## MYELOID NEOPLASIA

# RUNX1 isoform disequilibrium promotes the development of trisomy 21-associated myeloid leukemia 
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Gain of chromosome 21 (Hsa21) is among the most frequent aneuploidies in leukemia. However, it remains unclear how partial or complete amplifications of Hsa21 promote leukemogenesis and why children with Down syndrome (DS) (ie, trisomy 21) are particularly at risk of leukemia development. Here, we propose that RUNX1 isoform disequilibrium with RUNX1A bias is key to DS-associated myeloid leukemia (ML-DS). Starting with Hsa21-focused CRISPR-CRISPR-associated protein 9 screens, we uncovered a strong and specific RUNX1 dependency in ML-DS cells. Expression of the RUNX1A isoform is elevated in patients with ML-DS, and mechanistic studies using murine ML-DS models and patient-derived xenografts revealed that excess RUNX1A synergizes with the pathognomonic Gata1s mutation during leukemogenesis by displacing RUNX1C from its endogenous binding sites and inducing oncogenic programs in complex with the MYC cofactor MAX. These effects were reversed by restoring the RUNX1A:RUNX1C equilibrium in patient-derived xenografts in vitro and in vivo. Moreover, pharmacological interference with MYC:MAX dimerization using MYCi361 exerted strong antileukemic effects. Thus, our study highlights the importance of alternative splicing in leukemogenesis, even on a background of aneuploidy, and paves the way for the development of specific and targeted therapies for ML-DS, as well as for other leukemias with Hsa21 aneuploidy or RUNX1 isoform disequilibrium.

## Introduction

Major multiomic efforts have mapped the cytogenetic, mutational, and epigenetic landscape of many cancers, including acute myeloid leukemia (AML). ${ }^{1,2}$ Subsequent functional studies involving disease modeling in mice or in human cells have pointed toward complex cooperation between common fusion oncogenes and recurrently mutated genes during disease initiation and progression. However, the contribution of aneuploidy to oncogenesis remains poorly understood ${ }^{3}$ because of contextdependent effects, technical challenges, and a lack of appropriate models. Gain of chromosome 21 (Hsa21), one of the most frequent numerical alterations in leukemia, ${ }^{4,5}$ is no exception.

Myeloid leukemia associated with Down syndrome (ML-DS) and its preleukemic antecedent, transient abnormal myelopoiesis (TAM), are excellent paradigms for studying leukemic progression associated with trisomy 21 . TAM is caused by a single genetic mutation in the transcription factor GATA1 in trisomic fetal stem and/or progenitor cells, which causes the exclusive expression of a shorter isoform known as GATA1s. ${ }^{6}$ We, and others, have shed light on the additional mutational events that are required for progression to overt ML-DS, ${ }^{7,8}$ however, far less is known about the role of trisomy 21 in the development of the TAM/ML-DS disease phenotype. A critical region has been identified on Hsa21 that mediates the expansion of early hematopoietic progenitors observed in patients with $\mathrm{DS}^{9-11}$ and
several Hsa21 genes, such as ERG, ${ }^{12,13}$ DYRK1A, ${ }^{14}$ CHAF1B, ${ }^{15}$ and microRNA 125b (miR-125b), ${ }^{16,17}$ have been postulated to play a role in leukemogenesis. In contrast, Ts65dn mice that are trisomic for 104 orthologs of Hsa21 genes do not fully recapitulate the human phenotype in association with GATA1s, ${ }^{18}$ and the postulated factors are either located outside of the critical region, not overexpressed in trisomic fetal progenitor cells, ${ }^{11}$ or lack full leukemic potential in humans when combined with mutated GATA1s. ${ }^{14,19,20}$

The transcription factor RUNX1, which is essential for the establishment of definitive hematopoiesis, ${ }^{21-23}$ has attracted considerable attention as a candidate Hsa21 oncogene. Although RUNX1 has been extensively studied in leukemia development, ${ }^{24,25}$ its expression is reduced in trisomic fetal progenitor cells ${ }^{11}$ and its trisomy is dispensable for myeloproliferative disease in elderly Ts65dn mice. ${ }^{24}$ Despite initial hints pointing toward differential roles for alternatively spliced RUNX1 isoforms in ML-DS, ${ }^{26}$ studies, to date, have not accounted for the fact that RUNX1 is transcribed from 2 distinct promoters and undergoes alternative splicing, giving rise to 3 main isoforms with diverse effects on hematopoiesis ${ }^{27}$ : RUNX1C, transcribed from the P1 promoter, is the most abundant isoform in definitive hematopoiesis. RUNX1A and RUNX1B, both transcribed from the P2 promoter, are differentially expressed throughout hematopoietic differentiation. ${ }^{28-30}$ Interestingly, because of the lack of a splice acceptor site in an isoform-specific exon, mice do not express RUNX1A, the short RUNX1 isoform that lacks the transactivation domain, underlining important species-specific differences ${ }^{31}$ and contributing, in part, to the incomplete understanding of isoform-specific roles for RUNX1.

In this study, we systematically investigated protein-coding genes on Hsa21 for dependency in ML-DS and found that disequilibrium of the RUNX1 isoforms, specifically excess RUNX1A, is key to trisomy 21-associated leukemogenesis.

## Methods

## Reagents and resources

Supplemental Table 1, available on the Blood website, contains a list of all relevant reagents.

## Patient samples

Samples from pediatric patients with AML were collected from patients enrolled in the AML Berlin-Frankfurt-Münster treatment protocols for children and adolescents. Written, informed consent was obtained from all patients and custodians in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and local laws and regulations, and the study was approved by the institutional review boards of all participating centers. For details, refer to supplemental Table 2.

## Animal studies

All animal experiments were performed according to protocols approved by the local authorities (Niedersächsisches Landesamt für Verbraucherschutz und Lebensmittelsicherheit, Landesverwaltungsamt Sachsen-Anhalt, and Regierungspräsidium Darmstadt). The animals were maintained under pathogen-free conditions.

## Statistical analysis

Statistical evaluation was performed using the Student $t$ test, the Mann-Whitney $U$ test, and 1-way or 2-way analysis of variance. The Kaplan-Meier method and log-rank tests were used to estimate overall survival and to compare differences between survival curves, respectively. All data are presented as mean $\pm$ standard deviation. Calculations were performed using GraphPad Prism version 8/9 (STATCON). All statistical tests and sample numbers are disclosed in the respective figure legends/ supplemental tables.

Additional detailed methods can be found in the supplemental Methods.

## Results <br> CRISPR-Cas9 screen reveals RUNX1 dependency in ML-DS

To identify potential oncogenes on Hsa21 that contribute to the pathogenesis of TAM and ML-DS, we generated a lentiviral CRISPR-CRISPR-associated protein 9 (CRISPR-Cas9) library (1090 single-guide RNA [sgRNA]) targeting the 218 annotated coding genes on Hsa21 and performed a dropout screen in the ML-DS cell line CMK, as well as in the erythroleukemia cell line K562 (Figure 1A). We identified 19 genes specifically required for the survival of CMK cells (Figure 1B; supplemental Table 3). Interestingly, we observed a strong depletion of RUNX1targeting sgRNAs in CMK but not in K562 cells (Figure 1B-C). Subsequent flow cytometry-based depletion assays using individual sgRNAs found RUNX1 to be the most specific ML-DS dependency in our screen, with the sgRNAs' impact on cell survival corresponding to RUNX1 knockdown levels (Figure 1C; supplemental Figure 1A-D). These results were recapitulated in vitro in stable Cas9-expressing blasts derived from patients with ML-DS and in vivo by fluorescence-based competitive transplantation assays (Figure 1C-E; supplemental Figure 1E-G; supplemental Table 2).

Considering that former studies have disputed the role of RUNX1 in TAM/ML-DS pathogenesis, and given that Bourquin et al hypothesized that alternatively spliced RUNX1 isoforms may lead the reduced expression of RUNX1 targets in MLDS, ${ }^{11,24,26}$ we wondered whether deregulation of the RUNX1 isoforms, rather than altered overall expression, might underlie its dependency phenotype in ML-DS cells. Nanopore full-length RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) revealed that the main isoforms, RUNX1A, RUNX1B, and RUNX1C, are predominant in TAM and ML-DS (Figure 1F). Thus, we further quantified the expression of these 3 isoforms in healthy hematopoietic cells (hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells [HSPCs], erythrocytes, megakaryocytes, granulocytes, and monocytes) and TAM/ML-DS blasts. RUNX1C is the predominant isoform in all cell types, but ML-DS and trisomy 21 samples presented with elevated expression of the RUNX1A isoform, resulting in a significantly higher RUNX1A:RUNX1B/C ratio compared with HSPCs or terminally differentiated cells (Figure 1G; supplemental Figure 1H-K).

Notably, trisomy 21 is associated with an elevated RUNX1A: RUNX1B/C ratio, as determined by comparing fetal trisomy 21 and nontrisomic CD34 ${ }^{+}$HSPCs (supplemental Figure $1 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{I}$ ). Interestingly, this imbalance can be induced by elevated levels
A
Hsa 21
sgRNA library lentivirus

B




C


Figure 1. CRISPR-Cas9 screen reveals RUNX1 dependency in ML-DS. (A) Schema of the high-throughput Hsa21 CRISPR-Cas9 in vitro screen. (B) Dot plots showing the $\log _{10}$ fold change and - $\log _{10}$ (P 2-sided) of the Hsa21 sgRNAs in CMK (left), K562 (middle), and CMK + K562 (right; maximum likelihood estimation [MLE] $\beta$ score) cell lines. Dark green dots represent highly depleting sgRNAs in CMK cells that also deplete in K562s. Light green dots represent positive controls (MYB, MYC, ACTB, SF3B3, RPL9,
of the splicing factor subunit U2AF1, which is encoded by chromosome 21 (supplemental Figure 2A). Moreover, GATA1s' ability to regulate RUNX1 isoforms appears to be impaired compared with full-length GATA1; it shows reduced occupancy at the RUNX1 P1 und P2 promoter regions in Gata1s-fetal liver cells (FLCs) (supplemental Figure 2B-D) and causes higher RUNX1A levels in CRISPR-Cas9-edited human megakaryocytic/ erythroid precursors cultured in vitro compared with wild-type controls (supplemental Figure 2E). Induced expression of GATA1 and GATA1s in K562 cells activated transcription from the P2 promoter, leading to increased RUNX1A and RUNX1B expression, whereas RUNX1C transcription from the P1 promoter was enhanced by GATA1 but repressed by GATA1s (supplemental Figure 2F). Altogether, these data suggest a mechanism in which trisomy 21 and GATA1s synergize to amplify RUNX1 isoform imbalance.

Thus, our CRISPR-Cas9 screen of Hsa21 genes and subsequent RUNX1 isoform expression analyses in patient samples suggest that RUNX1 isoform disequilibrium and RUNX1A bias contribute to the pathogenesis of TAM and ML-DS.

## Increased RUNX1A:RUNX1C ratio induces malignant ML-DS phenotype

To probe the functional relevance of unbalanced RUNX1 isoform expression in TAM and ML-DS development, we modulated the RUNX1A:RUNX1C ratio in fetal and neonatal CD34 ${ }^{+}$HSPCs of human origin and in patient-derived ML-DS blasts (supplemental Figure 3A). Methylcellulose-based colony-forming unit assays showed the sustained growth of RUNX1A-expressing CD34 ${ }^{+}$ HSPCs, as evidenced by increased replating capacity (Figure 2A). In culture conditions that promote megakaryocytic differentiation, RUNX1A expression induced the expansion of CD34 ${ }^{+}$ immature cells and blocked their differentiation to $\mathrm{CD} 41^{+}$/ CD61 ${ }^{+}$CD42b ${ }^{+}$megakaryocytes (Figure 2B-C; supplemental Figure 3B), effects that were more pronounced in fetal cells and that we confirmed in collagen-based megakaryocytic colony-forming unit assays (supplemental Figure 3C). To better understand the effects of RUNX1A and RUNX1C on lineage fate decision, we also cultured CD34 ${ }^{+}$HSPCs in conditions allowing for differentiation along the erythroid as well as megakaryocytic lineages. RUNX1C and RUNX1A conferred a lineage bias toward megakaryopoiesis (CD41+/CD235a-) over erythropoiesis (CD41-/CD235a ${ }^{+}$) in neonatal CD34 ${ }^{+}$HSPCs. RUNX1A halted cells in an undifferentiated $\mathrm{CD117}^{+}$stage (supplemental Figure 3D-E).

Importantly, restoring the RUNX1A:RUNX1C equilibrium through RUNX1C expression in patient-derived ML-DS blasts (supplemental Figure 3A) halted proliferation and accelerated differentiation, as indicated by loss of CD117 and gain of CD33
and CD41 expression (Figure 2D-F). Inversely, further increasing the expression of RUNX1A conferred a mild growth advantage in ML-DS blasts and an increase in the fraction of cells with an immature phenotype (CD33 ${ }^{+}$CD117 ${ }^{+}$ or CD41+CD42b ${ }^{-}$CD117 ${ }^{+}$myeloid/megakaryocytic blasts; Figure 2D-F). Lastly, we evaluated restoration of the RUNX1A:RUNX1C ratio in vivo through fluorescence-based competitive transplantation assays using 2 patient-derived xenografts from patients with ML-DS (Figure 2G). In both cases, RUNX1C-expressing leukemic blasts were significantly diminished in the bone marrow of recipient mice at the experimental end point, whereas RUNX1A-expressing leukemic blasts were unchanged compared with control-transduced blasts (Figure 2H).

In summary, these experiments in primary human cells depict a landscape of perturbed differentiation and accelerated proliferation guided by RUNX1A. Our data further demonstrate that restoring RUNX1A:RUNX1C equilibrium can overcome differentiation arrest in ML-DS blasts, leading to their depletion.

## RUNX1A synergizes with Gata1s in the leukemic transformation of FLCs

Because TAM occurs in utero, implying a fetal origin for this disease, we employed a murine FLC-based in vitro model to study the oncogenic potential of RUNX1 isoform imbalance in concert with mutated Gata1s (supplemental Figure 3A).? Notably, mice do not express the RUNX1A isoform, ${ }^{31}$ underlining important species-specific differences that could explain the inability of previous DS mouse models to recapitulate the human TAM/ML-DS phenotype. ${ }^{18,32}$ Combined lentiviral transduction of Cas9-knockin FLCs with RUNX1A and a sgRNA targeting exon 2 of Gata1, thereby introducing Gata1s mutations, indeed resulted in a robust hyperproliferative phenotype (Figure 3A; 4140-fold) compared with cells transduced with a combination of a nontargeting control sgRNA and an empty vector (EV). Individually, Gata1s (sgGata1 + EV) and RUNX1A expression (control sgRNA + RUNX1A) also enhanced growth (Figure 3A; 366-fold and 140-fold, respectively), but the effect was less pronounced than in combination, suggesting synergy between Gata1s and RUNX1A. Inversely, ectopic expression of RUNX1C or RUNX1B alone or in combination with Gata1s mutations resulted in a growth disadvantage (Figure 3A; supplemental Figure 4A). We note that none of the other Hsa21 candidate genes selected from our sgRNA dropout screen produced a synergistic hyperproliferative phenotype with Gata1s (supplemental Figure 4B). Immunophenotypically, the hyperproliferative RUNX1A Gata1s-FLCs were mainly CD117 ${ }^{+} \mathrm{CD} 41^{+}$and partially CD71+ Ter119 ${ }^{+}$(Figure 3B), corresponding to megakaryocytic progenitors with erythroid features, a hallmark of TAM/ML-DS. The expression of erythroid

Figure 1 (continued) and POLR2A). Blue dots represent sgRNAs targeting RUNX1. (C) Dot plot showing the number of sgRNA-transduced (control sgRNA [sgCtrl] and sgRUNX1.1-1.5 indicated by shape) CMK and K562 cells (with stable Cas9 expression) after 14 days of culture, normalized to day 0 ( $n=2$ per sgRNA, 2 -tailed unpaired $t$ test). (D) Experimental setup for evaluating RUNX1 knockout in vivo. ML-DS blasts (with stable Cas9 expression) were transduced with sgRUNX1 (E2Crimson ${ }^{+}$and sgRUNX1.1) or a $\operatorname{sgCtrl}\left(E 2 C r i m s o{ }^{+}\right)$and mixed $1: 1$ with sgCtrl-transduced blasts (GFP ${ }^{+}$), before transplantation into sublethally irradiated recipient mice. (E) Dot plot showing the ratio of E2Crimson ${ }^{+}$to green fluorescent protein-positive (GFP ${ }^{+}$) cells after 12 days of culture, normalized to day 0 (left; $n=4$, 2 -tailed unpaired $t$ test) and in the bone marrow of mice euthanized 6 to 8 weeks after transplantation (right; $n=5$, Mann Whitney $U$ test). (F) Schematic representation of the human RUNX1 genomic locus (top) and the 3 main $R U N X 1$ transcript isoforms (bottom; not to scale). Functional exons encoding the DNA-binding domain (Runt; red) and transactivation domain (TAD; blue) are indicated. Bar graph showing RUNX1 isoform distribution (Oxford Nanopore sequencing) in polyA ${ }^{+}$-enriched RNA samples from TAM and ML-DS samples. (G) Expression of RUNX1A and RUNX1C isoforms normalized to the expression of $\beta 2$-microglobulin (B2M) (left graph; 2-way analysis of variance [ANOVA]) and RUNX1A to RUNX1C ratios (right graph; 1-way ANOVA) in CD34 ${ }^{+}$HSPCs, erythrocytes, megakaryocytes, granulocytes, and monocytes isolated from healthy donors, as well as in leukemic blasts from patients with TAM/ML-DS. gDNA, genomic DNA; NGS, next-generation sequencing; PCR, polymerase chain reaction.


Figure 2. Increased RUNX1A:RUNX1C ratio induces malignant ML-DS phenotype. (A-C) Neonatal (top) and fetal (bottom) CD34 ${ }^{+}$HSPCs were lentivirally transduced with RUNX1A, RUNX1C, or EV control. (A) Colonies after plating CD34 ${ }^{+}$HSPCs from 2 independent donors in methylcellulose-based colony-forming unit (CFU) assays (mean $\pm$ standard deviation [SD], $n=2,1$-way ANOVA). Percentage of immature ( $\mathrm{CD} 41^{+} \mathrm{CD} 61^{+} / \mathrm{CD} 42^{-}$) and mature megakaryocytes (CD41+CD61 $/$CD42 $)(\mathrm{B})$ and immature $\mathrm{CD} 34^{+}$
markers was increased upon RUNX1A expression compared with control Gata1s-FLCs (Figure 3B). The synergistic effect of RUNX1A and Gata1s on the proliferation of FLCs was also observed in culture conditions promoting the expansion and differentiation of erythroid progenitor cells but not in conditions promoting myeloid expansion and differentiation (supplemental Figure 4C).

Comparative transcriptomic analysis of RNA-seq data substantiated the synergy between Gata1s mutations and RUNX1A expression. As previously described, gene set enrichment analysis revealed a reduced expression of erythroid genes and concurrent activation of proproliferative genes, including MYC and E2F targets, in Gata1s mutated FLCs (Figure 3C-D; supplemental Table 4). ${ }^{16,18,33}$ Although RUNX1A expression mitigated the reduced expression of erythroid genes caused by Gata1s, it triggered a similar upregulation of MYC and E2F target genes, suggesting convergence on these oncogenic pathways. In addition, RUNX1A expression in the background of Gata1s induced target genes of EVI1, one of the most invasive proto-oncogenes in human leukemia ${ }^{34}$ and a long-term hematopoietic stem cell signature, whereas the opposite was true upon RUNX1C expression (Figure 3D-E; supplemental Table 4). Hence, the synergistic oncogenic expression program of Gata1s and RUNX1A is characterized by the induction of EVI1, MYC, and E2F target genes, together with a long-term hematopoietic stem cell signature and the concomitant repression of erythroid and megakaryocytic differentiation signatures.

To further explore the leukemogenic potential of RUNX1 isoform disequilibrium in the pathogenesis of DS-associated leukemia, we performed in vivo experiments using Gata1s-FLCs. Upon transplantation into sublethally irradiated syngeneic recipients (C57BI/6J), Gata1s-FLCs typically become transiently abundant in the peripheral blood. ${ }^{7}$ In contrast, RUNX1Aexpressing Gata1s-FLCs caused high-penetrance leukemia (100\%) with a short latency (median survival, 39 days) and organ infiltration (Figure 3F-G; supplemental Figure 4D). Detailed flow cytometry analysis revealed cells of a megakaryocytic progenitor-like phenotype (CD41 ${ }^{+} \mathrm{CD} 117^{+} \mathrm{CD} 34^{-} \mathrm{CD} 16 / 32^{\text {low }}$ ) resembling TAM and ML-DS (Figure 3H; supplemental Figure 4E), which reinitiated disease when transplanted into secondary recipients (supplemental Figure 4F). Notably, RUNX1A expression did not cause leukemia in wild-type Gata1FLCs (supplemental Figure 4G). Transcriptomic analysis of RNAseq data from the murine leukemias compared with stringently sorted murine fetal liver stem and/or progenitor populations confirmed the megakaryocytic progenitor-like phenotype and the ML-DS-like gene expression profile ${ }^{26,35}$ (Figure 3I-J; supplemental Table 5). Importantly, Cre recombinasemediated excision of the LoxP-flanked RUNX1A complementary DNA induced rapid depletion of the leukemic blasts in vitro, underlining their dependency on RUNX1A and its
importance in ML-DS pathogenesis (supplemental Figure 4H). Of note, miR-125 further accelerated the leukemic transformation of Gata1s-FLCs by RUNX1A, suggesting synergy between RUNX1A and another well-characterized oncogene on chromosome 21 (supplemental Figure 4I). ${ }^{16,36}$

These data demonstrate that the interplay between Gata1s and RUNX1 isoform disequilibrium results in the proliferation and accumulation of immature megakaryocytic progenitors in vitro, and in ML-DS-like leukemia or an aggressive form of TAM in vivo.

## Distinct RUNX1A and RUNX1C protein interaction networks

To better understand the oncogenic mechanisms mediated by RUNX1A in TAM/ML-DS, we compared RUNX1A and RUNX1C protein interaction networks via coimmunoprecipitation of doxycycline-inducible hemagglutinin (HA)-tagged RUNX1A and RUNX1C in CMK cells, followed by mass spectrometry of the bound cofactors (Figure 4A; supplemental Figure 5A). In total, 98 and 57 proteins were significantly bound by RUNX1C and RUNX1A, respectively (Figure 4B; supplemental Figure 5B-C). Of these, 45 proteins were commonly bound by both RUNX1 isoforms, including $C B F \beta$ and other bona fide RUNX1 interaction partners, whereas 53 protein interactions were unique to RUNX1C. RUNX1C-specific cofactors were enriched for proteins involved in the regulation of cell cycle, gene expression, protein and messenger RNA metabolism, as well as chromosome organization (Figure 4B). Interestingly, members of the spliceosome A/C and NSL complexes (eg, SF3B1, WDR5, and KANSL2) were among the RUNX1C-specific cofactors (supplemental Figure 5D), suggesting an active role in splicing and chromosomal organization that is lost in RUNX1A, as demonstrated with the RUNX1::RUNX1T1 fusion oncoprotein. ${ }^{37}$ In contrast, the 12 RUNX1A-specific cofactors were involved in active transcription/replication and G1-S transition, including the megakaryocytic transcription factor NFE2, which is mutated in a subset of patients with myeloid neoplasms and is functionally involved in the megakaryocyte differentiation blockage of GATA1s pluripotent stem cells (Figure 4B). ${ }^{38-40}$ Importantly, MAX, a crucial cofactor of MYC, was among the RUNX1Aspecific interacting proteins, as verified via western blot (Figure 4C). GATA1s coimmunoprecipitated with RUNX1A and RUNX1C, albeit not at a significant enrichment level (data not shown). As GATA1 is a well-described RUNX1 interaction partner ${ }^{41}$ and as GATA1s mutations characterize TAM/MLDS, ${ }^{42,43}$ we investigated their putative differential binding of RUNX1A and RUNX1C in more detail. To this end, we pulled down doxycycline-induced HA-tagged GATA1 or GATA1s in stably transduced CMK cells, which harbor endogenous GATA1s mutations and, hence, exclusively express GATA1s; this is an important point, because GATA1 forms homodimers ${ }^{44,45}$ precluding the enrichment of GATA1s-specific
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Figure 3. RUNX1A synergizes with Gata1s in leukemic transformation of murine FLCs. Cas9-knockin Ter119- FLCs were lentivirally transduced with either Gata1 (sgGata1s) or sgCtrls, as well as with RUNX1A, RUNX1B, RUNX1C, or EV control. (A) Absolute cell number of murine FLCs after combined transduction with lentiviral vectors and maintenance in liquid cultures. Data from 1 representative experiment performed in replicates are shown as mean $\pm$ SD ( 2 -way ANOVA); *P $<.05$, ** $P<.001$, **** $P<.0001$ (B) Flow cytometry plots of murine sgGata1s + RUNX1A, sgGata1s + EV, and sgCtrl + RUNX1A transduced FLCs in liquid culture. The percentage of cells belonging to each
protein complexes in the presence of GATA1. We found that both GATA1 and GATA1s interact with RUNX1C, as previously described. ${ }^{46}$ However, neither GATA1 nor GATA1s appear to interact with RUNX1A (Figure 4D). Thus, our proteomic data suggest an altered protein interaction network, which may contribute to the TAM/ML-DS-specific phenotype of RUNX1A in GATA1-mutated cells.

## RUNX1A affects gene regulation by displacing endogenous RUNX1C

To further interrogate the RUNX1A-centered protein interaction network and determine the consequences of its inability to form a complex with GATA1 or GATA1s, we performed CUT\&RUN on endogenous GATA1s and RUNX1C (using a C-terminal antibody that does not recognize exogenous RUNX1A) in Gata1s-FLC with or without exogenous expression of doxycycline-inducible HA-tagged RUNX1A or RUNX1C (Figure 5A; supplemental Figure 6A) ${ }^{47}$. We found that $33 \%$ of the promoter or enhancer regions occupied by endogenous RUNX1C were also occupied by HA-RUNX1A, and that half (52\%) of the RUNX1C/RUNX1A-occupied regulatory regions were cobound by GATA1s (Figure 5B; supplemental Table 6), corroborating the known GATA1-RUNX1 interplay in transcriptional regulation. ${ }^{48}$

Unsupervised clustering of peaks at regulatory regions bound by endogenous RUNX1C, GATA1, and HA-RUNX1A revealed 3 clusters (Figure 5C). De novo motif discovery uncovered an enrichment of RUNX family motifs in clusters 1 and 3, whereas GATA family motifs were most abundant in cluster 2 (supplemental Figure 6B-C). Importantly, we observed a global reduction of endogenous RUNX1 occupancy upon HA-RUNX1A expression across all clusters (Figure 5D; supplemental Figure 6D; supplemental Table 6). Consistent with our protein-protein interaction studies, GATA1s binding increased globally upon expression of HA-RUNX1C, compared with HARUNX1A and the EV control (Figure 5D; supplemental Figure 6E).

Interestingly, most of the genes in the 3 CUT\&RUN clusters were upregulated upon HA-RUNX1A expression ( $67.6 \%$ to 81.5\%) (supplemental Figure 6C). Transcription factor motif analysis of peaks in the promoter regions of differentially expressed genes revealed an overrepresentation of the MYCcoactivation factor E2F, as well as of single MYC, MAX, and MYC:MAX dimeric motifs (Figure 5E; supplemental Table 7). The median distance between the RUNX1 and MYC:MAX binding motifs (under HA-RUNX1A peaks) was 298 base pairs.

Among the RUNX1A-induced genes with MAX or MYC:MAX binding motifs in their promoter regions are Max dimerization protein 1 (Mxd1 [Mad1]), MYC target 1 (Myct1), known oncogenic drivers such as Lyl1 and Malat1, as well as the megakaryocyte gene Itga2b (CD41), all of which were repressed by RUNX1C in RNA-seq experiments (Figure 5F; supplemental Figure 6F-H). These data are consistent with our comparative transcriptomic analyses in Gata1s-FLCs that uncovered that the activation of MYC and E2F targets by GATA1s and RUNX1A results in a synergistic induction of proliferation and an immature phenotype, whereas the opposite was true for RUNX1C (Figure 3C-E).

Taken together, our proteomic and genomic analyses imply that RUNX1A interferes with normal RUNX1C function by displacing it from target gene promoters. Instead, RUNX1A interacts with the MYC cofactor MAX and induces an MYC- and E2F-driven expression program. The concerted alteration of normal megakaryocytic progenitor gene expression programs through GATA1s and RUNX1A leads to malignant transformation in these cells via the upregulation of oncogenic gene expression programs and the perturbation of RUNX1Cregulated differentiation programs, respectively.

## Targeting MYC:MAX dimerization as a therapeutic approach for ML-DS

Finally, we investigated the role of the MYC cofactor MAX, which we hypothesized to be central in the synergy between GATA1s and RUNX1A, during leukemia pathogenesis. Short hairpin RNA-mediated knock down and sgRNA-mediated knock out of MAX inhibited the growth of CMK cells in vitro (supplemental Figure 7A-B). These findings were confirmed in murine RUNX1A Gata1s-FLCs and in ML-DS blasts derived from 2 patients (supplemental Figure 7C-F). Normal CD34 ${ }^{+}$HSPCs showed only a mild growth impairment upon MAX depletion (supplemental Figure 7G-H). Importantly, pharmacological disruption of MYC:MAX dimerization using the MYC inhibitor MYCi361 ${ }^{49}$ caused apoptotic cell death in RUNX1A Gata1sFLCs and in CMK and K562 cells, which are MYC-dependent (Figure 1B), in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 6A; supplemental Figure 8A-B). Accordingly, MYCi361 also induced apoptosis and partial differentiation in ML-DS blasts derived from 3 patients, with median lethal concentration ( $50 \%$ inhibitory concentration $\left[\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right]$ ] values of $2.09 \mu \mathrm{M}, 2.63 \mu \mathrm{M}$, and 3.82 $\mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively (Figure 6B; supplemental Figure 8C). Similarly, blasts derived from patients with non-DS acute megakaryoblastic leukemia (AMKL) or KMT2Ar, 2 other AML subgroups that have elevated RUNX1A:RUNX1C ratios

[^1]Figure 4. Distinct RUNX1A and RUNX1C protein interaction networks. (A) Experimental setup for isolating HA-RUNX1A- or HA-RUNX1C-containing protein complexes from CMK cells. (B) RUNX1A and RUNX1C complex composition in CMK cells with functional grouping. The Venn diagram shows significantly enriched interactors ( $\log _{2}$ fold change $>1 ; P<.05$ ). (C) Western blot confirming coimmunoprecipitation of MAX and RUNX1A using anti-MAX and anti-RUNX1 antibodies. Representative picture of 2 independent experiments using K562 cells are shown. A 10\% input was used as the loading control. (D) Western blot showing RUNX1A/C isoforms coimmunoprecipitated with doxycycline-inducible HA-tagged GATA1 and GATA1s or the EV vector. Representative pictures of 3 independent experiments using CMK cells are shown. A $5 \%$ input was used as the loading control. IP, immunoprecipitation; mRNA, messenger RNA.



Figure 5. RUNX1A affects gene regulation by displacing endogenous RUNX1C. (A) Experimental setup of CUT\&RUN on murine Gata1s-FLCs after doxycycline-induced expression of HA-RUNX1A/RUNX1C. CUT\&RUN was performed using anti-HA, anti-RUNX1(C-term), and anti-GATA1 antibodies. Peaks were called by SEACR ${ }^{47}$ using EV control cells as background. Data represent 1 experiment. (B) Venn diagram showing the number of genomic regions bound by endogenous RUNX1, endogenous GATA1s, and/or HA-RUNX1A after HA-RUNX1A overexpression. (C) Heat maps depicting the colocalization of endogenous GATA1s (orange, left), endogenous RUNX1 (green, middle), and HA-RUNX1A/-RUNX1C (red, right) signals after doxycycline-induced HA-RUNX1A or HA-RUNX1C expression in Gata1s-FLCs. EV-transduced cells were used as a control. Regions $\pm 2.5$ kilobases (kb) of the peak center are shown. Binding intensities are represented as normalized reads per kilobase of transcript per million reads mapped values (bars below the blots). (D) Binding intensities (normalized read density) of RUNX1 (left) and GATA1s (right), in EV control and doxycycline-induced HA-RUNX1A and HARUNX1C expressing cells. (E) Transcription factor motif enrichment analysis under RUNX1 peaks, at the promoter regions of genes that are differentially expressed upon


Figure 6. Interfering with MYC:MAX dimerization as a therapeutic strategy. Dose response curves for MYC:MAX dimerization inhibitor MYCi361 in Gata1s-FLC cells (A, left) and in ML-DS blasts derived from 3 patients ( $B$, left) 24 hours after treatment in vitro. Corresponding $I C_{50}$ values are depicted below the graphs. Bar graphs showing the percentage of annexin $\mathrm{V}^{+}$cells after treatment with the indicated doses of MYCi361 compared with the dimethyl sulfoxide control in Gata1s-FLCs (A, right) and in ML-DS blasts ( $B$, right); data represented as mean $\pm S D$ ( $n=3,1$-way ANOVA). (C) Experimental setup for evaluating MAX dependence in ML-DS and AMKL cells in vivo. ML-DS or AMKL blasts derived from 2 patients were transduced with short hairpin MAX (shMAX) (GFP ${ }^{+}$) or short hairpin control (shCtrl) (GFP ${ }^{+}$) and mixed $1: 1$ with shCtrl-transduced blasts (dTomato ${ }^{+}$), before transplantation into sublethally irradiated recipient mice. (D) Ratio of GFP ${ }^{+}$to dTomato ${ }^{+}$cells in the bone marrow of mice euthanized 4 to 8 weeks after transplantation ( $n=5$, Kruskal-Wallis test).
(supplemental Figure 1H-K) also showed good responses with partial differentiation and median $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values between 3.9 and $4.32 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and from 3.9 to $5.88 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively (supplemental Figure 8D-H). Normal CD34+ HSPCs from 2 donors had $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of $7.291 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $7.168 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively (supplemental Figure 8I-K), outlining a therapeutic window. Lastly, we evaluated MAX knockdown in vivo through fluorescence-based competitive transplantation assays using patient-derived xenografts from patients with ML-DS and AMKL (Figure 6C). In both cases, MAX-depleted leukemic blasts were significantly diminished in the bone marrow of recipient mice at the experimental end point (Figure 6D).

Thus, we have demonstrated that the MYC cofactor MAX is key to leukemic transformation mediated by RUNX1A and GATA1s, and that it can be therapeutically exploited.

## Discussion

Aneuploidies, and in particular, partial or complete amplifications of chromosome 21, are frequent alterations in leukemia; however, their underlying pathomechanisms remain enigmatic. ${ }^{3}$ In this study, we leveraged the model system of DSassociated TAM and ML-DS to interrogate oncogenic factors on chromosome 21 for their roles in AML pathogenesis. A CRISPR-Cas9 screen of chromosome 21 genes unexpectedly revealed RUNX1 as an ML-DS dependency in cell lines and patient-derived blasts in vitro and in vivo, despite former controversy regarding its role in TAM and ML-DS. ${ }^{3,24}$ Through detailed functional validation, we discovered that RUNX1 isoform disequilibrium in the form of RUNX1A bias, rather than RUNX1 gene dosage, is key to trisomy 21-associated leukemogenesis. By dissecting the consequences of RUNX1

Figure 5 (continued) doxycycline-induced RUNX1A expression ( $\log _{2}$ fold change $=1$ ). Human promoter regions were used as background. z score intensities are shown. (F) Integrative Genomics Viewer snapshots of Mxd1 and Lyl1 gene promoters showing occupancy of endogenous GATA1s, RUNX1, and HA-RUNX1A/-RUNX1C in Gata1sFLCs after doxycycline-induced HA-RUNX1A/HA-RUNX1C expression or in EV control-expressing cells. The tracks display coverage (reads per kilobase of transcript per million reads mapped) (left). Scale and chromosome location are shown (top).
disequilibrium in TAM/ML-DS, we showed that RUNX1A acts in concert with pathognomonic GATA1s mutations in this context, thereby blocking megakaryocytic differentiation and accelerating progenitor proliferation, effects that were reversed upon restoring the RUNX1A:RUNX1C equilibrium in murine models and patient-derived blasts in vitro and in vivo. These findings are in line with the known function of RUNX1C during adult hematopoiesis, ${ }^{50-52}$ in which it regulates megakaryopoiesis by controlling the proliferation and survival of committed progenitors. ${ }^{53}$ Thus, through the systematic interrogation of chromosome 21-encoded coding genes, our work contributes to understanding the synergy between trisomy 21 and GATA1 mutations in ML-DS leukemogenesis. We suggest a model in which RUNX1A:RUNX1C disequilibrium in TAM and ML-DS is a consequence of the combination of trisomy 21 and GATA1s mutations in fetal HSPCs. Our findings have further implications for other types of leukemia with numerical or structural alterations of chromosome 21 and/or RUNX1 isoform disequilibrium. Indeed, we also observed elevated RUNX1A:RUNX1C ratios in non-DS AMKL, KMT2A-rearranged AML, and AML with complex karyotype (supplemental Figure 1E-K). The exact mechanism leading to RUNX1A:RUNX1C dysregulation in trisomy 21 HSPCs remains an open question. One hypothesis is that altered transcriptional regulation by GATA1s and elevated levels of the Hsa21-encoded splicing factor U2AF1 may combine to drive RUNX1 isoform imbalance in TAM and ML-DS (supplemental Figure 2); different mechanisms or mutations in splicing factors may be responsible in other subtypes of AML or myelodysplastic syndromes. ${ }^{54}$ In addition, RUNX1A might further enhance the oncogenic effect of miR-125b, another well-characterized oncogenic driver on chromosome $21 .{ }^{16}$

Mechanistically, we showed that the altered protein interaction network of RUNX1A (compared with RUNX1B/C) underlies its oncogenic function. RUNX1A fails to interact with GATA1 and GATA1s to control megakaryopoiesis. ${ }^{46}$ Our proteomics analyses point toward RUNX1A's increased affinity for the MYC cofactor MAX. We propose a model in which RUNX1A, in complex with MAX, displaces RUNX1C from its endogenous binding sites where it normally recruits GATA1. Thus, RUNX1A perturbs RUNX1C:GATA1 regulated gene expression and activates MYC:MAX and E2F target genes, leading to a megakaryocytic differentiation block and acceleration of progenitor proliferation. This model would help explain the synergistic oncogenic effect of RUNX1A and GATA1s; GATA1s not only fails to repress RUNX1A but also E2F and MYC target genes during megakaryopoiesis, ${ }^{18}$ suggesting a double hit on these pathways in fetal trisomy 21 HSPCs. The central role of MAX in the RUNX1A-induced phenotype was validated by genetically interfering with MAX expression. Importantly, the dependency of ML-DS blasts on intact MAX represents a vulnerability that can be therapeutically exploited, as we demonstrated by using the MYC:MAX dimerization inhibitor MYCi361 ${ }^{49}$ to eradicate blasts from patients with ML-DS.

Although previous studies have reported the oncogenic and overriding negative effects that RUNX1A exerts on RUNX1B, ${ }^{54-58}$ our findings are unexpected and have general implications for basic oncology research. First, this study demonstrates that species-specific differences in isoform expression must be considered when interpreting speciesspecific or divergent phenotypes in mouse models. RUNX1A
is a primate-specific isoform of RUNX1, ${ }^{31}$ which may explain the inability of DS mouse models ${ }^{32,59}$ to recapitulate the human TAM/ML-DS phenotype or the interplay of GATA1s and trisomy 21 seen in human induced pluripotent stem cells. ${ }^{3,11,19,60} \mathrm{Sec}-$ ond, this study underlines the importance of accounting for all isoforms when studying the oncogenic effects of a given gene and emphasizes the importance of alternative splicing in the pathogenesis of cancer. Various mutations in splicing factors have been identified in AML and MDS genomes. ${ }^{61}$ Whether these mutations are causative for the increased RUNX1A:RUNX1B/C ratio observed in MDS ${ }^{54}$ will need to be investigated in the future.

Overall, our study provides a general framework for interrogating the contribution of aneuploidy in oncogenesis. Given the current state of the field, in which we have a near-complete map of the cytogenetic, mutational, and epigenetic landscape of cancer, these insights will be crucial for understanding the complex cooperation between common fusion oncogenes, recurrently mutated genes, and larger amplifications or deletions during disease initiation and progression. As we have illustrated with the identification of MAX as a RUNX1A cofactor and its pharmacological inhibition, this knowledge can have direct therapeutic implications.
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[^0]:    Figure 2 (continued) cells after 7 days in media promoting megakaryocytic differentiation (mean $\pm S D, n=5,2$-way $A N O V A$ ) (C). ( $D-F$ ) Cells derived from patients with ML-DS were lentivirally transduced with RUNX1A, RUNX1C, or EV control. (D) Percentage of GFP ${ }^{+}$transduced cells normalized to day 0 after transduction (mean $\pm$ SD, $n=3,1$-way ANOVA, **P < .01). Bar graphs show the percentage of CD41+ CD117 ${ }^{+}$and CD41 ${ }^{+}$CD117 ${ }^{-}$megakaryocytic cells (E) and CD33 ${ }^{+}$CD117 ${ }^{+}$and $C D 33^{+} C D 117^{-}$myeloid cells (F) 5 days after transduction (mean $\pm$ SD, $n=3$, 2-way ANOVA). (G) Experimental setup for evaluating RUNX1A:RUNX1C restoration in vivo. ML-DS blasts from 2 patients were transduced with RUNX1A $\left(\mathrm{GFP}^{+}\right)$, RUNX1C $\left(\mathrm{GFP}^{+}\right)$, or EV control ( $\mathrm{GFP}^{+}$) and mixed 1:1 with EV control-transduced blasts (dTomato ${ }^{+}$), before transplantation into sublethally irradiated recipient mice. $(H)$ Ratio of $\mathrm{GFP}^{+}$to $\mathrm{dTomato}^{+}$cells in the bone marrow of mice euthanized 4 to 8 weeks after transplantation ( $\mathrm{n}=5$, Kruskal-Wallis test). dT , dTomato; ns, not significant.

[^1]:    Figure 3 (continued) immunophenotype is indicated in the corresponding gates. Data from 3 replicates $\pm$ SD are shown. (C-D) Bar graphs showing NESs of significantly upregulated or downregulated gene sets associated with differentiation and oncogenic cellular programs, in Gata1s- (sgGata1s + EV, orange), RUNX1A- (sgCtrl + RUNX1A, blue), and Gata1s- and RUNX1A- (sgGATA1s + RUNX1A, red) murine FLCs compared with sgCtrl + EV control FLCs. This analysis highlights the unique and synergistic functional features of Gata1s and RUNX1A. *False discovery rate (FDR) q $<.25$; **FDR $q<.05$. (E) Bar graph showing NESs of significantly upregulated or downregulated gene sets in (red) Gata1s + RUNX1A- and (green) Gata1s + RUNX1C-expressing FLCs compared with control sgCtrl + EV FLCs. This analysis highlights the contrasting functional features of RUNX1A and RUNX1C on a Gata1s background. *FDR q $<.25$; **FDR q $<.05$. ( $\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{H}$ ) Analysis of mice transplanted with RUNX1A- or EV-transduced Gata1s-FLCs ( $\mathrm{n}=$ 10 per group), including comparisons of Kaplan-Meier survival curves (log-rank test) (F), spleen weights (unpaired Student $t$ test) (G), and flow cytometry on bone marrow cells from the diseased mice (2-way ANOVA) (H). (I) Heat map representation of RNA-seq data, showing unsupervised hierarchical clustering on the 2824 most variable genes (SD > 1) across 5 bone marrow samples from leukemic mice and fluorescence-activated cell sorter-sorted murine fetal liver HSCs [LSK (Lin ${ }^{-}$Sca1 ${ }^{+}$cKit ${ }^{+}$), common myeloid progenitors (CMPs) (Lin ${ }^{-}$Sca1 $1^{-}$cKit ${ }^{+}$CD34 $4^{+}$CD16/32 med ), granulocyte-monocyte progenitors (GMPs) (Lin ${ }^{-}$Sca1 $1^{-}$cKit ${ }^{+}$CD34 ${ }^{+}$CD16/32 ${ }^{+}$), and megakaryocyte-erythroid progenitors (MEPs) ( $\left.\left.\mathrm{Lin}^{-} \mathrm{Sca1} 1^{-} \mathrm{cKit}{ }^{+} \mathrm{CD} 34^{-} \mathrm{CD} 16 / 32^{\text {low }}\right)\right]$. The sample types are color coded on the bottom of the heat map and $z$ scores are indicated by the legend at the top of the panel. (J) Bar graph showing NESs of significantly upregulated or downregulated gene sets in the murine leukemia samples compared with all progenitor populations. *FDR q $<.25$; **FDR q < .05. LT-HSCs, long-term hematopoietic stem cells.

