• • • LYMPHOID NEOPLASIA

Comment on Belderbos et al, page 3210

Barcodes show family trees in ALL

Jonas Larsson LUND UNIVERSITY

Insight into the clonal dynamics of leukemia is vital for our understanding of its pathogenesis and progression. In this issue of *Blood*, Belderbos et al¹ use cellular barcoding to map the clonal fate of xenografted primary human leukemic cells in both time and space.

umors emerge through an evolutionary process where specific traits triggered by accumulating mutations are selected for and against, ultimately leading to full-blown cancer. The importance of such clonal evolutionary patterns in understanding and treating cancer



Cellular barcoding provides a clonal fate map of primary human ALL cells passaged through serial transplantation in immunodeficient mice. The clonal distribution patterns (circles) are adapted from 1 experiment in the study by Belderbos et al that begins on page 3210. B-ALL, B-progenitor cell ALL.

is becoming increasingly appreciated, especially in the context of treatment resistance and relapsing disease.² While assessing clonal hierarchies poses a great challenge in most solid tissues, the hematopoietic organ is more accessible due to its liquid nature and provides unique opportunities to track and monitor specific cell populations. As an example, largescale sequencing studies of somatic mutations in acute myeloid leukemia have revealed clear hierarchies and detailed sequential clonal evolutionary patterns with relatively high precision.³ However, in other leukemias, such as acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL), the situation appears more complex, and analysis of mutations has not been able to provide a similar detailed view of clonal dynamics during disease progression.

Here, Belderbos et al reasoned that cellular barcoding could provide a more direct and dynamic assessment of clonal distribution and competition in leukemia pathogenesis that experimentally could complement and validate current models of clonal evolution derived from mutational landscapes. Cellular barcoding is based on the genomic integration of specific DNA sequences or "barcodes" in target cells that later can be tracked by nextgeneration sequencing (NGS) of amplified DNA segments from the cellular offspring.^{4,5} In this manner, NGS platforms can function as highly efficient "barcode scanners," allowing precise detection and quantification of thousands of genetically tagged cell clones from a single pool of cells at relatively low cost.

Building on their previous expertise with barcoding experiments, Belderbos et al first set out to generate a new and improved lentiviral barcode library. Rather than employing a pool of random barcode vectors, which was previously the standard approach, the authors generated several hundred individual barcode preps that they then assembled at equimolar ratios into predefined libraries with a known composition and complexity. This strategy should ensure optimal and even distribution of barcodes and also enhance the reproducibility and the general robustness of the approach, as identical libraries can be multiply regenerated. A predefined library composed of known barcodes further minimizes the risk of detecting false barcodes due to sequencing errors. The authors used experiments in a leukemic cell line to validate the library composition and establish methods for detection and tracking of the barcodes both

in vitro and in vivo. Overall, their findings confirmed an even distribution and high complexity of the library and a capacity for highresolution tracking of individual cell clones.

The key experiment of this study (see figure) was performed in primary diagnostic samples of pediatric B-cell ALL. The authors transduced leukemic cells with barcode libraries and serially passaged them in vivo through tertiary transplantations in NSG mice. They then analyzed the barcode distribution following each round of transplantation in multiple distinct hematopoietic locations, including both skeletal (long bones, spine, and sternum) and extramedullary (blood, spleen, and liver) sites. Two general patterns were observed from these experiments. Firstly, from a temporal perspective, the engrafted cells showed a highly polyclonal and random pattern in the primary recipients, while the clonal complexity decreased gradually and acquired a more stable pattern following secondary and tertiary transplantation (see figure). Secondly, in terms of location, Belderbos et al found that the clones were unevenly distributed not only among the various skeletal sites analyzed but also when comparing all skeletal sites to the extramedullary sites. They were thus able to get a precise clonal fate map of the leukemic cells in both time and space during the course of the serial transplants. However, more specific conclusions regarding the observed clonal patterns were restricted by the fact that only 2 individual ALL cases were analyzed. Several barcoded samples failed to engraft in NSG mice, and the approach would likely benefit from employing some of the more sensitive xenograft models that have been introduced in the field recently.6,7

So what are the implications of this study? The main advancement lies in the technical and analytical aspects, which have been carefully and elegantly worked out. The paper represents the first demonstration of a detailed spatial and temporal fate mapping of primary human leukemia in vivo using barcoding, and it provides a paradigm for future studies in this area. As more cases are analyzed in the future, the barcoding approach in combination with detailed mutational analysis promises to reveal important distinctions in the clonal evolutionary patterns of different subtypes of ALL and other leukemias. Additional layers of information can further be retrieved by tracking the clonal dynamics in the presence or absence of therapeutic agents. Ultimately, this may guide the prediction of disease development in the clinic and influence the choice of therapeutic approach.

Conflict-of-interest disclosure: The author declares no competing financial interests.

REFERENCES

1. Belderbos ME, Koster T, Ausema B, et al. Clonal selection and asymmetric distribution of human leukemia in murine xenografts revealed by cellular barcoding. *Blood* 2017;129(24):3210-3220.

2. Greaves M, Maley CC. Clonal evolution in cancer. *Nature*. 2012;481(7381):306-313.

3. Ding L, Ley TJ, Larson DE, et al. Clonal evolution in relapsed acute myeloid leukaemia revealed by wholegenome sequencing. *Nature*. 2012;481(7382):506-510.

• • • LYMPHOID NEOPLASIA

Comment on Rahman et al, page 3221, and Hu et al, page 3264

Novel oncogenic noncoding mutations in T-ALL

Steven Goossens and Pieter Van Vlierberghe GHENT UNIVERSITY

In this issue of *Blood*, Rahman et al¹ and Hu et al² identify somatic alterations targeting noncoding elements in the vicinity of *LMO1* and *LMO2* as novel mechanisms of oncogene activation in human T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (T-ALL).

• ver the last few years, genetic sequencing studies of human cancer have largely been focused on the coding part of the human genome. However, more recently, emerging evidence suggests that somatically acquired noncoding alterations could also be critically involved in tumor formation, as exemplified by the identification of CTCF/cohesin-binding site mutations in colorectal cancer³ or *TERT* promoter mutations in melanoma.⁴

In the context of T-ALL, an aggressive hematologic cancer characterized by aberrant activation of transcription factor oncogenes,⁵ 2 landmark studies recently identified somatic insertions in a regulatory element upstream of the transcriptional start site (TSS) of the *TAL1* proto-oncogene.^{6,7} Notably, these small noncoding alterations introduced a de novo recognition site for MYB,⁷ resulting in the generation of a somatically acquired superenhancer that triggered aberrant monoallelic expression of *TAL1*⁷ (see figure panel A). However, up until now, it remained largely unclear if this concept of somatically acquired enhancer activity would also be applicable for other transcription factor oncogenes involved in T-ALL disease biology.

4. Lu R, Neff NF, Quake SR, Weissman IL. Tracking

5. Bystrykh LV, Verovskaya E, Zwart E, Broekhuis M,

single hematopoietic stem cells in vivo using high-

throughput sequencing in conjunction with viral

genetic barcoding. Nat Biotechnol. 2011;29(10):

de Haan G. Counting stem cells: methodological

6. Reinisch A, Thomas D, Corces MR, et al. A humanized bone marrow ossicle xenotransplantation

model enables improved engraftment of healthy and

leukemic human hematopoietic cells. Nat Med. 2016;22

7. Rahmig S, Kronstein-Wiedemann R, Fohgrub J, et al.

Improved human erythropoiesis and platelet formation in

humanized NSGW41 mice. Stem Cell Rep. 2016;7(4):

© 2017 by The American Society of Hematology

DOI 10.1182/blood-2017-05-780023

constraints. Nat Methods, 2012;9(6):567-574

928-933.

(7):812-821

591-601

LMO1 and LMO2 are critical regulators of hematopoietic differentiation and lineage commitment, and are part of a macromolecular transcriptional complex that consists of LIM domain binding proteins, GATA, ETS, and basic helix-loop-helix transcription factors.⁸ Under normal physiological conditions, LMO1 and LMO2 expression is strongly decreased during T-cell development shortly after lineage commitment.⁸ However, sustained or forced expression of these proteins in mice results in a gain of preleukemic thymocyte self renewal, allowing for clonal expansion and accumulation of secondary mutations, eventually leading to overt T-cell leukemia.9 Also, in human T-ALL, aberrant