow EIMCP in liquid culture indicated that optimal (plateau) effects occurred at 5 nmol/L for both G-CSF and GM-CSF. Seven days of liquid culture had greater myeloid differentiation demonstrated than did 4 days of liquid culture. Thus, these culture conditions were used for further studies. On culture, both CSFs at 5 nmol/L concentration induced an increase in the absolute and relative number of mature granulocytes compared with medium alone (Figs 4 and 5). For the MDS patients, G-CSF induced greater granulocytic differentiation than did GM-CSF (10% v 1%, P < .025) (Table 3, top). The granulocytic differentiative effect of G-CSF was less potent for the MDS patients than for normals (10% v 32%, respectively, P < .05) (Table 3, top). In the 32 patients studied, G-CSF induced granulocytic differentiation in 12 of 18 (67%) MDS patients with normal marrow cytogenetics compared to this effect in only 3 of 14 (21%) patients who had abnormal cytogenetics (P < .025) (Table 3, bottom). G-CSF and GM-CSF induced granulocytic differentiation in 67% versus 27% patients with normal cytogenetics (P < .025) in comparison to only 21% versus 14% patients with abnormal cytogenetics, respectively (P < .005) (Table 3, bottom).

Morphologic subgroups of MDS were also evaluated for differences in granulocytic differentiation. G-CSF induced granulocytic differentiation in cells from 6 of 12 (50%) RAEB patients and 3 of 6 (50%) RAEB-T patients, while GM-CSF induced granulocytic differentiation in none of the



Fig 3. Relationship between marrow morphology and myeloid clonogenic growth in MDS: comparison of stimulation with G-CSF and GM-CSF. NAB marrow cells, 10<sup>5</sup>, were plated in duplicate with either 5 nmol/L G-CSF or GM-CSF. (-), Median CFU-GM colony growth.

patients tested (P < .025) (Table 3, bottom). No difference was observed between G-CSF and GM-CSF granulocytic differentiative capacity for RA patients (50% and 44% of the patients, respectively) (Table 3, bottom). As graphically shown in Fig 6, for marrow cells from both normal subjects and MDS patients, composite data demonstrated that GM-CSF was more potent than G-CSF as a proliferative stimulus, whereas the converse was found for these agents regarding their granulocytic differentiative activity.

Monocytic differentiation after 7-day liquid culture was similar for MDS patients with either G-CSF or GM-CSF, 27% and 33%, respectively (Figs 4 and 5). These values do not differ from the values we reported previously for normals.<sup>36</sup> However, as 18% monocytic differentiation also occurred in the liquid cultures without a stimulus (ie, with medium alone), the monocytic differentiative effect of both CSFs for MDS patients appears to be minimal. Rather, MDS cells seem to possess intrinsic potential for monocytic differentiation in vitro in these conditions.

# Cytogenetics After Liquid Culture

Sixteen of the 36 MDS patients had cytogenetic abnormalities in their native BM, 9 had both normal and abnormal karyotypes (AN), and 7 had only abnormal karyotypes (AA) (Table 1). To more directly determine the ability of G-CSF to enhance differentiation of cytogenetically abnormal versus



Fig 4. Influence of marrow cytogenetics on differentiation and proliferation in liquid suspension culture of MDS enriched immature myeloid cell populations (EIMCP) of marrow cells exposed to G-CSF, GM-CSF, or no stimulus for 7 days compared with the cells initially plated. The histograms represent the absolute number of viable cells. All MDS patients, n = 32; normal cytogenetics, n = 18; abnormal cytogenetics, n = 14; normal subjects, n = 8.



Fig 5. Influence of marrow morphology on differentiation and proliferation in liquid suspension culture of MDS en-riched immature myeloid cell populations of marrow cells exposed to G-CSF, GM-CSF, or no stimulus for 7 days compared with the cells initially plated. The histograms represent the absolute number of viable cells. All MDS patients, n = 30; RA, n = 12; RAEB, n = 12; RAEB-T, n = 6; normal subjects, n = 8.

normal MDS cells, we evaluated cytogenetics of their EIMCP cells grown for 7 days in liquid culture in the presence or absence of G-CSF. In nine of these patients adequate numbers of metaphases were present to permit this analysis. In three of four patients who initially had all abnormal cytogenetics (AA), the same karyotypes were found after culture (Table 2). One of these patients (no. 31) had granulocytic differentiation induced in vitro with G-CSF, indicating possible differentiation of the abnormal cells. In three of the five patients who initially had a mixture of

 Table 3. Neutrophilic Differentiation-Inducing Ability of Recombinant Human CSFs for Normal Subjects and Subgroups of

 Myelodysplastic Syndromes

Marrow Cells	G-CSF*		GM-CSF*
Degree of induced neutrophilic differenti	ation		
Myelodysplastic syndromes			
All patients	10% (0-57) <del>†</del>	‡	1% (0-25)†
Marrow cytogenetics			
Normal	12% (0-46)	§	1% (0-25)
Abnormal	4% (0-57)	NS	1% (0-15)
Marrow morphology			
RA	18% (0-57)	NS	7% (0-25)
RAEB	9% (1-46)	ş	1% (1-9)
RAEB-T	8% (2-17)	ş	0% (0-1)
Normals	32% (16-67)	‡	18% (7-41)
No. of patients with induced neutrophilic	differentiation		
Myelodysplastic syndromes			
All patients	15/32 (47%)	t	4/18 (22%)
Marrow morphology			
RA	6/12 (50%)	NS	4/9 (44%)
RAEB	6/12 (50%)	ş	0/4 (0%)
RAEB-T	3/6 (50%)	ş	0/5 (0%)
Marrow cytogenetics			
Normal	12/18 (67%)	§	3/11 (27%)
Abnormal	3/14 (21%)	NS	1/7 (14%)
Normals	8/9 (89%)	NS	4/6 (67%)

\*5 nmol/L.

†Results are medians (range) of the absolute mature neutrophilic cell number relative to the initial cell inoculum, expressed in percent.

 $\pm P < .025.$ 

§*P* < .005.

Proportion of patients with induced differentiation, ie, ≥10% of enriched immature marrow cells developing into mature neutrophils after 7 days of liquid culture.



Fig 6. Relationship between proliferation (CFU-GM colony growth) and granulocytic differentiation induced by G-CSF and GM-CSF in marrow cells from myelodysplastic syndrome patients and normal individuals. Cells were stimulated by 5 nmol/L G-CSF (solid symbols) or 5 nmol/L GM-CSF (open symbols). All MDS patients,  $n = 36 (\Phi, O)$ ; RA,  $n = 13 (\Delta, \Delta)$ ; RAEB,  $n = 14 (\blacksquare, \Box)$ ; RAEB-T,  $n = 9 (\Psi, \nabla)$ ; normal cytogenetics,  $n = 18 (\Phi, \diamond)$ ; abnormal cytogenetics, n = 14 ( , ); normal subjects,  $n = 8 (\bigstar, \diamond)$ . Mean values of CFU-GM proliferation and absolute numbers of mature neutrophil induced differentiation for each patient group is shown.

abnormal and normal cytogenetics (AN), similar proportions of cells with these karyotypes were present after culture (Table 2), indicating in vitro responsiveness or persistence of both the cytogenetically normal and abnormal cells to G-CSF. In two AN patients (nos. 34 and 35) only abnormal metaphases were found after liquid culture, suggesting possible proliferative or survival advantage of the abnormal cells in these patients. Similar persistence of cytogenically abnormal and normal cells were found in four of these patients (one AA, three AN) after stimulation with GM-CSF (data not shown).

# DISCUSSION

Our studies describe hematopoietic precursor proliferative and differentiative responsiveness to G-CSF and GM-CSF in vitro of marrow cells from a relatively large group of MDS patients, comparing these effects in differing cytogenetic and morphologic subgroups of these patients. We demonstrated that GM-CSF was a more potent clonogenic myeloid proliferative stimulus than G-CSF for marrow cells from MDS patients. This feature was particularly prominant in cytogenetically abnormal patients and those with RAEB/RAEB-T. Previous studies have shown the abnormal (possibly leukemic) clones within MDS marrow to be more responsive to GM-CSF than to G-CSF.<sup>13,14,47-50</sup> Prior studies have demonstrated stronger proliferative effects of GM-CSF than G-CSF for murine and human leukemic blasts.<sup>12,13,17,51</sup> These findings indicate that biologic parameters such as marrow cytogenetics as well as marrow morphologic features (FAB subtype) correlate with responses to GM-CSF and G-CSF in MDS patients.

Our demonstration of subnormal myeloid clonogenicity for MDS patients with recombinant GM-CSF is in agreement with previous reports with this recombinant material,<sup>26,37,38</sup> and those using nonpurified CSFs.<sup>3,5,29,35,52</sup> In addition, we

have also demonstrated subnormal colony growth for MDS patients with recombinant human G-CSF. Dose-response curves with these agents (Fig 1) showed normal or enhanced myeloid proliferative clonogenic responses in MDS to GM-CSF in contrast to normal or diminished responses with G-CSF. Increased CFU-GM responses of MDS precursors to high GM-CSF concentrations have also been shown in a previous study.<sup>38</sup>

Differing from their effects on clonogenic hematopoietic precursors, granulocytic differentiation induction of MDS marrow cells was more prominent with G-CSF than with GM-CSF. This was particularly evident for cells from RAEB and RAEB-T MDS patients, and patients lacking cytogenetic abnormalities (Figs 4 and 5, Table 3). The stronger granulocytic differentiative effect of G-CSF compared with GM-CSF confirms previous studies indicating that G-CSF is predominantly differentiative, whereas GM-CSF has mainly proliferative effects.<sup>14,51,53</sup> However, this effect was heterogeneous and less than that occurring for cytogenetically normal cells. The diminished differentiative response of MDS cells compared with that for normal marrow cells suggests intrinsic differences in the differentiative potential of normal versus leukemic (preleukemic) cell populations to G-CSF, or that additional growth factors are needed for optimal cell differentiation. Further, both G-CSF and GM-CSF had particularly poor granulocytic differentiation potential in MDS patients with cytogenetic abnormalities. These data suggest that cytogenetically abnormal cells have more defective differentiation ability than those that are cytogenetically normal. To more directly determine the differentiative ability of the cytogenetically abnormal MDS marrow cells to G-CSF we analyzed their karyotypes after cell growth in liquid culture. Our results demonstrated that in the MDS patients with native cytogenetic abnormalities, the same karyotypes were also generally present after culture with G-CSF. These karyotypic abnormalities noted in culture reflected mitoses of immature and intermediate myeloid precursor cells and suggest induced granulocytic differentiation in vitro by G-CSF. However, direct proof of this point requires analysis of the mature neutrophils themselves by methods such as genetic restriction fragment length polymorphisms (RFLP).<sup>54</sup> RFLP analysis of cells from a responding female patient (whose in vitro marrow culture studies are reported in this article), demonstrated clonality in her resultant peripheral blood neutrophils on in vivo treatment with G-CSF, <sup>55</sup> suggesting induced differentiation of the abnormal clone in vivo. However, in an MDS patient treated with GM-CSF, polyclonality was demonstrated in the responding neutrophils.<sup>56</sup>

Reports in the literature indicate induction of monocytic differentiation by GM-CSF.<sup>15,16</sup> Although our study also showed this effect, we also observed monocytic differentiation of MDS patients on cell exposure to medium alone. This

1. Saarni MI, Linman JW: Preleukemia: The hematologic syndrome preceding acute leukemia. Am J Med 55:38, 1973

2. Linman JW, Bagby GC: The preleukemic syndrome: (Hemopoietic dysplasia). Cancer 42:854, 1978

3. Greenberg PL: The smoldering myeloid leukemic states. Clinical and biologic features. Blood 61:1035, 1983

4. Greenberg PL, Mara B: The preleukemic syndrome. Correlation of in-vitro parameters of granulopoiesis with clinical features. Am J Med 66:951, 1979

5. Koeffler HP, Golde DW: Human preleukemia. Ann Intern Med 93:347, 1980

6. Souza LM, Boone TC, Gabrilove J, Lai PG, Zsabo KM, Murdock DC, Chazin VR, Bruszewski J, Lu H, Chen K, Barendt J, Platzer E, Moore MAS, Mertelsmann R, Welte K: Recombinant human granulocyte colony stimulating factor effects on normal and leukemic myeloid cells. Science 232:61, 1986

7. Gasson JC, Kaufman SE, Weisbart RH, Tomonaga M, Golde DW: High efficiency binding of granulocyte macrophage colony stimulating factor to normal and leukemic human myeloid cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 83:669, 1986

8. Seiff CA: Hematopoietic growth factors. J Clin Invest 79:1549, 1987

9. Metcalf D: The molecular biology and functions of the granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factors. Blood 67:257, 1986

10. Begley CE, Metcalf D, Nicola NA: Primary human myeloid leukemia cells: Comparative responsiveness to proliferative stimulation by GM-CSF or G-CSF and membrane expression of CSF receptors. Leukemia 1:1, 1987

11. Cohen AM, Zsebo KM, Inoue H, Hines D, Boone TC, Chazin VR, Tsai L, Ritch T, Souza LM: In-vitro stimulation of granulopoiesis by recombinant human granulocyte colony-stimulating factor. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 84:2484, 1987

12. Metcalf D: The granulocyte-macrophage-colony-stimulating factors. Science 229:16, 1985

13. Miyauchi J, Kelleher CA, Yang YC, Wong GC, Clark SC, Minden MD, Minkin S, McCulloch EA: The effects of three recombinant growth factors, IL-3, GM-CSF and G-CSF, on the blast cells of acute myeloblastic leukemia maintained in short-term suspension culture. Blood 76:657, 1987

14. Vellenga E, Young DC, Wagner K, Wier D, Ostapovicz D, Griffin JD: The effects of GM-CSF and G-CSF in promoting growth of clonogenic cells in acute myeloblastic leukemia. Blood 69:1771, 1987

"spontaneous" monocytic cell differentiation may be attributed to factors present in the FCS or to an intrinsic monocytic differentiation potential of the cells.

Our data indicated that biologic parameters such as cytogenetic abnormalities and morphologic classification contributed to the in vitro proliferative and differentiative responses of MDS marrow cells to G-CSF and GM-CSF. Neutrophil elevations occur in most MDS patients treated with either G-CSF or GM-CSF.<sup>24,27</sup> Although a small proportion of MDS patients treated with either CSF had developed AML,<sup>26,27,55</sup> consistent with our in vitro findings one of the trials using GM-CSF suggested that MDS patients with high marrow blast counts may be more susceptible to blastic responses in vivo.<sup>26</sup> Prospective investigations will be necessary to determine the possible utility of such in vitro studies for designing in vivo clinical trials with these CSFs.

# REFERENCES

15. Tomonaga M, Golde DW, Gasson JC: Biosynthetic (recombinant) human granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor: Effect of normal bone marrow and leukemia cell lines. Blood 67:31, 1986

16. Nicola NA: Granulocyte colony-stimulating factor and differentiation-inducing in myeloid leukemic cells. Int J Cell Cloning 5:1, 1987

17. Metcalf D, Nicola NA: Autoinduction of differentiation in WEHI-3B leukemia cells. Int J Cancer 30:773, 1982

18. Lotem J, Sachs L: In-vivo inhibition of the development of myeloid leukemia by injection of macrophage and granulocyte inducing proteins. Int J Cancer 28:375, 1981

19. Lotem J, Sachs L: Control of in-vivo differentiation of myeloid leukemia cells. IV. Inhibition of leukemia development by myeloid differentiation-inducing protein. Int J Cancer 33:147, 1984

20. Begley CG, Metcalf D, Nicola NA: Purified colony stimulating factors (G-CSF and GM-CSF) induce differentiation in human HL60 leukemic cells with suppression of clonogenecity. Int J Cancer 39:99, 1987

21. Sachs L: Annotation: The differentiation of myeloid leukemia cells: New possibilities for therapy. Br J Haematol 40:509, 1978

22. Hozumi M: Fundamentals of chemotherapy of myeloid leukemia by induction of leukemia cell differentiation. Adv Cancer Res 38:121, 1983

23. Sachs L: The molecular control of blood cell development. Science 238:1374, 1987

24. Vadhan-Raj S, Keating M, LeMaistre A, Hittleman WN, McCredie K, Trujillo JM, Broxmeyer HE, Christopher H, Gutterman JU: Effects of recombinant human granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor in patients with myelodysplastic syndromes. N Engl J Med 317:1545, 1987

25. Negrin RS, Haeuber DH, Nagler A, Olds LC, Donlon T, Souza LM, Greenberg PL: Treatment of myelodysplastic syndromes with recombinant human granulocyte colony stimulating factor. Ann Intern Med 110:976, 1989

26. Ganser A, Volkers B, Greher J, Ottmann OG, Walther F, Becher R, Bergmann L, Schulz G, Hoelzer D: Recombinant human granulocyte-macrophate colony-stimulating factor in patients with myelodysplastic syndromes. Blood 73:31, 1989

27. Antin JH, Smith BR, Holmes W, Rosenthal S: Phase I/II study of recombinant human granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor in aplastic anemia and myelodysplastic syndrome. Blood 72:705, 1989

#### G-CSF AND GM-CSF IN MYELODYSPLASTIC SYNDROMES

28. Lotem J, Sachs L: Mechanisms that uncouple growth and differentiation in myeloid leukemia cells. Restoration of requirement for normal growth inducing protein without restoring induction of differentiation protein. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 79:4347, 1982

29. Greenberg PL: In-vitro culture techniques defining biologic abnormalities in myelodysplastic syndromes and myeloproliferative disorders. Clin Haematol 15:973, 1986

30. Streuli RA, Testa JR, Vardiman JW, Mintz U, Golomb HM, Rowley JD: Dysmyelopoietic syndrome: Sequential clinical and cytogenetic studies. Blood 55:636, 1980

31. Tricot G, Blietinck R, Boogaerts MS, Hendrick B, Wolf-Peeters CD, Van Den Berghe H, Verwilghen RL: Prognostic factors in myelodysplastic syndromes: Importance of initial data on peripheral blood count, bone marrow cytology, trephine biopsy and chromosomal analysis. Br J Haematol 60:19, 1985

32. Jacobs RH, Cornbleet MA, Vardiman JW, Larson RA, LeBeau MM, Rowley JF: Prognostic implications of morphology and karyotype in primary myelodysplastic syndromes. Blood 67: 1765, 1986

33. Yunis JJ, Rydell RE, Oken MM, Arnensen MA, Mayer MG, Lobell M: Refined chromosome analysis as an independent prognostic indication in de nono myelodysplastic syndromes. Blood 67:1721, 1986

34. Sanz GF, Sanz MA, Vallespi T, Canizo MC, Torrabadella M, Garcia S, Irriguible D, Miguel JFS: Two regression models and a scoring system for predicting survival and planning treatment in myelodysplastic syndromes: A multivariate analysis of prognistic factors in 370 patients. Blood 74:395, 1989

35. Nagler A, Riklis I, Tatarsky I, Fabian I: Effects of 1,25 dihydroxyvitamin  $D_3$  and 13-cis-retinoic acid on in-vitro hematopoiesis in the myelodysplastic syndromes. J Lab Clin Med 110:237, 1987

36. Nagler A, Ginzton N, Negrin RS, Bangs C, Donlon T, Greenberg PL: Effects of recombinant human granulocyte and granulocyte-monocyte colony stimulating factors on in vitro hemopoiesis in the myelodysplastic syndromes. Leukemia 4:193, 1990

37. Stella CC, Cazzola M, Bergamaschi G, Bernasconi P, Dezza L, Invernizzi R, Pedrazzoli P: Growth of hematopoietic colonies from patients with myelodysplastic syndromes in response to recombinant human granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor. Leukemia 3:363, 1989

38. Mayani H, Baines P, Bowen DT, Jacobs A: In-vitro growth of myeloid and erythroid progenitor cells from myelodysplastic patients in response to recombinant human granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factors. Leukemia 3:29, 1989

39. Bennett JM, Catovsky D, Daniel MT, Flandrin G, Galton DAG, Gralnick HR, Sultan C: FAB Cooperative Group: Proposals for the classification of the myelodysplastic syndromes. Br J Haematol 51:189, 1982

40. Griffin JD, Ritz J, Nadler LM, Schlossman SF: Expression of myeloid differentiation antigens on normal and malignant myeloid cells. J Clin Invest 68:932, 1981

41. Loken MR, Shah VO, Dattilio KL, Civin CI: Flow cytometric analysis of human bone marrow: I. Normal erythroid development. Blood 69:255, 1987 42. Greenberg PL, Baker S, Link M, Minowada J: Immunologic selection of hemopoietic precursor cells utilizing antibody-mediated plate binding ("panning"). Blood 65:190, 1985

43. Nagler A, Riklis I, Kletter Y, Tatarsky I, Fabian I: Effects of 1,25 dihydroxyvitamin  $D_3$  and retinoic acid on normal pluripotent erythroid and myeloid progenitor cell growth and differentation patterns. Exp Hematol 14:60, 1986

44. Yunis JJ, Chandler ME: High-resolution chromosome analysis in clinical medicine. Prog Clin Pathol 7:267, 1977

45. Seabright M: A rapid banding technique for human chromosomes. Lancet 2:971, 1971

46. Gibas LM, Jackson LG: A new hypotonic solution for cytogenetic analysis of leukemia bone marrow cells. Karyogram 11:91, 1985

47. Vellenga E, Ostapovicz D, O'Rourke B, Griffin JD: Effects of recombinant IL-3, GM-CSF and G-CSF on proliferation of leukemic clonogenic cells in short-term and long-term cultures. Leukemia 1:584, 1987

48. Griffin JD, Henman DYF, Wiper D, Wagner K, Sabbath KD: Effects of recombinant human GM-CSF on proliferation of clonogenic cells in acute myeloblastic leukemia. Blood 67:1448, 1986

49. Vellenga E, Delwel HR, Touw IP, Lowenberg B: Patterns of acute myeloid leukemic colony growth in response to recombinant granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor. Exp Hematol 15:652, 1987

50. Hoang T, Nara N, Wong G, Clark S, Minden MD, McCulloch EA: Effects of recombinant GM-CSF on the blast cells of acute myeloblastic leukemia. Blood 68:313, 1986

51. Strife A, Lambek C, Wisniewski D, Gulati S, Gasson JC, Golde DW, Welte K, Gabrilove JL, Clarkson B: Activities of four purified growth factors on highly enriched human hematopoietic progenitor cells. Blood 69:1508, 1987

52. Swanson G, Picozzi V, Morgan R, Hecht F, Greenberg PL: Responses of hemopoietic precursors to 13-cis retinoic acid and 1,25 dihydroxyvitamin  $D_3$  in the myelodysplastic syndromes. Blood 67: 1154, 1986

53. Nicola NA, Begler CG, Metcalf D: Identification of human analogue of a regulator that induces differentiation in murine leukemic cells. Nature 341:625, 1985

54. Janssen JWG, Buschle M, Layton M, Drexler HG, Lyons J, van den Berghe H, Heimpel H, Kubanek B, Kleihauer E, Mufti GJ, Bartram CR: Clonal analysis of myelodysplastic syndromes: Evidence of multipotent stem cell origin. Blood 73:248, 1989

55. Negrin RS, Haeuber DH, Nagler A, Kobayashi Y, Sklar J, Vincent M, Greenberg PL: Maintenance treatment of patients with myelodysplastic syndromes using recombinant human granulocyte colony-stimulating factor. Blood 76:36, 1990

56. Vadhan-Raj S, Broxmeyer HE, Spitzer G, LeMaistre A, Hultman S, Ventura G, Tigaud J-D, Cork MA, Trujillo JM, Gutterman JU, Hittelman WN: Stimulation of nonclonal hematopoiesis and suppression of the neoplastic clone after treatment with recombinant human granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor in a patient with therapy-related myelodysplastic syndrome. Blood 74:1491, 1989