CLINICAL TRIALS AND OBSERVATIONS

Anti–factor VIII IgA as a potential marker of poor prognosis in acquired hemophilia A: results from the GTH-AH 01/2010 study

Andreas Tiede,¹ Christoph J. Hofbauer,² Sonja Werwitzke,¹ Paul Knöbl,³ Saskia Gottstein,⁴ Rüdiger E. Scharf,⁵ Jürgen Heinz,⁶ Jürgen Groß,⁷ Katharina Holstein,⁸ Christiane Dobbelstein,¹ Fritz Scheiflinger,² Armin Koch,⁹ and Birgit M. Reipert²

¹Hematology, Hemostasis, Oncology, and Stem Cell Transplantation, Hannover Medical School, Hannover, Germany; ²Research and Innovation, Baxalta, Vienna, Austria; ³Hematology and Hemostasis, Vienna Medical University, Vienna, Austria; ⁴Internal Medicine, Vivantes Clinic Friedrichshain, Berlin, Germany; ⁵Hemostasis, Hemotherapy, and Transfusion Medicine, Heinrich Heine University Medical Center, Düsseldorf, Germany; ⁶Hematology and Oncology, Freiburg University Hospital, Freiburg, Germany; ⁷Clinical Hemostaseology and Transfusion Medicine, Saarland University Hospital, Homburg/ Saar, Germany; ⁸Hematology and Oncology, University Hospital Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany; and ⁹Biometry, Hannover Medical School, Hannover, Germany

Key Points

- This study is the first to assess the prognostic value of FVIII-specific antibody data in patients with AHA.
- Anti-FVIII IgA, but not immunoglobulin G, autoantibodies at baseline are potential predictors of recurrence and poor outcome of AHA.

Neutralizing autoantibodies against factor VIII (FVIII), also called FVIII inhibitors, are the cause of acquired hemophilia A (AHA). They are quantified in the Bethesda assay or Nijmegen-modified Bethesda assay by their ability to neutralize FVIII in normal human plasma. However, FVIII inhibitors do not represent the whole spectrum of anti-FVIII autoantibodies. Here, we studied isotypes, immunoglobulin G subclasses, and apparent affinities of anti-FVIII autoantibodies to assess their prognostic value for the outcome in AHA. We analyzed baseline samples from patients enrolled in the prospective GTH-AH 01/ 2010 study. Our data suggest that anti-FVIII IgA-positive patients achieved partial remission similar to anti-FVIII IgA-negative patients but had a higher risk of subsequent recurrence. Consequently, IgA-positive patients achieved complete remission less frequently (adjusted hazard ratio [aHR], 0.35; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.18-0.68; P < .01) and had a higher risk of death (aHR, 2.62; 95% CI, 1.11-6.22; P < .05). Anti-FVIII IgA was the strongest negative predictor of recurrence-free survival after achieving partial

remission and remained significant after adjustment for baseline demographic and clinical characteristics. In conclusion, anti-FVIII IgA represents a potential novel biomarker that could be useful to predict prognosis and tailor immunosuppressive treatment of AHA. (*Blood.* 2016;127(19):2289-2297)

Introduction

Acquired hemophilia A (AHA) is a rare and often severe bleeding disorder caused by neutralizing autoantibodies directed against the coagulation factor VIII (FVIII).¹ The underlying immune pathogenesis is poorly understood, partly because of the low incidence of AHA, which is estimated to be ~ 1.3 cases per million people.²

Major risk factors for the development of AHA include advanced age, diseases such as malignancies and autoimmune disorders, as well as pregnancy and the postpartum period.^{3,4} Almost half of patients develop autoantibodies spontaneously without an underlying medical condition.¹ In clinical practice, neutralizing autoantibodies against FVIII are commonly identified as FVIII inhibitors by using the Bethesda or Nijmegen modified Bethesda Assay (NBA).⁵ Although these assays have contributed to our understanding of the loss of FVIII function seen in AHA patients, FVIII inhibitors do not reflect the whole picture of FVIII-specific autoimmune responses. Moreover, most FVIII inhibitors in AHA patients have complex reaction kinetics with nonlinear inactivation of FVIII activity, which makes in vitro

Submitted September 29, 2015; accepted February 8, 2016. Prepublished online as *Blood* First Edition paper, February 24, 2016; DOI 10.1182/blood-2015-09-672774.

quantification of FVIII inhibitor titers difficult.^{6,7} These limitations could explain why the FVIII inhibitor titer analyzed at time of diagnosis is only a weak predictor of bleeding episodes and treatment outcome in AHA patients.^{7,8} New technologies applied at time of diagnosis are required to obtain a better understanding of predictive immune parameters for treatment outcome in patients with AHA. This can best be studied in a large unselected patient population treated according to a uniform protocol as was applied to the GTH-AH 01/2010 study.⁹

GTH-AH 01/2010 was a multicenter prospective observational study of 102 patients with AHA who were treated according to a consensus protocol developed by the Acquired Hemophilia Working Group of the German, Austrian and Swiss Thrombosis and Hemostasis Society (GTH).⁹ Clinical characteristics and widely available laboratory markers were assessed as potential predictors of outcome. FVIII activity at baseline was found as a major predictor of partial remission (PR), complete remission (CR), and overall survival (OS), whereas the

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. Therefore, and solely to indicate this fact, this article is hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 USC section 1734.

© 2016 by The American Society of Hematology

Check for updates

The online version of this article contains a data supplement.

local laboratory FVIII inhibitor titer had less impact on clinical outcomes.

A subgroup of this study cohort (81 patients) with sufficient plasma available from the day of study enrollment was included in an immunologic study with the objective to assess the predictive power of FVIII-specific antibody isotypes and immunoglobulin G (IgG) subclasses at baseline for the treatment outcome in patients with AHA. For this purpose, we used a fully validated state-of-the-art enzymelinked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) platform for the analysis of FVIII-specific immunoglobulin M (IgM), immunoglobulin A (IgA), and IgG subclass (1-4) antibodies as previously described.¹⁰ Moreover, all samples that were positive for FVIII-binding antibodies were subjected to the assessment of apparent affinities for the different FVIIIspecific antibody populations using a competition-based ELISA platform as described by Hofbauer et al.¹¹

Our data indicate that circulating anti-FVIII IgA autoantibodies, but not anti-FVIII IgG autoantibodies, present at baseline, are potential predictors for a poor recurrence-free survival and a poor overall outcome in patients with AHA.

Methods

Study population

GTH AH 01/2010 was a multicenter prospective observational study of 102 patients with AHA who were treated according to the GTH consensus protocol by 29 registered sites in Austria and Germany.⁹ The research protocol was approved by the ethics committees of participating institutions. Inclusion and exclusion criteria have been reported before. In brief, patients were eligible if they had AHA (defined as FVIII activity <50 IU/dL and inhibitor \geq 0.6 Bethesda units [BU]/mL), gave informed consent, and were enrolled \leq 7 days after starting immunosuppressive treatment (IST). The current study was a subgroup analysis of the 81 patients with sufficient citrate plasma from the day of study enrollment available. All patients consented to sample collection and subsequent analysis.

Clinical data and end points

PR, CR, and OS. Baseline characteristics and end points for this subgroup analysis were extracted from the GTH-AH 01/2010 study database. PR, CR, and OS were defined and collected as reported before.⁹ In brief, PR was defined as FVIII activity restored to >50 IU/dL and no active bleeding after stopping any hemostatic drug for >24 hours. In all patients in this cohort, bleeding and administration of hemostatic drugs had been stopped before FVIII increased to 50 IU/dL, thus only the factor level had practical impact on the time to PR. CR was defined as PR plus negative inhibitor test, prednisolone tapered to <15 mg/d and any other IST stopped. Time to PR and CR was counted from the day of starting IST for AHA. In patients who died before reaching PR or CR, the time to this end point was set to infinity. OS was the time from start of steroid to death or end of study, which was the end of all observations at the day of database closure. The median follow-up period was 283 days (interquartile range, 89-627 days).

Recurrence. Recurrence was defined as a drop of FVIII activity to <50 IU/dL after achieving PR. Early recurrence was a recurrence that occurred after PR but before reaching CR. Late recurrence was recurrence after CR. Recurrence-free survival was defined as the time from PR to any form of recurrence or death.

Ongoing remission. Because the data analysis revealed that early and late recurrence were important issues, we constructed an additional post hoc end point, ongoing remission (OR), defined as complete cessation of any IST while still being in remission (FVIII:C >50% IU/dL). Time to OR was counted from the day of starting IST therapy for AHA.

Immunologic analysis

Detection of FVIII-binding antibodies. Citrated plasma samples were analyzed for FVIII-binding antibodies (differentiated for IgG subclasses 1-4 as

well as isotypes IgM and IgA) using fully validated semiquantitative, direct binding ELISA assays, as described by Whelan et al.10 Briefly, the analysis of FVIII-binding antibodies included a multitiered approach composed of screening for positive and negative samples and titer determination. The specificity of FVIII-binding antibodies was confirmed upon assessment of the apparent affinities (see "Assessment of apparent affinities of FVIII-binding antibodies"). During the screening phase, each plasma sample was analyzed twice at a dilution of 1:20. The minimum dilution of 1:20 was chosen to prevent unspecific matrix effects. Cutoffs were established in plasma samples from 85 healthy blood donors, aged 58 to 66 years. If the delta optical densities (DOD) of both analyses were below cutoff, the sample was deemed negative. If the DOD of both analyses were equal to or greater than the cutoff, the sample was considered positive and subsequently analyzed for antibody titers. In case of discrepancy, a third repetition was performed to determine whether the sample to be considered positive or negative. The antibody titer of a sample was defined as the highest dilution that still displayed a positive signal (DOD \geq cutoff). Samples were diluted in geometric progression, starting at a dilution of 1:20 and continuing in 1:2 dilution steps.

All ELISA assays were validated with regard to within-run (intra)precision, between-run (inter)precision and stability (robustness) to freeze/thaw cycles of samples, as described by Whelan et al.¹⁰ The acceptance criterion for precision of all ELISA assays was ± 1 titer step. Based on this assay precision, differences in antibody titers between 2 samples had to be at least 3 titer steps to be evaluated as different. Differences of only 1 or 2 titer steps could simply reflect the variability of the assays.

Further details for the detection of FVIII-binding antibodies are provided in supplemental Methods (available on the *Blood* Web site).

Assessment of apparent affinities of FVIII-binding antibodies. Plasma samples with titers of FVIII-binding antibodies \geq 1:80 for any of the IgG subclasses 1-4 or the Ig isotypes IgM or IgA were included in the assessment of apparent affinities for FVIII-binding antibodies. The apparent affinities K_A [M⁻¹] of FVIII-binding antibodies, differentiated for IgG subclasses 1-4 and Ig isotypes IgM and IgA, were determined using a competition-based affinity ELISA platform, as described by Hofbauer et al.¹¹ Briefly, the affinity assessment was based on the availability of antibodies for binding to FVIII-coated ELISA plates after competition with FVIII of different molar concentrations in solution. Data for apparent affinities (K_A [M⁻¹]) were derived from nonlinear regression modeling of competition ELISA DOD, as described by Stevens et al.¹² Antibodies without conclusive apparent affinity were considered unspecific and excluded from analysis. Further details of the test principle of the competition-based affinity ELISA platform are provided in supplemental Methods.

To prove the technical reproducibility of the competition-based affinity ELISA platform, validation experiments were performed involving the assessment of within-run (intra-assay) precision, between-run (interassay) precision and stability (robustness) to freeze/thaw cycles of samples as described by Hofbauer et al.¹¹ The validation of these critical parameters considered the acceptance criteria for ligand binding assays as suggested by the European Medical Agency guideline for bioanalytical method validation.¹³ The acceptance criterion for all parameters under investigation was a coefficient of variation <25%.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis and figure preparation was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics version 23 and GraphPad Prism version 6. Medians and interquartile ranges, or patient/event numbers and frequencies, were used to describe data as appropriate. Correlations were reported using the nonparametric Spearman correlation coefficient. Age at baseline (\leq 74 years vs >74 years), FVIII activity (<1 IU/dL vs \geq 1 IU/dL), FVIII inhibitor concentration (\leq 20 BU/mL vs >20 BU/mL), and World Health Organization performance status (WHO-PS; \leq 2 vs >2) were dichotomized at a clinically useful divider near the median as reported before.⁹ Frequencies were compared using Fisher's exact test or χ^2 test as indicated. Continuous data were compared among groups by Kruskal-Wallis test. Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses were performed for the time from the day of start of steroid therapy to the day of achieving PR, CR, OR, or death (ie, OS). For these analyses, patients were censored at the end of study if they were alive but had not reached the end point. Those who died before reaching PR, CR, or OR were assigned an infinite time to this end point because

Table 1. Baseline characteristics and main clinical end points of the entire GTH study cohort and the subgroup of patients with plasma samples available for immunologic analysis

Characteristic	GTH-AH $01/2010$ population (n = 102)	Subgroup with sample available (n = 81)
Gender, n (%)		
Female	43 (42)	35 (43)
Male	59 (58)	46 (57)
Underlying disorder, n (%)		
None/idiopathic	68 (67)	53 (65)
Autoimmunity	20 (20)	14 (17)
Malignancy	13 (13)	9 (11)
Pregnancy	5 (5)	5 (6)
WHO-PS, n (%)		
0	15 (15)	13 (16)
1	26 (25)	19 (23)
2	23 (23)	18 (22)
3	22 (22)	16 (20)
4	15 (15)	15 (19)
5	1 (1)	0 (0)
Age (y), median (IQR)	74 (61-81)	74 (62-81)
FVIII activity (IU/dL), median (IQR)	1.4 (<1-3.9)	1.0 (<1-3.0)
FVIII inhibitor concentration (BU/mL), median (IQR)	19 (7.5-71)	19 (7.5-71)
Partial remission		
Achieved, n (%)	85 (83)	70 (86)
Time (days), median (IQR)	30 (19-51)	30 (18-50)
Complete remission		
Achieved, n (%)	62 (61)	52 (65)
Time (days), median (IQR)	69 (48-102)	69 (50-98)

IQR, interquartile range

they could no longer achieve it. Cox regression analysis was also performed for the time from the day of achieving PR to the day of either recurrence or death (ie, recurrence-free survival). For this analysis, patients were censored at the end of study if they were alive and in remission. For all Cox regression models, categorical or categorized baseline variables were entered as independent factors as indicated. Hazard ratios were calculated for each variable together with confidence intervals (CIs). For all analyses, a *P* value < .05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Main baseline characteristics and outcome data from the GTH-AH 01/ 2010 cohort and the subgroup studied here are presented in Table 1. There were no significant differences detected between the 2 sets of patients.

Anti-FVIII Ig isotypes, subclasses, and apparent affinity

All patients had anti-FVIII antibodies of at least 1 Ig isotype detectable. The most prevalent IgG subclasses were IgG4 and IgG1 (98% and 88% of patients, respectively; Table 2). IgG4 and IgG1 also presented the highest antibody titers (median 1:5120 and 1:640, respectively) and apparent affinities (median K_A 5.8 × 10¹⁰ M⁻¹ and 1.4 × 10¹⁰ M⁻¹, respectively). IgG2, IgG3, and IgA were detected in 77%, 41%, and 37% of patients, respectively, and had lower titers (median 1:80) and apparent affinities (median K_A 1.9 × 10⁹ M⁻¹, 1.3 × 10¹⁰ M⁻¹, 1.7 × 10⁹ M⁻¹, respectively; Table 2). IgM was infrequently detected (9%) at low titers (median 1:80).

Some samples exhibited a bimodal affinity distribution indicating 2 different clusters of antibodies. Consistent with previous data,¹¹ the apparent affinity K_A of the second antibody cluster was 10- to 1000-fold lower than that of the high-affinity population (Table 2). The frequency of detection of a second, low-affinity cluster varied according to isotype or subclass (IgG1, 21%; IgG2, 5%; IgG3, 26%; IgG4, 8%; and IgA, 44%).

The anti-FVIII antibody titer of all IgG subclasses correlated with the NBA FVIII inhibitor titer, whereas the IgA and IgM titers did not (Figure 1). No correlation was observed between apparent antibody affinity and FVIII inhibitor titer or residual FVIII activity (data not shown).

Presence or absence of anti-FVIII Ig isotypes/IgG subclasses and clinical outcome

We first analyzed the effect of positive screening results for anti-FVIII immunoglobulin isotypes and IgG subclasses on established end points of the GTH-AH 01/2010 study using Cox regression modeling. There was a significant effect of anti-FVIII IgA on CR and OS that remained significant after correction for age, gender, underlying disorder, WHO-PS, baseline FVIII activity, and FVIII inhibitor titer (Table 3). IgApositive patients achieved CR less often and later (CR in 16 of 37 [43%], after a median of 78 days) as compared with IgA-negative patients (CR in 36 of 44 [82%], after a median of 68 days). This was expressed by an adjusted hazard ratio (aHR) of 0.35 (95% CI, 0.18-0.68), indicating that the likelihood of CR was \sim 3 times lower in IgA-positive patients (Table 3). Only \sim 50% of IgA-positive patients (18 of 37) were alive at the end of study, whereas 80% of IgA-negative patients (35 of 44) were alive. The Kaplan Meier estimated 1-year survival rates for IgA-positive and negative patients were 52% and 81%, respectively. An aHR of 2.62 (95% CI, 1.11-6.22) indicated that IgA-positive patients had a 2- to 3-fold higher risk of death (Table 3). The most frequent cause of death was infection in the entire patient cohort, regardless of the antibody characteristics. The crude risk of dying from infection was 37% in IgA-positive patients vs 9% in

Table 2. Ig isotype and IgG subclass distribution, titer, and affinity of anti-FVIII antibodies in 81 patients with AHA

Isotype or	Positive	Titer in positive patients		Apparent affinity (main cluster)	Apparent affinity (second cluster, if detected)		
subclass	screening, n (%)	median (IQR)	n	K _A [M ⁻¹] – median (IQR)	n	K _A [M ⁻¹] – median (IQR)	
lgG1	71 (88)	1:640 (1:320-1:2560)	70	$1.4 imes 10^{10}$ (0.8 $ imes 10^{10}$ -4.2 $ imes 10^{10}$)	15	7.5×10 ⁷ (4.5×10 ⁷ -9.4×10 ⁷)	
lgG2	62 (77)	1:80 (1:40-1:320)	40	$1.9 imes10^9$ ($1.0 imes10^9$ - $3.2 imes10^9$)	2	5.7×10 ⁷ (4.8×10 ⁷ -6.6×10 ⁷)	
lgG3	33 (41)	1:80 (1:40-1:320)	19	$1.3 imes 10^{10}$ (0.5 $ imes 10^{10}$ -1.8 $ imes 10^{10}$)	5	9.7×10 ⁷ (6.8×10 ⁷ -9.9×10 ⁷)	
lgG4	79 (98)	1:5120 (1:1280-1:20 480)	77	$5.8 imes10^{10}$ (2.4 $ imes10^{10}$ -1.3 $ imes10^{11}$)	6	3.8×10 ⁹ (2.9×10 ⁹ -5.2×10 ⁹)	
lgA	37 (46)	1:80 (1:40-1:160)	18	$1.7 imes10^9$ (0.9 $ imes10^9$ -4.6 $ imes10^9$)	8	5.4×10 ⁷ (4.6×10 ⁷ -5.5×10 ⁷)	
lgM	7 (9)	1:80 (1:40-1:80)	0	n/d	0	n/d	

Screening was done twice at a dilution of 1:20 and considered positive (positive screening) if at least one test yielded a differential optical density \geq cutoff. Samples with positive screening were subjected to titer titration, starting at a dilution of 1:20 and continuing in 1:2 dilution steps (titer in positive patients). Only samples with titers \geq 1:80 were subject to assessment of apparent affinity. If samples exhibited a bimodal affinity distribution, the lower K_A was assigned to a second antibody cluster IQR, interguartile range; K_A , affinity constant; n/d, not determined.



Figure 1. Correlation between titers of FVIII-binding antibodies and FVIII inhibitor titers. (A) IgG1, (B) IgG2, (C) IgG3, (D) IgG4, (E) IgA, and (F) IgM. Spearman correlation coefficients with 95% CIs are given above the panels. Statistically significant correlations are marked with an asterisk (*P* < .05). ND, not detectable.

IgA-negative patients (P < .05). The risk of death from other causes was not significantly different among IgA-positive and negative patients (supplemental Table 1).

Figure 2 illustrates the relative impact of anti-FVIII IgA and other prognostic factors previously established in the GTH-AH 01/2010 study in a multivariate Cox regression model. Low baseline FVIII (<1 IU/dL), high FVIII inhibitor titer (>20 BU/mL), poor WHO-PS (>2), and detectable anti-FVIII IgA were independent predictors of a poor chance of CR. Malignancy, poor WHO-PS, and detectable anti-FVIII IgA were independent predictors of poor OS. Of note, the significant association of low baseline FVIII with poor OS, as found in

the GTH-AH 01/2010 study, disappeared when IgA was introduced into the multivariate model.

Titers of anti-FVIII Ig isotypes/IgG subclasses and clinical outcome

There was a trend toward lower likelihood of PR and CR with high autoantibody titers for most IgG subclasses. These trends, however, were either not statistically significant or disappeared after multivariate adjustment. In contrast, IgA titers were significantly associated with CR and OS independent of other baseline characteristics (Table 4).

Table 3. Presence or absence of anti-FVIII immunoglobulin isotypes and IgG subclasses as predictors of remission and survival in 81 patients with AHA

Partial remis		mission	Complete	Complete remission		survival
Variable	HR (95% CI)	aHR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)	aHR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)	aHR (95% CI)
lgG1 positive (n = 71)	0.54 (0.27-1.07)	_	1.02 (0.44-2.40)	_	0.76 (0.26-2.20)	_
IgG2 positive (n = 62)	0.53 (0.31-0.92)*	0.56 (0.31-1.03)	0.85 (0.45-1.63)	—	0.97 (0.39-2.40)	_
IgG3 positive (n = 33)	1.09 (0.68-1.75)	_	0.85 (0.49-1.49)	_	0.85 (0.40-1.81)	_
IgG4 positive (n = 79)	0.55 (0.13-2.27)	—	0.30 (0.07-1.25)	—	21 (0.001-∞)	_
IgA positive (n = 37)	0.63 (0.39-1.02)	_	0.34 (0.19-0.61)***	0.35 (0.18-0.68)**	3.46 (1.54-7.75)**	2.62 (1.11-6.22)*
IgM positive (n = 7)	1.06 (0.48-2.31)	_	0.86 (0.34-2.17)	_	0.62 (0.15-2.63)	_

Hazard ratios (HRs) from Cox regression models with time to end point as dependent variable and presence/absence of immunoglobulin isotype or subclass as independent variable. aHRs were adjusted for age group (\leq or >74 y), gender (female or male), underlying disorder (malignancy, autoimmunity, pregnancy present or not), baseline FVIII:C (< or \geq 1 IU/dL), NBA inhibitor titer (\leq or >20 BU/mL), and WHO-PS (\leq or >2) as categorical variables. Adjustment was not done for isotypes/subclasses that were not significant in univariate analysis. An HR/aHR < 1 denotes a slower rate in achieving the end point; an HR/aHR > 1 indicates a faster rate in achieving the end point.

HR, hazard ratio; ---, not applicable because of insignificant result in univariate analysis.

P* < .05, *P* < .01, ****P* < .001.



Figure 2. Effects of anti-FVIII IgA and other baseline characteristics on CR (left) and OS (right).

Impact of anti-FVIII IgA

Data from the Cox regression models are depicted in Figure 3. IgA did not have an effect on PR (Figure 3A). However, the likelihood of achieving CR decreased from IgA negative (aHR 1) over IgA titers \leq median (aHR, 0.47; P < .05) to IgA titers > median (aHR, 0.15; P < .01) (Figure 3B). The risk of death increased from IgA negative (aHR, 1) over IgA titers \leq median (aHR, 2.27; not significant) to IgA titers > median (aHR, 3.20; P < .05) (Figure 3C).

 $(K_A \leq \text{median})$ vs high-affinity antibody positive $(K_A > \text{median})$. We did not observe any association with PR, CR, or OS (data not shown). Patients with a secondary, low-affinity IgG1 antibody cluster (15 of 81 patients) tended to have a higher likelihood of PR (dual vs single IgG1 population: aHR, 1.99; 95% CI, 1.02-3.92; P < .05) and CR (aHR, 2.25; 95% CI, 1.03-4.92; P < .05). Otherwise no impact of apparent affinity on outcomes was detected.

Apparent affinity of anti-FVIII Immunoglobulin and clinical outcome

For all anti-FVIII IgG subclasses and for anti-FVIII IgA, we compared patients, who were negative vs low-affinity antibody positive We found that anti-FVIII IgA was associated with a reduced likelihood of CR and poor OS, but not with PR (see above). To better understand the impact of IgA on these clinical outcomes, we compared baseline

Table /	Titor of anti-EVIII I	a jeatypee and I	aG subclasses as	predictors of	remission and	eurvival in 91	nationte with AHA
Table 4.	Ther of anti-FVIII	g isolypes and i	gu subclasses as	predictors of	remission and	Survival III of	patients with ARP

	Partial re	mission	Complete	remission	Overall s	urvival
Variable	HR (95% CI)	aHR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)	aHR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)	aHR (95% CI)
lgG1						
Negative $(n = 10)$	1	1	1	_	1	_
≤ median (≤1:640, n = 35)	0.71 (0.34-1.46)	0.82 (0.37-1.81)	1.31 (0.54-3.20)	_	0.58 (0.18-1.88)	_
> median (> 1:640, n = 36)	0.44 (0.21-0.90)*	0.62 (0.27-1.41)	0.81 (0.33-2.02)		0.94 (0.31-2.85)	_
lgG2				—		—
Negative $(n = 19)$	1	1	1		1	_
\leq median (\leq 1:80, n = 33)	0.61 (0.33-1.12)	0.59 (0.31-1.12)	1.03 (0.51-2.08)	—	0.90 (0.33-2.43)	—
> median (>1:80, n = 29)	0.46 (0.25-0.86)*	0.52 (0.25-1.05)	0.69 (0.33-1.45)		1.06 (0.39-2.86)	—
lgG3				—		—
Negative $(n = 48)$	1	—	1	_	1	—
\leq median (\leq 1:80, n=17)	1.48 (0.83-2.65)	_	1.47 (0.78-2.76)		0.69 (0.25-1.87)	_
> median (>1:80, n=16)	0.84 (0.46-1.55)	—	0.43 (0.18-1.03)		1.05 (0.41-2.67)	_
lgG4		—		—		
Negative $(n = 2)$	1	—	1		1	_
\leq median (\leq 1:5120, n = 44)	0.69 (0.16-2.87)	—	0.30 (0.07-1.29)	—	10 607 (0-∞)	—
> median (>1:5120, n = 35)	0.43 (0.10-1.84)	_	0.30 (0.07-1.28)		6,644 (0-∞)	—
IgA		—				
Negative $(n = 44)$	1	—	1	1	1	1
\leq median (\leq 1:80, n = 24)	0.66 (0.38-1.15)	—	0.47 (0.24-0.89)*	0.46 (0.23-0.93)*	2.42 (0.95-6.17)	2.27 (0.82-6.24)
> median (> 1:80, n = 13)	0.57 (0.29-1.15)	_	0.15 (0.05-0.50)**	0.15 (0.04-0.55)**	5.76 (2.30-14.5)***	3.20 (1.05-9.73)*

Hazard ratios from Cox regression models with time to end point as dependent variable and presence/absence of immunoglobulin isotype or IgG subclass as independent variable. aHRs were adjusted for baseline variables as indicated in Table 3.

HR, hazard ratio; ---, not applicable because of insignificant result in univariate analysis. **P* < .05, ***P* < .01, ****P* < .001.



Figure 3. Effect of anti-FVIII IgA on clinical end points in AHA. Cox proportional hazard model of the time to end point with anti-FVIII IgA (negative, titer \leq median, titer > median), age, gender, underlying disorder, WHO-PS, FVIII inhibitor concentration, and baseline FVIII as categorical covariates. *P* values are for difference between IgA-negative vs IgA-positive titer > median. (A) Partial remission (time since start of steroid, not significant). (B) CR (time since start of steroid, P < .01). (C) OS (time since start of steroid, P < .05). (D) Recurrence-free survival (time since achieving partial remission, P < .05). (E) OR after full stop of immunosuppressive treatment (times since start of steroid, P < .05).

Table 5. 9	Selected	patient	characteri	istics and	outcomes	according	j to
anti-FVIII	IgA tite	r					

	IgA	lgA ≤ median	lgA > median	P for
Characteristic	(n = 44)	(n = 24)	(n = 13)	difference
Gender, n (%)				.0026
Female	22 (50)	13 (54)	0 (0)	
Male	22 (50)	11 (46)	13 (100)	
Underlying disorder,				.6211
n (%)				
None/idiopathic	25 (57)	17 (71)	11 (85)	
Autoimmunity	9 (21)	4 (17)	1 (8)	
Malignancy	6 (13)	2 (8)	1 (8)	
Pregnancy	4 (9)	1 (4)	0 (0)	
Age (y), median (IQR)	74 (61-81)	76 (71-83)	74 (61-78)	.8623
Factor VIII activity (IU/dL), median (IQR)	1.0 (<1-3.7)	<1 (<1-2.4)	2.0 (<1-3.6)	.8073
Inhibitor concentration (BU/mL), median (IQR)	19 (7.4-73)	19 (7.4-67)	24 (9.4-114)	.8632
Treatment received,				
n (%)				
Steroid	44 (100)	24 (100)	13 (100)	—
Cyclophosphamide	15 (34)	11 (46)	5 (38)	.6355
Rituximab	12 (27)	5 (21)	6 (46)	.2568
Outcomes, n (%)				
PR achieved	41 (93)	19 (79)	10 (77)	.1504
CR achieved	36 (82)	13 (54)	3 (23)	.0003
OR achieved	31 (70)	13 (54)	1 (8)	.0003
Early recurrence	5 (12)	4 (21)	5 (50)	.0273
Late recurrence	7 (19)	3 (23)	1 (33)	.8358

 $\ensuremath{\textit{P}}$ values indicate statistical significance from χ^2 test or Kruskal-Wallis test as appropriate.

IQR, interquartile range.

characteristics, treatment, and more detailed outcome information between patients with negative IgA, IgA titers \leq median, and IgA titers > median (Table 5). Patients with anti-FVIII IgA titers > median were more often male than patients with IgA titers \leq median or absent anti-FVIII IgA. Age, underlying disorders, baseline FVIII activity, FVIII inhibitor titer, and treatment were not different according to anti-FVIII IgA status.

Table 5 further shows that PR was not different with regard to anti-FVIII IgA status, whereas achieving CR was much less likely in patients with IgA titers > median (23%) vs IgA titers \leq median (54%) or absent IgA (82%). This was attributable to a higher risk of early recurrence (ie, recurrence within the 6-week steroid tapering phase after achieving PR and before achieving CR). Thus, 5 of 41 (12%) IgA-negative patients but 4 of 19 (21%) patients with IgA titers \leq median and 5 of 10 (50%) patients with IgA titers > median experienced early recurrence (P < .05).

Because the GTH treatment protocol recommended increasing the steroid dose to the last effective dose in case of recurrence, we observed a longer exposure to steroids in recurring patients. An additional post hoc end point, OR after complete cessation of any IST, was constructed and was reached by 31 of 44 (70%) IgA-negative patients, 13 of 24 (54%) patients with IgA \leq median but only 1 of 13 (8%) patients with IgA titers > median (Table 5). This finding was confirmed in the Cox regression model after adjustment for age, gender, underlying disorder, WHO-PS, baseline FVIII activity, and FVIII inhibitor titer (Figure 3E); patients without IgA (aHR 1), or IgA \leq median (aHR, 0.56; 95% CI, 0.28-1.11) had a better chance of achieving OR than patients with IgA > median (aHR, 0.08; 95% CI, 0.01-0.67).

Recurrence-free survival was further analyzed using Cox regression analysis in the 70 patients who had achieved PR. We found that anti-FVIII IgA-positive patients had higher risk of recurrence or death as compared with IgA-negative patients (Table 6). Other baseline characteristics had little or no impact on recurrence-free survival. After adjustment for age group, gender, underlying disorder, and WHO-PS, positive anti-FVIII IgA was still associated with a poor recurrence-free survival (aHR, 2.61; 95% CI, 1.20-5.70; P = .016). This effect was again much stronger for patients with anti-FVIII IgA titers > median (aHR, 7.51; 95% CI, 2.45-23.0; P < .0001). Figure 3D illustrates recurrence-free survival according to anti-FVIII IgA status adjusted for other baseline parameters.

Table 6. Recurrence and mortality after achieving PR: univariate analysis of anti-FVIII IgA and other baseline characteristics

Rasolino variable	Becurrence n (%)	Death n (%)	Recurrence-free survival		<i>P</i> value
	Recurrence, II (%)	Death, II (70)	(uays), median (95% Ci)		F Value
Anti-FVIII IgA					
Positive (n $= 29$)	13 (45)	11 (38)	81 (53-109)	2.17 (1.13-4.20)	.021
Negative $(n = 41)$	12 (29)	6 (15)	Not reached		
Factor VIII activity					
<1 IU/dL (n = 31)	10 (26)	9 (23)	113 (0-316)	1.75 (0.92-3.35)	.089
≥1 IU/dL (n = 39)	15 (48)	8 (26)	Not reached		
FVIII inhibitor					
≤20 BU/mL (n = 39)	15 (38)	9 (23)	119 (83-155)	1.30 (0.67-2.52)	.431
>20 BU/mL (n = 31)	10 (32)	8 (26)	Not reached		
Gender					
Female (n $=$ 33)	9 (27)	5 (15)	Not reached	0.54 (0.28-1.04)	.067
Male (n = 37)	16 (43)	12 (32)	112 (85-139)		
Age					
≤74 y (n = 40)	15 (38)	10 (25)	404 (0-923)	0.86 (0.45-1.66)	.652
>74 y (n = 30)	10 (33)	7 (23)	135 (0-315)		
WHO-PS					
Good (≤ 2, n = 45)	18 (40)	5 (11)	Not reached	0.55 (0.28-1.05)	.071
Poor (> 2, n = 25)	7 (28)	12 (48)	93 (0-203)		
Underlying disorder					
Autoimmunity (n = 13)	6 (46)	3 (23)	78 (0-220)	1.77 (0.84-3.76)	.136
Malignancy (n = 6)	3 (50)	3 (50)	109 (0-235)	2.19 (0.84-5.68)	.109
Pregnancy $(n = 5)$	2 (40)	0 (0)	Not reached	0.75 (0.18-3.12)	.691

Hazard ratios and *P* values are from univariate log-rank tests for recurrence-free survival. See "Results" for information on multivariate analysis. HR, hazard ratio.

Discussion

This study is the first to assess the predictive power of FVIII-specific immunologic baseline data for the treatment outcome in patients with AHA. The data indicate that the presence of circulating anti-FVIII IgA antibodies at time of diagnosis is predictive of a poor recurrence-free survival, which was particular evident for patients with IgA antibody titers above the median of the study cohort. Moreover, AHA patients with circulating anti-FVIII IgA had an overall poor prognosis, achieving CR and OR less often and showing a decreased OS when compared with patients who were negative for anti-FVIII IgA. Interestingly, anti-FVIII IgA antibodies did not correlate with FVIII inhibitors and were only of low to medium apparent affinity. Most likely, these antibodies do not contribute to the neutralization of FVIII activity but rather represent distinct underlying immune mechanisms that maintain or even deteriorate the autoimmune pathology.

On the other hand, anti-FVIII IgG (1-4) antibodies at time of diagnosis showed a picture similar to what we described previously.^{10,11} Anti-FVIII IgG1 and IgG4 were the most prevalent IgG subclasses and presented with high antibody titers and high apparent affinities. All anti-FVIII IgG subclasses correlated in antibody titers with titers of FVIII inhibitors indicating that anti-FVIII IgG (1-4) were responsible for the neutralization of FVIII activity. However, none of the anti-FVIII IgG subclasses (1-4), analyzed at the time of diagnosis, were significant predictors of outcome. Patients with a secondary, low-affinity IgG1 antibody cluster tended to have a higher likelihood of PR and CR. The presence of a lowaffinity IgG1 antibody cluster might be indicative of an earlier stage of the immune response when compared with patients with a single high-affinity IgG1 cluster. Moreover, there was a trend toward lower likelihood of PR and CR with high anti-FVIII antibody titers for most IgG subclasses, but these differences were either not statistically significant or disappeared after multivariate adjustment. We conclude that anti-FVIII IgG (1-4) and anti-FVIII IgA antibodies found at time of diagnosis in patients with AHA may have distinct biological functions.

The question arises whether circulating anti-FVIII IgA antibodies detected in AHA patients could be directly involved in the maintenance and deterioration of the autoimmune pathology or if they are solely surrogate markers of distinct underlying immune processes.

Arnason et al.¹⁴ recently reported an association of increased IgA levels with disease severity in patients suffering from immune thrombocytopenia. Patients with IgA levels above the median of the study cohort had a significantly increased chance of failing to respond to standard treatment (steroids, intravenous immunoglobulin, and intravenous anti-D) and were more likely to have a major bleed than patients with IgA levels lower than the median. Metzger et al.¹⁵ previously reported that high levels of IgA autoantibodies to tissue transglutaminase were associated with a highly increased mortality risk in patients with celiac disease. Ferrari et al.¹⁶ indicated a potential association of high-titer anti-ADAMTS13 IgA autoantibodies with increased mortality in patients with thrombotic microangiopathy. These previous reports together with our own data support the contention that IgA autoantibodies might contribute to the pathology of antibody-dependent autoimmune diseases.

Several authors demonstrated previously that circulating IgA antibodies have powerful anti-inflammatory properties but can also mediate proinflammatory activities.¹⁷⁻²⁰ The molecular mechanisms for this rather paradoxical role of circulating IgA in immune regulation was first described by Pasquier et al in 2005.²¹ Monomeric binding of IgA to the Fc- α receptor I (Fc α RI, CD89) expressed on myeloid cells (eg, monocytes, macrophages, dendritic cells, neutrophils, and

eosinophils)²² results in the inhibition of proinflammatory activities. The $Fc\alpha RI$ -mediated inhibition is mediated not by a common immunoreceptor tyrosine-based inhibition motif but by an associated immunoreceptor tyrosine-based activation (ITAM) motif. The FcaRI does not itself contain any immunoreceptor tyrosine-based inhibition motif or ITAM in its cytoplasmic tail but can be expressed in association with or without a Fc- γ receptor (Fc γ R) adaptor, which provides the ITAM motif.²³ Binding of monomeric IgA to the FcaRI results in partial phosphorylation of its associated $Fc\gamma R$ -ITAM, which creates an inhibitory ITAM motif (ITAMi). The ITAMi pathway involves recruitment of the tyrosine phosphatase SHP-1 and subsequent downstream inhibition of proinflammatory cell functions.^{24,25} In contrast, cross-linking of FcaRI by IgA bound to multimeric antigens or bound in immune complexes results in full phosphorylation of the associated $Fc\gamma R$ -ITAM motif followed by the recruitment of the tyrosine kinase Syk, which in turn facilitates the activation of multiple targets, resulting in the downstream activation of proinflammatory effector functions.^{25,26} Examples for these proinflammatory effector functions are the induction of oxidative burst activities in neutrophils, an increase in antibody-dependent cytotoxic effector functions in macrophages, an increase in antigen presentation by antigen-presenting cells, a degranulation of neutrophils and eosinophils, or the release of proinflammatory cytokines by different myeloid cell populations.^{25,26} It appears that the $Fc\alpha RI$ is a molecular switch that determines the proinflammatory or anti-inflammatory function of circulating IgA. One can imagine that immune complexes of IgA autoantibodies bound to distinct epitopes of human FVIII can result in cross-linking of FcaRI expressed on myeloid cells, thereby stimulating or amplifying innate immune activation, which could maintain or even deteriorate the autoimmune pathology in patients with AHA. On the other hand, FVIII bound to VWF can form a multimeric antigen, which could bind several molecules of IgA autoantibodies, thereby facilitating the cross-linking of FcaRI.

We conclude that IgA autoantibodies against FVIII could be directly involved in the maintenance and deterioration of the autoimmune pathology in patients with AHA. This would provide an explanation for the observation that patients with IgA autoantibodies against FVIII at time of diagnosis had a poor recurrence-free survival. Moreover, the ability of anti-FVIII IgA to maintain or even amplify innate immune activation could explain the overall poor prognosis of patients with anti-FVIII IgA. If confirmed in future clinical studies, our findings could help to better tailor immunosuppressive treatment in patients with AHA.

Acknowledgments

This study was conducted by the Thrombosis and Haemostasis Society of the German-speaking countries (GTH e.V.). Contributing investigators are listed in the supplemental Appendix. The authors acknowledge the contribution of all study sites, local study coordinators, and Susanne Bartels, central study coordinator at Hannover Medical School. Eva Budde is acknowledged for support in statistical analysis. The authors also thank Fatima Al-Awadi, Damir Fetahagic, Ellen Reift, and Virginia Martín Pontejo for excellent technical assistance in the immunologic sample analysis.

Authorship

Contribution: A.T., C.J.H., F.S., and B.M.R. designed the study, interpreted the data, and wrote the manuscript; C.J.H. was

responsible for immunologic data management; A.T. and S.W. were responsible for clinical data management; A.T. and C.J.H. did the statistical analysis; P.K., S.G., K.H., J.H., J.G., R.E.S., and C.D. enrolled patients and collected and reviewed clinical data; and all authors critically reviewed the manuscript and approved of its publication.

Conflict-of-interest disclosure: Funding for this study was obtained from GTH e.V. and by unrestricted educational grants

References

- 1. Cohen AJ, Kessler CM. Acquired inhibitors. Baillieres Clin Haematol. 1996;9(2):331-354.
- Collins P, Macartney N, Davies R, Lees S, Giddings J, Majer R. A population based, unselected, consecutive cohort of patients with acquired haemophilia A. Br J Haematol. 2004; 124(1):86-90.
- Knoebl P, Marco P, Baudo F, et al; EACH2 Registry Contributors. Demographic and clinical data in acquired hemophilia A: results from the European Acquired Haemophilia Registry (EACH2). J Thromb Haemost. 2012;10(4): 622-631.
- Tengborn L, Baudo F, Huth-Kühne A, et al; EACH2 registry contributors. Pregnancyassociated acquired haemophilia A: results from the European Acquired Haemophilia (EACH2) registry. BJOG. 2012;119(12):1529-1537.
- Verbruggen B, van Heerde WL, Laros-van Gorkom BA. Improvements in factor VIII inhibitor detection: from Bethesda to Nijmegen. *Semin Thromb Hemost.* 2009;35(8):752-759.
- Biggs R, Austen DE, Denson KW, Borrett R, Rizza CR. The mode of action of antibodies which destroy factor VIII. II. Antibodies which give complex concentration graphs. *Br J Haematol.* 1972;23(2):137-155.
- Tiede A, Werwitzke S, Scharf RE. Laboratory diagnosis of acquired hemophilia A: limitations, consequences, and challenges. *Semin Thromb Hemost.* 2014;40(7):803-811.
- Tiede A, Scharf RE, Dobbelstein C, Werwitzke S. Management of acquired haemophilia A. *Hamostaseologie*. 2015;35(4):311-318.
- Tiede A, Klamroth R, Scharf RE, et al. Prognostic factors for remission of and survival in acquired hemophilia A (AHA): results from the GTH-AH 01/ 2010 study. *Blood.* 2015;125(7):1091-1097.
- 10. Whelan SF, Hofbauer CJ, Horling FM, et al. Distinct characteristics of antibody responses

against factor VIII in healthy individuals and in different cohorts of hemophilia A patients. *Blood.* 2013;121(6):1039-1048.

- Hofbauer CJ, Whelan SF, Hirschler M, et al. Affinity of FVIII-specific antibodies reveals major differences between neutralizing and nonneutralizing antibodies in humans. *Blood.* 2015;125(7):1180-1188.
- Stevens FJ, Bobrovnik SA. Deconvolution of antibody affinities and concentrations by nonlinear regression analysis of competitive ELISA data. J Immunol Methods. 2007;328(1-2):53-58.
- EMA (European Medicines Agency). Guideline on bioanalytical method validation. EMA Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use. London, UK: EMA; 2011.
- Arnason JE, Campigotto F, Neuberg D, Bussel JB. Abnormalities in IgA and IgM are associated with treatment-resistant ITP. *Blood.* 2012;119(21): 5016-5020.
- Metzger MH, Heier M, Mäki M, et al. Mortality excess in individuals with elevated IgA antitransglutaminase antibodies: the KORA/MONICA Augsburg cohort study 1989-1998. Eur J Epidemiol. 2006;21(5):359-365.
- 16. Ferrari S, Scheiflinger F, Rieger M, et al; French Clinical and Biological Network on Adult Thrombotic Microangiopathies. Prognostic value of anti-ADAMTS 13 antibody features (Ig isotype, titer, and inhibitory effect) in a cohort of 35 adult French patients undergoing a first episode of thrombotic microangiopathy with undetectable ADAMTS 13 activity. *Blood*. 2007;109(7): 2815-2822.
- Olas K, Butterweck H, Teschner W, Schwarz HP, Reipert B. Immunomodulatory properties of human serum immunoglobulin A: antiinflammatory and pro-inflammatory activities in human monocytes and peripheral blood

from Novo Nordisk Pharma GmbH (Mainz, Germany) and Baxter Deutschland GmbH (Baxalta) (Unterschleißheim, Germany). C.J.H., F.S., and B.M.R. are employees of Baxalta Innovations GmbH. The remaining authors declare no competing financial interests.

Correspondence: Andreas Tiede, Department of Hematology, Hemostasis, Oncology and Stem Cell Transplantation, Hannover Medical School, Carl Neuberg Str 1, 30625 Hannover, Germany; e-mail: tiede.andreas@mh-hannover.de.

mononuclear cells. *Clin Exp Immunol.* 2005; 140(3):478-490.

- Van Epps DE, Williams RC Jr. Suppression of leukocyte chemotaxis by human IgA myeloma components. J Exp Med. 1976;144(5):1227-1242.
- Wolf HM, Fischer MB, Pühringer H, Samstag A, Vogel E, Eibl MM. Human serum IgA downregulates the release of inflammatory cytokines (tumor necrosis factor-alpha, interleukin-6) in human monocytes. *Blood.* 1994;83(5):1278-1288.
- Wolf HM, Hauber I, Gulle H, et al. Antiinflammatory properties of human serum IgA: induction of IL-1 receptor antagonist and Fc alpha R (CD89)-mediated down-regulation of tumour necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-alpha) and IL-6 in human monocytes. *Clin Exp Immunol.* 1996; 105(3):537-543.
- Pasquier B, Launay P, Kanamaru Y, et al. Identification of FcalphaRI as an inhibitory receptor that controls inflammation: dual role of FcRgamma ITAM. *Immunity*. 2005;22(1):31-42.
- 22. Monteiro RC, Van De Winkel JG. IgA Fc receptors. Annu Rev Immunol. 2003;21:177-204.
- Launay P, Patry C, Lehuen A, Pasquier B, Blank U, Monteiro RC. Alternative endocytic pathway for immunoglobulin A Fc receptors (CD89) depends on the lack of FcRgamma association and protects against degradation of bound ligand. *J Biol Chem.* 1999;274(11):7216-7225.
- Blank U, Launay P, Benhamou M, Monteiro RC. Inhibitory ITAMs as novel regulators of immunity. *Immunol Rev.* 2009;232(1):59-71.
- Mkaddem SB, Christou I, Rossato E, Berthelot L, Lehuen A, Monteiro RC. IgA, IgA receptors, and their anti-inflammatory properties. *Curr Top Microbiol Immunol.* 2014;382:221-235.
- 26. Monteiro RC. Role of IgA and IgA fc receptors in inflammation. *J Clin Immunol.* 2010;30(1):1-9.