



TO THE EDITOR:

MGUS, lymphoplasmacytic malignancies, and Gaucher disease: the significance of the clinical association

Neal J. Weinreb,^{1,2} Pramod K. Mistry,³ Barry E. Rosenbloom,^{4,5} and Madhav V. Dhodapkar⁶

¹Department of Human Genetics and ²Division of Hematology, Department of Internal Medicine, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, Miami, FL; ³Section of Digestive Diseases, Department of Medicine, Yale Liver Center, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT; ⁴Cedars-Sinai/Tower Hematology Oncology, Beverly Hills, CA; ⁵Department of Medicine, David Geffen School of Medicine at University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA; and ⁶Section of Hematology, Department of Medicine, Yale Cancer Center, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT

Despite the astounding twenty-first century growth in informatics science and technology, individuals with rare disorders often continue to suffer morbidity and anxiety as a result of inaccurate diagnosis or delayed treatment.¹ Although 86% of patients with Gaucher disease (GD) see a hematologist before diagnosis,² only 20% of surveyed hematologists worldwide initially thought of this condition when presented with a hypothetical case scenario of a patient presenting with all 6 of the most common GD signs and symptoms.³ Subsequently, we have had many opportunities to participate in live and Web-based educational programs to boost awareness about GD. This disorder has highly effective treatments available when indicated, but affected individuals with apparently mild phenotypes are nonetheless at increased risk for late-onset concurrent illnesses such as Parkinsonism, hematologic malignancies (especially B-cell lymphoplasmacytic lymphoma), and hepatocellular carcinoma.^{4,5}

Therefore, when reading the recent highly informative article “How I manage monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance,”⁶ in *Blood*, we noticed with some chagrin that GD was not even mentioned in a fairly long list of concurrent conditions with which monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance (MGUS) has been associated. In fact, MGUS in association with GD was described as long ago as 1968,⁷ and it is now well recognized that the risk for myeloma in affected individuals may be 25- to 50-fold greater than expected in the general US and European populations.⁸ Furthermore, we now know that the monoclonal antibodies found in GD patients with MGUS and myeloma are specifically directed at macrophage CD1d-presented glycosphingolipid antigens.⁹ This observation strongly supports the hypothesis that attributes GD-associated gammopathy to somatic mutations driven by chronic immune stimulation abetted by inflammatory cytokines.^{10,11}

The risk of Parkinsonism is increased in both GD patients and heterozygous carriers of *GBA1* mutations because mutant misfolded glucocerebrosidase itself seems to contribute to α -synuclein aggregation in dopaminergic neurons.¹² But there is no evidence that GD carriers, who do not seem to accumulate pathogenic sphingolipids, have an increased risk for MGUS and myeloma.¹³ Although GD-specific intravenous enzyme replacement therapy does not seem to reverse monoclonal

gammopathy once it is established,¹⁴ we do not yet know whether early initiation of either enzyme replacement therapy or oral substrate synthesis inhibition therapy before the emergence of malignant plasma cell clones will be preventive. However, inhibition of glucosylceramide synthase does seem to prevent GD-associated B-cell malignancy in Gaucher mouse models.^{9,15} It is also unknown whether the coexistence of GD and MGUS accelerates the usual 1%-per-year risk of MGUS evolving to overt myeloma.⁶ Because of the small number of patients, there is no established treatment paradigm, and there is little published information about treating GD-associated myeloma regarding either efficacy or toxicity of current immunomodulatory myeloma regimens or autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation.

We are aware of patients who were initially diagnosed with MGUS or myeloma in whom GD was discovered coincidentally. For that reason, we urge hematologists to consider the possibility of concurrent GD in patients they manage for MGUS, myeloma, Waldenström macroglobulinemia, AL amyloidosis, and B-cell lymphoma, especially, but not exclusively, in patients of Ashkenazi Jewish ethnicity. In Ashkenazi Jewish patients, the incidence of genotypic GD is as high as 1 in 500, and they may be middle age or older without overt signs or symptoms of GD. Testing for GD by assaying peripheral white blood cells or dried blood spots for the presence of β -glucosidase or glucosylsphingosine is widely available.^{16,17} Identification of apparent Gaucher cells in the bone marrow may not necessarily be pathognomonic because pseudo Gaucher cells have occasionally been reported in myeloma patients.¹⁸ Nevertheless, a finding of Gaucher cells should prompt a diagnosis of GD unless proven otherwise. It is also wise to point out that GD bone marrow infiltration is sometimes associated with abnormal positron emission tomography scanning that could be misinterpreted as myeloma bone disease^{19,20} and could lead to erroneous upstaging.

We urge hematologists who are managing GD patients with or without hematologic malignancies to participate in international and national GD registries (eg, www.clinicaltrials.gov and www.gaucherdisease.org/research/registry). These databases may be the only research tools that have sufficient power and patient numbers to provide strong evidentiary guidance about managing and treating this rare disorder and ultimately, preventing its long-term complications.^{21,22}

Authorship

Contribution: N.J.W. conceived and drafted the letter; and P.K.M., B.E.R., and M.V.D. edited the text.

Conflict-of-interest disclosure: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

ORCID profiles: N.J.W., 0000-0001-9100-4733; P.K.M., 0000-0003-3447-6421; B.E.R., 0000-0002-3217-4318; M.V.D., 0000-0002-7202-1527.

Correspondence: Neal J. Weinreb, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, 7367 Wexford Ter, Boca Raton, FL 33433; e-mail: boneal@winning.com and n.weinreb@med.miami.edu.

REFERENCES

1. Mehta A, Belmatoug N, Bembji B, et al. Exploring the patient journey to diagnosis of Gaucher disease from the perspective of 212 patients with Gaucher disease and 16 Gaucher expert physicians. *Mol Genet Metab*. 2017;122(3):122-129.
2. Thomas AS, Mehta AB, Hughes DA. Diagnosing Gaucher disease: an ongoing need for increased awareness amongst haematologists. *Blood Cells Mol Dis*. 2013;50(3):212-217.
3. Mistry PK, Sadan S, Yang R, Yee J, Yang M. Consequences of diagnostic delays in type 1 Gaucher disease: the need for greater awareness among hematologists-oncologists and an opportunity for early diagnosis and intervention. *Am J Hematol*. 2007;82(8):697-701.
4. Pastores GM, Hughes DA. Lysosomal storage disorders and malignancy. *Diseases*. 2017;5(1).
5. Roshan Lal T, Sidransky E. The Spectrum of Neurological Manifestations Associated with Gaucher Disease. *Diseases*. 2017;5(1).
6. Go RS, Rajkumar SV. How I manage monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance. *Blood*. 2018;131(2):163-173.
7. Pratt PW, Kochwa S, Estren S. Immunoglobulin abnormalities in Gaucher's disease. Report of 16 cases. *Blood*. 1968;31(5):633-640.
8. Arends M, van Dussen L, Biegstraaten M, Hollak CE. Malignancies and monoclonal gammopathy in Gaucher disease; a systematic review of the literature. *Br J Haematol*. 2013;161(6):832-842.
9. Nair S, Branagan AR, Liu J, Boddupalli CS, Mistry PK, Dhodapkar MV. Clonal immunoglobulin against lysolipids in the origin of myeloma. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;374(6):555-561.
10. Shoenfeld Y, Berliner S, Pinkhas J, Beutler E. The association of Gaucher's disease and dysproteinemias. *Acta Haematol*. 1980;64(5):241-243.
11. Allen MJ, Myer BJ, Khokher AM, Rushton N, Cox TM. Pro-inflammatory cytokines and the pathogenesis of Gaucher's disease: increased release of interleukin-6 and interleukin-10. *QJM*. 1997;90(1):19-25.
12. Balestrino R, Schapira AHV. Glucocerebrosidase and Parkinson disease: molecular, clinical, and therapeutic implications [published online ahead of print 1 February 2018]. *Neuroscientist*. doi:10.1177/1073858417748875.
13. Rosenbloom BE, Becker P, Weinreb N. Multiple myeloma and Gaucher genes. *Genet Med*. 2009;11(2):134.
14. Brautbar A, Elstein D, Pines G, Abrahamov A, Zimran A. Effect of enzyme replacement therapy on gammopathies in Gaucher disease. *Blood Cells Mol Dis*. 2004;32(1):214-217.
15. Pavlova EV, Archer J, Wang S, et al. Inhibition of UDP-glucosylceramide synthase in mice prevents Gaucher disease-associated B-cell malignancy. *J Pathol*. 2015;235(1):113-124.
16. Johnson BA, Dajnoki A, Bodamer O. Diagnosis of lysosomal storage disorders: Gaucher disease. *Curr Protoc Hum Genet* 2014;82:17.15:1-6.
17. Zhang W, Oehrle M, Prada CE, et al. A convenient approach to facilitate monitoring Gaucher disease progression and therapeutic response. *Analyst (Lond)*. 2017;142(18):3380-3387.
18. Costello R, O'Callaghan T, Sébahoun G. Gaucher disease and multiple myeloma. *Leuk Lymphoma*. 2006;47(7):1365-1368.
19. Erba PA, Boni R, Sollini M, et al. Clinical application of [18F]FDG-PET/CT in Gaucher's disease. *J Nucl Med*. 2012;53(suppl 1):2141.
20. Kwee TC, de Klerk JMH, Nix M, Heggelman BGF, Dubois SV, Adams HJA. Benign bone conditions that may be FDG-avid and mimic malignancy. *Semin Nucl Med*. 2017;47(4):322-351.
21. Weinreb NJ, Kaplan P. The history and accomplishments of the ICGG Gaucher registry. *Am J Hematol*. 2015;90(suppl 1):S2-S5.
22. Bellgard MI, Napier KR, Bittles AH, et al. Design of a framework for the deployment of collaborative independent rare disease-centric registries: Gaucher disease registry model. *Blood Cells Mol Dis*. 2018;68:232-238.

DOI 10.1182/blood-2018-02-834689

© 2018 by The American Society of Hematology

TO THE EDITOR:

Detection of clonal hematopoiesis of indeterminate potential in clinical sequencing of solid tumor specimens

Eric A. Severson,^{1,*} Gregory M. Riedlinger,^{2,3,*} Caitlin F. Connelly,^{4,*} Jo-Anne Vergilio,⁴ Mendel Goldfinger,^{2,5} Shakti Ramkissoon,^{1,6} Garrett M. Frampton,⁴ Jeffrey S. Ross,⁴ Anthony Fratella-Calabrese,² Laurie Gay,⁴ Siraj Ali,⁴ Vincent Miller,⁴ Julia Elvin,⁴ Mohammad Hadigol,² Kim M. Hirshfield,^{2,5} Lorna Rodriguez-Rodriguez,^{2,7} Shridar Ganesan,^{2,5} and Hossein Khiabani^{2,3}

¹Foundation Medicine, Inc., Morrisville, NC; ²Rutgers Cancer Institute of New Jersey, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ; ³Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Rutgers Robert Wood Johnson Medical School, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ; ⁴Foundation Medicine, Inc., Cambridge, MA; ⁵Department of Medicine, Rutgers Robert Wood Johnson Medical School, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ; ⁶Department of Pathology, Wake Forest Baptist Health and Comprehensive Cancer Center of Wake Forest University, Winston-Salem, NC; and ⁷Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Rutgers Robert Wood Johnson Medical School, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ

Clonal hematopoiesis of indeterminate potential (CHIP) describes an expansion of hematopoietic stem cells that harbor somatic mutations¹⁻⁵ without an underlying hematologic malignancy or definitive morphologic evidence of dysplasia.⁶ CHIP can evolve to overt neoplasia, with a progression rate of 0.5% to

1.0% per year.^{2,3} CHIP was first identified through genomic profiling of peripheral blood from healthy individuals.¹⁻⁵ Its incidence increases with age and has been detected in peripheral blood of patients with solid tumors.^{7,8} In elderly cancer patients, the presence of CHIP prior to chemotherapeutic exposure is