Footnotes

Submitted 19 May 2020; accepted 20 October 2020; prepublished online on Blood First Edition 10 November 2020.

The online version of this article contains a data supplement.

REFERENCES

- 1. Grimwade D, Ivey A, Huntly BJP. Molecular landscape of acute myeloid leukemia in younger adults and its clinical relevance. Blood. 2016;127(1):29-41.
- 2. Yates JW, Wallace HJ Jr., Ellison RR, Holland JF. Cytosine arabinoside (NSC-63878) and daunorubicin (NSC-83142) therapy in acute nonlymphocytic leukemia. Cancer Chemother Rep. 1973;57(4):485-488.
- 3. Dombret H, Gardin C. An update of current treatments for adult acute myeloid leukemia. Blood. 2016;127(1):53-61.
- 4. Ossenkoppele GJ, Breems DA, Stuessi G, et al; Dutch-Belgian Hemato-Oncology Cooperative Group (HOVON) and Swiss Group for Clinical Cancer Research (SAKK). Lenalidomide added to standard intensive treatment for older patients with AML and high-risk MDS [published correction appears in Leukemia. 2020;34:2820]. Leukemia. 2020;34(7):1751-1759.
- 5. Paul S, Rausch CR, and Jabbour EJ. The face of remission induction [published online ahead of print 12 December 2019]. Br J Haematol. doi: 10.1111/bjh.16353.
- 6. Stone RM, Mandrekar SJ, Sanford BL, et al. Midostaurin plus chemotherapy for acute myeloid leukemia with a FLT3 mutation. N Engl J Med. 2017;377(5):454-464.
- 7. Thomas X, de Botton S, Chevret S, et al. Randomized phase II study of clofarabine-based consolidation for younger adults with acute myeloid leukemia in first remission. J Clin Oncol. 2017;35(11):1223-1230.
- 8. Tiley S, Claxton D. Clofarabine in the treatment of acute myeloid leukemia in older adults. Ther Adv Hematol. 2013;4(1):5-13.
- 9. Gill H, Yim R, Pang HH, et al. Clofarabine, cytarabine, and mitoxantrone in refractory/relapsed acute myeloid leukemia: High response rates and effective bridge to allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Cancer Med. 2020;9(10):3371-3382.
- 10. Löwenberg B, Pabst T, Maertens J, et al; Dutch-Belgian Hemato-Oncology Cooperative Group (HOVON) and Swiss Group for Clinical Cancer Research (SAKK). Therapeutic value of clofarabine in younger and middle-aged (18-65 years) adults with newly diagnosed AML. Blood. 2017;129(12):1636-1645.

- 11. Stirrups R. Clofarabine and cytarabine for acute myeloid leukaemia. Lancet Oncol. 2019;20(8):e402.
- 12. Crobu V, Caocci G, La Nasa G, Saderi L, Sotgiu G, Fozza C. A real-world study on clofarabine and cytarabine combination in patients with relapsed/refractory acute myeloid leukemia. Mediterr J Hematol Infect Dis. 2019;11(1):e2019032.
- 13. Döhner H, Estey EH, Amadori S, et al; European LeukemiaNet. Diagnosis and management of acute myeloid leukemia in adults: recommendations from an international expert panel, on behalf of the European LeukemiaNet. Blood. 2010;115(3):453-474.
- 14. Schuurhuis GJ, Ossenkoppele GJ, Kelder AA, Cloos J. Measurable residual disease in acute myeloid leukemia using flowcytometry: approaches for harmonization/standardization. Expert Rev Hematol. 2018;11(12):921-935.
- 15. Zeijlemaker W, Grob T, Meijer R, et al. CD34⁺CD38⁻ leukemic stem cell frequency to predict outcome in acute myeloid leukemia. Leukemia. 2019; 33(5):1102-1112.
- 16. Ciani O, Buyse M, Drummond M, Rasi G, Saad ED, Taylor RS. Use of surrogate end points in healthcare policy: a proposal for adoption of a validation framework. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2016;15(7):516.
- 17. Schuurhuis GJ, Heuser M, Freeman S, et al. Minimal/measurable residual disease in AML: a consensus document from the European LeukemiaNet MRD Working Party. Blood. 2018;131(12):1275-1291.
- 18. Venditti A, Piciocchi A, Candoni A, et al. GIMEMA AML1310 trial of riskadapted, MRD-directed therapy for young adults with newly diagnosed acute myeloid leukemia. Blood. 2019;134(12):935-945.
- 19. Shimomura Y, Hara M, Maruoka H, Yabushita T, Ishikawa T. Measurable residual disease evaluated by flow cytometry using leukemia associated immune phenotypes following allogeneic stem cell transplantation is associated with high relapse rates in patients with acute myeloid leukemia. Leuk Lymphoma. 2020;61(3):745-748.
- 20. US Food and Drug Administration. Hematologic malignancies: regulatory considerations for use of minimal residual disease in development of drug and biological products for treatment. Available at: https://www. fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents/ hematologic-malignancies-regulatory-considerations-use-minimalresidual-disease-development-drug-and. Accessed 23 April 2020.
- 21. US National Library of Medicine. Evaluation of measurable residual disease in patients with acute myeloid leukemia as surrogate endpoint for survival. https://clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT03549351.

DOI 10.1182/blood.2020007150

© 2021 by The American Society of Hematology

TO THE EDITOR:

Minimal residual disease quantification in ovarian tissue collected from patients in complete remission of acute leukemia

Florian Chevillon,¹ Emmanuelle Clappier,² Chloé Arfeuille,³ Jean-Michel Cayuela,² Jean Hugues Dalle,⁴ Rathana Kim,² Aurélie Caye-Eude,³ Céline Chalas, 5 Chrystelle Abdo, 6 Véronique Drouineaud, 5 Régis Peffault de Latour, 7 Marion Alcantara, 6 Madalina Uzunov, 8 Michael Degaud, 9 Véronique Meignin, 10 Hervé Dombret, 11 Nicolas Boissel, 1 Catherine Poirot, 1, 12, * and Nathalie Dhédin 1, *

¹Hematology for Adolescents and Young Adults and ²Hematology Biology, Hospital Saint-Louis, Assistance Publique Hôpitaux de Paris (AP-HP), Paris, France; 3 Hematology Biology and 4 Department of Hematology, Hospital Robert Debré, AP-HP, Paris, France; 5 Reproductive Biology, Hospital Cochin-Port Royal, AP-HP, Paris, France; ⁶Hematology Biology, Hospital Necker, AP-HP, Paris, France; ⁷Department of Stem Cell Transplantation, Hospital Saint-Louis, AP-HP, Paris, France; ⁸Department of Hematology and ⁹Hematology Biology, Hospital Pitié Salpêtrière, AP-HP, Paris, France; ¹⁰Department of Anatomopathology and ¹¹Department of Hematology, Hospital Saint-Louis, AP-HP, Paris, France; and 12Medecine Sorbonne University, Paris, France

Acute leukemia (AL) is the most common cancer occurring during childhood and adolescence. Allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT) is recommended in patients with very high-risk AL and usually at relapse. Myeloablative

conditioning regimens result in premature ovarian failure in most women.1 Ovarian tissue cryopreservation (OTC) may allow fertility restoration, with more than 130 live births reported in 2017 after ovarian tissue transplantation (OTT),2 mainly in women

Table 1. MRD results in ovarian samples and bone marrow

No.	Type of ALL	Molecular marker	Ovarian cortex MRD	Ovarian medulla MRD	Bone marrow MRD	Relapse Y/N	Follow-up (d)
1	BCP-ALL	lg/TCR	Positive <10 ⁻⁴	Positive <10 ⁻⁴	Undetectable	N	1368
2	BCP-ALL	lg/TCR	Undetectable	Positive <10 ⁻⁴	Undetectable	N	1647
3	BCP-ALL	lg/TCR	Positive <10 ⁻⁴	3.10-4	10 ⁻³	N	84
4	BCP-ALL	lg/TCR	NA	Undetectable	Undetectable	N	995
5	BCP-ALL	lg/TCR	Undetectable	Positive <10 ⁻⁴	Positive <10 ⁻⁴	N	1126
6	BCP-ALL	lg/TCR	Undetectable	NA	Undetectable	N	987
7	BCP-ALL	lg/TCR	Undetectable	Undetectable	Undetectable	N	1096
8	BCP-ALL	lg/TCR	Undetectable	Undetectable	Undetectable	N	49
9	BCP-ALL	lg/TCR	Undetectable	Undetectable	Undetectable	N	26
10	BCP-ALL	lg/TCR	Undetectable	Undetectable	Undetectable	N	686
11	BCP-ALL	lg/TCR	Undetectable	Undetectable	Undetectable	Y	477
12	BCP-ALL	lg/TCR	NA	Undetectable	Undetectable	N	577
13	BCP-ALL	lg/TCR	Undetectable	Undetectable	Positive <10 ⁻⁴	N	344
14	BCP-ALL	lg/TCR	Undetectable	NA	Undetectable	N	2136
15	BCP-ALL	lg/TCR	Undetectable	Undetectable	NA	N	400
16	BCP-ALL	KMT2A-AFF1	Undetectable	Undetectable	Positive <10 ⁻⁴	Υ	520
17	BCP-ALL	KMT2A-AFF1	Undetectable	Undetectable	Undetectable	N	245
18	BCP-ALL	KMT2A-USP2	NA	Positive <10 ⁻⁴	Undetectable	N	1105
19	Ph+ ALL	BCR-ABL1 Ig/TCR	Undetectable*	NA	0.0011% Undetectable	N	1862
20	Ph+ ALL	BCR-ABL1 Ig/TCR	Undetectable*	Undetectable Positive <10 ⁻⁴	0.00076% Undetectable	N	408
21	Ph+ ALL	BCR-ABL1 Ig/TCR	Undetectable*	Undetectable*	0.11% Undetectable	N	9
22	Ph ⁺ ALL	BCR-ABL1 Ig/TCR	Undetectable*	Undetectable*	0.85% NA	N	427
23	Ph+ ALL	lg/TCR	Undetectable	Undetectable	Undetectable	Υ	793
24	Ph+ ALL	BCR-ABL1	0.01%	0.005%	Undetectable	N	922
25	T-ALL	lg/TCR	Undetectable	Undetectable	Undetectable	Υ	548
26	T-ALL	lg/TCR	Undetectable	Undetectable	2.10-4	N	1513
27	T-ALL	lg/TCR	Undetectable	Undetectable	Undetectable	N	610
28	MPAL	lg/TCR	3.10 ⁻⁵	Positive <10 ⁻⁴	Positive <10 ⁻⁵	Y	635
29	MPAL	KMT2A-AFF1	Undetectable	Undetectable	Undetectable	Y	692
30	AUL	lg/TCR	Undetectable	Positive <10 ⁻⁴	Positive <10 ⁻⁴	Y	678

Undetectable MRD means negative result obtained with a sensitivity of 10^{-4} or 10^{-5} . BCR-ABL1 MRD results are expressed as BCR-ABL1/ABL1 transcripts ratio. AUL, acute undifferentiated leukemia; BCP-ALL, B-cell precursor ALL; MPAL, mixed-phenotype acute leukemia; NA, not available; N, no; Ph* ALL, ALL with Philadelphia chromosome; Y, yes. *MRD was undetectable in both BCR-ABL1 and Ig/TCR.

Table 2. Ovarian MRD results according to disease characteristics

Disease characteristics	Detectable ovarian MRD	Undetectable ovarian MRD	P (Fisher exact test)
Total	9/30	21/30	
Age			
>18 y	7/9	9/21	.12
Type of AL BCP-ALL Ph+ ALL T-ALL Other	5/9 2/9 0/9 2/9	13/21 3/21 4/21 1/21	.99*
High WBC† at diagnosis	3/9	6/21	.99
Extramedullary disease (excluding CNS)	4/9	6/21	.43
CNS involvement	2/9	6/21	.99
Time between diagnosis/relapse and OTC‡ >4.8 mo	4/9	11/21	.99
Disease status at transplant First CR Second or third CR	8/9 1/9	11/21 10/21	.10

Patient with undifferentiated acute leukemia had normal WBC at diagnosis.

CNS, central nervous system; T-ALL, T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia; WBC, white blood cell count.

‡Median time between diagnosis or last relapse and OTC was 4.8 mo (range, 1.7-7.2).

treated for lymphoma. In AL, concerns have been expressed on the risk of reintroducing leukemic cells after OTT. Evaluation of minimal residual disease (MRD) by molecular techniques showed infiltration of ovarian samples by leukemic cells, not detectable by histology or immunohistochemistry.³⁻⁵ In this report, we assessed MRD by sensitive molecular techniques in cryopreserved ovarian samples harvested in patients in complete remission (CR) of AL a few days before HSCT when patients reached the lowest bone marrow (BM) MRD.

This study was conducted from August 2014 to July 2019 in 3 centers. Inclusion criteria were: (1) to be a woman transplanted for acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL), undifferentiated AL, or mixed phenotype AL in CR; (2) to have a molecular marker validated to MRD assessment⁶; and (3) to undergo OTC before HSCT as part of a preservation fertility program. All patients or their guardians provided written informed consent. The specific local ethical committees approved the study (n.6.7.16). OTC was performed after CR achievement, with a median of 19 days before HSCT (range, 11-120 days). Ovarian cortex was isolated from the medulla, cut into fragments, and cryopreserved according to a slow-freezing protocol.7 One ovarian cortex fragment was dedicated to MRD assessment as well as ovarian medulla, usually not preserved. MRD evaluation was performed by quantitative polymerase chain reaction of clonal rearrangements of immunoglobulin or T-cell receptor genes (Ig/TCR) or oncogenic fusion genes, according to EuroMRD or European Against Cancer guidelines.⁶ KMT2A/MLL fusions were quantified using a genomic breakpoint sequence. BCR-ABL1 fusion transcripts were quantified and normalized on ABL1 transcripts (BCR-ABL1/ABL1%). MRD was considered positive if detectable in at least 1 tested ovarian fragment by at least 1 technique when several were used. The sensitivity threshold was between 10^{-4} and 10^{-5} . MRD was compared in ovarian cortex, medulla, and BM samples collected at the same time point. Methods are detailed in the supplemental Appendix (available on the *Blood* Web site).

Thirty patients were included. Twenty-four patients had B-cell precursor ALL, 3 had T-cell ALL, 2 had mixed phenotype AL, and 1 had undifferentiated AL. Median age at transplant was 18 years (range, 0.8-35.2). Indication for HSCT was: previous relapse (n = 12), slow MRD response after initial treatment (n = 11), Philadelphia positive ALL (n = 6), and undifferentiated AL with complex karyotype (n = 1). MRD markers were Ig/TCR rearrangements in 22 cases (74%), genomic KMT2A-AFF1 in 3 cases (10%), genomic KMT2A-USP2 in 1 case (3%), BCR-ABL1 transcript in 1 case (3%), and both Ig/TCR rearrangements and BCR-ABL1 transcript in 3 cases (10%). At the time of OTC, 18 patients (60%) had MRD undetectable in BM. At the last follow-up, no patient underwent OTT.

MRD was assessed in 1 fragment from both medulla and cortex in 24 patients. Twenty-one of 30 (70%) patients had no detectable MRD, 8 (27%) had at least low positivity below 10^{-4} in 1 tested sample, and 1 (3%) had positivity between 10^{-3} and 10^{-4} (Table 1). No disease characteristics were statistically associated with MRD results in ovarian samples (Table 2). Data were concordant between medulla and cortex in 20 of the 24 patients tested, whereas MRD was detectable at low levels in medulla but

^{*}BCP-ALL vs others.

[†]High WBC was defined as >30 G/L in BCP-ALL and >100 G/L in T-ALL.

not in cortex in 4 patients. Although these apparently discordant results may simply reflect the random distribution of very few leukemic cells in ovarian samples, it may also point to heterogeneity in leukemic infiltration within the ovary. These data underline the need to analyze more samples of cortex and medulla from the same patient to address this point.

In 29 patients, we have compared ovarian and BM MRD harvested at the time of OTC: in 20 patients, data were concordant with undetectable (n = 15) or detectable (n = 5) MRD in both samples. From the remaining 9 patients, 5 had positive MRD in BM but undetectable in ovary and 4 had undetectable MRD in BM while positive in ovary. Although this apparent discrepancy between undetectable and low positive MRD should be interpreted with caution, it could reveal a preferential persistence of leukemic cells in ovary in some cases. With a median follow-up of survivors of 24 months (range, 11-70) after HSCT, 7 patients relapsed, including 2 patients with detectable MRD in ovarian samples (Table 1).

With a high rate of negative MRD in ovarian samples, our results differ from published data in which ovarian samples were usually harvested at diagnosis of leukemia or early after the start of chemotherapy.^{3,4,8} Interestingly, Jahnukainen et al showed dramatic decrease of ovarian MRD after CR achievement and strong concordance between BM and ovarian MRD.8 However. some groups still recommend performing OTC before chemotherapy exposure to preserve ovarian function.9 We recently reported that OTC performed after the start of chemotherapy was efficient, suggesting that prior chemotherapy does not alter the function of cryopreserved ovarian tissue. 10 We thus recommend performing OTC after patients reached CR with low or undetectable MRD in BM to decrease the risk of ovarian leukemic infiltration.

The major issue of OTT in patients with AL is the risk of reintroducing leukemic cells. The absence of detectable disease in 70% of patients may be reassuring and a sufficient criterion to consider OTT. However, one should bear in mind that MRD negativity is defined for a given sensitivity threshold that depends on tissue sample size and assay sensitivity. The potential leukemia recurrence from such low levels of leukemic cells in cryopreserved ovarian fragments is currently unknown. Using xenograft experiments in severe combined immune deficient (SCID) mice, Dolmans et al demonstrated the viability and malignant potential of leukemic cells contained in human ovarian samples harvested at diagnosis.4 We can hypothesize that the number of leukemic cells in these fragments was higher than in those harvested from patients in CR. Moreover, severe combined immune deficient mice xenograft experiments do not account for the allogeneic graft versus leukemia effect that may succeed in containing leukemia development from low tumor load.

In summary, our results underline the importance of reaching the best disease control before performing OTC. We recommend systematically assessing MRD in ovarian samples even if MRD is undetectable in BM because we cannot rule out preferential persistence of leukemic cells in ovary in some patients. The discordant results between cortex, medulla, and BM require testing the most ovarian samples available with sensitive techniques before considering OTT. The lack of validated tool to ensure the complete safety of OTT in leukemic patients requires conducting prospective studies with a long period of follow-up after OTT.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the patients and their families for participating to the

This study was supported by research funding from Association Laurette

Authorship

Contribution: C.P. and N.D. conceived and designed research; F.C. performed research; F.C., J.H.D., R.P.d.L., M.U., N.B., C.P., and N.D. provided patients; F.C., E.C., C.A., J.-M.C., R.K., A.C.-E., C.A., M.A., M.D., and V.M. analyzed and interpreted the data; F.C., C.P., and N.D. wrote the paper; F.C., E.C., C.A., J.-M.C., J.H.D., R.K., A.C.-E., C.C., C.A., V.D., R.P.d.L., M.A., M.U., M.D., V.M., H.D., N.B., C.P., and N.D. reviewed the paper; and all authors gave final approval to the manuscript.

Conflict-of-interest disclosure: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

ORCID profiles: R.K., 0000-0002-9987-7237; H.D., 0000-0002-5454-

Correspondence: Nathalie Dhédin, Haematology for Adolescents and Young Adults, Hospital Saint-Louis, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, 1, Avenue C. Vellefaux, Paris 75475 cedex 10, France; e-mail: nathalie.dhedin@aphp.fr.

Footnotes

Submitted 2 July 2020; accepted 18 October 2020; prepublished online on Blood First Edition 10 November 2020.

*C.P. and N.D. contributed equally to this work.

E-mail the corresponding author (nathalie.dhedin@aphp.fr) for original data.

The online version of this article contains a data supplement.

REFERENCES

- 1. Sanders JE, Hawley J, Levy W, et al. Pregnancies following high-dose cyclophosphamide with or without high-dose busulfan or total-body irradiation and bone marrow transplantation. Blood. 1996;87(7):3045-3052.
- 2. Donnez J, Dolmans M-M. Fertility preservation in women. N Engl J Med. 2017;377(17):1657-1665.
- 3. Rosendahl M, Andersen MT, Ralfkiær E, Kjeldsen L, Andersen MK, Andersen CY. Evidence of residual disease in cryopreserved ovarian cortex from female patients with leukemia. Fertil Steril. 2010;94(6):2186-2190.
- Dolmans M-M, Marinescu C, Saussoy P, Van Langendonckt A, Amorim C, Donnez J. Reimplantation of cryopreserved ovarian tissue from patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia is potentially unsafe. Blood. 2010; 116(16):2908-2914.
- 5. Greve T, Clasen-Linde E, Andersen MT, et al. Cryopreserved ovarian cortex from patients with leukemia in complete remission contains no apparent viable malignant cells. Blood. 2012;120(22):4311-4316.
- Brüggemann M, Schrauder A, Raff T, et al; International Berlin-Frankfurt-Münster Study Group (I-BFM-SG). Standardized MRD quantification in European ALL trials: proceedings of the Second International Symposium on MRD assessment in Kiel, Germany, 18-20 September 2008. Leukemia. 2010;24(3):521-535.
- 7. Poirot C, Vacher-Lavenu M-C, Helardot P, Guibert J, Brugières L, Jouannet P. Human ovarian tissue cryopreservation: indications and feasibility. Hum Reprod. 2002;17(6):1447-1452.

- 8. Jahnukainen K, Tinkanen H, Wikström A, et al. Bone marrow remission status predicts leukemia contamination in ovarian biopsies collected for fertility preservation. *Leukemia*. 2013;27(5):1183-1185.
- Wallace WHB, Smith AG, Kelsey TW, Edgar AE, Anderson RA. Fertility preservation for girls and young women with cancer: population-based validation of criteria for ovarian tissue cryopreservation. *Lancet Oncol*. 2014;15(10):1129-1136.
- Poirot C, Fortin A, Dhédin N, et al. Post-transplant outcome of ovarian tissue cryopreserved after chemotherapy in hematologic malignancies. *Haematologica*. 2019;104(8): e360-e363.

DOI 10.1182/blood.2020007782

© 2021 by The American Society of Hematology