

• • • LYMPHOID NEOPLASIA

Comment on Speedy et al, page 2319

Shelterins, a genetic crossroad in CLL

Renée Beekman and Elias Campo INSTITUT D'INVESTIGACIONS BIOMÈDIQUES AUGUST PI I SUNYER

In this issue of *Blood*, Speedy et al identify germ line loss-of-function mutations involving the telomere shelterin complex in a subset of families with chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL).¹

C LL has the highest risk among lymphoid neoplasms of occurring in relatives of affected individuals.² Susceptibility to CLL has been linked to different chromosomal loci. Although some CLL patients may carry germ line mutations in *ATM*,³ no genetic alterations or specific variants related to familial

CLL have been discovered thus far. Speedy et al now report for the first time identification of germ line mutations in *POT1* that cosegregated with CLL in relatives of 4 families. In addition, the authors found the presence of germ line mutations involving other elements of the shelterin complex in 3



Genetic alterations involved in the pathogenesis of CLL. Different studies in large series of sporadic CLL have identified somatic driver mutations (red)^{7,8} and susceptibility loci (yellow) related to the pathogenesis of the disease. Furthermore, germ line mutations outside a familiar context in CLL have been identified in *ATM* (light blue). Speedy et al, for the first time, describe germ line mutations in a familial context in CLL targeting the shelterin complex (dark blue; *POT1, TERF2IP*, and *ACD*). The overlap between genetic variants at different levels (somatic driver mutations, susceptibility loci, and germ line mutations) as indicated comprises just a handful of genes.

other CLL families, namely in *TERF2IP* (2 families) and *ACD* (1 family). Their finding supports the importance of telomere function in the pathogenesis of CLL. Genetic alterations involving different proteins regulating this complex chromosomal structure have now been identified in CLL at 3 different levels: somatic mutations in sporadic CLL (*POT1*), single nucleotide polymorphisms with increased risk to develop the disease (*POT1* and *TERT*), and now germ line mutations in families cosegregating with the disease (*POT1*, *TERF2IP*, and *ACD*).

The shelterin complex is a crucial regulator of telomere function, modulating telomere replication, extension by telomerase, and protecting the ends of the chromosomes from degradation or aberrant recombination.⁴ The structural predictions of Speedy et al suggest that the identified mutations in these genes reduce their protein:DNA and protein: protein binding affinity and therefore potentially interfere with their telomeric function. A somatic CLL mutation in the same residue Tyr36 observed in one of the families studied by Speedy et al previously was proven to disrupt POT1 binding to DNA.5 One of the open questions is how the aberrant shelterin complex contributes to CLL development. The current study shows that the telomere length was similar in cases with and without germ line shelterin mutations, as was also observed comparing sporadic CLL cases with and without somatic POT1 mutations.5 Functional studies in cell lines and observations in primary CLL cells, however, clearly show that POT1 mutated cells accumulate numerous telomeric and chromosomal abnormalities that may be responsible, at least in part, for the progression of the disease.⁵ Intriguingly, a recent study linked increased risk of development of CLL and other lymphoid neoplasms to longer genetically determined telomere length of peripheral blood leukocytes, emphasizing again the link between telomere function and the development of disease.6

Downloaded from http://ashpublications.net/blood/article-pdf/128/19/2279/1396289/2279.pdf by guest on 08 June 2024

Because the risk of CLL associated with the *POT1* p.Gln376Arg mutation was increased 3.61-fold, it suggests that shelterin gene mutations have moderate penetrance. Such an assertion is supported by the observation that the unmutated allele is not lost in the CLL cells of mutated carriers. Determination of the true penetrance of these variants will likely require the study of the risk loci in unaffected individuals, for example, the siblings of the affected patients, although ethical considerations may limit this analysis.

The understanding of the role of genetic alterations in the pathogenesis of CLL has been expanded in the last few years with extensive genome wide analysis focusing on 2 major aspects. Whole genome/exome sequencing efforts have elucidated the landscape of somatic mutations in untreated CLL patients, with the identification of ~80 highly confident driver genes (see figure).^{7,8} On the other hand, genome-wide association studies (GWASs) have identified 31 susceptibility loci conferring an increased risk of developing the disease (see figure and results of 8 comprehensive GWASs referred to by Speedy et al). Some of these loci are within or in the vicinity of genes whose function may influence the development of the disease, but most of them are in noncoding regions, and their direct role in the pathogenesis of CLL is not understood. Most genes identified in both types of studies are different, and only POT1, BCL2, and IRF4 are found in both subsets of genes (see figure). The small group of genes in the overlap between the 2 subsets is intriguing. Possible reasons for this minor overlap are that genes related to the respective susceptibility loci and somatic mutated genes may act at different moments in the development of the disease. On the other hand, although the genes in both subsets are different, they may be targeting similar pathways. For example, germ line variations related to TERT interfere with telomeric function, which are also targeted by somatic mutations in POT1, frequently found in CLL.

A challenge for the future will be to detect underlying germ line alterations in the other CLL families studied for which thus far no germ line mutations have been detected. Potentially, germ line mutations may be found in noncoding regions. Intriguingly, it is becoming clear that noncoding regions in the genome may affect gene expression by interaction with their target genes in 3-dimensional (3D) space in the nucleus.⁹ Consequently, genetic alterations within noncoding regions may affect distant target genes. This was shown for somatic mutations in a distant PAX5 enhancer that significantly reduced the expression of this master B-cell regulator in affected CLL cases.⁷ Similarly, the functional and 3D analysis of the CLL susceptibility locus located in an enhancer at 15q15.1 was identified to target the antiapoptotic BCL2 pathway, by influencing the expression of BMF in CLL.¹⁰ Hence, an integrative analysis of genetic screens together with gene expression and comprehensive epigenetic studies would be instrumental to better understand the role of susceptibility loci and somatic mutations in noncoding regions in the pathogenesis of CLL.

Conflict-of-interest disclosure: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

REFERENCES

 Speedy HE, Kinnersley B, Chubb D, et al. Germ line mutations in shelterin complex genes are associated with familial chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Blood.* 2016; 128(19):2319-2326.

2. Cerhan JR, Slager SL. Familial predisposition and genetic risk factors for lymphoma. *Blood.* 2015;126(20):2265-2273.

3. Stankovic T, Weber P, Stewart G, et al. Inactivation of ataxia telangiectasia mutated gene in B-cell chronic lymphocytic leukaemia. *Lancet.* 1999; 353(9146):26-29.

 Jones M, Bisht K, Savage SA, Nandakumar J, Keegan CE, Maillard I. The shelterin complex and hematopoiesis. *J Clin Invest.* 2016;126(5):1621–1629.

 Ramsay AJ, Quesada V, Foronda M, et al. POT1 mutations cause telomere dysfunction in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Nat Genet.* 2013;45(5):526-530.

6. Machiela MJ, Lan Q, Slager SL, et al. Genetically predicted longer telomere length is associated with increased risk of B-cell lymphoma subtypes. *Hum Mol Genet.* 2016;25(8):1663-1676.

7. Puente XS, Beà S, Valdés-Mas R, et al. Non-coding recurrent mutations in chronic lymphocytic leukaemia. *Nature*. 2015;526(7574):519-524.

8. Landau DA, Tausch E, Taylor-Weiner AN, et al. Mutations driving CLL and their evolution in progression and relapse. *Nature*. 2015;526(7574):525-530.

9. Dekker J, Marti-Renom MA, Mirny LA. Exploring the three-dimensional organization of genomes: interpreting chromatin interaction data. *Nat Rev Genet.* 2013;14(6):390-403.

10. Kandaswamy R, Sava GP, Speedy HE, et al. Genetic Predisposition to Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia Is Mediated by a BMF Super-Enhancer Polymorphism. *Cell Reports.* 2016;16(8):2061-2067.

DOI 10.1182/blood-2016-09-735688

© 2016 by The American Society of Hematology

• • • PHAGOCYTES, GRANULOCYTES, AND MYELOPOIESIS

Comment on Uhl et al, page 2327

The older the faster: aged neutrophils in inflammation

Elzbieta Kolaczkowska JAGIELLONIAN UNIVERSITY

In this issue of *Blood*, Uhl et al provide evidence that aged neutrophils arrive at sites of inflammation prior to nonaged neutrophils, dominate the inflammatory focus in terms of numbers, and phagocytize bacteria more efficiently.¹

Until now, aged neutrophils were considered end-stage cells whose fate was limited to disposal in specialized organs. Moreover, it was believed that aged neutrophils might migrate poorly to sites of inflammation as their expression of CXCR2, the receptor for several major neutrophil-targeted chemokines, such as CXCL8/interleukin-8, is decreased.² The discovery that these "age-wise" cells are the first and dominant subtype of neutrophil to be recruited to the sites of infection sheds new light on efficiency and adaptability of the immune system. Although the life span of neutrophils in circulation in vivo might be somewhat longer than was commonly believed,³ their half-life can still be expressed in hours rather than days. In the absence of infection, where neutrophils are recruited to and die within inflammatory sites, neutrophils in circulation do not die in the bloodstream but rather are eliminated in bone marrow (predominant site), spleen, or liver by specialized macrophages.² Recently, it has been shown that the aging of neutrophils is microbiota-driven and depends on Toll-like receptors (TLRs), including TLR-2 and TLR-4,