TRANSPLANTATION

Successful outcome following allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in adults with primary immunodeficiency

Thomas A. Fox,^{1,2} Ronjon Chakraverty,^{2,4} Siobhan Burns,^{1,3} Benjamin Carpenter,^{2,5} Kirsty Thomson,^{2,4} David Lowe,^{1,6} Adele Fielding,^{2,4} Karl Peggs,^{2,4} Panagiotis Kottaridis,^{2,3} Benjamin Uttenthal,⁷ Venetia Bigley,⁸ Matthew Buckland,^{1,6} Victoria Grandage,⁵ Shari Denovan,^{2,3,5} Sarah Grace,^{2,3,5} Julia Dahlstrom,^{2,5} Sarita Workman,⁶ Andrew Symes,⁶ Stephen Mackinnon,^{2,4} Rachael Hough,⁵ and Emma Morris^{1,2,6}

¹Institute of Immunity and Transplantation, University College London (UCL), London, United Kingdom; ²Bone Marrow Transplant (BMT) Programme, UCL Hospital National Health Service Foundation Trust (NHS FT), London, United Kingdom; ³Department of Haematology, Royal Free London NHS FT, London, United Kingdom; ⁴Department of Haematology, Cancer Institute, UCL, London, United Kingdom; ⁵Teenage and Young Adult BMT Programme, UCL Hospital NHS FT, London, United Kingdom; ⁶Department of Immunology, Royal Free London NHS FT, London, United Kingdom; ⁷Department of Haematology, Addenbrookes' Hospital, Cambridge, United Kingdom; and ⁸Institute of Cellular Medicine, Newcastle University, Newcastle, United Kingdom

KEY POINTS

- Allo-HSCT with RIC is safe and effective in younger adults with severe PID.
- Referral triggers should include severe infections, autoimmunity, malignancy, and disease progression despite conservative management.

The primary immunodeficiencies (PIDs), rare inherited diseases characterized by severe dysfunction of immunity, have been successfully treated by allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (Allo-HSCT) in childhood. Controversy exists regarding optimal timing and use of Allo-HSCT in adults, due to lack of experience and previous poor outcomes. Twenty-nine consecutive adult patients, with a mean age at transplant of 24 years (range, 17-50 years), underwent Allo-HSCT. Reduced-intensity conditioning (RIC) included fludarabine (Flu)/melphalan/alemtuzumab (n = 20), Flu/busulfan (Bu)/alemtuzumab (n = 8), and Flu/Bu/antithymocyte globulin (n = 1). Stem cell donors were matched unrelated donors or mismatched unrelated donors (n = 18) and matched related donors (n = 11). Overall survival (OS), event-free survival, transplant-related mortality (TRM), acute and chronic graft-versus-host disease incidence and severity, time to engraftment, lineage-specific chimerism, immune reconstitution, and discontinuation of immunoglobulin replacement therapy were recorded. OS at 3 years for the whole cohort was 85.2%. The rarer

PID patients without chronic granulomatous disease (CGD) achieved an OS at 3 years of 88.9% (n = 18), compared with 81.8% for CGD patients (n = 11). TRM was low with only 4 deaths observed at a median follow-up of 3.5 years. There were no cases of early or late rejection. In all surviving patients, either stable mixed chimerism or full donor chimerism were observed. At last follow-up, 87% of the surviving patients had no evidence of persistent or recurrent infections. Allo-HSCT is safe and effective in young adult patients with severe PID and should be considered the treatment of choice where an appropriate donor is available. (*Blood*. 2018;131(8):917-931)

Introduction

The primary immunodeficiencies (PIDs) are a rare group of inherited diseases characterized by severe dysfunction of adaptive and/or innate immunity, typically arising from genetic mutations in hematopoietic stem cells. Nearly 300 distinct immunodeficiencies have now been described, with 20 accounting for over 90% of cases. Excluding common variable immunodeficiency (CVID), 3 of the most common are severe combined immunodeficiency (SCID), Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome, and chronic granulomatous disease (CGD). Children with severe PIDs have been successfully treated by allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (Allo-HSCT), which has been the major therapeutic option for inherited cellular immunodeficiency disorders since 1968.¹⁻⁶ However, almost all series published on outcomes for Allo-HSCT have

focused on pediatric patients. The median age at transplant of patients described in the largest published series is <1 year for SCID,⁷ 2.8 years for Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome,⁸ and 12.7 years for CGD, using reduced-intensity conditioning (RIC).^{9,10}

Significant advances in hematopoietic transplantation over the past 20 years, including refinement of HLA-tissue typing, adoption of RIC regimens, increased availability of alternative stem cell sources, improved methods of graft-versus-host disease (GVHD) prophylaxis, and improved supportive care, have translated into better outcomes today compared with the early experience.^{5,11}

Early Allo-HSCT is important in infants or children presenting with serious or life-threatening infections because without definitive treatment, patients with severe PID, such as SCID, rarely survive beyond 1 year of age. In addition, younger patients have less end-organ damage from repeated or severe infections.^{11,12} Indeed, overall survival (OS) has been shown to fall from 95% in SCID patients transplanted at ages <3.5 months to 76% in older children.^{7,13}

Although early Allo-HSCT is preferred for PID, this is often not possible. An initial milder clinical phenotype, delayed diagnosis, late presentation, lack of a genetic diagnosis, or an inability to identify a suitable stem cell donor may result in patients surviving to adolescence and early adulthood without having undergone Allo-HSCT. Furthermore, in non-SCID forms of PIDs, the clinical phenotype can be very heterogeneous and "atypical," largely due to the high number of genetic and functional defects affecting T, B, and NK cells, neutrophils, and/or antigen presentation. For these patients, diagnosis can be delayed or difficult and the natural history of exceptionally rare underlying diseases is often unclear. In several PIDs, controversy surrounding optimum timing of Allo-HSCT remains, due to rarity of disease, lack of experience, emerging gene therapies, ¹⁴⁻¹⁷ and infrequent published outcome data due to the very low numbers in any 1 center.

One such disorder where optimum timing remains unclear is CGD, which is characterized by impairment of the phagocyte reduced nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH)-oxidase complex. Patients with absent NADPH activity are one group thought to benefit from early transplant¹⁸ and criteria have been devised for Allo-HSCT in children. These are: life-threatening infection, noncompliance with antimicrobial prophylaxis, or steroiddependent autoinflammatory disease.¹⁹ In adolescents and adults, criteria are more difficult to apply due to higher rates of organ dysfunction and reported increased mortality from Allo-HSCT.²⁰ The largest published case series reported a cohort of 70 CGD patients with excellent OS of 91.4% at median follow-up (34 months), but this cohort had a median age of 8.9 years (interguartile range [IQR], 3.8-19.3 years).¹⁰ Similarly, the second largest series of 56 CGD patients also had an excellent OS of 93% at median follow-up (21 months), but again the median age was young at 12.5 years⁹ with only 14 patients aged \geq 17 years of age.

There is even less experience of Allo-HSCT in rarer PIDs and the literature is confined to pediatric series or adult case reports. In the lethal genetic PID caused by GATA2 mutations, HSCT has resulted in reversal of the hematologic, immunologic, and clinical phenotype in small series including adults.^{21,22} In addition, in X-linked inhibitor of apoptosis protein (XIAP) deficiency, poor outcomes post–Allo-HSCT have been reported, with high rates of transplant-related mortality (TRM),²³ although a recent article has described excellent outcome for 10 Japanese patients (all <17 years) undergoing RIC-conditioned HSCT.²⁴

Given the reduced toxicity of RIC regimens, these appear to be better tolerated in patients with PID who often have significant organ dysfunction and associated elevated hematopoietic cell transplant–comorbidity index (HCT-CI) scores²⁵ pretransplant. Elsewhere, others have used low-toxicity myeloablative conditioning regimens incorporating targeted treosulfan with excellent results in children.^{26,27}

We report here the outcome of 29 consecutive adult patients (≥17 years) who underwent Allo-HSCT for a variety of PIDs in the Allo-HSCT programs of University College London (UCL)

Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust and the Royal Free London Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, UCL Centre for Immunodeficiency, July 2004 to November 2016. Prior to transplant, all patients had developed complications that necessitated definitive treatment with curative intent. During the study period, 5 additional adult patients were referred for consideration of Allo-HSCT who did not proceed to transplant. Referral was triggered by lifethreatening infection, malignancy, autoimmune or inflammatory phenomena, new genetic diagnosis, or new donor availability.

Methods

Study design and participants

All patients provided written consent as per institutional practice for Allo-HSCT. The mean age at transplant was 24 years (range, 17-50 years). Of these, 11 patients had CGD and 18 had various other PIDs. Detailed patient demographics and transplant characteristics are shown in Table 1. PIDs were diagnosed using international criteria. Patients with severe dysfunction of adaptive and/or innate immunity can present with recurrent infections, autoimmunity, and/or malignancy. Nineteen patients had a genetic diagnosis and 10 had a combination of clinical phenotype with a corresponding functional defect. Secondary causes of immunodeficiency were excluded. Data on donor status, conditioning regimen, and clinical condition during and after transplant and at last follow-up was collected retrospectively from the medical notes and our Allo-HSCT database. The HCT-CI is a comorbidity tool, which captures the prevalence, magnitude, and severity of various organ impairments before Allo-HSCT to predict risk of TRM. HCT-CI scores pretransplant were calculated for all patients.²² All patients had a score of \geq 1 whereas 12 (41%) had a score of \geq 3. HCT-CI scores were higher in the PID cohort (50% scored \geq 3) compared with the CGD cohort (27% scored \geq 3), which was not statistically significant (P = .206), but may suggest an increased risk of TRM.

Stem cell source

Eleven patients had matched related donors (MRDs; 10 siblings, one 10 of 10 matched paternal donor) and 18 had matched unrelated donors (MUDs), including 5 with 1 antigen mismatched unrelated donor (MMUD; 4 A antigen mismatch, 1 C antigen mismatch). Patients and donors were matched for HLA-A, -B, -C, -DRB1, and -DQB1 by intermediate or high-resolution DNA typing as appropriate.

Mobilized peripheral blood stem cells (PBSCs) were the stem cell source in 79% of the patients (n = 23, including all non-CGD patients) whereas bone marrow was used in the other 21% (n = 7, all CGD patients).

Allo-HSCT conditioning regimen

All patients were transplanted using previously described T-cell-depleted (in vivo alemtuzumab or antithymocyte globulin [ATG]) RIC regimens.^{9,28,29} All non-CGD PID patients received fludarabine (30 mg/m² daily for 5 days), melphalan 140 mg/m² and alemtuzumab (100 mg for MUD recipients delivered as 20 mg once daily, days -7 to day -3 and 30 mg single dose on day -1 for MRD recipients after May 2010). Two of the early MRD patients also received 100 mg of alemtuzumab delivered as 20 mg once daily, days -7 to day -3. Conditioning for CGD patients consisted of fludarabine (30 mg/m² daily for 5 days),

busulfan (1.6 mg/kg twice daily for 3 days), or melphalan (140 mg/m² total), together with alemtuzumab (0.2 mg/kg daily for 3 days) for 10 patients or, in 1 patient, rabbit ATG (2.5 mg/kg daily for 3 days). The mean busulfan cumulative area under the curve was 56.05 μ mol/L per minute (range, 44.34-62.66; n = 7). Details are shown in Table 1.

In addition to antibody-mediated in vivo T-cell depletion, GVHD prophylaxis with cyclosporine was used in all patients. Acute and chronic GVHD were graded as previously reported.^{30,31}

Supportive care

Reverse isolation, and antimicrobial and antifungal prophylaxis, were used to reduce the risk of infectious complications. All patients received prophylaxis against *Pneumocystis jirovecii* and acyclovir prophylaxis against varicella zoster virus reactivation. Surveillance for cytomegalovirus (CMV), adenovirus, and Epstein Barr virus (EBV) infection was performed by weekly polymerase chain reaction or antigenemia testing, and preemptive treatment was administered according to institutional guidelines.

In patients who were receiving immunoglobulin replacement therapy prior to transplant, this was continued until normal trough levels were demonstrated posttransplant and the risk of further infectious complications was minimal.

Chimerism analysis

Chimerism samples were processed at 3-monthly intervals until 1 year posttransplant and then 6 monthly or when indicated clinically (cytopenias, persistent infections, ongoing mixed chimerism). In patients with a sex-mismatched donor, chimerism was analyzed by fluorescence in situ hybridization. In those with sex-matched donors, polymerase chain reaction of short tandem repeats was used. Lineage-specific chimerism was performed on peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) and T-cell, B-cell, and granulocyte compartments. The laboratory reports results as follows: "donor" where donor DNA was \geq 97%, "mixed" where donor DNA was \geq 50% and <97%, "very mixed" where there is more recipient than donor DNA (donor DNA \geq 1% <50%), and "recipient" where no donor DNA can be detected.

Study end points and statistical analysis

Data were analyzed in April 2017, in accordance with published guidelines.^{32,33} Primary outcome measures were OS, event-free survival (EFS), TRM, neutrophil engraftment, and platelet engraftment. OS was defined as the time from transplant to death from any cause. EFS was defined as the time from transplant to graft failure, graft rejection, or death from any cause. Probabilities of OS and EFS were calculated using the Kaplan-Meier method with SPSS 22.0 statistical package (Chicago, IL). Comparison of survival curves was made using the log-rank method.

Cumulative incidence estimates were used to calculate TRM, and engraftment of neutrophils ($\ge 0.5 \times 10^{9}$ /L) and platelets ($\ge 50 \times 10^{9}$ /L).

Results

Survival

OS of the whole cohort was 89.2% at 1 year and 85.2% at 3 years, with a mean follow-up of 3.5 years (range, 4 months to 12 years).

OS at 1 year was 90.9% and 77.9% at 3 years for MRD transplants (n = 11) and 94.4% at 1 year and 88.9% at 3 years for M/MUD transplants (P = .51; n = 18). OS was 90.9% at 1 year and 81.8% at 3 years for CGD patients (n = 11) and 94.4% at 1 year and 88.9% at 3 years for other PIDs (P = .75; n = 18) (Figure 1A-C).

EFS for the whole cohort was 89.7% at 1 and 3 years (n = 29), with no significant difference observed for EFS between MRD transplants and M/MUD transplants; 90.9% vs 88.9%, respectively (P = .73). There was no significant difference in EFS in patients with CGD and other PIDs: 90.9% vs 88.9% at 1 and 3 years (P = .65) (Figure 1D-F). One late death occurred in a patient over 9 years posttransplant due to respiratory complications in the context of progression of preexisting bronchiectasis.

Engraftment

There were no episodes of graft rejection or graft failure. For the whole cohort, neutrophil engraftment occurred after a median 12 days (mean, 14 days; IQR, 11-17 days) and median platelet engraftment was 14 days (mean, 17 days; IQR, 11-20 days). Comparing MRD to MUD/MMUD, median time to neutrophil engraftment was 11 days (IQR, 11-12 days) vs 15 days (IQR, 12-21 days), respectively (Figure 2A). Comparing the 2 diagnostic groups, time to neutrophil engraftment was longer in patients with CGD (n = 11): median 16 days (IQR, 12-22 days) vs 11 days (IQR, 11-14 days) in patients with other PIDs (n = 18) (Figure 2B). The observed longer time to engraftment in the CGD group reflects the fact that 7 of 11 CGD patients had bone marrow as the stem cell source compared with the non-CGD PID patients who all received PBSCs.

Median time to platelet engraftment in MRD transplants was 12 days (IQR, 11-21 days) and 18 days (IQR, 12-21 days) in MUD/MMUD transplants (Figure 2C). Longer platelet engraftment was also seen in CGD patients: median 19 days (IQR, 14-20 days) compared with 16 days (IQR, 11-21 days) in patients with other PIDs (Figure 2D). None of the observed differences were statistically significant. One patient had a CD34⁺ selected stem cell topup due to persistent cytopenias, with good effect.

Transplant-related mortality

Conditioning was generally well tolerated with no cases of interstitial pneumonitis, veno-occlusive disease, or severe mucositis (grade III-IV). TRM was low, with only 4 deaths observed at a median follow-up of 31 months for the whole cohort (n = 29) (Figure 3A-C). Two patients died during the neutropenic phase, prior to engraftment, of multiorgan failure secondary to sepsis (1 had no active infection immediately prior to transplant and the other had chronic cholangiopathy and was on antimicrobial prophylaxis), 1 at 7 months posttransplant of granulomatous meningoencephalitis, and a further patient at 28 months posttransplant secondary to sepsis in the context of chronic extensive GVHD.

Graft-versus-host disease

Thirteen patients developed grades I-II acute GVHD (10 skin only, 1 skin and gut, 1 gut only, and 1 liver only) and 1 patient developed grade III acute GVHD of the liver. Of these, 7 progressed to limited (single organ) chronic GVHD (4 skin only, 2 gut only. and 1 pulmonary). A further patient developed steroidrefractory extensive chronic GVHD. At last follow-up, no patients had ongoing active chronic GVHD requiring systemic immune suppression.

GVHD rrophylaxis									
<u> </u>	CSA	CSA	CSA	CSA	CSA	CSA	CSA	CSA	CSA
In vivo T-cell depletion (dose)	Alemtuzumab (100 mg)	Alemtuzumab (100 mg)	Alemtuzumab (100 mg)	Alemtuzumab (100 mg)	Alemtuzumab (100 mg)	Alemuzumab (100 mg)	Alemtuzumab (30 mg)	Alemtuzumab (100 mg)	Alemtuzumab (100 mg)
Conditioning regimen	Flu Mel	Flu Mel	Flu Mel	Flu Mel	Flu Mel	Flu Mel	Flu Mel	Flu Mel	Flu Mel
CMV status (R/D)	+/+	+/+	+/+	-/+	-/-	+/+	+/+	+/+	-/-
CD34 dose, ×10°/kg	10.8	15.3	5.	3.7	6.7	12.6	7.8	6.3	7.0
Stem cell source	PBSC	PBSC	PBSC	PBSC	PBSC	PBSC	PBSC	PBSC	PBSC
Donor (HLA mismatch)	MRD	DUM	DUM	MRD	DUM	QUM	MRD	MMUD (C Ag)	(6A A) DUMM
HCT-CI score*	2	N N	NI S	N	~	Ň	3	N 3	33
Medical complications prior to transplant	Red cell aplasia.	Inflammatory lung disease, sclerosing cholangitis, prior splenectomy, pulmonary fibrosis, pulmonary aspergilloma,* osteopenia.	Severe lymphatic pancolitis, previous mycoplasma arthritis, bronchiectasis, previous peripheral CD8 ⁺ T-cell NHL, pulmonary hypertension.	Refractory thrombocytopenia, previous splenectomy, hypertension.	Indolent lymphoma (NHL), autoimmune neutropenia, MGUS with IgG paraprotein, previous splenectomy, factor XI deficiency.	Failed haploidentical allo and gene therapy. Sclerosing cholangitis. Recurrent infectors. Bronchiectasis and pneumocele. Brachiocephalic thronmbus. Haptomgaly with chronic Cholangiopathy. Refractory facial planar warts. Depression.	Refractory planar warts (HPV2),† recurrent infections, bronchiectasis, sarcoid arthropathy, jgG 1 and 2 subclass deficiency.	Trilineage MDS, bronchiectasis, Crohn colites, recurrent viral warts, Mycocbacterium avium complex, AIN and vulval intraepithelial neoptasia (PPV)T.	Recurrent Salmonella sepsis, Salmonella pericarditis with cardiac tamponade, mild asthma, recurrent otitis externa.
Age at HSCT/ sex	31/F	30/F	50/M	32/M	34/M	26/M	27/M	27/F	29/M
Age at diagnosis, y	20	15	10	m	32	-	14	24	19
Genetic mutation	Not done.	No mutation in TNFSF5. (Normal T-cell numbers at presentation and no T-cell related infections).	Splice donor mutation in PIK3R1 (predicting exon skipping).	Heterozygous TNFRSF 6 gene encoding Fas (c.785T>C (1232T).	No mutation identified, including in Fas, SH2D1A, Functional apoptosis defect.	Confirmed by sequencing.	No mutation identified. Whole-genome sequencing pending.	Gata2 wild type; whole-exome sequencing. Pending.	Confirmed by sequencing.
Diagnosis	CVID	Granulomatous CVID	APD S2	Autoimmune LPD	Autoimmune LPD	Cy-chain SCID	Absolute NK deficiency, hypogamma- globulinemia	DCML deficiency	AR IL12Recß deficiency
ž	-	5	m	4	<u>م</u>	Ŷ	~	œ	6

Table 1. Patient demographics and transplant characteristics

Ag, antigen, XIN, anal intraepithelial neoplasia, APDS2, activated phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase 8 syndrome type 2; AR, autosomal recessive; BM, bone marrow; Bu, busulfan; CGD, chronic granulomatous disease, CID, combined immunodeficiency; CSA, cyclospoint A; CVID, common variable immunodeficiency; DCML, dendritic cell, monocyte, B lymphopcyte, and natural killer lymphocyte deficiency; F, female; FACs, fluorescence-activated cell sorting; Flu, fludarabine; HCT-CI, hematopoietic cell transpant-comorbidity index, IL, Hodgkin lymphopyte predominant HL; LPD, lymphoproliterative disease; MNUD, mise to the soft of th *Active infection at transplant.

Active Intection at transpiant.

†Unresolved viral warts at transplant.

~
0
ā
ž
Ē
·Ξ
- 7
5
ŭ
ت
5
<u>_</u>
Ξ
B
Ĥ

GVHD prophylaxis	Ā	Ą	Ą	Ą	Ą	¢	4	Ą	4
In vivo T-cell depletion (dose)	Alemtuzumab (100 mg)	Alemtuzumab CS (100 mg)	Alemtuzumab (100 mg)	Alemtuzumab CS (100 mg)	Alemtuzumab (100 mg)	Alemtuzumab CS (30 mg)	Alemtuzumab (30 mg)	Alemtuzumab CS (30 mg)	Alemtuzumab (30 mg)
Conditioning regimen	Flu Mel	Flu Mel	Flu Mel	Flu Mel	Flu Mei	Flu Mel	Flu Mel	Flu Mel	Flu Mel
CMV status (R/D)	-/-	+/+	+/+	-/-	-/+	+/-	+/+	-/-	-/+
CD34 dose, ×10°/kg	5.4	11.0	4.0	6.4	6.3	4.7	2.1	2.0	5.5
Stem cell source	PBSC	PBSC	PBSC	PBSC	PBSC	PBSC	PBSC	PBSC	PBSC
Donor (HLA mismatch)	DUM	MRD	DUM	MMUD (A Ag)	DUM	MRD	MRD	MRD	MRD
HCT-CI score*	N N	1	2	-	-	Ň	2	к М	2
Medical complications prior to transplant	Red cell aplasia, granulomatous skin lesions, high transfusion requirement and iron overload, chronic clonal NK-cell proliferation, EBV viremia.	B-cell NHL, hypogammaglobulinemia.	EBV viremia, atypical stage 4B T-cell rich B-cell NHL, LP HL (R-CHOP ×6, high dose MTX).	Nodular sclerosing HL, EBV ⁻ stage IV diffuse large B-cell lymphoma.	MDS, persistent viral warts, mild IgG hypogammaglobulinernia, CD19, CD56 lymphopenia, HPV VIN3†.	HLH, Crohn (requiring pancolectomy as a child).	Relapsed Hodgkin lymphoma, autoimmure hemolysis, genital HSV, previous splenectomy, juvenile inflammatory juvenile influtmatori lymphocytic infiltration.	MDS with profound monocytopenia, prolonged severe EBV infection with hepatitis and meningoencephalitis.	EBV lymphoproliferative disease ×2 episodes, hypogammaglobulinemia, spienomegaly, granulomatous lymphootic inflammatory lung disease with bronchiectasis.
Age at HSCT/ sex	20/M	18/M	22/M	18/M	22/F	21/M	21/F	22/F	24/M
Age at diagnosis, y	16	ε	11	13	20	19	2	19	4
Genetic mutation	RAG2 (c.104G>T (Gly3SVal/)/het and c.814G>A (Val72lle)/ het andc.965T>C(Met 322Thr)Het.	Confirmed by sequencing.	c.205del heterozygote in CD27 gene. Heterozygous mutations in CD27, LRBA, LYST, and PRKDC.	CD27 (c.251_252insT [C71Lfs*4] and SNP in exon 3 371761387).	735-736insC amino acid Subs P245fs.	XIAP: absent by FACs (2 centers); no mutation identified.	1246T Fas mutation.	Frame duplication of 6 nucleotides not previously reported.	Sequence variant c.497G-A in exon 2 of the XIAP gene. Not previously reported.
Diagnosis	Rag2/red cell aplasia	X-linked LPD	Undefined CID	CD27 deficiency	Gata2 deficiency	XIAP deficiency	Autoimmune LPD	Gata 2 mutation	XIAP deficiency
£	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18

Ag, artiger, AIN, anal intraepithelial neoplasis; APDS2, activated phosphetidylinositol 3-kinase 8 syndrome type 2; AR, autosomal recessive; BM, bone marrow, Bu, busulfan; CGD, chronic granulomatous disease; CID, combined immunodeficiency; CSA, explosion A; CVD, common variable immunodeficiency icon a natural killer lymphocyte and natural killer lymphocyte, and natural killer lymphocyte, and natural killer lymphocyte, supplexing e deficiency; F, female; FACs, fluorescence-activated cell sorting; Flu, fluorabine; HCT-CI, heardopoietic cell transponetic cell transponetic cell worksyft (symphocyte; and natural killer lymphocyte) serving; Flora (activative efficiency); F, female; FACs, fluorescence-activated cell sorting; Flu, fluorabine; HLZ-CI, heardopoietic cell transponetic cell worksyft (symphocyte) and natural killer natural killer vitwina pollow in male; MDS, myelodysplastic syndrome; MUL, matchad unrelated donor; MRY, methorasate; MUD, mistabed unrelated donor; MRN, methorasate; MUD, mistabed unrelated donor; MRN, natural killer cell; PBSC, peripheral blood stem cell; Pt, patient; rATG, rabbit antithymocyte globulin; R-CHOP, rituximab plus cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and precipient/donor cytomeglowing serostatus; SCID, severe combined timunodeficiency; SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism; XIAP, X-linked inhibitor of apoptosis protein deficiency.

*Active infection at transplant.

†Unresolved viral warts at transplant.

_
_
σ
Ō
ž
Ē
-13
Ξ
ō
Ū
Ŭ.
~
1
Ð
-
-0
ര
-

GVHD rophylaxis											
٩	CSA	CSA	CSA	CSA	CSA	CSA	CSA	CSA	CSA	CSA	CSA
In vivo T-cell depletion (dose)	Alemtuzumab (0.6 mg/kg)	Alemtuzumab (0.6 mg/kg)	Alemtuzumab (0.6 mg/kg)	rATG (7.5 mg/kg)	Alemtuzumab (100 mg)	Alemtuzumab (0.6 mg/kg)	Alemtuzumab (0.6 mg/kg)	Alemtuzumab (0.6 mg/kg)	Alemtuzumab (0.6 mg/kg)	Alemtuzumab (100 mg)	Alemtuzumab (0.6 mg/kg)
Conditioning regimen	Flu Bu	Flu Bu	Flu Bu	Flu Bu	Flu Mel	Flu Bu	Flu Bu	Flu Bu	Flu Bu	Flu Mel	Flu Bu
CMV status (R/D)	+/+	-/-	+/+	-/-	-/+	-/-	-/-	-/-	-/-	-/+	-/-
CD34 dose, ×10º/kg	3.7	2.1	6.3	14.5	5.0	4.2	32.3	6.0	1.4	4.1	1.9
Stem cell source	BM	BM	BM	PBSC	PBSC	BM	PBSC	BM	BM	PBSC	BM
Donor (HLA mismatch)	Father 10/10	DUM	dUM	DUM	MRD	DUM	MMUD (A Ag)	(A Ag)	DUM	MRD	DUM
HCT-CI score*	L	2	N 23	F	2	≥ 3	к Л	L	2	2	2
Medical complications prior to transplant	Recurrent infections including staphylococcal abscesses.	Recurrent infections, chronic relapsing multifocal osteomyelitis, mold pulmonary infection, dyslexia.	Recurrent infections including presumed nocardia meningitis. Severe inflammatory bowel disease necessitating subtotal colectomy and ileostomy formation. Colonized with resistant Pseudomonas.	Severe Crohn disease with perineal and perianal disease requiring colectomy and proctectomy with ileostomy.	Recurrent infections, severe colitis.	Pancolitits, previous hemicolectomy for stricture of ascending colon, recurrent infections, renal impairment.	Recurrent fungal chest infections, extensive granulomas, growth failure, previous gene therapy (August 2007) with transient engraftment.	Recurrent infections including osteomyelitis and fungal chest infection (Aspergillus nidulans).	Pancolitis, recurrent infections, McLeod phenotype.	Recurrent infections including staphylococcal skin abscesses, progressive granulomas.	Progressive colitis, multiple infective complications.
Age at HSCT/ sex	18/M	19/M	27/F	28/F	27/M	18/M	19/M	19/M	17/M	23/M	17/M
Age at diagnosis, y	12	18	ε	24	1	2	4	ł	At birth	16	2 mo
Genetic mutation	P47 deficiency identified on FACs analysis.	p47 deficiency identified on FACs analysis.	NCF-1 (P47 deficiency by FACs. Homozygous for c.579g>A (Trp193X).	NCF-1 (fusion of NCF-1 and pseudo NCF-1 with crossover between exon 4 and exon 16).	CYBB (c.G764A[Trp251*]).	p47 deficiency identified on FACs analysis.	CYBB (TC342/343 > AT [His115Tyr]).	GP91 intron6 gtg>atg.	GP91phox 20-kb deletion leading to complete absence of CYBB and Kell genes (McLeod phenotype).	NCF1 (c.579G>A (TRP193*).	Gp91absent by FACs.
Diagnosis	AR-CGD	AR-CGD	AR.CGD	Variant CGD	X-linked CGD	X-linked CGD	X-linked CGD	X-linked CGD	X-linked CGD	X-linked CGD	X-linked CGD
۲	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29

Ag, antigen, AIN, anal intraepithelial neoplasia; APDS2, activated phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase 8 syndrome type 2; AR, autosomal recessive; BM, bone marrow; Bu, busulfan; CGD, chronic granulomatous disease; CID, combined immunodeficiency; CSA, cyclospoortin A; CVID, common variable immunodeficiency; DCML, dendritic cell, monocyte, B lymphocyte, and natural killer lymphocyte deficiency; F, female; FACs, fluorescence-activated cell sorting; Flu, fludarabine; HCT-CI, hematopointic cell transplant-commobility index, HL, Hodgkin lymphoryte predominant HL; LPD, lymphopointic cell transplant-combidity index, HL, Hodgkin lymphore, monocyte predominant HL; LPD, lymphopointic cell transplant-composities index, HL, hodskin lymphoroliferative disease; MNUD, mismatched unrelated donor, MRS, moleoxyte predominant HL; LPD, lymphopointierative disease; M, noeloxystatic syndrome; HR, I, menopolacitie cell transplant-composities index, HL, hodskin lymphoroliferative disease; MnUD, mismatched unrelated donor, MRS, methorexate; MUD, matched unrelated donor; MRS, methorexate; MUD, matched unrelated donor; MRS, naturative disease; NL, non-Hodskin lymphoma; NK, natural killer cell; PBSC, peripheral blood statement; PTG, rabbit antitymocyte globulin; R-CHOP, rituximab plus cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and predivione; RID, nacionerdiat donor; MRS, naturotexate; SUD, recipient/donor cytomegalovius serostatus; SCID, severe combined immunodeficiency; SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism; XIAP, X-linked inhibitor of apoptosis protein deficiency.

*Active infection at transplant.

†Unresolved viral warts at transplant.



Figure 1. Probabilities of OS and EFS. (A) OS for the whole cohort was 89.2% at 12 months and 85.2% at 3 years. (B) OS for MRDs 90.9% and 77.9% at 1 and 3 years, respectively. OS for MUD/MMUD transplants was 94.4% and 88.9% at 1 and 3 years, respectively (P = .51). (C) OS for PID patients was 94.4% and 88.9% at 1 and 3 years, respectively. OS for adults for CGD patients was 90.9% and 81.8% at 1 and 3 years (P = .75). (D) EFS was 89.7% at 1 and 3 years. (E) EFS for MRD transplants was 90.9% at both 1 and 3 years. EFS MUD/MMUD transplants was 88.9% at 1 and 3 years (P = .73). (F) EFS for PID patients was 88.9% at 0 and 3 years (P = .73). (F) EFS for PID patients was 88.9%; EFS for CGD patients was 90.9% at both 1 and 3 years. (F) EFS for PID patients was 80.9% at 0 and 3 years. (F) EFS for PID patients was 80.9% at 0 and 3 years. (F) EFS for PID patients was 88.9%; EFS for CGD patients was 90.9% at 0 and 3 years. (F) EFS for PID patients was 88.9%; EFS for CGD patients was 90.9% at 0 and 3 years. (F) EFS for PID patients was 88.9%; EFS for CGD patients was 90.9% at 0 and 3 years. (F) EFS for PID patients was 88.9%; EFS for CGD patients was 90.9% at 0 and 3 years. (F) EFS for PID patients was 88.9%; EFS for CGD patients was 90.9% at 0 and 3 years. (F) EFS for PID patients was 88.9%; EFS for CGD patients was 90.9% at 0 and 3 years. (F) EFS for PID patients was 88.9%; EFS for CGD patients was 90.9% at 0 and 3 years. (F) EFS for PID patients was 88.9%; EFS for CGD patients was 90.9% at 0 and 3 years. (F) EFS for PID patients was 88.9%; EFS for CGD patients was 90.9% at 0 and 3 years. (F) EFS for PID patients was 88.9%; EFS for CGD patients was 90.9% at 0 and 3 years. (F) EFS for PID patients was 88.9%; EFS for CGD patients was 90.9% at 0 and 3 years. (F) EFS for PID patients was 88.9%; EFS for CGD patients was 90.9% at 0 and 3 years. (F) EFS for PID patients was 90.9% at 0 and 0 years. (F) EFS for PID patients was 90.9% at 0 and 0 years. (F) EFS for PID patients was 90.9% at 0 and 0 years. (F) EFS for PID



Figure 2. Hematopoietic engraftment kinetics. (A) Cumulative incidence of neutrophil engraftment (defined as $>0.5 \times 10^{9}$ /L for 3 consecutive days). Median time to neutrophil engraftment was 11 days in MRD transplants and 15 days in MUD/MMUD transplants. (B) Cumulative incidence of neutrophil engraftment in the 2 main diagnostic groups. Median time to neutrophil engraftment was 11 days in non-CGD PIDs and 16 days in CGD patients. (C) Cumulative incidence of platelet engraftment (defined as $>50 \times 10^{9}$ /L for 3 consecutive days). Median time to platelet engraftment was 12 days in MRD transplants and 18 days in MUD/MMUD transplants. (D) Cumulative incidence of platelet engraftment (defined as $>50 \times 10^{9}$ /L for 3 consecutive days). Median time to platelet engraftment was 12 days in MRD transplants and 18 days in MUD/MMUD transplants. (D) Cumulative incidence of platelet engraftment in the 2 main diagnostic groups. Median time to platelet engraftment was 16 days in non-CGD PIDs and 19 days in CGD patients.

Infectious complications

A large proportion of patients had a high infectious burden pretransplant as expected in patients with PIDs. Of the 29 patients, 24 (82%) had prior recurrent or severe infections, including recurrent bacterial infection (n = 8), recurrent viral infection (n = 7), both bacterial and systemic fungal infections (n = 3), systemic fungal infection (n = 2), both viral and bacterial infection (n = 2), atypical mycobacterial infection (n = 1), and combined viral and atypical mycobacterial infection (n = 1). One patient had active pulmonary aspergilloma and 3 patients had ongoing human papilloma virus (HPV) infection at the time of transplant (indicated in Table 1). Despite this, there was a distinct absence of major infection issues both intra– and immediately post–Allo-HSCT in our cohort. No patients suffered serious fungal infection posttransplant, although azole prophylaxis was continued until off cyclosporin A (CSA) in patients with preexisting fungal infection (Table 2). No patients required granulocyte infusions.

CMV reactivation was only observed in 6 patients (35% of at-risk patients, defined as +/+, -/+, or +/- recipient/donor pairs) and in all cases these responded to standard antiviral therapy. EBV reactivation with evidence of posttransplant lymphoproliferative disease (PTLD) was observed in 4 patients, all of whom responded completely to rituximab. An additional patient was treated with donor lymphocyte infusions (DLIs) for presumed PTLD where no biopsy was available (n = 1). No patient died of CMV, EBV, or adenovirus infection.



Figure 3. Cumulative incidence of TRM. (A) TRM for all patients was 11% (n = 29) at 1 year and 15% at 3 years. (B) TRM for patients with MRDs was 10% at 1 year and 22% at 3 years (n = 11) vs 11% at 1 year and 3 years for MUD/MMUD transplants (n = 18; P = .536). (C) TRM for patients with CGD was 10% at 1 year and at 3 years (n = 11) vs other PID 12% at 1 year and 3 years (n = 18; P = .73).

Five patients had persistent viral warts pretransplant with complete resolution or ongoing resolution of warts observed at last follow-up in 2 patients. In 3 patients, no improvement in warts was observed, despite full donor T-cell chimerism in all, including the 2 patients transplanted for dendritic cell, monocyte, B lymphocyte, and natural killer (NK) lymphocyte (DCML) deficiency, who had preexisting extensive perineal HPV-related intraepithelial neoplasia (vaginal intraepithelial neoplasia [VIN], cervical intraepithelial neoplasia, or anal intraepithelial neoplasia [AIN]). A further patient with extensive confluent warts pretransplant (NK deficiency) had persistent warts despite full reconstitution of T, B, and NK cells.

At last follow-up, the remaining 21 patients had no evidence of persistent or recurrent infections.

Immune reconstitution

Lymphocyte subset analysis was performed on all of the PID patients pretransplant. Lymphocyte subset analysis was performed on all patients surviving beyond 3 months posttransplant (n = 27) within

the first 12 months posttransplant. Of the non-CGD PID patients (n = 18), 13 of the 16 surviving PID patients had lymphocyte subset results at 12 months (3 had not reached 12 months follow-up at the time of data collection). Seventy percent of those with subset analysis performed (n = 13) had achieved a normal lymphocyte count (1.0-2.8 \times 10°/L), 62% had normal absolute CD3⁺ cell counts (0.7-2.1 \times 10°/L), 62% normal CD4⁺ cell counts (0.3-1.4 \times 10°/L) and 77% normal CD8⁺ cell counts (0.2-0.9 \times 10°/L).

All CGD patients had normal neutrophil function tests posttransplant (data not shown).

Of the 9 surviving patients who had been receiving monthly immunoglobulin replacement therapy pretransplant, 89% were immunoglobulin-free at last follow-up (Table 2).

Chimerism

Chimerism data were available for 23 of the 24 surviving patients at last follow-up. At the time of analysis, 21 patients had results

Table 2. Transplant outcome and resolution of PID-related complications

	Diagnosis	Acute GVHD (grade)	Chronic GVHD	CMV status (R/D)	Infectious complications	Other complications	Days F/U	Present status	Immuno- suppression at last F/U (Y/N)	lg replace-ment (continues, off, or N/A)	Peripheral blood chimerism at last F/U (PBMC)*	Outcome
-	CVID	N/A	N/A	+/+	Sepsis	Multi organ failure	12	Dead	7	N/A	N/A	Died TRM (sepsis)
5	CVID	None	Probable pulmonary	+/+	CMV reactivation. Recurrent bacterial chest infection	ITP. Progressive pulmonary fibrosis/ bronchiolitis obliterans	3434	Dead	>	Continued until death	Full donor chimerism	Died (progressive respiratory failure secondary to preexisting pulmonary fibrosis ± pulmonary GVHD)
т	APDS2	Grade 1 gut	Limited (gut)	+/+	Intermittent respiratory tract infections	Papillary renal cell carcinoma (unrelated)	730	Alive	z	HО	Full donor chimerism	Well
4	Autoimmune LPD	None	Limited post DLI	-/+	None	Esophageal stricture secondary to peptic ulceration. DLI ×3 for mixed chimerism	4358	Alive	z	N/A	Full donor chimerism	Well
Ω.	Autoimmune LPD	None	None	-/-	None	CSA-induced neurotoxicity DLI ×4 for presumed PTLD (no biopsy, FDG-avid lymphadenopathy on CT/PET scan)	3378	Alive	Z	Off	Full donor chimerism	Well. Neurotoxicity resolved
9	Cy-chain SCID	N/A	N/A	+/+	Sepsis	Multiorgan failure	7	Dead	~	V/N	N/A	Died TRM (sepsis)
7	Absolute NK deficiency	Grade 1 skin	None	+/+	Persistent planar warts (HPV2)	None	2015	Alive	Z	Off	Full donor chimerism	Persistent extensive warts
8	DCML deficiency	Grade 2 skin and gut	None	+/+	CMV reactivation (resolved). Radial excision for persistent HPV associated AIN and VIN	Acute thyroiditis (antibody negativė). Ovarian failure	1221	Alive	z	N/A	Full donor chimerism	Well. Thyroiditis resolved. GVHD resolved
6	AR IL12Recß deficiency	Grade 1 skin	Limited (gut)	-/-	EBV reactivation treated with rituximab	None	1083	Alive	z	ЭĤ	Full donor chimerism	Well
10	Rag2/red cell aplasia	None	None	-/-	None	Delayed engraftment	700	Alive	z	щ	Stable mixed chimerism (full donor in B-cell fraction, mixed in other lineages)	Well. Resolution of granulomatous skin lesions on shins
11	X-linked LPD	None	None	+/+	BK virus cystitis	None	3692	Alive	Ν	Off	Stable mixed chimerism (mixed all lineages)	Well. Normal spermatozoa
Full c	donor indicates ≥	⊧97% donor DN	A; mixed chimeri	ism indicates	; ≥50% <97% donor DNA.							

E E E E 5 2

BK, BK virus; CT, computed tomography; DL, donor lymphocyte infusion; EBV, Epstein-Barr virus; FDG, fluorodeoxyglucose; F/U, follow-up; GVHD, graft-versus-host disease, ICSI, intracytoplasmic sperm injection; ITP, idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura; MC, mixed chimerism; N, no; NVA, not applicable; PET, positron emission tomography; PTLD, posttransplant lymphoproliferative disease; TRM, transplant-related mortality; VIN, vaginal intraepithelial neoplasia; Y, yes. Other abbreviations are explained in Table 1. *See Figure 4 for lineage-specific chimerism.

Downloaded from http://ashpublications.net/blood/article-pdf/131/8/917/1466640/blood807487.pdf by guest on 06 May 2024

Table 2. (continued)

	Diagnosis	Acute GVHD (grade)	Chronic GVHD	CMV status (R/D)	Infectious complications	Other complications	Days F/U	Present status	lmmuno- suppression at last F/U (Y/N)	lg replace-ment (continues, off, or N/A)	Peripheral blood chimerism at last F/U (PBMC)*	Outcome
12	Combined immune deficiency	Grade 2 skin	None	+/+	CMV reactivation	Intermittent neutropenia	1042	Alive	z	Continues	Stable mixed chimerism (mixed all lineages)	Well
13	CD27 deficiency	Grade 2 skin	Limited (skin)	-/-	Rhinovirus. EBV reactivation treated with rituximab	None	544	Alive	Z	Continues	Full donor chimerism	Well. CT/PET ongoing remission
14	Gata2 deficiency	None	None	-/+	Persistent perineal HPV with VIN3	DLI ×3 for MC. Fibromyalgia. Chronic fatigue. Thyrotoxicosis	1051	Alive	Z	N/A	Full donor chimerism	Well. Resolution of warts on hands and feet
15	XIAP deficiency	None	None	+/-	Warts left foot and right index finger resolving	None	629	Alive	Z	N/A	Stable mixed chimerism (mixed all lineages)	Well. No further colitis
16	Autoimmune LPD	Grade 2 skin	None	+/+	CMV reactivation ×1	Biopsy proven EBV PTLD treated with 4 cycles rituximab	118	Alive	Z	N/A	Chimerism pending	Well. No further CMV or EBV reactivations
17	Gata 2 mutation	Grade 1 skin	None	-/-	Low-level EBV reactivation not requiring intervention	None	209	Alive	*	N/A	Full donor chimerism	Well. Weaning cyclosporin
18	XIAP deficiency	None	None	-/+	None	Slow recovery of counts and persistent splenomegaly	115	Alive	7	Off	Full donor chimerism	Well. Weaning cyclosporin. Trial off Ig as IgG in normal range at 4 mo post
19	AR-CGD	None	None	+/+	CMV reactivation	Iron and vitamin D deficiency	983	Alive	Z	N/A	Stable mixed chimerism (mixed all lineages)	Well. Viable sperm
20	AR-CGD	None	None	-/-	None	None	971	Alive	z	N/A	Stable mixed chimerism (full donor in PBMCs and B cells, mixed in T-cell and granulocyte fractions)	Well
21	AR-CGD	None	None	+/+	Bilateral lower lobe consolidation (no organisms identified)	Hemophagocytosis and prolonged cytopenias; granulomatous meningitis (no pathogen identified); acute hepatic failure	210	Dead	×	N/A	Full donor chimerism	Died TRM (multiorgan failure)
22	Variant CGD	Grade 1 skin	None	-/-	None	Premature ovarian insufficiency	992	Alive	Z	N/A	Stable mixed chimerism (full donor in B-cell fraction, mixed in other lineages)	Well. Resolution of granulomatous colitis
Full c	donor indicates ≥	:97% donor DN	A; mixed chimeri	ism indicates	: ≥50% <97% donor DNA.							

BK, BK virus; CT, computed tomography; DL, donor lymphocyte infusion; EBV, Epstein-Bart virus; FDG, fluorodeoxyglucose; F/U, follow-up; GVHD, graft-versus-host disease, ICSI, intracytoplasmic sperm injection; ITP, idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura; MC, mixed chimerism; N, no; NVA, not applicable; PET, positron emission tomography; PTLD, posttransplant lymphoproliferative disease; TRM, transplant-related mortality; VIN, vaginal intraepithelial neoplasia; Y, yes. Other abbreviations are explained in Table 1. *See Figure 4 for lineage-specific chimerism.

Outcome	ad TRM (steroid efractory extensive chronic GVHD)	all. Renal impairment esolved	lie	ill. Some viable sperm suitable for CSI	ell. Viable sperm on cesting, suitable for CSI	ell. Normal full blood count. Father of 2 children	II
Peripheral blood chimerism at last F/U (PBMC)*	Full donor chimerism Di	Stable mixed chimerism W((mixed in all lineages)	Full donor chimerism	Full donor chimerism	Stable mixed chimerism W (full donor in PBMC and B-cell fractions, mixed in T-cell and granulocyte fractions)	Stable mixed chimerism W(mixed in all lineages)	Stable mixed chimerism WV (full donor in PBMC and B-cell fractions, mixed in T-cell and granulocyte fractions)
lg replace-ment (continues, off, or N/A)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	₩N.	N/A	ĄN
lmmuno- suppression at last F/U (Y/N)	*	z	z	z	Z	Z	z
Present status	Dead	Alive	Alive	Alive	Alive	Alive	Alive
Days F/U	616	1858	1003	1319	857	3516	697
Other complications		Mild renal impairment	EBV PTLD treated with 4 cycles rituximab	Renal impairment (resolved), CD34 ⁺ topup for prolonged cytopenias	None	DLI ×4 for mixed chimerism	Depression
Infectious complications	Multiple infective complications associated with immune suppression for GVHD	None	Adenoviraemia	Pulmonary aspergillosis	None	None	Rotavirus diarrhea
CMV status (R/D)	-/+	-/-	-/-	-/-	-/-	-/+	-/-
Chronic GVHD	Steroid refractory, extensive	None	None	Limited (skin)	Limited (skin)	None	None
Acute GVHD (grade)	Grade 3 liver	None	Grade 1 skin	Grade 1 skin	Grade 1 skin	None	None
Diagnosis	X-linked CGD	X-linked CGD	X-linked CGD	X-linked CGD	X-linked CGD	X-linked CGD	X-linked CGD
	23	24	25	26	27	28	29

Full donor indicates \ge 97% donor DNA; mixed chimerism indicates \ge 50% <97% donor DNA.

BK, BK virus; CT, computed tomography; DL, donor lymphocyte infusion; EBV, Epstein-Barr virus; FDG, fluorodeoxyglucose; F/U, follow-up; GVHD, graft-versus-host disease; JCSI, intracytoplasmic sperm injection; ITP, idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura; MC, mixed chimerism; N, no; N/A, not applicable; PET, positron emission tomography; PTLD, positransplant lymphoproliferative disease; TRM, transplant-related mortality; VIN, vaginal intraepithelial neoplasia; Y, yes. Other abbreviations are explained in Table 1. *See Figure 4 for lineage-specific chimerism.

Table 2. (continued)



Figure 4. Chimerism kinetics. Peripheral blood chimerism posttransplant. (A) PBMC chimerism results at 3, 6, and 12 months (n = 20, 17, and 19, respectively): full donor chimerism (≥97% donor DNA) was achieved in PBMC fraction in 71% at 3 months, 83% at 6 months, and 85% at 12 months. (B) T-cell chimerism results: full donor chimerism was achieved in the T-cell fraction in 30% at 3 months, 41% at 6 months, and 52% at 12 months. (C) B-cell chimerism: full donor chimerism was achieved in the B-cell fraction in 65% at 12 months. (C) B-cell chimerism: full donor chimerism was achieved in the B-cell fraction in 65% at 12 months. (D) Chimerism in the granulocyte fraction. Full donor chimerism was seen in 83% patients at 3 months, 67% at 6 months, and 67% at 12 months.

available at 12 months posttransplant and 85% had full donor chimerism in unfractionated PBMCs. In the T-cell fraction, 52% of patients tested had achieved full donor chimerism at 12 months (Figure 4).

Multilineage full donor chimerism was observed in 10 of the patients (48%) with the rest showing mixed chimerism in at least 1 of the cell lineages tested. A trend to increasing chimerism stability with time was observed in our cohort. Chimerism was more robust in the B and myeloid cells compared with the T-cell fraction. No correlation was found between mixed donor chimerism and age of patient, underlying diagnosis or donor type.

Two patients received DLI for persistent mixed chimerism (1 in the context of presumed PTLD with rising B-cell numbers and

FDG-avid lymphadenopathy on PET/CT scan). One achieved full donor chimerism in B cells and granulocytes with T cells remaining mixed and the other converted to full donor chimerism.

There were no cases of graft rejection, and, in all surviving patients, either stable mixed chimerism or full donor chimerism were observed.

PID-associated colitis

Of the 10 patients with moderate-severe inflammatory bowel disease pretransplant (6 CGD, 1 XIAP, 1 CVID, 1 autoimmune lymphoproliferative syndrome and 1 DCML deficiency), 2 died of TRM (both with CGD, patients 21 and 23), and the colitis has resolved in all 8 surviving patients, including the XIAP patient. No increased incidence of gut GVHD was observed in these patients.

Discussion

We have demonstrated that in 29 young adult patients with high-risk primary immune deficiencies, reduced-intensity, in vivo T-cell-depleted Allo-HSCT was both effective and safe, with an OS of 85.2% at 3 years and a mean follow-up of 3.5 years (41 months). There was no significant difference in outcome between those undergoing MRD transplants and matched, or 1 antigen MMUD transplants (P = .51). As predicted, using our previously described T-cell-depleting conditioning regimens, the observed cumulative incidence of severe acute GVHD incidence (grades III-IV) was very low at 6.5% and only 31% of patients developed chronic GVHD, symptoms which resolved allowing withdrawal of systemic immune suppression from 3 months posttransplant in all but 1 of these patients. Full multilineage donor chimerism was achieved in 42% of patients, and all others achieved stable mixed chimerism. Larger studies are required to determine the degree of donor chimerism required posttransplant for some of the rarer PIDs in order to achieve a functional cure. Good functional immune reconstitution was observed in all but 1 of the patients, permitting the withdrawal of immunoglobulin replacement therapy posttransplant in 89% of patients. At last follow-up, 92% of surviving patients (n = 24) were off of immune suppression and the remaining 2 were in the process of weaning.

The OS and EFS observed in this series of adult patients is comparable or better than that seen in published series of Allo-HSCT outcomes for pediatric and adolescent patients with PID and CGD.^{923,3436}

The patients in this study had not undergone Allo-HSCT earlier in life due to a variety of reasons including mild-moderate clinical phenotype in childhood therefore not precipitating referral, delay in diagnosis until adolescence/adulthood, late presentation, and/ or lack of a suitable donor. Patients had subsequently developed complications that necessitated definitive treatment in the form of Allo-HSCT. Triggers for referral included life-threatening infection, malignancy, autoimmune or inflammatory phenomena, newly confirmed genetic diagnosis or new donor availability. Due to preexisting organ dysfunction, ongoing infectious or inflammatory phenomena, all patients in this cohort had a HCT-CI of at least 1 whereas 12 had a score of 3 or greater predicting a higher than observed TRM.25,37 Both the HCT-CI score or European Group for Blood and Bone Marrow Transplantation (EBMT) score have been validated in patients with hematological malignancies and in pediatric populations.^{38,39} Neither score has been validated in patients with PID or specifically CGD, however, it provides validated information on the clinical condition of patients pretransplant. Patients with cellular immunodeficiency have been shown to have a higher HCT-CI and this score is used most frequently in other published studies of HSCT in PIDs.²⁵

These promising results suggest that Allo-HSCT is safe when delivered in a specialist center and should be considered as a potentially curative option for younger adult PID patients with an appropriate donor and a sufficiently severe clinical picture. We recommend proceeding to Allo-HSCT for adult patients with a known genetic diagnosis amenable to correction by transplantation, and where conservative management results in a shortened life expectancy and ongoing morbidity. The current widespread use of next-generation sequencing is expected to facilitate earlier referral of eligible adults.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Stuart Ings and Fiona O'Brien for their assistance in collecting data on CD34 cell doses.

E.M., K.T., and S.B. are supported by the University College London (UCL) Hospital (UCLH) National Institute of Health Research (NIHR) Biomedical Research Centre. A number of coauthors receive funding from the NIHR (E.M., S.B., and K.P.), the NIHR UCLH/UCL Biomedical Research Centre (E.M., S.B., D.L., and K.T.), the NIHR GOSH/UCL Biomedical Research Centre (M.B.), Bloodwise (E.M., R.C., A.F., and K.P.), Wellcome Trust (R.C. and V.B.), Medical Research Council (E.M. and R.C.), Cancer Research UK (CRUK) (R.C., A.F., and K.P.), The CRUK Experimental Cancer Medicine Centre (E.M. and K.P.), Teenage Cancer Trust (TYA BMT unit), and the UK Primary Immunodeficiency Network (M.B. and D.L.).

Authorship

Contribution: T.A.F., S.B., K.T., S.G., and E.M. collected the data and wrote the manuscript; all other authors provided clinical care for the patients described; all authors had access to the clinical and laboratory data; and E.M. had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

Conflict-of-interest disclosure: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

ORCID profile: E.M., 0000-0003-4834-1130.

Correspondence: Emma Morris, UCL Institute of Immunity & Transplantation, 2nd Floor, Royal Free London Hospital, Rowland Hill St, London NW3 2PF, United Kingdom; e-mail: e.morris@ucl.ac.uk.

Footnotes

Submitted 20 September 2017; accepted 14 December 2017. Prepublished online as *Blood* First Edition paper, 26 December 2017; DOI 10.1182/blood-2017-09-807487.

There is a *Blood* Commentary on this article in this issue.

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. Therefore, and solely to indicate this fact, this article is hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 USC section 1734.

REFERENCES

- Bach FH, Albertini RJ, Joo P, Anderson JL, Bortin MM. Bone-marrow transplantation in a patient with the Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome. *Lancet.* 1968;2(7583):1364-1366.
- Gatti RA, Meuwissen HJ, Allen HD, Hong R, Good RA. Immunological reconstitution of sex-linked lymphopenic immunological deficiency. *Lancet*. 1968;2(7583):1366-1369.
- 3. Fischer A, Landais P, Friedrich W, et al. European experience of bone-marrow

transplantation for severe combined immunodeficiency. *Lancet.* 1990;336(8719): 850-854.

- Fischer A, Landais P, Friedrich W, et al. Bone marrow transplantation (BMT) in Europe for primary immunodeficiencies other than severe combined immunodeficiency: a report from the European Group for BMT and the European Group for Immunodeficiency. *Blood.* 1994;83(4):1149-1154.
- 5. Slatter MA, Cant AJ. Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for primary immunodeficiency

diseases. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2011;1238(1): 122-131.

- Booth C, Silva J, Veys P. Stem cell transplantation for the treatment of immunodeficiency in children: current status and hopes for the future. Expert Rev Clin Immunol. 2016; 12(7):713-723.
- Pai SY, Logan BR, Griffith LM, et al. Transplantation outcomes for severe combined immunodeficiency, 2000-2009. N Engl J Med. 2014;371(5):434-446.

- Moratto D, Giliani S, Bonfim C, et al. Longterm outcome and lineage-specific chimerism in 194 patients with Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome treated by hematopoietic cell transplantation in the period 1980-2009: an international collaborative study. *Blood.* 2011;118(6): 1675-1684.
- Güngör T, Teira P, Slatter M, et al; Inborn Errors Working Party of the European Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation. Reduced-intensity conditioning and HLA-matched haemopoietic stem-cell transplantation in patients with chronic granulomatous disease: a prospective multicentre study. Lancet. 2014;383(9915):436-448.
- Morillo-Gutierrez B, Beier R, Rao K, et al. Treosulfan-based conditioning for allogeneic HSCT in children with chronic granulomatous disease: a multicenter experience. *Blood*. 2016;128(3):440-448.
- Slatter MA, Gennery AR. Advances in hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for primary immunodeficiency. *Expert Rev Clin Immunol*. 2013;9(10):991-999.
- Bortoletto P, Lyman K, Camacho A, Fricchione M, Khanolkar A, Katz BZ. Chronic granulomatous disease: a large, single-center US experience. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2015;34(10): 1110-1114.
- Buckley RH, Schiff SE, Schiff RI, et al. Hematopoietic stem-cell transplantation for the treatment of severe combined immunodeficiency. N Engl J Med. 1999;340(7):508-516.
- Siler U, Paruzynski A, Holtgreve-Grez H, et al. Successful combination of sequential gene therapy and rescue Allo-HSCT in two children with X-CGD: importance of Timing. *Curr Gene Ther.* 2015;15(4):416-427.
- Hacein-Bey Abina S, Gaspar HB, Blondeau J, et al. Outcomes following gene therapy in patients with severe Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome. JAMA. 2015;313(15):1550-1563.
- Morris EC, Fox T, Chakraverty R, et al. Gene therapy for Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome in a severely affected adult. *Blood.* 2017;130(11): 1327-1335.
- Thrasher AJ, Williams DA. Evolving gene therapy in primary immunodeficiency. *Mol Ther.* 2017;25(5):1132-1141.
- Seger RA. Advances in the diagnosis and treatment of chronic granulomatous disease. *Curr Opin Hematol.* 2011;18(1):36-41.
- Horwitz ME, Barrett AJ, Brown MR, et al. Treatment of chronic granulomatous disease with nonmyeloablative conditioning and a

T-cell-depleted hematopoietic allograft. *N Engl J Med.* 2001;344(12):881-888.

- Seger RA, Gungor T, Belohradsky BH, et al. Treatment of chronic granulomatous disease with myeloablative conditioning and an unmodified hemopoietic allograft: a survey of the European experience, 1985-2000. Blood. 2002;100(13):4344-4350.
- Cuellar-Rodriguez J, Gea-Banacloche J, Freeman AF, et al. Successful allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for GATA2 deficiency. *Blood*. 2011;118(13): 3715-3720.
- Spinner MA, Sanchez LA, Hsu AP, et al. GATA2 deficiency: a protean disorder of hematopoiesis, lymphatics, and immunity. *Blood.* 2014;123(6):809-821.
- Marsh RA, Rao K, Satwani P, et al. Allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation for XIAP deficiency: an international survey reveals poor outcomes. *Blood*. 2013;121(6):877-883.
- Ono S, Okano T, Hoshino A, et al. Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for XIAP deficiency in Japan. J Clin Immunol. 2017;37(1):85-91.
- Sorror ML, Maris MB, Storb R, et al. Hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT)specific comorbidity index: a new tool for risk assessment before allogeneic HCT. *Blood*. 2005;106(8):2912-2919.
- 26. Slatter MA, Boztug H, Pötschger U, et al; EBMT Inborn Errors and Paediatric Diseases Working Parties. Treosulfan-based conditioning regimens for allogeneic haematopoietic stem cell transplantation in children with non-malignant diseases. Bone Marrow Transplant. 2015;50(12):1536-1541.
- Slatter MA, Rao K, Amrolia P, et al. Treosulfanbased conditioning regimens for hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in children with primary immunodeficiency: United Kingdom experience. *Blood.* 2011;117(16):4367-4375.
- Chakraverty R, Peggs K, Chopra R, et al. Limiting transplantation-related mortality following unrelated donor stem cell transplantation by using a nonmyeloablative conditioning regimen. *Blood.* 2002;99(3): 1071-1078.
- Morris EC, Rebello P, Thomson KJ, et al. Pharmacokinetics of alemtuzumab used for in vivo and in vitro T-cell depletion in allogeneic transplantations: relevance for early adoptive immunotherapy and infectious complications. Blood. 2003;102(1):404-406.
- Przepiorka D, Weisdorf D, Martin P, et al.
 1994 Consensus conference on acute GVHD

grading. Bone Marrow Transplant. 1995;15(6): 825-828.

- Akpek G, Lee SJ, Flowers ME, et al. Performance of a new clinical grading system for chronic graft-versus-host disease: a multicenter study. *Blood*. 2003;102(3):802-809.
- 32. Klein JP, Rizzo JD, Zhang MJ, Keiding N. Statistical methods for the analysis and presentation of the results of bone marrow transplants. Part I: unadjusted analysis. Bone Marrow Transplant. 2001;28(10):909-915.
- 33. Klein JP, Rizzo JD, Zhang MJ, Keiding N. Statistical methods for the analysis and presentation of the results of bone marrow transplants. Part 2: regression modeling. *Bone Marrow Transplant.* 2001;28(11):1001-1011.
- 34. Satwani P, Cooper N, Rao K, Veys P, Amrolia P. Reduced intensity conditioning and allogeneic stem cell transplantation in childhood malignant and nonmalignant diseases. *Bone Marrow Transplant.* 2008;41(2):173-182.
- 35. Wehr C, Gennery AR, Lindemans C, et al; Inborn Errors Working Party of the European Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation and the European Society for Immunodeficiency. Multicenter experience in hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for serious complications of common variable immunodeficiency. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2015; 135(4):988-97.e6.
- 36. Marsh RA, Rao MB, Gefen A, et al. Experience with alemtuzumab, fludarabine, and melphalan reduced-intensity conditioning hematopoietic cell transplantation in patients with nonmalignant diseases reveals good outcomes and that the risk of mixed chimerism depends on underlying disease, stem cell source, and alemtuzumab regimen. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant.* 2015;21(8):1460-1470.
- 37. Bokhari SW, Watson L, Nagra S, et al. Role of HCT-comorbidity index, age and disease status at transplantation in predicting survival and non-relapse mortality in patients with myelodysplasia and leukemia undergoing reduced-intensity-conditioning hemopoeitic progenitor cell transplantation. Bone Marrow Transplant. 2012;47(4):528-534.
- Smith AR, Majhail NS, MacMillan ML, et al. Hematopoietic cell transplantation comorbidity index predicts transplantation outcomes in pediatric patients. *Blood*. 2011; 117(9):2728-2734.
- ElSawy M, Storer BE, Sorror ML. "To combine or not to combine": optimizing risk assessment before allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. 2014;20(9):1455-1456.