Check for updates

patients <1 year of age, and although studies are underway in this younger age group, it will take years to accumulate these data. Thus, given the high risk for ICH early in life during ages at which prophylaxis is generally not begun, should clinicians consider prescribing emicizumab with the express purpose of preventing ICH? Clearly, emicizumab is only indicated in hemophilia A; however, novel therapies that are also given subcutaneously are in late stage clinical trials, which could be used for both hemophilia A and B. Although there are no data proving that emicizumab can prevent ICH, it will be very difficult, if not impossible, to prove this in a clinical study. For more discussion on this topic, the reader is referred to Mason and Young.⁶ Of note, the Medical and Scientific Advisory Council of the National Hemophilia Foundation has made a recommendation that prophylaxis with emicizumab be considered in neonates because of the high risk for ICH.⁷ Zwagemaker et al only further heighten the importance of this recommendation, and I would urge every hematologist who cares for infants with hemophilia to familiarize themselves with this important contribution to the knowledge of this potentially devastating and truly lifethreatening complication of hemophilia and to use this information in their discussions with the parents of infants with hemophilia. Ultimately, the decision to start any form of prophylaxis and especially emicizumab in infants must be made on a case-by-case basis; however, that decision should be an informed one.

Conflict-of-interest disclosure: The author has received consulting fees from Apcintex, BioMarin, Genentech/Roche, Grifols, Novo Nordisk, Pfizer, Rani, Sanofi Genzyme, Spark, and Takeda, and funds for research support from Genentech/Roche, Grifols, and Takeda.

REFERENCES

- Zwagemaker A-F, Gouw SC, Jansen JS, et al. Incidence and mortality rates of intracranial hemorrhage in hemophilia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Blood*. 2021; 138(26):2852-2872.
- Berntorp E, de Moerloose P, Ljung RC. The role of prophylaxis in bleeding disorders. *Haemophilia*. 2010;16(suppl 5):189-193.
- Warren BB, Thornhill D, Stein J, et al. Young adult outcomes of childhood prophylaxis for severe hemophilia A: results of the Joint Outcome Continuation Study. *Blood Adv.* 2020;4(11):2451-2459.

- Young G, Liesner R, Chang T, et al. A multicenter, open-label phase 3 study of emicizumab prophylaxis in children with hemophilia A with inhibitors. *Blood.* 2019;134(24): 2127-2138.
- Mahlangu J, Oldenburg J, Paz-Priel I, et al. Emicizumab prophylaxis in patients who have hemophilia A without inhibitors. N Engl J Med. 2018;379(9):811-822.
- Mason J, Young G. Emicizumab prophylaxis in infants with severe haemophilia A without inhibitors: illustrative real-world cases to

TRANSPLANTATION

Comment on Yeh et al, page 2874

A CMV seronegative donor to avoid T-cell inflation?

Hermann Einsele¹ and Lars Dölken² | ¹University Hospital; ²Institute for Virology and Immunobiology, Julius-Maximilians-University Würzburg

In this issue of *Blood*, Yeh et al found that cytomegalovirus (CMV) drives the long-term expansion of donor CD4 cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CD57⁺/CD27⁻) after allogeneic stem cell transplant (SCT). This effect was associated with both a profound impairment in immune repertoire diversity and a loss of donor antigen-presenting cells (APCs) in grafts from seropositive donors. CMV may thus lead to a much broader defect in pathogen-specific immunity than previously thought, which would explain the higher transplant-related mortality in SCT recipients who have CMV-seropositive donors.¹

Despite advances in antiviral prophylaxis and treatment, positive donor CMV serological status remains an important risk factor for increased transplant-related complications and mortality.² The higher incidence of secondary bacterial and fungal infections in patients receiving their stem cell graft from a CMV-seropositive donor points to CMV-related defects in pathogen-specific immunity.³

CMV reactivation and the myelotoxic and lymphotoxic side effects of long-term antiviral chemotherapy with ganciclovir or valganciclovir are well documented to induce long-term immune deficiency. These side effects not only foster recurrent CMV infections and disease but also increase the incidence of secondary bacterial and fungal infections associated with increased transplant-related mortality.4,5 In addition, CMV reactivation after allogeneic SCT skews the immune repertoire by a sizable expansion of effector memory T cells and a reduction in the overall T-cell receptor β (TCR- β) diversity, thus altering both CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T-cell reconstitution.^{6,7} Interestingly, the negative association between donor CMV seropositivity and long-term clinical outcome after allogeneic SCT has been demonstrated to be independent of the extent of CMV reactivation and disease.^{2,8,9}

support shared decision-making. Haemo-

7. MASAC Document #258. Recommendation

on the use and management of emicizumab-

kwxh (Hemlibra[®]) for hemophilia A with and

without inhibitors. https://www.hemophilia.

org/sites/default/files/document/files/258

emicizumab.pdf. Accessed 5 August 2021.

© 2021 by The American Society of Hematology

philia. 2021;27(5):724-729.

DOI 10.1182/blood.2021013536

As a likely cause, the results demonstrate that receiving a graft from a CMVseropositive donor is associated with a significant expansion of a highly differentiated, cytotoxic effector memory T-cell subset characterized by the expression of CD57 (see figure). This expansion was found to be strongly dependent on the CMV serostatus of donor and recipient but independent of CMV reactivation and to persist over many years after allogeneic SCT. While this expanded fraction of CD57 $^+$ /CD27 $^-$ T-cells in both the CD4 and CD8 compartments comprised up to 15% of T cells in healthy CMV seropositive individuals, it increased beyond 70% or even 85% in CMV seronegative recipients of a CMV seropositive stem cell graft. This expanded CD57⁺/CD27⁻ CD4⁺ and, less impressively also a CD8⁺ T-cell subset expressed an effector memory phenotype (TCR7⁻/CD45RA^{low-}



By transferring a donor-derived, expanded CD57⁺ 27⁻ CD4⁺ T-cell population from a CMV-seropositive donor that further expands in the allograft recipient, T-cell inflation is induced with a reduced TCR repertoire and a depletion of donor APCs. This result increases the risk of secondary infections and transplant-related mortality. Professional illustration by Patrick Lane, ScEYEnce Studios.

^{int}CD45^{RO}/CD28⁻) with low expression of exhaustion/inhibitory markers and showed upregulated activation markers and increased expression of granzyme B, as well as the Th1 cytokines tumor necrosis factor and interferon γ . Stimulation with pp65 peptide pools and both degranulation analysis and cytokine profiling showed that 1% to 15% of the CD57⁺/CD27⁻ CD4⁺ T cells were found to be reactive to pp65. Among the CMV tetramer-positive CD4⁺ T cells, most were CD57⁺/CD27⁻, in contrast to the CMV tetramer-negative CD4 $^+$ T cells. It would be interesting to clarify whether these are predominantly donor graft-derived cells or are generated de novo after transplantation.

Neither acute or chronic graft versus host disease, nor treatment with calcineurin inhibitors or steroids had any impact on the expansion of this CD57⁺/CD27⁻ CD4⁺ T-cell population. In contrast, CMV reactivation was found to increase this fraction, whereas donor seropositivity for other herpes viruses, specifically Epstein-Barr virus, had no impact.

CD8 T-cell "memory inflation" occurs in healthy CMV-seropositive individuals without evidence of CMV disease. Therefore, it is not surprising that the expansion of the CD57⁺/CD27⁻ T cells also occurred in CMV-seropositive graft/CMV-seronegative SCT recipients, even in the absence of detectable CMV reactivation. Further experiments are needed to clarify the role of CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells with the CD57⁺/CD27⁻ phenotype in controlling or suppressing CMV reactivation and whether subclinical CMV reactivations drive their long-term expansion and persistence.

Of note, the expansion of this subset was accompanied by a significant loss in both CD4 and CD8 T-cell (but not B-cell) receptor diversity that may compromise future adaptive immune control of CMV⁺ graft recipients. Furthermore, the expansion of cytotoxic CD57⁺/CD27⁻ CD4⁺ T cells was associated with a significant reduction of major histocompatibility complex class II-expressing monocytes. Further experiments are needed to demonstrate whether the late effector memory CD57⁺/CD27⁻ CD4⁺ T cells with their high cytolytic activity (high expression of granzyme B and CD107a) induce this marked loss of APCs (and may be of CMV-infected, donor-derived APCs).

In summary, the data provided herein indicate that CMV is responsible for a much broader defect in pathogen-specific immunity and emphasize the question of whether CMV^- recipients should receive a transplant from a CMV^+ donor. The underlying virological and immunological mechanisms warrant extensive interrogations into preclinical systems to ascertain the true cause-and-effect relationships.

Conflict-of-interest disclosure: The authors declare no competing financial interests. ■

REFERENCES

- Yeh AC, Varelias A, Reddy A, et al. CMV exposure drives long-term CD57⁺ CD4 memory T-cell inflation following allogeneic stem cell transplantation. *Blood*. 2021; 138(26):2873-2884.
- Ljungman P, Brand R, Hoek J, et al; Infectious Diseases Working Party of the European Group for Blood and Marrow Transplantation. Donor cytomegalovirus status influences the outcome of allogeneic stem cell transplant: a

study by the European group for blood and marrow transplantation. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2014; 59(4):473-481.

- Nichols WG, Corey L, Gooley T, Davis C, Boeckh M. High risk of death due to bacterial and fungal infection among cytomegalovirus (CMV)-seronegative recipients of stem cell transplants from seropositive donors: evidence for indirect effects of primary CMV infection. J Infect Dis. 2002;185(3):273-282.
- Einsele H, Hebart H, Kauffmann-Schneider C, et al. Risk factors for treatment failures in patients receiving PCR-based preemptive therapy for CMV infection. Bone Marrow Transplant. 2000;25(7): 757-763.
- Einsele H, Ljungman P, Boeckh M. How I treat CMV reactivation after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Blood.* 2020;135(19):1619-1629.
- 6. Suessmuth Y, Mukherjee R, Watkins B, et al. CMV reactivation drives posttransplant T-cell reconstitution and results in defects in the underlying TCR β repertoire. *Blood.* 2015; 125(25):3835-3850.
- Raeiszadeh M, Pachnio A, Begum J, Craddock C, Moss P, Chen FE. Characterization of CMVspecific CD4+ T-cell reconstitution following stem cell transplantation through the use of HLA Class II-peptide tetramers identifies patients at high risk of recurrent CMV reactivation. *Haematologica*. 2015;100(8): e318-e322.
- Ljungman P. The role of cytomegalovirus serostatus on outcome of hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Curr Opin Hematol.* 2014; 21(6):466-469.
- Schmidt-Hieber M, Labopin M, Beelen D, et al. CMV serostatus still has an important prognostic impact in de novo acute leukemia patients after allogeneic stem cell transplantation: a report from the Acute Leukemia Working Party of EBMT. *Blood.* 2013;122(19):3359-3364.

DOI 10.1182/blood.2021012723

© 2021 by The American Society of Hematology