The enigma of monosomy 7

Toshiya Inaba,1 Hiroaki Honda,2 and Hirotaka Matsui3

¹Department of Molecular Oncology and Leukemia Program Project, Research Institute for Radiation Biology and Medicine, Hiroshima University, Hiroshima, Japan; ²Field of Human Disease Models, Major in Advanced Life Sciences and Medicine, Tokyo Women's Medical University, Tokyo, Japan; and ³Department of Molecular Laboratory Medicine, Faculty of Life Sciences, Kumamoto University, Kumamoto, Japan

Since a report of some 50 years ago describing refractory anemia associated with group C monosomy, monosomy 7 (-7) and interstitial deletions of chromosome 7 (del(7q))have been established as one of the most frequent chromosomal aberrations found in essentially all types of myeloid tumors regardless of patient age and disease etiology. In the last century, researchers sought recessive myeloid tumor-suppressor genes by attempting to determine commonly deleted regions (CDRs) in del(7g) patients. However, these efforts were not successful. Today, tumor suppressors located in 7q are believed to act in a haploinsufficient fashion, and powerful new technologies such as microarray comparative genomic hybridization and high-throughput sequencing allow comprehensive searches throughout the genes encoded on 7q. Among those proposed as promising candidates, 4 have been validated by gene targeting in mouse models. SAMD9 (sterile α motif domain 9) and SAMD9L

Monosomy 7 in a wide variety of myeloid tumors

The monosomy 7 story started when a report was published in 1964.¹ This short paper of ~200 words proposed a new clinical syndrome, namely, refractory anemia missing one of the group 6 to 12 chromosomes in marrow cells and terminating as acute myelomonocytic leukemia. According to today's criteria, the proposed disease would be "sporadic adult myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) carrying monosomy 7 as the sole chromosomal anomaly that frequently develops into overt leukemia." This clinical entity, constituting ~10% of sporadic adult MDS, seems to represent the core hematological disease caused by monosomy 7.

Monosomy 7 as the sole anomaly was later identified in children with preleukemia,² which includes MDS and juvenile myelomonocytic leukemia (JMML) according to the current criteria. In addition, familial monosomy 7, defined as bone marrow monosomy 7 (hereafter referred to as -7) occurring as the sole anomaly affecting >2 siblings, has been reported in 14 families (see references in Gaitonde et al³), in which most patients are children or adolescents.

In addition to cases for which -7 is the sole anomaly, it has been found together with chromosomal translocations in acute myelogenous

(SAMD9-like) encode related endosomal proteins, mutations of which cause hereditary diseases with strong propensity to infantile myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) harboring monosomy 7. Because MDS develops in SAMD9L-deficient mice over their lifetime, SAMD9/ SAMD9L are likely responsible for sporadic MDS with -7/del(7g) as the sole anomaly. EZH2 (enhancer of zeste homolog 2) and MLL3 (mixed lineage leukemia 3) encode histone-modifying enzymes; loss-of-function mutations of these are detected in some myeloid tumors at high frequencies. In contrast to SAMD9/SAMD9L, loss of EZH2 or MLL3 likely contributes to myeloid tumorigenesis in cooperation with additional specific gene alterations such as of TET2 or genes involved in the p53/Ras pathway, respectively. Distinctive roles with different significance of the loss of multiple responsible genes render the complex nature of myeloid tumors carrying -7/del(7q). (Blood. 2018;131(26):2891-2898)

leukemia (AML) and in chronic myelogenous leukemia in blast crisis, as well as in congenital diseases with a propensity to evolve into myeloid diseases, such as those caused by germ line *GATA2* (3q21.3) mutations,⁴ neurofibromatosis,⁵ and severe congenital neutropenia (SCN).⁶ The frequency of -7 is particularly high among therapy-related and/or radiation-induced MDS or AML.⁷

Effects of monosomy 7

Because -7 is detected in a broad range of myeloid tumors regardless of age and disease etiology, its contribution to the development and/or promotion of each myeloid malignancy clearly varies from being the major driving force to having a supportive role. For instance, adult sporadic MDS associated with -7 manifests at more advanced disease stages with increased blast counts, so that the revised International Prognostic Scoring System for MDS assigns -7 patients to a poor risk group.⁸ In contrast, there are no significant differences in clinical features or prognosis of JMML or childhood MDS patients whether or not they carry -7.⁹⁻¹¹ Nevertheless, childhood MDS provides many opportunities for speculation as to the roles of -7.

Unlike adult MDS, -7 is present in nearly half of all children with refractory anemia as diagnosed by French-American-British

criteria.¹² This suggests that loss of chromosome 7 could be an early event. Although refractory anemia patients with -7 progress to advanced MDS at high frequency,¹² spontaneous regression has been reported in childhood MDS with -7 (see references in Mantadakis et al¹³). In familial monosomy 7 syndrome, a puzzling finding is the different parental origin of the retained chromosome 7 in some sibling pairs.¹⁴⁻¹⁶ Although difficult to understand, this suggests the possibility that the gene responsible for monosomy 7 is located on another chromosome. However, because cytopenias are often associated with MDS or even non-MDS/AML in siblings, the essence of familial monosomy 7 syndrome could be a bone marrow environment conducive to the expansion of a -7 clone. These findings suggest that cells with -7 have a relative survival advantage over the surrounding bone marrow cells. Enhanced responsiveness to cytokines, rather than cytokineindependent growth potential, which leukemia cells usually develop, may be the reason for a temporary growth advantage. It may be that this is augmented by the long-term use of high doses of granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF) in patients with aplastic anemia and SCN,¹⁷⁻²⁰ although some investigators reported a similar incidence of AML/MDS with -7 in patients who have not been treated with G-CSF.²¹⁻²³ G-CSF is not likely to induce -7 clones directly²⁴ but may stimulate and expand a preexisting -7 clone, as suggested by the disappearance of such clones coincidental with the discontinuation of G-CSF therapy.^{25,26} Preferential stimulation of cells carrying -7 by cytokines has also been demonstrated in in vitro assays.20

Interstitial deletion of chromosome 7

By the mid-1970s, cytogeneticists had established chromosome banding techniques and were able to determine that the chromosome reported as lost in the original paper of 1964¹ was chromosome 7.²⁷ Subsequently, using the same techniques, they identified patients harboring an interstitial deletion of the long arm of chromosome 7 (hereafter del(7q)) in myeloid diseases. Just like -7, del(7q) is detected either as the sole chromosomal anomaly or as an aberration additional to chromosomal translocations in essentially all myeloid tumors at all ages, albeit generally at frequencies less than -7.

A difficult question is whether the effects of del(7q) are identical to -7 or whether there are clinically relevant differences. Needless to say, -7 and del(7q) are caused by totally different mechanisms. The former is a result of chromosome dissegregation in mitosis, whereas the latter requires a process involving chromosome rearrangement. Many studies have reported that the prognosis of AML/MDS with -7 is worse than for the same malignancies with del(7q). This could be due in part to additional chromosome and/or gene alterations, because -7 tends to be associated with other unfavorable chromosome translocations such as those involving the EVI1 (3q26.2) gene. Indeed, -7 is not only the most frequent (>50%) chromosomal abnormality in tumors overexpressing the EVI1 transcription factor²⁸⁻³⁰ but also significantly worsens the prognosis,³⁰ whereas high EVI1 expression worsens the prognosis of AML with -7 as the sole aberration.³¹ A bona fide cooperation of -7and EVI1 overexpression in the progression of the disease was further supported by results from 2 patients with chronic granulomatous disease treated by gene therapy who thereafter developed MDS with -7 as a result of insertional activation of $EVI1.^{32}$ In contrast, more favorable karyotypes in AML, such as t(8;21), inv(16), t(15;17), and t(9;11), are associated with del(7q).³¹ Moreover, the prognosis of del(7q) AML with a more favorable karyotype is better than in patients with del(7q) alone,³¹ suggesting a limited role of del(7q) by itself in these cases.

Comparing -7 and del(7q) as the sole anomaly indicated in one study that prognosis of adult sporadic MDS with -7 is better than with del(7q),³³ but another report failed to confirm this.³⁴ These disparate findings may have resulted from grouping all del (7q) patients, who have a wide variety of deleted regions, into one "del(7q)" category. A recent paper reported the adverse effects of del(7p) as the sole anomaly to the prognosis of myeloid neoplasms.³⁵ This could be a cause of possible worse prognosis of -7 than del(7q). The revised International Prognostic Scoring System assigns del(7q) patients to an intermediate risk group.⁸

Broad nature of commonly deleted regions in del(7q) patients

Investigating del(7q) cases provided researchers with an opportunity to identify the responsible myeloid tumor-suppressor gene(s). Indeed, del(7q) cases were almost the only clue in this regard in the last century, but this was the real start of confusion. In the 1970s and 1980s, recessive tumor suppressors were the focus of intensive research. These genes typically lose their function completely by the loss of 1 allele together with a loss-of-function mutation on the remaining gene. Thus, a commonly deleted region (CDR) is an ideal guide to lead researchers to the place where the tumor suppressor is located. Once a CDR is identified, candidate genes within the CDR can be sequenced to find mutations. The identification of the retinoblastoma (Rb) gene (13q14.2) in 1982 encouraged hematologists to seek CDR(s) in chromosome 7. However, G-banding chromosome spreads of unstimulated myeloid tumor cells did not have as high a resolution as could be obtained from the lectin-stimulated peripheral blood T cells that had been employed for the identification of the Rb gene. Nonetheless, 2 regions, 7q22 and 7q34-36, seemed promising, but these bands were too large to be sequenced by the methods available at that time. Researchers tried to detail CDRs using then-current techniques such as restriction fragment-length polymorphism assessments, fluorescence in situ hybridization, and microsatellite surveys. Results from multiple laboratories were published around 1990, but the CDRs identified by each laboratory did not overlap (reviewed in Honda et al³⁶ and Todd et al³⁷) with the result that instead of narrowing down the location of the relevant CDRs, they were reported to be spread over the whole 7q region. Of note, at least these results showed that the conclusion that 7g22 and 7g34-36 bands were promising CDRs based on chromosomal analysis was incorrect. The wide distribution of CDRs among del(7g) myeloid malignancies was later confirmed by comparative genomic hybridization microarraying.³⁸

New approaches

After great efforts over decades, researchers accepted that the identification of CDRs as the first step of the orthodox approach to determining classical-type recessive tumor suppressors was not effective. No rational explanation for this has been forthcoming so far. Many researchers now consider that there are several responsible myeloid tumor suppressors that act in a haploinsufficient

Figure 1. Inherited diseases caused by Samd9/Samd9L mutations with a propensity to evolve into childhood MDS. MIRAGE and ataxia pancytopenia syndromes have nonhematological symptoms shown in blue. All disease entities except isolated MDS are characterized by inherited bone marrow failure.



manner, but this cannot be the reason for the broad nature of CDRs on chromosome 7. Indeed, in del(5q), another chromosomal deletion frequently detected in myeloid tumors, 2 CDRs within the length of a chromosome subband were identified, each containing several genes where the loss of one allele contributes to the development of MDS.^{39,40}

In this century, advances in technology have enabled researchers to apply new approaches for seeking the responsible genes. For instance, high-throughput sequencing allows a strategy where the whole of 7q is seen as one large CDR and a list of nonsilent mutations in genes located in this area can be made.^{38,41,42} Not surprisingly, many mutated genes have been identified. To select those truly responsible, the effects of single allele loss on gene expression were determined by comparing AML carrying -7 to AML with a normal karyotype using quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction.⁴³ The median expression level of 1088 probe sets was 0.57-fold, indicating a strong gene dosage effect on messenger RNA expression. This was confirmed in single hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells (HSPCs) using single-cell RNA sequencing.⁴⁴ Thus, significant reduction of expression levels is not a useful indicator in searching for or evaluating them.

Several attempts were made to directly analyze the biological effects of large segment loss. For instance, HSPCs differentiated in vitro from isogenic induced pluripotent stem cells established from patients with del(7q) recapitulated disease-associated phenotypes, including impaired hematopoietic differentiation.⁴⁵ Shannon et al attempted a straightforward but technically very difficult approach in which mice with a heterozygous germ line deletion of a 2-Mb portion of chromosome band 5A3 syntenic to a segment of human 7q22 were generated.^{46,47} Although these mice did not develop myeloid diseases, cell-autonomous abnormalities in HSPCs were found that were exacerbated by physiological aging and upon serial transplantation.^{46,47}

Another approach is to use microarray comparative genomic hybridization to search for microdeletions in patients with myeloid malignancies that might be present in an apparently normal chromosome 7. Because there are many insignificant alterations in the genome of tumor cells in general, careful selection of patients to avoid "passenger deletions" is necessary. Asou et al selected JMML and JMML-like diseases for this, because it was expected that insignificant deletions are much less frequent in leukemia cells of children than adults. A common microdeletion spanning approximately 100 kb was identified in the 7q21.3 subband that contains 3 genes: SAMD9, SAMD9L, and MIKI (LOC253012).48,49 Similarly, from EVI1-dysregulated AML cell lines, 2 small microdeletions (0.39 and 1.33 Mb) in subband 7q36.1 were detected, the latter of which included the EZH2 gene.^{50,51} In addition, a focal deletion (8.8 Mb) at 7g35-36 encompassing the MLL3 gene (7q36.1) was isolated from a relapsed AML with a normal karyotype.⁵² A contribution of these 4 genes to the development of myeloid diseases has now been validated by gene targeting in mouse models.

Current candidates for responsible genes SAMD9 and SAMD9L

Human SAMD9 and SAMD9L encode related endosomal proteins (60% amino acid identity) that facilitate homotypic fusion of primary/early endosomes critical for endosomal trafficking, including metabolism of cytokine receptors.⁵³ Only vertebrates have SAMD9/SAMD9L, which have no similarity to any other genes. Fish, frogs, and birds all possess the common ancestral genes of SAMD9 and SAMD9L. Mammals fall into 3 groups regarding these genes. For example, (1) humans (and other higher primates), horses, and rats have both SAMD9 and SAMD9L; (2) cows, sheep, and primitive primates (such as galagos) possess only SAMD9; and (3) cats, dogs, and mice have only SAMD9L.54 This odd distribution implies that the 2 gene products have common functions. Nonetheless, because of differences in gene regulation in tissues and organs, the 2 genes do not fully compensate each other, because loss-offunction mutations of SAMD9, despite intact SAMD9L, cause



Figure 2. Schematic representation of the hypothesis that the relative strength of HSPCs carrying monosomy 7 compared with the surrounding bone marrow cells affects the process of development of MDS.

the life-threatening autosomal-recessive disease normophosphatemic familial tumoral carcinosis in humans.⁵⁵

Heterozygous (SAMD9L^{+/-}) as well as homozygous (SAMD9L^{-/-}) mice were found to develop MDS and die after 1.5 years.⁵³ Most SAMD9L^{+/-} as well as SAMD9L^{-/-} mice exhibited leukocytopenia and anemia with dysplasia in multiple hematopoietic lineages in their normal-to-hypercellular bone marrow. Almost all mice of both genotypes developed AML together with EVI1 overexpression at younger ages, indicating cooperation between the haploinsufficiency of SAMD9L and EVI1 overfunction in disease progression. Colony-replating and competitive repopulation assays revealed that SAMD9L deficiency confers a proliferative advantage on HSPCs. Indeed, SAMD9L-deficient HSPCs possessed an enhanced sensitivity to cytokines, most likely due to disturbed metabolism of ligand-bound cytokine receptors. However, it is not fully understood why such a growth advantage of HSPCs mainly induces MDS rather than MPD or AML.

Recently, gain-of-function (g/f) mutations of the SAMD9 gene were identified in patients with MIRAGE (myelodysplasia, infection, restriction of growth, adrenal hypoplasia, genital phenotypes, and enteropathy) syndrome, ^{56,57} while SAMD9L mutations were found in ataxia pancytopenia syndrome. ⁵⁸⁻⁶⁰ The common symptom of these 2 diseases is pancytopenia with hypocellular bone marrow in infancy that often requires transfusion but gradually improves over time. Patients with both syndromes have additional nonhematological symptoms (Figure 1). More recently, germ line g/f mutations of the Samd9/Samd9L gene were identified at high frequencies in cohorts of children and adolescents with inherited bone marrow failure⁶¹ and isolated MDS^{62,63} at high frequencies. The latter cohorts include familial cases.

Children carrying a germ line g/f mutation of Samd9/Samd9L often develop MDS with -7. The age of onset is mostly <5 years, and, intriguingly, the mutated allele is always lost. Because g/f mutants of Samd9/Samd9L have an adverse effect on cell proliferation, loss of the mutants rescues growth potential of bone marrow cells by a mechanism proposed as "adaption by

aneuploidy" and creates the condition "revertant mosaicism."^{56,57} The emergence of such clones also carries a risk of developing MDS with -7. MDS cells from such children have few additional gene/chromosome alterations other than -7,⁶³ in contrast to sporadic childhood MDS with -7, which generally carries relevant gene mutations such as *GATA2* or those involved in the ras pathway.⁶⁴ According to these observations, a working hypothesis is that HSPCs carrying monosomy 7 (HSPCs/-7) are susceptible to the development of MDS per se, but surrounding HSPCs suppress HSPC/-7 expansion (Figure 2). When neighboring HSPCs are "weak" and unable to suppress HSPCs/-7, as is the case for HSPCs harboring g/f mutations of *Samd9/Samd9L*, then HSPCs/-7 can develop into MDS.

This logic may extend to the pathogenesis of sporadic adult MDS. It is generally accepted that the accumulation of additional genetic and/or epigenetic alterations is required for HSPCs/-7 to develop MDS. This is assumed to be a reason why the great majority of MDS patients are >40 years of age. However, if the expansion potential of HSPCs/-7 would be determined by the relative strength of the surrounding HSPCs, aging may also contribute to the development of MDS by "weakening" surrounding HSPCs to allow the expansion of HSPCs/-7.

EZH2

EZH2 encodes a methyltransferase for lysine 27 of histone H3 (H3K27).⁶⁵⁻⁶⁷ EZH2 is a component of the polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2), which catalyzes, binds to and propagates the trimethylation of H3K27. This histone modification generally functions as a gene silencer.^{68,69}

Somatic *EZH2* gene mutations are frequently found (~10%) in patients with MDS and related myeloproliferative neoplasms, as well as secondary AML patients, and are an independent unfavorable prognostic factor.^{51,70,71} In contrast, *EZH2* mutations are rarely found in de novo AML samples.^{70,72} Mutations identified in patients with myeloid diseases are dispersed throughout the *EZH2* gene and result in loss of methyltransferase activity.⁷³ *EZH2* mutations are found in either monoallelic or biallelic states.^{51,70,71} The latter are associated with copy-neutral loss of heterozygosity or uniparental disomy that is frequently present in the distal portion of 7q in myeloid malignancy.³⁸ These findings raised the possibility that -7/del(7q) could play a causative role through haploinsufficiency of *EZH2*. However, conditional deletion of *EZH2* in HSPCs using *EZH2*^{flox/flox} mice increased the frequency of spontaneous $\gamma\delta$ T-cell leukemia but did not induce myeloid tumors.⁷⁴

More than half the MDS patients who harbor an EZH2 mutation also have a tet methylcytosine dioxygenase 2 (TET2; 4q24) mutation.^{75,76} Accordingly, the potential to develop MDS in individuals with an EZH2 deletion with or without alterations of other genes was tested using mice reconstituted with EZH2^{flox/flox} hematopoietic cells in which EZH2 was deleted after transplantation. These mice developed MDS-like disease at low frequency but when TET2 was knocked down, the incidence of myeloid disease increased and the phenotype became more aggressive.⁷⁶ Similar results were obtained when a Runx1 point mutant (S291fs) was induced.77 These data suggest that loss of EZH2 expression by -7/del(7q) contributes to MDS development in cooperation with TET2 or RUNX1 mutations. Transcriptomic analyses of HSPCs in these mice revealed that upon deletion of EZH2, key developmental regulator genes were kept repressed, suggesting compensation by EZH1,⁷⁸ whereas a range of oncogenic direct and indirect polycomb targets became derepressed.⁷⁶ In addition, RUNX1(S291fs)/EZH2-null MDS cells secreted inflammatory cytokines such as interleukin-6 and tumor necrosis factor α that depleted surrounding normal HSPCs, allowing propagation of MDS clones.77

MLL3

MLL3 belongs to the MLL protein family possessing histone methyltransferase activity for lysine 4 of histone 3 (H3K4), a histone mark associated with active transcription.^{79,80} As with the *EZH2* gene, loss-of-function mutations of *MLL3* were first identified in MDS and AML.^{81,82} Of note, these cases frequently exhibited Ras pathway mutations (*NF1* deletions or N-Ras, K-Ras, and Ptpn11 activation) and TP53 inactivation, ⁵² suggesting that *MLL3* loss may cooperate with these aberrations to cause myeloid malignancies.

The effects of MLL3 suppression on leukemogenesis was tested using short hairpin (sh) RNA for MLL3 and p53^{-/-} HSPCs.⁵² Mice transplanted with p53^{-/-} HSPCs develop hematopoietic diseases, mainly thymic lymphoma. Although the introduction of shMLL3 into p53^{-/-} HSPCs did not affect disease latency, introduction of both shMLL3 and shNF1 caused the development of aggressive myeloid malignancies. In addition, shNF1-transduced p53^{-/-} HSPCs with one MLL3 allele disrupted by CRISPR/Cas9mediated genome editing displayed similar phenotypes to those transduced with both shNF1 and shMLL3. These results indicate that inactivation of MLL3 and NF1 cooperatively promotes myeloid leukemogenesis in a p53-null background and MLL3 acts as a haploinsufficient tumor suppressor in this context. Of note, although transduction of the shMLL3 alone into p53^{-/-} recipients did not affect survival, transplanted mice exhibited a temporary reduction in peripheral blood counts due to maturation arrest and dysplasia in multiple hematopoietic lineages. This suggests that a defect of NF1 plays a role in sustaining the MDS-like phenotype.

Comparison of transcriptional profiles between $p53^{-/-}$ alone and sh*MLL3*, $p53^{-/-}$ HSPCs revealed that gene expression in sh*MLL3*, $p53^{-/-}$ HSPCs was enriched for those identified as a leukemia stem cell signature⁸³ and that genes suppressed by sh*MLL3* were significantly correlated with genes downregulated in MDS HSCs.⁸⁴ Because MLL3 functions as a chromatin modulator, it is suggested that loss of function of MLL3 induces altered gene expression patterns in HSPCs, which eventually perturbs normal hematopoietic development/differentiation and results in development of MDS-like disease.

Other candidate genes proposed to be responsible for myeloid malignancies

A number of genes have been proposed as candidates responsible for myeloid malignancies bearing -7/del(7q). One well-studied example is CUX1 (7q22.1),85 the mammalian ortholog of the Drosophila melanogaster cut (ct) gene,⁸⁶ which encodes a homeobox transcription factor. Loss of one allele of this gene is frequently detected not only in myeloid tumors but also in uterine leiomyomas and breast cancers (see references in Ramdzan and Nepveu⁸⁷). Inactivating point mutations in one allele are also frequently found in cancers of the endometrium, large intestine, and lung.88 These data suggest that CUX1 is a haploinsufficient tumor suppressor. This is further supported by pseudotumor formation in D melanogaster induced by RNA interference-mediated knockdown of ct.88 Although CUX1 heterozygous mice are indistinguishable from wild-type mice, CUX1^{-/-} homozygous mice exhibit myeloid hyperplasia during limited observation periods, because only few mice survive to weaning.⁸⁹⁻⁹¹ Other examples include DOCK4 (7q31.1), which encodes a guanine exchange factor and is disrupted in murine osteosarcoma cells,⁹² and low expression has been linked to erythroid dysplasia.93 LUC7L2 (7q34), a putative RNA-splicing gene, was proposed to encode an MDS-related splicesomal protein.38,39,42

Concluding remarks and future prospects

It is probable that -7/del(7g) contributes to myeloid tumorigenesis through two distinct mechanisms. First, HSPCs/-7 emerge at an early stage; the subsequent loss of SAMD9/SAMD9L confers a relative advantage on HSPCs over surrounding cells to maintain the -7/del(7q) clone, which then has time to accumulate further genetic/epigenetic alterations. If the bone marrow is already greatly perturbed by aplastic anemia, SCN (possibly requiring high levels of cytokines), or diseases caused by g/f SAMD9/SAMD9L mutations, then HSPCs/-7 probably have the potential to develop into MDS even without additional genetic/epigenetic alterations. Regarding the second mechanism, -7/del(7q) occurs in the late phase of disease as an additional chromosomal aberration, and loss of EZH2 and/or MLL3 disturbs the epigenetic control of already-abnormal or even already-leukemic HSPCs in cooperation with preexisting gene alterations to promote disease.

The broad deletion, a unique feature of del(7q), suggests that the lack of >2 responsible genes is necessary to develop/ promote myeloid tumors. For instance, haploinsufficiency of the *Samd9L* gene (7q21.3) causes the development of AML with *EVI-1* overexpression in mice,⁵³ whereas a pinpoint *Ezh2* loss

(7q36.1) has been detected in the human AML genome.^{50,51} Nevertheless, preponderance of -7 over del(7q) in AML with *EVI-1* overexpression (refs²⁸⁻³⁰) might indicate that lack of both *Samd9/Samd9L* and *Ezh2* (and possibly other tumor suppressors located at 7q) is necessary to effectively promote human AML. In this regard, analysis of the biological effects of large segment loss (see references in Kotini et al⁴⁵ and Wong et al,^{46,47}) is challenging but will be useful not only for identifying novel responsible genes but also for dissecting the roles of alreadyknown tumor suppressors in combination. This will provide a precise indicator for prognosis and a strategy to develop tailormade therapies for patients carrying -7 and improve our understanding of myeloid tumors, particularly MDS, which currently remains an enigma in hematology.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Akiko Nagamachi, Atsushi Iwama, Seishi Ogawa, and Hideki Makishima for helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

- Freireich EJ, Whang J, Tjio JH, Levin RH, Brittin GM, Frei IE. Refractory anemia, granulocytic hyperplasia of bone marrow, and a missing chromosome in marrow cells. A new clinical syndrome? *Clin Res.* 1964;12:284.
- Gyger M, Bonny Y. Monosomy 7 syndrome. N Engl J Med. 1981;305(19):1155-1156.
- Gaitonde S, Boumendjel R, Angeles R, Rondelli D. Familial childhood monosomy 7 and associated myelodysplasia. J Pediatr Hematol Oncol. 2010;32(6):e236-e237.
- Wlodarski MW, Hirabayashi S, Pastor V, et al; EWOG-MDS. Prevalence, clinical characteristics, and prognosis of GATA2-related myelodysplastic syndromes in children and adolescents. *Blood.* 2016;127(11):1387-1397, quiz 1518.
- Maris JM, Wiersma SR, Mahgoub N, et al. Monosomy 7 myelodysplastic syndrome and other second malignant neoplasms in children with neurofibromatosis type 1. *Cancer.* 1997; 79(7):1438-1446.
- Skokowa J, Dale DC, Touw IP, Zeidler C, Welte K. Severe congenital neutropenias. Nat Rev Dis Primers. 2017;3:17032.
- McNerney ME, Godley LA, Le Beau MM. Therapy-related myeloid neoplasms: when genetics and environment collide. *Nat Rev Cancer.* 2017;17(9):513-527.
- Greenberg PL, Tuechler H, Schanz J, et al. Revised international prognostic scoring system for myelodysplastic syndromes. *Blood.* 2012;120(12):2454-2465.
- Niemeyer CM, Arico M, Basso G, et al; European Working Group on Myelodysplastic Syndromes in Childhood (EWOG-MDS). Chronic myelomonocytic leukemia in childhood: a retrospective analysis of 110 cases. Blood. 1997;89(10):3534-3543.
- Hasle H, Aricò M, Basso G, et al; European Working Group on MDS in Childhood (EWOG-MDS). Myelodysplastic syndrome, juvenile myelomonocytic leukemia, and acute myeloid leukemia associated with complete or partial monosomy 7. Leukemia. 1999;13(3): 376-385.

Authorship

Contribution: T.I., H.M., and H.H. wrote the paper.

Conflict-of-interest disclosure: The authors declare competing financial interests.

ORCID profile: T.I., 0000-0002-3455-6010.

Correspondence: Toshiya Inaba, Department of Molecular Oncology and Leukemia Program Project, Research Institute for Radiation Biology and Medicine, Hiroshima University, 1-2-3 Kasumi, Minami-ku, Hiroshima 734-8553, Japan; e-mail: tinaba@hiroshima-u.ac.jp.

Footnotes

Submitted 21 December 2017; accepted 29 March 2018. Prepublished online as *Blood* First Edition paper, 3 April 2018; DOI 10.1182/blood-2017-12-822262.

There is a Blood Commentary on this article in this issue.

- Göhring G, Michalova K, Beverloo HB, et al. Complex karyotype newly defined: the strongest prognostic factor in advanced childhood myelodysplastic syndrome. *Blood.* 2010;116(19):3766-3769.
- Kardos G, Baumann I, Passmore SJ, et al. Refractory anemia in childhood: a retrospective analysis of 67 patients with particular reference to monosomy 7. *Blood.* 2003; 102(6):1997-2003.
- Mantadakis E, Shannon KM, Singer DA, et al. Transient monosomy 7: a case series in children and review of the literature. *Cancer*. 1999;85(12):2655-2661.
- Shannon KM, Turhan AG, Chang SS, et al. Familial bone marrow monosomy 7. Evidence that the predisposing locus is not on the long arm of chromosome 7. J Clin Invest. 1989; 84(3):984-989.
- Shannon KM, Turhan AG, Rogers PC, Kan YW. Evidence implicating heterozygous deletion of chromosome 7 in the pathogenesis of familial leukemia associated with monosomy 7. *Genomics*. 1992;14(1):121-125.
- Minelli A, Maserati E, Giudici G, et al. Familial partial monosomy 7 and myelodysplasia: different parental origin of the monosomy 7 suggests action of a mutator gene. Cancer Genet Cytogenet. 2001;124(2):147-151.
- 17. Kojima S, Ohara A, Tsuchida M, et al; Japan Childhood Aplastic Anemia Study Group. Risk factors for evolution of acquired aplastic anemia into myelodysplastic syndrome and acute myeloid leukemia after immunosuppressive therapy in children. *Blood.* 2002; 100(3):786-790.
- Germeshausen M, Schulze H, Kratz C, et al. An acquired G-CSF receptor mutation results in increased proliferation of CMML cells from a patient with severe congenital neutropenia. *Leukemia*. 2005;19(4):611-617.
- Touw IP. Granulocyte colony-stimulating factor receptor mutations in severe chronic neutropenia and acute myeloid leukaemia: biological and clinical significance. *Baillieres Clin Haematol.* 1997;10(3):577-587.

- Sloand EM, Yong AS, Ramkissoon S, et al. Granulocyte colony-stimulating factor preferentially stimulates proliferation of monosomy 7 cells bearing the isoform IV receptor. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2006;103(39): 14483-14488.
- 21. Young N. G-CSF and monosomy 7 in marrow failure patients. *Blood*. 2002;100(3):741.
- Rosenfeld S, Follmann D, Nunez O, Young NS. Antithymocyte globulin and cyclosporine for severe aplastic anemia: association between hematologic response and long-term outcome. JAMA. 2003;289(9):1130-1135.
- Locasciulli A, Arcese W, Locatelli F, Di Bona E, Bacigalupo A; Italian Aplastic Anaemia Study Group. Treatment of aplastic anaemia with granulocyte-colony stimulating factor and risk of malignancy. *Lancet*. 2001;357(9249):43-44.
- Hirsch B, Oseth L, Cain M, et al. Effects of granulocyte-colony stimulating factor on chromosome aneuploidy and replication asynchrony in healthy peripheral blood stem cell donors. *Blood.* 2011;118(9):2602-2608.
- Nagasawa M, Tomizawa D, Tsuji Y, et al. Pancytopenia presenting with monosomy 7 which disappeared after immunosuppressive therapy. *Leuk Res.* 2004;28(3):315-319.
- Skokowa J, Steinemann D, Katsman-Kuipers JE, et al. Cooperativity of RUNX1 and CSF3R mutations in severe congenital neutropenia: a unique pathway in myeloid leukemogenesis. Blood. 2014;123(14):2229-2237.
- Rowley JD. Letter: Deletions of chromosome 7 in haematological disorders. *Lancet.* 1973; 2(7842):1385-1386.
- Barjesteh van Waalwijk van Doorn-Khosrovani S, Erpelinck C, van Putten WL, et al. High EVI1 expression predicts poor survival in acute myeloid leukemia: a study of 319 de novo AML patients. *Blood*. 2003;101(3):837-845.
- Lugthart S, van Drunen E, van Norden Y, et al. High EVI1 levels predict adverse outcome in acute myeloid leukemia: prevalence of EVI1 overexpression and chromosome 3q26 abnormalities underestimated. *Blood.* 2008; 111(8):4329-4337.

Downloaded from http://ashpublications.net/blood/article-pdf/131/26/2891/1465937/blood822262.pdf by guest on 03 June 2024

- Gröschel S, Lugthart S, Schlenk RF, et al. High EVI1 expression predicts outcome in younger adult patients with acute myeloid leukemia and is associated with distinct cytogenetic abnormalities. J Clin Oncol. 2010;28(12): 2101-2107.
- Hasle H, Alonzo TA, Auvrignon A, et al. Monosomy 7 and deletion 7q in children and adolescents with acute myeloid leukemia: an international retrospective study. *Blood*. 2007; 109(11):4641-4647.
- 32. Stein S, Ott MG, Schultze-Strasser S, et al. Genomic instability and myelodysplasia with monosomy 7 consequent to EVI1 activation after gene therapy for chronic granulomatous disease. Nat Med. 2010;16(2):198-204.
- Cordoba I, González-Porras JR, Nomdedeu B, et al; Spanish Myelodysplastic Syndrome Registry. Better prognosis for patients with del (7q) than for patients with monosomy 7 in myelodysplastic syndrome. *Cancer.* 2012; 118(1):127-133.
- Hussain FT, Nguyen EP, Raza S, et al. Sole abnormalities of chromosome 7 in myeloid malignancies: spectrum, histopathologic correlates, and prognostic implications. *Am J Hematol.* 2012;87(7):684-686.
- Gur HD, Wang SA, Tang Z, et al. Clinical significance of isolated del(7p) in myeloid neoplasms. *Leuk Res.* 2017;55:18-22.
- Honda H, Nagamachi A, Inaba T. -7/7q- syndrome in myeloid-lineage hematopoietic malignancies: attempts to understand this complex disease entity. *Oncogene*. 2015; 34(19):2413-2425.
- Todd R, Bia B, Johnson E, Jones C, Cotter F. Molecular characterization of a myelodysplasia-associated chromosome 7 inversion. Br J Haematol. 2001;113(1): 143-152.
- Jerez A, Sugimoto Y, Makishima H, et al. Loss of heterozygosity in 7q myeloid disorders: clinical associations and genomic pathogenesis. *Blood.* 2012;119(25):6109-6117.
- Ebert BL. Deletion 5q in myelodysplastic syndrome: a paradigm for the study of hemizygous deletions in cancer. *Leukemia*. 2009;23(7):1252-1256.
- Ebert BL. Molecular dissection of the 5q deletion in myelodysplastic syndrome. Semin Oncol. 2011;38(5):621-626.
- Hosono N, Makishima H, Jerez A, et al. Recurrent genetic defects on chromosome 7q in myeloid neoplasms. *Leukemia*. 2014;28(6): 1348-1351.
- Singh H, Lane AA, Correll M, et al. Putative RNA-splicing gene LUC7L2 on 7q34 represents a candidate gene in pathogenesis of myeloid malignancies. *Blood Cancer J.* 2013; 3(5):e117.
- 43. Schoch C, Kohlmann A, Dugas M, et al. Genomic gains and losses influence expression levels of genes located within the affected regions: a study on acute myeloid leukemias with trisomy 8, 11, or 13, monosomy 7, or deletion 5q. Leukemia. 2005;19(7):1224-1228.
- 44. Zhao X, Gao S, Wu Z, et al. Single-cell RNAseq reveals a distinct transcriptome signature

of aneuploid hematopoietic cells. *Blood*. 2017;130(25):2762-2773.

- 45. Kotini AG, Chang CJ, Boussaad I, et al. Functional analysis of a chromosomal deletion associated with myelodysplastic syndromes using isogenic human induced pluripotent stem cells. Nat Biotechnol. 2015;33(6):646-655.
- 46. Wong JCY, Zhang Y, Lieuw KH, et al. Use of chromosome engineering to model a segmental deletion of chromosome band 7q22 found in myeloid malignancies. *Blood*. 2010; 115(22):4524-4532.
- Wong JC, Weinfurtner KM, Alzamora MP, et al. Functional evidence implicating chromosome 7q22 haploinsufficiency in myelodysplastic syndrome pathogenesis. *eLife*. 2015;4:e07839.
- Asou H, Matsui H, Ozaki Y, et al. Identification of a common microdeletion cluster in 7q21.3 subband among patients with myeloid leukemia and myelodysplastic syndrome. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 2009;383(2): 245-251.
- Ozaki Y, Matsui H, Asou H, et al. Poly-ADP ribosylation of Miki by tankyrase-1 promotes centrosome maturation. *Mol Cell*. 2012;47(5): 694-706.
- De Weer A, Poppe B, Vergult S, et al. Identification of two critically deleted regions within chromosome segment 7q35-q36 in EVI1 deregulated myeloid leukemia cell lines. *PLoS One.* 2010;5(1):e8676.
- Nikoloski G, Langemeijer SM, Kuiper RP, et al. Somatic mutations of the histone methyltransferase gene EZH2 in myelodysplastic syndromes. Nat Genet. 2010;42(8):665-667.
- Chen C, Liu Y, Rappaport AR, et al. MLL3 is a haploinsufficient 7q tumor suppressor in acute myeloid leukemia. *Cancer Cell.* 2014;25(5): 652-665.
- 53. Nagamachi A, Matsui H, Asou H, et al. Haploinsufficiency of SAMD9L, an endosome fusion facilitator, causes myeloid malignancies in mice mimicking human diseases with monosomy 7. *Cancer Cell*. 2013;24(3): 305-317.
- 54. Yates A, Akanni W, Amode MR, et al. Ensembl 2016. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2016;44(D1): D710-D716.
- Topaz O, Indelman M, Chefetz I, et al. A deleterious mutation in SAMD9 causes normophosphatemic familial tumoral calcinosis. *Am J Hum Genet.* 2006;79(4):759-764.
- Narumi S, Amano N, Ishii T, et al. SAMD9 mutations cause a novel multisystem disorder, MIRAGE syndrome, and are associated with loss of chromosome 7. Nat Genet. 2016;48(7): 792-797.
- Buonocore F, Kühnen P, Suntharalingham JP, et al. Somatic mutations and progressive monosomy modify SAMD9-related phenotypes in humans. *J Clin Invest.* 2017;127(5): 1700-1713.
- Chen DH, Below JE, Shimamura A, et al. Ataxia-pancytopenia syndrome is caused by missense mutations in SAMD9L. Am J Hum Genet. 2016;98(6):1146-1158.
- 59. Tesi B, Davidsson J, Voss M, et al. Gain-offunction SAMD9L mutations cause a

syndrome of cytopenia, immunodeficiency, MDS, and neurological symptoms. *Blood*. 2017;129(16):2266-2279.

- Gorcenco S, Komulainen-Ebrahim J, Nordborg K, et al. Ataxia-pancytopenia syndrome withSAMD9Lmutations. Neurol Genet. 2017;3(5):e183.
- Bluteau O, Sebert M, Leblanc T, et al. A landscape of germ line mutations in a cohort of inherited bone marrow failure patients. *Blood.* 2018;131(7):717-732.
- Pastor VB, Sahoo SS, Boklan J, et al. Constitutional SAMD9L mutations cause familial myelodysplastic syndrome and transient monosomy 7. Haematologica. 2018;103(3): 427-437.
- Schwartz JR, Ma J, Lamprecht T, et al. The genomic landscape of pediatric myelodysplastic syndromes. *Nat Commun.* 2017;8(1): 1557.
- 64. Pastor V, Hirabayashi S, Karow A, et al. Mutational landscape in children with myelodysplastic syndromes is distinct from adults: specific somatic drivers and novel germline variants. *Leukemia*. 2017;31(3): 759-762.
- Cao R, Wang L, Wang H, et al. Role of histone H3 lysine 27 methylation in Polycomb-group silencing. *Science*. 2002;298(5595): 1039-1043.
- 66. Czermin B, Melfi R, McCabe D, Seitz V, Imhof A, Pirrotta V. Drosophila enhancer of Zeste/ESC complexes have a histone H3 methyltransferase activity that marks chromosomal Polycomb sites. *Cell*. 2002;111(2):185-196.
- Müller J, Hart CM, Francis NJ, et al. Histone methyltransferase activity of a Drosophila Polycomb group repressor complex. *Cell*. 2002;111(2):197-208.
- Simon JA, Kingston RE. Mechanisms of polycomb gene silencing: knowns and unknowns. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2009;10(10):697-708.
- 69. Margueron R, Reinberg D. The Polycomb complex PRC2 and its mark in life. *Nature*. 2011;469(7330):343-349.
- Bejar R, Stevenson K, Abdel-Wahab O, et al. Clinical effect of point mutations in myelodysplastic syndromes. N Engl J Med. 2011; 364(26):2496-2506.
- Ernst T, Chase AJ, Score J, et al. Inactivating mutations of the histone methyltransferase gene EZH2 in myeloid disorders. *Nat Genet.* 2010;42(8):722-726.
- Patel JP, Gönen M, Figueroa ME, et al. Prognostic relevance of integrated genetic profiling in acute myeloid leukemia. N Engl J Med. 2012;366(12):1079-1089.
- Lund K, Adams PD, Copland M. EZH2 in normal and malignant hematopoiesis. *Leukemia*. 2014;28(1):44-49.
- 74. Simon C, Chagraoui J, Krosl J, et al. A key role for EZH2 and associated genes in mouse and human adult T-cell acute leukemia. *Genes* Dev. 2012;26(7):651-656.
- 75. Khan SN, Jankowska AM, Mahfouz R, et al. Multiple mechanisms deregulate EZH2 and histone H3 lysine 27 epigenetic changes in

myeloid malignancies. *Leukemia*. 2013;27(6): 1301-1309.

- Muto T, Sashida G, Oshima M, et al. Concurrent loss of Ezh2 and Tet2 cooperates in the pathogenesis of myelodysplastic disorders. J Exp Med. 2013;210(12):2627-2639.
- Sashida G, Harada H, Matsui H, et al. Ezh2 loss promotes development of myelodysplastic syndrome but attenuates its predisposition to leukaemic transformation. Nat Commun. 2014;5:4177.
- Mochizuki-Kashio M, Aoyama K, Sashida G, et al. Ezh2 loss in hematopoietic stem cells predisposes mice to develop heterogeneous malignancies in an Ezh1-dependent manner. *Blood*. 2015;126(10):1172-1183.
- Ansari KI, Mandal SS. Mixed lineage leukemia: roles in gene expression, hormone signaling and mRNA processing. *FEBS J.* 2010;277(8): 1790-1804.
- Herz HM, Hu D, Shilatifard A. Enhancer malfunction in cancer. *Mol Cell*. 2014;53(6):859-866.
- Kühn MW, Radtke I, Bullinger L, et al. Highresolution genomic profiling of adult and pediatric core-binding factor acute myeloid leukemia reveals new recurrent genomic alterations. *Blood*. 2012;119(10):e67-e75.

- 82. Dolnik A, Engelmann JC, Scharfenberger-Schmeer M, et al. Commonly altered genomic regions in acute myeloid leukemia are enriched for somatic mutations involved in chromatin remodeling and splicing. *Blood.* 2012;120(18):e83-e92.
- Somervaille TCP, Matheny CJ, Spencer GJ, et al. Hierarchical maintenance of MLL myeloid leukemia stem cells employs a transcriptional program shared with embryonic rather than adult stem cells. *Cell Stem Cell*. 2009;4(2):129-140.
- Pellagatti A, Cazzola M, Giagounidis A, et al. Deregulated gene expression pathways in myelodysplastic syndrome hematopoietic stem cells. *Leukemia*. 2010;24(4):756-764.
- Neufeld EJ, Skalnik DG, Lievens PMJ, Orkin SH. Human CCAAT displacement protein is homologous to the Drosophila homeoprotein, cut. Nat Genet. 1992;1(1):50-55.
- Blochlinger K, Bodmer R, Jack J, Jan LY, Jan YN. Primary structure and expression of a product from cut, a locus involved in specifying sensory organ identity in Drosophila. *Nature*. 1988;333(6174):629-635.
- 87. Ramdzan ZM, Nepveu A. CUX1, a haploinsufficient tumour suppressor gene overexpressed in advanced cancers. *Nat Rev Cancer.* 2014;14(10):673-682.

- Wong CC, Martincorena I, Rust AG, et al; Chronic Myeloid Disorders Working Group of the International Cancer Genome Consortium. Inactivating CUX1 mutations promote tumorigenesis. Nat Genet. 2014;46(1):33-38.
- Luong MX, van der Meijden CM, Xing D, et al. Genetic ablation of the CDP/Cux protein C terminus results in hair cycle defects and reduced male fertility. *Mol Cell Biol*. 2002;22(5):1424-1437.
- 90. Sinclair AM, Lee JA, Goldstein A, et al. Lymphoid apoptosis and myeloid hyperplasia in CCAAT displacement protein mutant mice. *Blood*. 2001;98(13): 3658-3667.
- Ellis T, Gambardella L, Horcher M, et al. The transcriptional repressor CDP (Cutl1) is essential for epithelial cell differentiation of the lung and the hair follicle. *Genes Dev.* 2001; 15(17):2307-2319.
- Yajnik V, Paulding C, Sordella R, et al. DOCK4, a GTPase activator, is disrupted during tumorigenesis. *Cell.* 2003;112(5): 673-684.
- Sundaravel S, Duggan R, Bhagat T, et al. Reduced DOCK4 expression leads to erythroid dysplasia in myelodysplastic syndromes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2015; 112(46):E6359-E6368.