LYMPHOID NEOPLASIA

B-cell–specific IRF4 deletion accelerates chronic lymphocytic leukemia development by enhanced tumor immune evasion

Daniela Asslaber,^{1-3,*} Yuan Qi,^{1-3,*} Nicole Maeding,¹⁻³ Markus Steiner,¹⁻³ Ursula Denk,¹⁻³ Jan Philip Höpner,¹⁻³ Tanja Nicole Hartmann,¹⁻³ Nadja Zaborsky,¹⁻³ Richard Greil,¹⁻³ and Alexander Egle¹⁻³

¹Department of Internal Medicine III with Haematology, Medical Oncology, Haemostaseology, Infectiology and Rheumatology, Oncologic Center, Paracelsus Medical University, Salzburg, Austria; ²Salzburg Cancer Research Institute - Laboratory for Immunological and Molecular Cancer Research, Salzburg, Austria; and ³Cancer Cluster Salzburg, Salzburg, Austria

KEY POINTS

- IRF4 deletion in Tcl-1 tg mice and IRF4^{low} CLL patients enhances disease progression due to increased tumor immune evasion.
- This is caused by a downregulation of the antigen processing and presentation machinery and reduced T-cell costimulation.

Chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) is a heterogenous disease that is highly dependent on a cross talk of CLL cells with the microenvironment, in particular with T cells. T cells derived from CLL patients or murine CLL models are skewed to an antigen-experienced T-cell subset, indicating a certain degree of antitumor recognition, but they are also exhausted, preventing an effective antitumor immune response. Here we describe a novel mechanism of CLL tumor immune evasion that is independent of T-cell exhaustion, using B-cell–specific deletion of the transcription factor IRF4 (interferon regulatory factor 4) in Tcl-1 transgenic mice developing a murine CLL highly similar to the human disease. We show enhanced CLL disease progression in IRF4-deficient Tcl-1 tg mice, associated with a severe downregulation of genes involved in T-cell activation, including genes involved in antigen processing/presentation and T-cell costimulation, which massively reduced T-cell subset skewing and exhaustion. We found a strong analogy in the human disease, with inferior prognosis of CLL patients with low IRF4 expression in independent CLL patient cohorts, failed T-cell skewing to antigen-experienced subsets, decreased costimulation capacity, and downregulation of genes involved in T-cell ac-

tivation. These results have therapeutic relevance because our findings on molecular mechanisms of immune privilege may be responsible for the failure of immune-therapeutic strategies in CLL and may lead to improved targeting in the future. (*Blood.* 2019;134(20):1717-1729)

Introduction

Chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) accounts to 25% to 30% of all leukemias in Western countries, with incidence rates ranging from 3.65 to 6.75 cases per 100 000 population per year.^{1,2} CLL is characterized by an outgrowth of malignant CD19/CD5 double positive B cells, mainly residing in the peripheral blood, bone marrow, and the lymphoid organs, and by a high biologic heterogeneity reflected in clinically different outcomes including disease progression, therapy response, and relapse.^{3,4} Microenvironmental signals contribute to this heterogeneity and are derived from either the stromal cell compartment or components of the immune system that include (auto)antigens, B-cell receptor signaling, monocytes, macrophages, and T cells.⁵⁻⁹ T cells from CLL patients are skewed from the naïve to the memory T-cell compartment and thus represent an activated and potentially antigen and/or tumor experienced T-cell subset.^{10,11} The functionality of these T cells, however, is impaired by the elevated expression of exhaustion markers and by defects in the formation of immunological synapses.¹²⁻¹⁴ Analogous defects in T cell–mediated antitumor immunity were also observed in Tcl-1 tg mice,^{12,14-17} which develop a murine CLL with late onset and high penetrance.¹⁸ Using this model, we and others established that the CLL typical T-cell skewing was directly induced by CLL tumor cells,^{14,15} supporting the hypothesis of a tumor-specific transcriptional program that is active in CLL cells that favors CLL tumor immune evasion by manipulating the CLL cell cross talk with other components of the immune system. The mechanisms that establish and retain immune evasion and alter gene transcription in CLL tumor cells are, however, still poorly understood.

One potential candidate transcription factor is interferon regulatory factor 4 (IRF4), which controls the differentiation of B, T, dendritic, and myeloid cells in a context-dependent manner and regulates various aspects relevant for a functional immune response.¹⁹ In T cells, IRF4 is crucial for T-cell differentiation and expansion,²⁰⁻²⁴ in dendritic cells IRF4 contributes to the regulation of antigen presentation,^{25,26} promotes macrophage differentiation, and blocks the generation of myeloid-derived suppressor cells.²⁷⁻²⁹ In B cells, IRF4 regulates B-cell receptor signaling³⁰; contributes in class switch recombination, somatic hypermutation, and germinal center response; and is essential for plasma cell development.³¹⁻³³ IRF4 is also involved in cell proliferation and survival and described as an oncogene in multiple myeloma and some subtypes of DLBCL.^{34,35} By contrast, tumor-suppressive functions were observed in pre-B-cell leukemias and in c-Myc-induced malignancies.³⁶⁻³⁸ In CLL single nucleotide polymorphisms in the IRF4 gene, were related to CLL susceptibility and in next-generation sequencing, mutations in the IRF4 gene were detected in patients with overt disease.³⁹⁻⁴² Germline deficiency of IRF4 in the mouse model was associated with severe defects in T-cell cytotoxicity and antitumor response.33 Therefore, we generated Tcl-1 tg mice with B cell-specific IRF4 deletion and are the first to describe enhanced CLL disease progression by tumor immune evasion in this mouse model and validated these data also in the human disease.

Materials and methods

Mouse strains and CLL patient material

Murine CLL samples are derived from E μ -Tcl1 transgenic (tg) mice¹⁸ and crossed to CD19Cre IRF4^{flox/flox} mice.³² For adoptive transfer 10 \times 10⁶ splenocytes were injected intraperitoneal into C57/BL6 or NOD-SCID recipients.⁴³ Human CLL blood samples were collected at the IIIrd Medical Department (University Hospital Salzburg, Austria) upon written informed consent in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Patient details are summarized in supplemental Table 1, available on the *Blood* Web site. All studies in mice were approved by the Austrian Federal Ministry of Education, Science and Research. All studies on patient-derived material were approved by the Salzburg ethics committee.

Immune phenotyping, single-cell mass cytometry, and cell preparation

Immune phenotyping was performed on a Gallios device (Beckman Coulter) and single-cell mass cytometry on a Helios device (CyTOF, Fluidigm). Antibodies used for flow cytometry and single-cell mass cytometry are summarized in supplemental Table 2. CLL cell purification, RNA isolation, B-cell receptor clonality analysis, and in vitro culture assays were performed as described.⁴³⁻⁴⁵

RNA-Seq and Affymetrix GeneChip analysis

RNA-sequencing (RNA-Seq) of murine tumor cells was performed by the Eurofins Sequencing Service (IlluminaHiSeqv4). For human samples, the public datasets GSE39671⁴⁶ and GSE21029⁴⁷ from the GEO database were used.

Supplementary methods

Further details on sample preparation, experimental conditions, and bioinformatic analysis workflows are provided in the supplemental Methods.

Results

B cell–specific IRF4 deletion in Tcl-1 tg mice accelerates CLL disease development

To analyze a potential role of B cell-specific IRF4 expression in CLL pathogenesis, we crossed IRF4 floxed,³² CD19 Cre, and Tcl-1 tg mice¹⁸ to generate Tcl-1 tg mice with homozygous IRF4 deletion specifically in the B-cell lineage (Tcl-1 tg IRF4 $\Delta B/\Delta B$) in comparison with Tcl-1 tg mice with wild-type IRF4 expression (Tcl-1 tg IRF4^{+/+}). As a first approach, we studied the development of CLL over time (Figure 1A). As shown in Figure 1B, IRF4 Δ B/ Δ B accumulated CD19⁺ CD5⁺ CLL cells earlier and disease progression was faster. This translated to shorter overall survival times of Tcl-1 tg IRF4 $\Delta B/\Delta B$ mice (7.5 months in median) as compared with Tcl-1 tg IRF4+/+ littermates (11.9 months in median) (Figure 1C). At time of death, splenomegaly was observed in both genotypes (Figure 1D, green bars). The spleen weight was not different between the genotypes, whereas the spleen size was slightly increased in Tcl-1 tg IRF4^{+/+} mice (Figure 1D). Thus, we next analyzed the percentage of infiltrating CLL cells in all lymphoid organs at time of death. Organ infiltration of CLL cells was similar in Tcl-1 to IRF4 $^{+/+}$ and Tcl-1 tg IRF4 $\Delta B/\Delta B$ littermates (Figure 1E). Because IRF4 plays important roles in B-cell differentiation, we verified the phenotype of CLL or B cells and observed no differences in surface marker expression (supplemental Figure 1A-D). The lack of IRF4 per se was not a tumor driver because 24/26 IRF4 Δ B/ Δ B littermates without Tcl-1 tg remained healthy (supplemental Figure 1E), did not accumulate CLL cells in the peripheral blood (supplemental Figure 1F) or other organs (supplemental Figure 1G), and spleen enlargement was not observed (Figure 1D). Because Tcl-1 tg mice develop B-cell receptor (BCR) rearranged clones with varying degrees of oligoclonality,⁴³ we investigated the clonal structures by genotype, but observed no differences (Figure 1F), indicating similar clonal selection pressures, irrespective of the IRF4 status.

IRF4 deleted Tcl-1 tg tumors show enhanced tumor immune evasion

Given the function of IRF4 as transcription factor, we next performed RNA-Seg in purified CLL cells derived from the spleen of 4 Tcl-1 tg IRF4^{+/+} and 3 Tcl-1 tg IRF4 Δ B/ Δ B tumors. By differential gene expression analysis, we found 1530 deregulated genes with a false discovery rate-corrected P value <.05 and a log2 fold-change of at least \pm 1.5 (supplemental Table 3). A gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis was applied to identify functionally related gene clusters, and we found a significant enrichment of 19 biological process GO terms (supplemental Table 4). Genes upregulated in IRF4-deficient mice were mainly correlated to GO terms associated with cell-cycle processes (Figure 2A, red bars). By contrast, downregulated genes could be directly or indirectly linked to immune response processes (Figure 2A, green bars; supplemental Figure 2). Despite the restriction of this analysis to sorted B cells, the highest statistical significance in the entire analysis was found for the GO term "T-cell activation" (GO: 0042110), which contained 92 genes (supplemental Table 5) relevant for the CLL/T-cell cross talk. Genes upregulated in Tcl-1 tg IRF4 Δ B/ Δ B mice (Figure 2B) were mainly associated with intracellular signaling like Zap70,⁴⁸ Ripk3, Mtor, and Myb, whereas the downregulated gene cluster (Figure 2B) mainly contained genes involved in antigen processing and presentation (MHC1/2, CD74, Pycard, Fcer1g,



Figure 1. CLL development in Tcl-1 tg mice with and without IRF4 expression. (A) CD19⁺ CD5⁺ CLL cells were measured in the peripheral blood using flow cytometry and (B) analyzed over time. N = 6 (Tcl-1 tg IRF4^{+/+}, red), N = 8 (Tcl-1 tg IRF4 ΔB/ΔB, blue). Arrows indicate mice euthanized because of overt CLL development. (C) Kaplan-Maier analysis showing overall survival of Tcl-1 tg IRF4^{+/+} mice (N = 36) and Tcl-1 tg IRF4 ΔB/ΔB mice (N = 28). The study end point of this analysis was 400 ± 20 days. (D) Spleen size and weight were analyzed in healthy age-matched littermates of the indicated genotypes (green) and leukemic Tcl-1 tg mice with WT IRF4 expression (red) or without IRF4 expression (blue). Analyzed mouse numbers are depicted on the y-axis. (E) CD19⁺ CD5⁺ CLL cells were measured in lymphoid organs derived from leukemic Tcl-1 tg mice with WT IRF4 expression (blue). (F) CLL clone sizes as measured by BCR sequencing. LNp, peripheral lymph nodes; major, major clone in % of total CLL cells; minor, minor clones in % of CLL cells; PC, peritoneal cavity; WT, wild-type.

March1) and B-cell surface markers involved in either T-cell activation (*CD80/CD86*) or T-cell exhaustion (*PD-L1/PD-L2*). For RNA-Seq validation, we performed real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) of selected genes and verified downregulation of *MHC1* genes (Figure 2C), *MHC2* genes (Figure 2D), the central regulator of MHC2 expression *CIITA* (Figure 2E), and *CD80/CD86* (Figure 2F). In summary, IRF4 deletion was associated with enhanced expression of cell

cycle–associated genes, as already reported for murine IRF4 germline-deficient CLL models^{49,50} and downregulation of genes involved in T-cell activation, potentially contributing to enhanced CLL tumor immune evasion, which has not been studied so far. Thus, we further characterized the surface expression of critical molecules involved in the CLL/T-cell cross talk in IRF4-proficient and IRF4-deficient Tcl-1 tg mice on the B- and T-cell side.



Figure 2. RNA-Seq and real-time PCR validation in Tcl-1 tg IRF4 WT and deficient CLL cells. (A) Number of significantly downregulated (negative y-axis, green bars) and upregulated genes (positive y-axis, red bars) corresponding to enriched GO terms (x-axis) in Tcl-1 tg IRF4 $\Delta B/\Delta B$ (N = 3) as compared with Tcl-1 tg IRF4^{+/+} mice (N = 4). GO term descriptions and *P* values are indicated in the table. (B) Heatmap of differentially regulated genes within the GO term 0042110 T-cell activation. The counts per million were used for heatmap generation; unsupervised clustering (Euclidean) was used. (C) Validation of RNA-Seq data by real-time PCR in purified CLL cells derived from the spleen of Tcl-1 tg IRF4^{+/+} (red) and Tcl-1 tg IRF4 $\Delta B/\Delta B$ (blue) mice. PCR was performed with TaqMan primers for the *MHC1* genes *H2-K1* and *D1*, (D) the *MHC2* genes *H2-Dmb* and *H2-Aa*, (E) the MHC2 transactivator *CIITA*, and (F) the costimulatory molecules *CD80* and *CD86*. Real-time PCR data were normalized to 18S and the mRNA relative expression ratio calculated according to the delta CT method.

IRF4 deleted Tcl-1 tg tumors downregulate surface expression of molecules involved in CLL/T-cell interactions on the CLL and the T-cell side

CLL/T-cell interactions during antigen recognition, which is assumed to be crucial in CLL development and maintenance, consist of a number of interactions including antigen presentation on MHC 1/2 molecules and a complex surface expression pattern transmitting positive signals (CD80/CD86-CD28), negative signals (CD80/CD86-CTLA4), and exhaustion signals (PD-L1-PD-1).^{6,51} The percentage of MHC1⁺ CLL cells (Figure 3A) and the MHC2 MFIR was significantly downregulated in Tcl-1 tg IRF4 Δ B/ Δ B mice in the spleen and lymph nodes (Figure 3B), but not in healthy C57/BL6 IRF4^{+/+} or Δ B/ Δ B littermates (supplemental Figure 3A). The expression of CD80 (Figure 3C) and CD86 (Figure 3D) was severely decreased in Tcl-1 tg IRF4-deficient as compared with IRF4-proficient mice but not significantly different from tumor-free C57/BL6 littermates (supplemental Figure 3B). This suggests failed upregulation instead of active downregulation of CD80/CD86 during CLL tumor development. CD80/CD86 may interact with either CD28 or CTLA4 on the T-cell side, leading to stimulatory or inhibitory signals, respectively. CTLA4 was expressed very close to the detection limit, whereas CD28 was significantly decreased in CD8⁺ T cells derived from the spleen of IRF4-deficient Tcl-1 tg mice (Figure 3E) and in CD4⁺ as well as CD8⁺ T cells derived from the lymph nodes (Figure 3F; supplemental Figure 3C). Next, we evaluated the exhaustion markers PD-L1 and PD-1, which were reported to contribute to the T-cell exhaustion phenotype characteristic for CLL.^{12,16} In fact, PD-L1 and PD-1 were upregulated in the spleen (Figure 3G) and the lymph nodes (Figure 3H) of Tcl-1 tg IRF4^{+/+} mice compared with healthy C57/BL6 littermates. By contrast, PD-L1 upregulation was not observed on CLL cells derived from the spleen of Tcl-1 tg IRF4 Δ B/ Δ B mice and the percentage of PD-1 positive T cells dropped to percentages typical for nonleukemic C57/BL6 mice (Figure 3G-H; supplemental Figure 3D). Thus, lack of IRF4 contributed to tumor immune evasion by downregulation of antigen presentation and costimulation on the B-cell side and eliminated the need of T-cell exhaustion. Therefore, we aimed to further characterize the effect of CLL-specific IRF4 deletion on the T-cell compartment.

B cell–specific IRF4 deletion alters the CLL typical T-cell subset skewing

Tcl-1 tg mice show an antigen-experienced effector memory, but exhausted T-cell phenotype.^{12,15} In IRF4-deficient Tcl-1 tg mice, PD-1 and PD-L1, however, were not upregulated, suggesting that T-cell differentiation was altered. Therefore, we characterized naïve, central memory (TCM) and effector memory (TEM) T cells based on their CD44 and CD62L expression in CD4 $^+$ and CD8 $^+$ T cells using single-cell mass cytometry and found a relative increase of naïve T cells over antigen-experienced TEM/TCM T cells in Tcl-1 tg IRF4 $\Delta B/\Delta B$ compared with Tcl-1 tg IRF+/+ mice (Figure 4A). These results were validated by classical flow cytometry (supplemental Figure 4A) in CD4⁺ T cells (Figure 4B-C) and CD8⁺ T cells (Figure 4D-E). The distribution of naïve vs antigen-experienced TEM/TCM T cells in IRF4-deficient Tcl-1 tg mice was thus similar to nonleukemic C57/BL6 littermates with a dominantly naïve CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T-cell system (supplemental Figure 4B) and low numbers of antigen-experienced TEM/TCM T cells (supplemental Figure 4C). Next, we analyzed exhaustion markers in different T-cell subsets using single-cell mass cytometry (Figure 4F) and classical flow cytometry. CD223 (Lag-3) was expressed mainly in antigen-experienced T-cell subsets, whereas CD244 and CD160 expression was very low throughout all T-cell subsets (Figure 3G). No significant differences were observed between the genotypes (supplemental Figure 4D-F). PD-1 expression was mainly observed in CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ TEM/TCM T cells derived from IRF4-proficient and to a lesser extent from those derived from IRF4-deficient Tcl-1 tg mice, whereas naïve T cells were almost negative for PD-1 in both genotypes (Figure 4H). Using flow cytometry, we observed a significant downregulation of PD-1 in CD4⁺ TEM T cells derived from IRF4deficient Tcl-1 tg mice, but not in CD4⁺ TCM T cells and CD8⁺ TEM/TCM T cells (Figure 4H). This suggests that the majority of T cells derived from Tcl-1 tg IRF4 Δ B/ Δ B mice did not require T-cell exhaustion because of a disturbed CLL/T-cell cross talk. In addition, the decreased expression of CD28 in Tcl-1 tg IRF4 $\Delta B/\Delta B$ mice (Figure 3E-F) was caused by an overrepresentation of naïve T cells over TEM/TCM T cells and not by active downregulation (supplemental Figure 4G-I). To draw a more direct connection between B cell-specific IRF4 deficiency and T-cell phenotypes, we performed in vitro cocultures of healthy C57/BL6 T cells with either Tcl-1 tg IRF4^{+/+} or Tcl-1 tg IRF4 Δ B/ Δ B tumors for 72 hours. Although the percentage and absolute numbers of naïve CD4⁺ T cells did not change in cocultures with IRF4-deficient tumors, a decrease of naïve T cells (Figure 4I; supplemental Figure 4J) accompanied by an increase in antigen-experienced TEM/TCM CD4⁺ T cells (supplemental Figure 4K) was observed in cocultures with Tcl-1 tg IRF4^{+/+} tumors. In CD8⁺ T cells, a similar skewing from naïve to antigenexperienced T cells took place in presence of Tcl-1 tg IRF4-proficient but not in presence of IRF4-deficient tumors (Figure 4J; supplemental Figure 4L-M). Hence, the absence of IRF4 expression in CLL cells rendered CLL cells "less visible" to T cells, which partially prevented the differentiation of naïve T cells into antigen or tumor experienced T-cell subsets and eliminated the need of T-cell exhaustion.

B cell–specific IRF4 deletion alters T-cell activation and IFN- γ secretion in Tcl-1 tg mice and enhances tumor cell engraftment in immunocompetent but not in immunodeficient recipients

In vivo, CLL T cells are activated by various signals from the microenvironment, leading to an antigen-experienced TEM/ TCM T-cell phenotype in CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells, which was absent in IRF4-deleted Tcl-1 tg mice. To further study the decrease in T-cell activation in relation to IRF4 deficiency in vitro, we used CD3/CD28-activated T cells derived from healthy C57/ BL6 mice in coculture with CLL tumor cells derived from either Tcl-1 tg IRF4^{+/+} or Tcl-1 tg IRF4 Δ B/ Δ B mice. T-cell activation defined by CD69 expression was significantly decreased in cocultures with Tcl-1 tg IRF4-deficient as compared with Tcl-1 tg $\mathsf{IRF4}^{\scriptscriptstyle +/+}$ tumors after 24 hours (Figure 5A) and a decreased skewing to memory T cells after 72 hours was observed (supplemental Figure 5A). This was also found in CD8⁺ T cells (Figure 5B; supplemental Figure 5B) and associated with decreased proliferation in both T-cell subsets (supplemental Figure 5C). Additionally, we observed decreased interferon- γ (IFN- γ) secretion (Figure 5C), which was more pronounced in CD8⁺ T cells (Figure 5D). Thus, the coincubation of healthy T cells with IRF4-deficient Tcl-1 tq tumors led to insufficient T-cell activation in vitro, suggesting a decreased antitumor recognition capacity in this setting. To verify this hypothesis in vivo, we transplanted spleen-derived tumor cells into immunocompetent C57/BL6 wild-type and immunodeficient NOD-SCID recipients. As shown in Figure 5E, IRF4-deficient Tcl-1 tg tumors engrafted earlier in immunocompetent recipients (42 days) as compared with IRF4-proficient tumors (92 days). In absence of an immunocompetent microenvironment, these differences vanished and overall survival was 26.5 and 33 days, respectively. Recipient T cells were skewed from naïve to antigen-experienced CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T subsets in mice transplanted with Tcl-1 tg IRF4-proficient tumors but not in those transplanted with IRF4-deficient tumors (Figure 5F-G). Thus, IRF4 deficiency enhanced tumor immune evasion after transplantation leading to a survival benefit for transplanted CLL cells in immunocompetent recipients.

Decreased IRF4 expression in human CLL patients is related to inferior outcome, decreased T-cell skewing, and downregulation of genes involved in tumor immune evasion

Having demonstrated that IRF4 deficiency enhanced tumor immune evasion in murine CLL, caused by a downregulation of genes involved in antigen processing and T-cell activation, we next aimed to validate these results also in the human disease. We performed real-time PCR of purified CD19⁺ CLL or B cells derived from 98 chemo-naïve, unselected CLL patients and 9 healthy donors, respectively. *IRF4* expression was significantly downregulated in CLL patients compared with healthy individuals and showed large interpatient variability (Figure 6A). Thus, we applied receiver operating characteristics (ROC) analysis using the time to first treatment (TTFT) as parameter separating IRF4^{low} and IRF4^{high} CLL patients. In Kaplan-Maier



Figure 3. Surface marker expression in Tcl-1 tg IRF4^{+/+} and **Tcl-1 tg IRF4** Δ B/ Δ B mice. (A) MHC1, (B) MHC2, (C) CD80, and (D) CD86 surface expression was measured by flow cytometry in healthy C57/BL6 WT littermates (green), Tcl-1 tg IRF4^{+/+} (red), and Tcl-1 tg IRF4 Δ B/ Δ B (blue) CD19⁺ B cells or CD19⁺ CD5⁺ CLL cells derived from either the spleen or the LNp. All measurements were performed with a proper isotype control to define negative cell populations or to calculate the MFIR. (E) The surface expression of CTLA4 and CD28 was measured in CD8 or CD4⁺ T cells using flow cytometry in cells derived from the spleen or (F) the lymph nodes. MFIR were calculated using an

analysis (Figure 6B), IRF4^{low} patients showed significantly decreased TTFT periods (51.3 month) compared with IRF4^{high} CLL patients (79.4 months). No correlation of IRF4 expression to established CLL risk factors was observed (supplemental Figure 6A). In addition, we did not detect a different response to in vitro B-cell receptor stimulation between IRF4^{low} and IRF4^{high} CLL patients (supplemental Figure 6B). To independently validate our data, we analyzed the public domain GSE39671 dataset⁴⁶ containing Affymetrix Gene chip data from 130 untreated CLL patients including TTFT data. The median TTFT was 29.8 months in IRF4^{low} CLL patients and was not reached in IRF4^{high} CLL patients (Figure 6C). The negative prognostic impact of decreased IRF4 expression could thus be validated in 2 independent CLL patient cohorts. Next, we determined differential gene expression from the GSE39671⁴⁶ Affymetrix Gene chip dataset between IRF4^{low} and IRF4^{high} CLL patients. Of 92 differentially expressed genes found in the mouse system, 35 gene homologs (supplemental Table 6) also separated IRF4^{low} and IRF4^{high} CLL patients in unsupervised clustering (Figure 6D). Downregulated genes in IRF4^{low} CLL patients included: CD86, CIITA, CD74, and HLA-DOA, which is in line with genes found in murine CLL. Next, we measured T-cell subsets in peripheral blood samples of CLL patients and found a correlation of low IRF4 expression to decreased percentages of antigenexperienced CD4⁺ (Figure 6E) and CD8⁺ T cells (Figure 6F). This correlation was found in IgVH-mutated and IgVH-unmutated CLL patients for the CD4⁺ compartment (supplemental Figure 6C) and in IgVH-unmutated CLL patients for the CD8⁺ T-cell compartment (supplemental Figure 6D). In addition, we detected a strong correlation of reduced IRF4 expression to reduced CD86 protein (Figure 6G) and CIITA (MHC2 class 2 transactivator) messenger RNA (mRNA) expression (Figure 6H), while HLA-B (supplemental Figure 6E) and HLA-DR (supplemental Figure 6F) mRNA was decreased only by trend in IRF4^{low} as compared with IRF4^{high} CLL patients. We thus speculated that differences in MHC gene expression in IRF4^{low} vs IRF4^{high} CLL patients might be more pronounced in active microenvironments, which prompted us to analyze the public domain dataset GSE21029,47 which contains Affymetrix Gene chip data from bone marrow (BM), lymph node (LN), or peripheral blood samples derived from primary CLL patients. We observed a downregulation of a variety of MHC genes in the BM (Figure 6I) of IRF4^{low} CLL patients, whereas separation of MHC genes by IRF4 expression was less pronounced in LNs and the peripheral blood (supplemental Figure 6G). In addition, we performed IRF4 small interfering RNA knockdown in the MEC1 cell line, which was originally established from a prolymphocytictransformed CLL patient⁵² and observed a significant downregulation of the MHC genes HLA-B and HLA-DR as well as of CD80 and CD86 (supplemental Figure 6H). Thus, we concluded that IRF4 was involved in the regulation of CD80 and CD86 expression in the human and murine disease, whereas MHC gene expression was regulated by IRF4 in a microenvironment-dependent manner, with the strongest association found in the BM. We also observed a connection of low IRF4 expression to inferior prognosis and failed T-cell skewing in human CLL, which was likely the result of a disturbed CLL/T-cell cross talk.

Discussion

Here we report a novel B cell-specific role of IRF4 in regulating the CLL/T-cell cross talk and demonstrate that lack of IRF4 in murine CLL contributes to enhanced CLL tumor immune evasion and a more aggressive type of disease with short treatment-free survival. Enhanced disease progression was also observed in human IRF4^{low} CLL patients in 2 independent patient cohorts. Thus, IRF4 has tumor-suppressive functions in CLL, which is in contrast to other hematological malignancies, such as multiple myeloma^{34,53} and some subtypes of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma,^{35,54,55} but in line with data obtained in chronic myeloid leukemia, B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia, and acute myeloid leukemia,36-38 suggesting that the transcriptional program regulated by IRF4 is highly dependent on the B-cell maturation stage and transformation context. In CLL, which resembles a malignancy of mature B cells, the tumor-suppressive function of IRF4 was previously described in 2 mouse models: VH11 IRF4 knockout mice developed CLL spontaneously⁵⁰ and in New Zealand Black mice an accelerated CLL disease development was observed.⁴⁹ An essential caveat of these analyses was the use of germline-deleted IRF4 models, in which CLL develops within an IRF4-deficient microenvironment, including IRF4-deficient T cells, which showed impaired T-cell cytotoxicity and antitumor response.³³ Moreover, CD4-specific IRF4 deletion was associated with immune defects and T-cell exhaustion,⁵⁶ and CD8-specific IRF4 deletion contributed in the establishment of chronic infections⁵⁷ because of abrogated effector T-cell differentiation.²² These data suggest that enhanced CLL progression in IRF4 germline models might be influenced by an IRF4-deficient and antitumor immune-compromised T-cell compartment, making it difficult to dissect the contribution of IRF4 in CLL cells. Therefore, we studied CLL development in Tcl-1 tg mice with CD19-restricted IRF4 deletion, allowing for analysis of the specific effect of IRF4 deletion in CLL tumor cells. At time of euthanasia, the tumor mass was similar in Tcl-1 tg IRF4^{+/+} and Tcl-1 tg IRF4 Δ B/ Δ B mice, with a similar organ infiltration pattern and CLL typical clonal patterns, arguing for a consistent definition of mice that needed to be euthanized and against a changed overall behavior of the accelerated disease (Figure 1). We are therefore the first to demonstrate the specific effect of IRF4 deficiency in CLL cells and the CLL-driven T-cell shaping.

Using RNA-Seq, we analyzed the IRF4-driven transcriptional program in purified CLL cells and found an upregulation of genes involved in cell-cycle processes in Tcl-1 tg IRF4 Δ B/ Δ B mice (Figure 2A). However, our data from transplant studies into immunodeficient recipients showed very similar growth kinetics between the genotypes, suggesting that the effects of cell-cycle dysregulation may be limited. In addition, however, we discovered a severe downregulation of genes involved in antigen processing and presentation and genes involved in the CLL/T-cell cross talk (Figure 2B). This immune regulatory function of IRF4 in relation to the microenvironment has not been described in CLL or other B-cell malignancies so far and could, unlike effects on cell-cycle regulation, only be sufficiently studied in a conditional model.

Figure 3 (continued) isotype control. Analyzed mouse numbers are depicted on the y-axis. (G) Flow cytometry of PD-L1 MFIR in CLL cells and percent PD-1⁺ cells in either CD4⁺ or CD8⁺ T cells. Genotypes and mouse numbers are depicted on the y-axis. All measurements were isotype controlled and were performed in cells derived from either the spleen or (H) the lymph nodes. MFIR, mean fluorescence intensity ratio.



Figure 4. Naïve and antigen-experienced T-cell subsets in Tcl-1 tg IRF4+r/+ or Tcl-1 tg AB/ΔB leukemic mice measured by flow cytometry. (D) Naïve and (C) antigen-experienced CD4⁺ T cells derived from the spleen or the lymph nodes of Tcl-1 tg IRF4+r/+ or Tcl-1 tg AB/ΔB leukemic mice measured by flow cytometry. (F) Single-cell mass cytometry data showing the splenic T-cell compartment of leukemic Tcl-1 tg IRF4+r/+ or Tcl-1 tg AB/ΔB mice. Color-coded viSNE overlay plots assign T-cell subsets to the TSNE1/TSNE2 coordinate system (left). viSNE intensity plots (blue = no expression to red = high expression) of CD4 and CD8 are shown on the right. (G) Expression of CD223 (Lag-3), CD224, CD160, and (H) PD-1 in the splenic T-cell compartment of leukemic Tcl-1 tg IRF4+r/+ and Tcl-1 tg AB/ΔB mice. All viSNE plots are derived from the splene and show concatenated



Figure 5. In vitro activation of healthy T cells and adoptive transfer. (A) CD4⁺ and (B) CD8⁺ T cells derived from healthy C57/BL6 IRF4^{+/+} mice were activated with CD3/CD28 beads and coincubated with CLL tumor cells derived from the spleen of either Tcl-1 tg IRF4^{+/+} or Tcl-1 tg ΔB/ΔB leukemic mice for 24 hours. The activation status of T cells was measured using CD25 and CD69 antibodies by flow cytometry. (C) IFN-γ secretion was measured 72 hours after CD3/CD28 bead activation in cell culture supernatants using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay and (D) intracellular flow cytometry. (E) CLL tumor cells derived from the spleen of either Tcl-1 tg IRF4^{+/+} (red) or Tcl-1 tg ΔB/ΔB (blue) leukemic mice were transplanted into C57/BL6 WT (solid lines) or NOD-SCID recipients (dashed lines) using intraperitoneal injection. Overall survival of recipient mice is shown as Kaplan-Maier analysis. (F) Naïve and (G) antigen-experienced CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells derived from the spleen of Tcl-1 tg IRF4^{+/+} or Tcl-1 tg ΔB/ΔB tumors transplanted in C57/BL6 recipients.

T cells are known to be critical in CLL disease development.^{5,6} We have previously shown that T cells are skewed from naïve to antigen-experienced subsets.^{10,15} In human CLL, the skewing of CD4⁺ T cells to antigen-experienced subsets was associated with short treatment-free survival in CLL patients with unmutated IgVH genes,¹⁰ likely caused by enhanced interleukin-4 and CD40L ligation, which increase CLL survival and proliferation, respectively.^{10,44} In contrast, we observed a relation of decreased IRF4 expression with decreased antigen-experienced T cells in IgVH-mutated/unmutated CLL on the CD4⁺ T-cell side and a very pronounced correlation in IgVH-unmutated CLL on the CD8⁺ T-cell side. Both studies thus demonstrate that T-cell support by CD4⁺ T cells and tumor immune evasion are likely distinct mechanisms that may contribute to increased CLL progression independently. Both studies also suggest an ongoing cross talk between CLL cells and T cells that is assumed to be antigen mediated and involves T-cell receptor/MHC binding, T-cell costimulation, and IFN-y secretion.58 In fact, tumorspecific T cells were found in CLL patients, demonstrating that CLL-derived T cells retain cytotoxic antitumor activity.⁵⁹ By contrast, Tcl-1 tg IRF4 Δ B/ Δ B mice showed a downregulation of MHC molecules and decreased CD80/CD86 expression on CLL tumor cells (Figure 3A-D). In vitro, we observed decreased T-cell activation and reduced IFN-y secretion and in vivo rapid growth of IRF-deficient tumors was observed in immunocompetent recipients (Figure 5A-E). In human CLL, we found a correlation of low IRF4 expression to decreased CD86 expression and alterations in the gene expression program (Figure 6), similar to the murine disease. Moreover, the percentage of differentiated antigen-experienced T cells was decreased in IRF4^{low} CLL patients, indicating impaired CLL cell recognition by T cells and enhanced tumor immune evasion (Figure 6E-F). Defective CD4 T-cell priming was previously reported in a mouse model with IRF4 deficiency restricted to dendritic cells; this was due to decreased MHC class 2 antigen presentation and downregulation of genes involved in antigen processing,²⁶ showing high overlap to downregulated genes observed in our study, including CIITA (Figure 6H; supplemental Table 3), a

Figure 4 (continued) information of 3 mice per genotype. Data were validated using classical flow cytometry and results are shown as a stacking plot. PD-1⁺ cells are depicted in red, PD-1⁻ cells in blue in naïve, TCM, and TEM CD4⁺ or CD8⁺ T cells. (I) Naïve CD4⁺ and (J) CD8⁺ T cells derived from healthy C57/BL6 IRF4^{+/+} mice measured 72 hours after coculture with Tcl-1 tg IRF4^{+/+} (red) or Tcl-1 tg IRF4 ΔB/ΔB (blue) tumors derived from the spleen of leukemic mice. Green box plots show control CD4⁺ or CD8⁺ T cells cultured in absence of tumor cells. TEM/TCM represents the total amount of both TEM and TCM cells. 145Nd, 168Er, 162Dy, 164Dy, 170Er, 174Yb, 165Ho, and 159Tb refer to rare metal conjugates of antibodies used in single-cell mass cytometry.



Figure 6. Relation of IRF4 expression to disease progression and the immune phenotype in human CLL patients. (A) Primary CLL cells derived from the blood of 9 healthy donors were purified and mRNA measured by real-time PCR. The mRNA expression ratio normalized to 18S and the mean expression in healthy donors is shown. (B) Kaplan-Maier analysis showing TTFT intervals for the IRF4^{low} and IRF4^{high} CLL patient group. The cutoff to discriminate between IRF4 groups was calculated by ROC analysis and Youden index calculation. (C) GSE39671 data⁴⁶ were used for Kaplan-Maier analysis showing TTFT intervals in the IRF4^{low} and IRF4^{high} Group cutoffs were calculated using ROC analysis and Youden index calculation. (D) GSE39671 gene expression data⁴⁶ were analyzed for differentially expressed genes (P < .05) in IRF4^{low} and IRF4^{high} CLL patients. Differentially expressed genes were filtered using the murine gene list GO: 0042210 and are depicted as heatmap using unsupervised clustering. (E) The percentage of CD4⁺ and (F) CD8⁺ naïve T cells and (G) CD86⁺ CLL patients. *ClITA* expression ratios are depicted as delta CT ratio, normalized to 18S. (I) Heatmaps of HLA genes extracted from Affymetrix Gene chip data derived from the public dataset GSE21029⁴⁷ are shown for BM samples of CLL patients. *IRF4* expression is shown on the x-axis using a declining expression gradient.

transactivator required for MHC2 expression^{25,26,60} and direct transcriptional IRF4 target.⁶¹

Thus, we suggest that the downregulation of genes relevant for the CLL/T-cell cross talk observed in Tcl-1 tg IRF4 Δ B/ Δ B mice

and IRF4^{low} CLL patients, enhanced CLL tumor immune evasion, leading to decreased numbers of antigen-experienced, potentially tumor-specific T cells, and a more aggressive course of disease. Although some cytotoxic antitumor-specific T cells were found in human CLL patients,^{58,59} the majority of T cells is exhausted, which prevents an effective antitumor immune response.^{12,13,16} In line with previous results, we detected an upregulation of PD-L1 and PD-1 in Tcl-1 tg IRF4^{+/+} mice. By contrast, PD-L1 was downregulated in CLL tumor cells derived from Tcl-1 tg IRF4 Δ B/ Δ B mice and PD-1 decreased (Figure 3G-H) in the CD4⁺ TEM compartment (Figure 4H). No differences in PD-1 expression were observed in the CD8⁺ T-cell compartment; however, in Tcl-1 tg IRF4 Δ B/ Δ B mice, the majority of CD8⁺ (and CD4⁺) T cells showed a naïve phenotype. These naïve T cells are not tumor reactive and thus are not under the selection pressure to develop T-cell exhaustion.

We therefore conclude that at least 2 different mechanisms for tumor immune escape in CLL exist: (1) T-cell exhaustion, which is required to prevent an immune attack of CLL tumor cells by antigen-experienced T cells and (2) an immune privileged state afforded by IRF4 deficiency (or downregulation), which blocks CLL/T-cell interactions. In CLL patients, it is likely that both strategies are used at the same time, which is also relevant for tumor evolution after therapy.43,62,63 It has been reported that treatment of primary CLL patients with the BTK inhibitor Ibrutinib in vivo downregulated genes involved in immune response, including IRF4, PD-L1, and PD-1.63,64 Interestingly, patients that discontinued Ibrutinib showed poor overall survival afterwards,65 and it might be interesting to investigate a relation of this observation to the enhanced immune evasion capacity of IRF4^{low} CLL patients described here. In addition, Ibrutinib is currently used in combination immunotherapy approaches with PD-1 inhibitors and CAR T cells.⁶⁶ In the light of our findings, it may be important to investigate effects on T-cell guality and antigen presentation in such studies. Given that immunotherapies are the most promising option to cure CLL in the long term, we believe that molecular understanding of critical determinants of CLL tumor immune evasion is essential to devise strategies that combine modulation of such determinants, such as IRF4 expression with available immune treatment strategies to achieve this goal.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the IIIrd Medical Department, University Hospital Salzburg, Austria, for providing patient material and patient data. The authors also thank Erika Nussbaumer and Nathalie Wacht for purification of PBMCs from peripheral blood of CLL patients and biobanking.

REFERENCES

- 1. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2019. CA Cancer J Clin. 2019;69(1):7-34.
- Sant M, Allemani C, Tereanu C, et al; HAEMACARE Working Group. Incidence of hematologic malignancies in Europe by morphologic subtype: results of the HAEMACARE project. *Blood.* 2010;116(19):3724-3734.
- Kröber A, Seiler T, Benner A, et al. V(H) mutation status, CD38 expression level, genomic aberrations, and survival in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Blood.* 2002;100(4): 1410-1416.
- Zenz T, Mertens D, Küppers R, Döhner H, Stilgenbauer S. From pathogenesis to treatment of chronic lymphocytic leukaemia. Nat Rev Cancer. 2010;10(1):37-50.
- 5. Pleyer L, Egle A, Hartmann TN, Greil R. Molecular and cellular mechanisms of CLL:

novel therapeutic approaches. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. 2009;6(7):405-418.

- Ghia P, Chiorazzi N, Stamatopoulos K. Microenvironmental influences in chronic lymphocytic leukaemia: the role of antigen stimulation. *J Intern Med.* 2008;264(6): 549-562.
- Seiffert M, Schulz A, Ohl S, Döhner H, Stilgenbauer S, Lichter P. Soluble CD14 is a novel monocyte-derived survival factor for chronic lymphocytic leukemia cells, which is induced by CLL cells in vitro and present at abnormally high levels in vivo. *Blood.* 2010; 116(20):4223-4230.
- Holler C, Piñón JD, Denk U, et al. PKCbeta is essential for the development of chronic lymphocytic leukemia in the TCL1 transgenic mouse model: validation of PKCbeta as a therapeutic target in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Blood*. 2009;113(12):2791-2794.

This study was supported by the SCRI-LIMCR GmbH, the Province of Salzburg (20102-P1509466-FPR01-2015 and 20102-P1601064-FPR01-2017 to R.G.), grants from the Austrian Science Fund FWF to D.A. (T671-B13) and A.E. (P26719-B19, 11299-B21, and 13282-B26 (FOR 2036), 12795-B28 (ERA-NET TRANSCAN-2 program JTC 2014; project FIRE-CLL), and the Paracelsus Medical Private University Salzburg (PMU E-18/28/147-EGA).

Authorship

Contribution: A.E. and D.A. designed the research and wrote the manuscript; D.A., Y.Q., N.M., U.D., M.S., and J.P.H. performed experimental work; D.A. and Y.Q. analyzed the data; A.E., D.A., Y.Q., N.M., M.S., N.Z., T.N.H., and R.G. contributed in critical discussion and data interpretation; and all authors read and agreed to the final version of the manuscript.

Conflict-of-interest disclosure: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

The current affiliation for T.N.H. is Department of Hematology, Oncology and Stem Cell Transplantation, Faculty of Medicine and Medical Center, University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany.

ORCID profiles: M.S., 0000-0003-4424-6347; T.N.H., 0000-0002-0377-7179; A.E., 0000-0003-0648-4416.

Correspondence: Alexander Egle, Department of Internal Medicine III, Müllner Hauptstraße 48, 5020 Salzburg; e-mail: a.egle@salk.at.

Footnotes

Submitted 1 April 2019; accepted 3 September 2019. Prepublished online as *Blood* First Edition paper, 19 September 2019; DOI 10.1182/blood.2019000973.

*D.A. and Y.Q. contributed equally to this work.

RNA sequencing datasets will be deposited to public repositories. Original data will be shared upon E-Mail request to the corresponding author.

The online version of this article contains a data supplement.

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. Therefore, and solely to indicate this fact, this article is hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 USC section 1734.

- Lutzny G, Kocher T, Schmidt-Supprian M, et al. Protein kinase c-β-dependent activation of NF-κB in stromal cells is indispensable for the survival of chronic lymphocytic leukemia B cells in vivo. *Cancer Cell*. 2013;23(1):77-92.
- Tinhofer I, Weiss L, Gassner F, Rubenzer G, Holler C, Greil R. Difference in the relative distribution of CD4+ T-cell subsets in B-CLL with mutated and unmutated immunoglobulin (Ig) VH genes: implication for the course of disease. J Immunother. 2009;32(3):302-309.
- Monserrat J, Sánchez M, de Paz R, et al. Distinctive patterns of naïve/memory subset distribution and cytokine expression in CD4 T lymphocytes in ZAP-70 B-chronic lymphocytic patients. Cytometry B Clin Cytom. 2014;86(1): 32-43.
- Gassner FJ, Zaborsky N, Catakovic K, et al. Chronic lymphocytic leukaemia induces an exhausted T cell phenotype in the TCL1

transgenic mouse model. Br J Haematol. 2015;170(4):515-522.

- Riches JC, Davies JK, McClanahan F, et al. T cells from CLL patients exhibit features of T-cell exhaustion but retain capacity for cytokine production. *Blood.* 2013;121(9): 1612-1621.
- 14. Ramsay AG, Johnson AJ, Lee AM, et al. Chronic lymphocytic leukemia T cells show impaired immunological synapse formation that can be reversed with an immunomodulating drug. J Clin Invest. 2008;118(7): 2427-2437.
- Hofbauer JP, Heyder C, Denk U, et al. Development of CLL in the TCL1 transgenic mouse model is associated with severe skewing of the T-cell compartment homologous to human CLL. *Leukemia*. 2011;25(9): 1452-1458.
- Gorgun G, Ramsay AG, Holderried TA, et al. E(mu)-TCL1 mice represent a model for immunotherapeutic reversal of chronic lymphocytic leukemia-induced T-cell dysfunction. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2009;106(15): 6250-6255.
- McClanahan F, Hanna B, Miller S, et al. PD-L1 checkpoint blockade prevents immune dysfunction and leukemia development in a mouse model of chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Blood.* 2015;126(2):203-211.
- Bichi R, Shinton SA, Martin ES, et al. Human chronic lymphocytic leukemia modeled in mouse by targeted TCL1 expression. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2002;99(10):6955-6960.
- Nam S, Lim JS. Essential role of interferon regulatory factor 4 (IRF4) in immune cell development. Arch Pharm Res. 2016;39(11): 1548-1555.
- 20. Yao S, Buzo BF, Pham D, et al. Interferon regulatory factor 4 sustains CD8(+) T cell expansion and effector differentiation. *Immunity*. 2013;39(5):833-845.
- Huber M, Lohoff M. IRF4 at the crossroads of effector T-cell fate decision. Eur J Immunol. 2014;44(7):1886-1895.
- Raczkowski F, Ritter J, Heesch K, et al. The transcription factor Interferon Regulatory Factor 4 is required for the generation of protective effector CD8+ T cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2013;110(37):15019-15024.
- Huber M, Brüstle A, Reinhard K, et al. IRF4 is essential for IL-21-mediated induction, amplification, and stabilization of the Th17 phenotype. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2008;105(52): 20846-20851.
- Bollig N, Brüstle A, Kellner K, et al. Transcription factor IRF4 determines germinal center formation through follicular T-helper cell differentiation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2012;109(22):8664-8669.
- 25. Pan H, O'Brien TF, Wright G, et al. Critical role of the tumor suppressor tuberous sclerosis complex 1 in dendritic cell activation of CD4 T cells by promoting MHC class II expression via IRF4 and CIITA. J Immunol. 2013;191(2): 699-707.
- Vander Lugt B, Khan AA, Hackney JA, et al. Transcriptional programming of dendritic cells for enhanced MHC class II antigen presentation. Nat Immunol. 2014;15(2):161-167.

- 27. Yamamoto M, Kato T, Hotta C, et al. Shared and distinct functions of the transcription factors IRF4 and IRF8 in myeloid cell development. *PLoS One*. 2011;6(10):e25812.
- Nam S, Kang K, Cha JS, et al. Interferon regulatory factor 4 (IRF4) controls myeloidderived suppressor cell (MDSC) differentiation and function. J Leukoc Biol. 2016;100(6): 1273-1284.
- Chistiakov DA, Myasoedova VA, Revin VV, Orekhov AN, Bobryshev YV. The impact of interferon-regulatory factors to macrophage differentiation and polarization into M1 and M2. *Immunobiology*. 2018;223(1):101-111.
- Budzyńska PM, Niemelä M, Sarapulov AV, et al. IRF4 deficiency leads to altered BCR signalling revealed by enhanced PI3K pathway, decreased SHIP expression and defected cytoskeletal responses. *Scand J Immunol.* 2015;82(5):418-428.
- De Silva NS, Simonetti G, Heise N, Klein U. The diverse roles of IRF4 in late germinal center B-cell differentiation. *Immunol Rev.* 2012;247(1):73-92.
- 32. Klein U, Casola S, Cattoretti G, et al. Transcription factor IRF4 controls plasma cell differentiation and class-switch recombination. Nat Immunol. 2006;7(7): 773-782.
- Mittrücker HW, Matsuyama T, Grossman A, et al. Requirement for the transcription factor LSIRF/IRF4 for mature B and T lymphocyte function. *Science*. 1997;275(5299):540-543.
- Yang Y, Shaffer AL III, Emre NC, et al. Exploiting synthetic lethality for the therapy of ABC diffuse large B cell lymphoma. *Cancer Cell.* 2012;21(6):723-737.
- Shaffer AL, Emre NC, Lamy L, et al. IRF4 addiction in multiple myeloma. *Nature*. 2008; 454(7201):226-231.
- Pathak S, Ma S, Trinh L, et al. IRF4 is a suppressor of c-Myc induced B cell leukemia. PLoS One. 2011;6(7):e22628.
- 37. Acquaviva J, Chen X, Ren R. IRF-4 functions as a tumor suppressor in early B-cell development. *Blood.* 2008;112(9):3798-3806.
- Ortmann CA, Burchert A, Hölzle K, et al. Down-regulation of interferon regulatory factor 4 gene expression in leukemic cells due to hypermethylation of CpG motifs in the promoter region. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2005;33(21): 6895-6905.
- Di Bernardo MC, Crowther-Swanepoel D, Broderick P, et al. A genome-wide association study identifies six susceptibility loci for chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Nat Genet. 2008;40(10):1204-1210.
- Crowther-Swanepoel D, Broderick P, Ma Y, et al. Fine-scale mapping of the 6p25.3 chronic lymphocytic leukaemia susceptibility locus. *Hum Mol Genet.* 2010;19(9): 1840-1845.
- 41. Crowther-Swanepoel D, Mansouri M, Enjuanes A, et al. Verification that common variation at 2q37.1, 6p25.3, 11q24.1, 15q23, and 19q13.32 influences chronic lymphocytic leukaemia risk. Br J Haematol. 2010;150(4): 473-479.

- Puente XS, Beà S, Valdés-Mas R, et al. Noncoding recurrent mutations in chronic lymphocytic leukaemia. *Nature*. 2015;526(7574): 519-524.
- 43. Zaborsky N, Gassner FJ, Hopner JP, et al. Exome sequencing of the TCL1 mouse model for CLL reveals genetic heterogeneity and dynamics during disease development. *Leukemia*. 2019;33(4):957-968.
- 44. Asslaber D, Grössinger EM, Girbl T, et al. Mimicking the microenvironment in chronic lymphocytic leukaemia - where does the journey go? Br J Haematol. 2013;160(5): 711-714.
- 45. Asslaber D, Wacht N, Leisch M, et al. BIRC3 expression predicts CLL progression and defines treatment sensitivity via enhanced NF-kappaB nuclear translocation. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2019;25(6):1901-1912.
- 46. Chuang HY, Rassenti L, Salcedo M, et al. Subnetwork-based analysis of chronic lymphocytic leukemia identifies pathways that associate with disease progression. *Blood.* 2012;120(13):2639-2649.
- Herishanu Y, Pérez-Galán P, Liu D, et al. The lymph node microenvironment promotes B-cell receptor signaling, NF-kappaB activation, and tumor proliferation in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Blood*. 2011;117(2): 563-574.
- 48. Hamblin TJ. Predicting progression--ZAP-70 in CLL. N Engl J Med. 2004;351(9):856-857.
- 49. Ma S, Shukla V, Fang L, Gould KA, Joshi SS, Lu R. Accelerated development of chronic lymphocytic leukemia in New Zealand Black mice expressing a low level of interferon regulatory factor 4. J Biol Chem. 2013;288(37): 26430-26440.
- Shukla V, Ma S, Hardy RR, Joshi SS, Lu R. A role for IRF4 in the development of CLL. *Blood*. 2013;122(16):2848-2855.
- Chen L, Flies DB. Molecular mechanisms of T cell co-stimulation and co-inhibition [published correction appears in Nat Rev Immunol. 2013;13(7):542]. Nat Rev Immunol. 2013; 13(4):227-242.
- Stacchini A, Aragno M, Vallario A, et al. MEC1 and MEC2: two new cell lines derived from B-chronic lymphocytic leukaemia in prolymphocytoid transformation. *Leuk Res.* 1999; 23(2):127-136.
- Iida S, Rao PH, Butler M, et al. Deregulation of MUM1/IRF4 by chromosomal translocation in multiple myeloma. *Nat Genet*. 1997;17(2): 226-230.
- 54. Lenz G, Nagel I, Siebert R, et al. Aberrant immunoglobulin class switch recombination and switch translocations in activated B celllike diffuse large B cell lymphoma. J Exp Med. 2007;204(3):633-643.
- Lenz G, Wright GW, Emre NC, et al. Molecular subtypes of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma arise by distinct genetic pathways. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2008;105(36):13520-13525.
- Wu J, Zhang H, Shi X, et al. Ablation of transcription factor IRF4 promotes transplant acceptance by driving allogenic CD4⁺ T cell dysfunction. *Immunity*. 2017;47(6):1114-1128.

- Grusdat M, McIlwain DR, Xu HC, et al. IRF4 and BATF are critical for CD8⁺ T-cell function following infection with LCMV. *Cell Death Differ*. 2014;21(7):1050-1060.
- Goddard RV, Prentice AG, Copplestone JA, Kaminski ER. Generation in vitro of B-cell chronic lymphocytic leukaemia-proliferative and specific HLA class-II-restricted cytotoxic T-cell responses using autologous dendritic cells pulsed with tumour cell lysate. *Clin Exp Immunol.* 2001;126(1):16-28.
- Rezvany MR, Jeddi-Tehrani M, Wigzell H, Osterborg A, Mellstedt H. Leukemiaassociated monoclonal and oligoclonal TCR-BV use in patients with B-cell chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Blood.* 2003;101(3): 1063-1070.

- Drozina G, Kohoutek J, Jabrane-Ferrat N, Peterlin BM. Expression of MHC II genes. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol. 2005;290:147-170.
- 61. van der Stoep N, Quinten E, Marcondes Rezende M, van den Elsen PJ. E47, IRF-4, and PU.1 synergize to induce B-cell-specific activation of the class II transactivator promoter III (CIITA-PIII). *Blood*. 2004;104(9):2849-2857.
- Landau DA, Carter SL, Stojanov P, et al. Evolution and impact of subclonal mutations in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Cell.* 2013; 152(4):714-726.
- Landau DA, Sun C, Rosebrock D, et al. The evolutionary landscape of chronic lymphocytic leukemia treated with ibrutinib targeted therapy. Nat Commun. 2017;8(1):2185.
- 64. Kondo K, Shaim H, Thompson PA, et al. Ibrutinib modulates the immunosuppressive CLL microenvironment through STAT3mediated suppression of regulatory B-cell function and inhibition of the PD-1/PD-L1 pathway. *Leukemia*. 2018; 32(4):960-970.
- Jain P, Keating M, Wierda W, et al. Outcomes of patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia after discontinuing ibrutinib. *Blood.* 2015; 125(13):2062-2067.
- 66. Turtle CJ, Hay KA, Hanafi LA, et al. Durable molecular remissions in chronic lymphocytic leukemia treated with CD19-specific chimeric antigen receptor-modified T cells after failure of ibrutinib. J Clin Oncol. 2017;35(26): 3010-3020.