

CLINICAL TRIALS AND OBSERVATIONS

Phase 1/2 study of carfilzomib plus melphalan and prednisone in patients aged over 65 years with newly diagnosed multiple myeloma

Philippe Moreau,¹ Brigitte Kolb,² Michel Attal,³ Denis Caillot,⁴ Lotfi Benboubker,⁵ Mourad Tiab,⁶ Cyrille Touzeau,¹ Xavier Leleu,⁷ Murielle Roussel,³ Carine Chaletex,⁸ Lucie Planche,¹ Anne Chiffolleau,¹ June Fortin,¹ Hervé Avet-Loiseau,³ Jean-Yves Mary,⁹ Cyrille Hulin,¹⁰ and Thierry Facon⁷

¹Hematology Department, University Hospital Hôtel-Dieu, Nantes, France; ²Hematology Department, University Hospital, Reims, France; ³Hematology Department, University Hospital, Toulouse, France; ⁴Hematology Department, University Hospital, Dijon, France; ⁵Hematology Department, University Hospital, Tours, France; ⁶Hematology Department, University Hospital, La Roche sur Yon, France; ⁷Hematology Department, University Hospital, Lille, France; ⁸Hematology Department, University Hospital, Clermont-Ferrand, France; ⁹Inserm U1153, University Hospital Saint-Louis, Paris, France; and ¹⁰Hematology Department, University Hospital, Nancy, France

Key Points

- CMP is an effective induction regimen for transplant-ineligible MM patients.
- The CMP regimen is safe and well tolerated with a notable lack of peripheral neuropathy.

This phase 1/2 dose-escalation study investigated the combination of carfilzomib with melphalan and prednisone (CMP) in patients aged >65 years with newly diagnosed multiple myeloma (MM). Melphalan and prednisone were administered orally on days 1 to 4; carfilzomib was IV administered on days 1, 2, 8, 9, 22, 23, 29, and 30 of a 42-day cycle. Patients received up to 9 cycles of CMP. In the phase 1 dose-escalation portion, the primary objectives were to determine the incidence of dose-limiting toxicities during the first cycle of CMP treatment to define the maximal tolerated dose (MTD) of carfilzomib. In the phase 2 portion, the primary objective was to evaluate the overall response rate (ORR) of CMP. In the phase 1 portion of the study, 24 patients received CMP at carfilzomib dosing levels of 20 mg/m², 27 mg/m², 36 mg/m², and 45 mg/m². The MTD was established as 36 mg/m². In the phase 2 portion of the study, 44 patients were enrolled at the MTD. Among 50 efficacy-evaluable patients treated at the MTD, the ORR was 90%. The projected 3-year overall survival rate was 80%. The combination of CMP was observed to be effective in elderly patients with newly diagnosed MM. This trial was registered at www.clinicaltrials.gov as #NCT01279694 (Eudract identifier 2010-019462-92). (*Blood*. 2015;125(20):3100-3104)

Introduction

Prior to 2007, frontline chemotherapy with melphalan and prednisone (MP) was considered a standard of care in the treatment of elderly patients with multiple myeloma (MM) who are ineligible for stem cell transplantation.¹ Since then, however, several prospective, randomized, phase 3 studies comparing MP with or without novel agents such as bortezomib (VMP regimen) or thalidomide (MPT regimen) have demonstrated that MPT and VMP are superior to MP in terms of overall response rate (ORR), time to progression (TTP) or progression-free survival (PFS), and overall survival (OS).² In the VISTA trial that compared VMP and MP, median TTP was 24 months in the VMP arm vs 16.6 months in the MP arm, and median OS was also significantly increased in the VMP arm of the study.³ In the Intergroupe Francophone du Myélome (IFM) 99-06 trial, the median PFS was 27.5 months with MPT vs 18 months with MP, and the median OS was 52 months vs 33 months, respectively.⁴ These studies were the pivotal trials upon which the approval of these 2 therapeutic regimens for the treatment of patients with MM was based. Both MPT and VMP are now considered standard-of-care regimens in patients with newly diagnosed MM who are older than 65 years or not eligible for autologous stem cell transplantation. However, one of the major toxicity concerns of these 2 regimens is peripheral neuropathy (PN), which was observed in 13% and 6%

(grade 3-4) of the patients in the VISTA and the IFM 99-06 trials, respectively.^{3,4}

The combination of MP and lenalidomide has also been evaluated in MM, but this combination has not yet been approved by regulatory authorities.⁵ Recently, the MM020 trial prospectively compared outcomes of MPT vs lenalidomide and low-dose dexamethasone (Len-Dex), and in this trial, treatment with Len-Dex until disease progression showed improved PFS and OS compared with MPT.⁶

Carfilzomib is a selective proteasome inhibitor that has demonstrated robust and durable activity and a favorable safety and tolerability profile as a single agent in heavily pretreated patients with relapsed and/or refractory MM.⁷ Grade 3 to 4 adverse events (AEs) observed in phase 2 carfilzomib studies were mostly hematologic and are thought to be manageable with supportive measures and/or dose modifications. Carfilzomib is approved in the United States to treat patients with MM who have received at least 2 prior lines of therapy, including bortezomib and an immunomodulatory agent, and who have experienced disease progression during or within 60 days of completing their last therapy.⁸ In relapsed and/or refractory MM, carfilzomib has also been evaluated in 3 prospective, randomized, phase 3 trials: the ASPIRE,⁹ ENDEAVOR (clinicaltrials.gov #NCT01080391), and FOCUS (#NCT01568866) studies. The interim analysis of the ASPIRE trial showed that in patients

Submitted February 1, 2015; accepted March 11, 2015. Prepublished online as *Blood* First Edition paper, March 17, 2015; DOI 10.1182/blood-2015-02-626168.

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. Therefore, and solely to indicate this fact, this article is hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 USC section 1734.

© 2015 by The American Society of Hematology

with relapsed MM, the addition of carfilzomib to lenalidomide and dexamethasone resulted in significantly improved PFS compared with lenalidomide and dexamethasone.⁹ The results of ENDEAVOR and FOCUS have not yet been published.

The favorable toxicity profile of carfilzomib, including a lower incidence of PN compared with previous reports for bortezomib,¹⁰ together with its impressive activity resulting in rapid and durable antitumor responses, makes it an attractive candidate for inclusion in a combination regimen with MP (CMP) for the frontline treatment of elderly patients with MM. Herein, we report results from the first prospective phase 1/2 study of the CMP regimen in patients aged >65 years with newly diagnosed MM.

Patients and methods

Patients

Patients aged >65 years with a diagnosis of symptomatic, measurable, newly diagnosed MM were eligible for enrollment. Adequate organ function (defined as absolute neutrophil count $>1.0 \times 10^9/L$, platelet count $>50 \times 10^9/L$, aspartate transaminase and alanine transaminase <3 times the upper limit of normal, total bilirubin less than twofold of the upper limit of normal, and creatinine clearance >30 mL/min), and Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status of 0 to 2 at study entry were required. Exclusion criteria were the presence of another cancer, amyloidosis, severe ongoing infection, or any other serious medical condition or psychiatric disease. All patients provided written, informed consent in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Study design and treatment

Patients in this phase 1/2, multicenter, single-arm, open-label, dose-escalation study of CMP (www.clinicaltrials.gov identifier NCT01279694, Eudract identifier 2010-019462-92) were enrolled from 8 study centers in France between October 2010 and October 2012. The primary objectives of the phase 1 portion of the study were to determine the incidence of dose-limiting toxicities (DLTs) during the first cycle of carfilzomib (at a given dose level) in combination with MP to define the maximum tolerated dose (MTD) of carfilzomib; the primary objective of the phase 2 portion was to evaluate the ORR (defined as the percentage of patients with complete response [CR], very good partial response [VGPR], and partial response) of CMP. Secondary objectives were to evaluate the safety and tolerability of CMP and to assess the efficacy of CMP in terms of PFS (defined as the time from enrollment until disease progression or death from any cause) and OS (defined as the time from enrollment until the date of death or the date the patient was last known to be alive). The study was carried out in accordance with Good Clinical Practice guidelines and the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by a single institutional review board/independent ethics committee (Tours, France) for all of the study centers involved, according to French law.

A standard 6 + 6 dose-escalation scheme was used to determine the MTD of carfilzomib in the CMP regimen. Six patients were treated at each carfilzomib dosing level; if ≤ 1 DLT was observed at a dosing level, an additional 6 patients were subsequently enrolled at the next-highest dosing level. If ≥ 2 DLTs were observed at a single dosing level, the previous dosing level was identified as the MTD. After the MTD was defined in the phase 1 portion of the study, 44 additional patients were enrolled in the phase 2 portion at the MTD to further evaluate the safety profile and to estimate the efficacy of CMP (phase 2).

As assessed in the first cycle of treatment, DLTs were defined as any hematologic toxicity of grade 4 intensity or preventing the administration of ≥ 2 of the 8 carfilzomib doses of the first treatment cycle (except for grade 4 thrombocytopenia without bleeding lasting ≤ 7 days or for grade 4 neutropenia lasting ≤ 7 days), grade ≥ 3 febrile neutropenia, grade ≥ 3 gastrointestinal toxicities (except for grade ≥ 3 nausea/vomiting if the patient had not received adequate antiemetic prophylaxis), any other grade ≥ 3 nonhematologic toxicity considered related to carfilzomib, or grade ≥ 3 PN persisting for >3 weeks after

Table 1. Patient and disease characteristics at baseline

Characteristic	Patients (n = 68)
Sex, n (%)	
Male	35 (51)
Female	33 (49)
Median age, years (range)	72 (66-86)
ECOG performance status, n (%)	
0	25 (37)
1	28 (41)
2	15 (22)
ISS stage, n (%)	
1	23 (34)
2	21 (31)
3	24 (35)
Median creatinine level, μ M/L (range)	88 (43-250)
Patients with creatinine clearance <60 mL/min (%)	7 (10)
Median calcium level (mM/L) (range)	2.34 (2.01-2.99)
Patients with calcium level >2.75 mM/L (%)	4 (6)
Median hemoglobin level (g/dL) (range)	10.8 (7.4-14.3)
Patients with hemoglobin level <10 g/dL (%)	19 (27.9)
Cytogenetics (FISH), n (missing)	59 (9 missing data)
t(4;14), n (%)	5 (8)
del17p, n (%)	6 (10)
no t(4;14); no del17p, n (%)	48 (82)

ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; FISH, fluorescence in situ hybridization; ISS, International Staging System.

discontinuation of study drugs. Administration of granulocyte colony-stimulating factor was not permitted during the first cycle of therapy.

In the phase 1 portion of the study, treatment consisted of oral melphalan (9 mg/m²) and oral prednisone (60 mg/m²), both administered on days 1 to 4 in combination with escalating doses of carfilzomib (administered IV on days 1, 2, 8, 9, 22, 23, 29, and 30) within a 42-day cycle. Patients received up to 9 cycles of treatment.

Carfilzomib was administered at 20 mg/m² on days 1 and 2 of the first cycle and at 20 , 27 , or 36 mg/m² thereafter. The dosage of carfilzomib in dosing cohort 3 (ie, 36 mg/m²) had been anticipated as the highest tolerable dose. However, in November 2008, no DLT had yet been observed at the carfilzomib dose of 36 mg/m² in combination with MP, and the protocol was subsequently amended (amendment 1) to open a fourth dosing cohort at 45 mg/m². In the phase 2 portion of the trial, patients received 9 42-day cycles of CMP at the MTD.

Assessments

Assessments of efficacy and safety were conducted every 6 weeks or more frequently, if clinically required. Response and progressive disease were evaluated using the International Uniform Response Criteria for Multiple Myeloma.¹¹ All AEs were assessed at each patient visit and were graded according to the National Cancer Institute Common Toxicity Criteria for Adverse Events (version 4.0).¹²

Statistical analysis

Following the phase 1 portion of the study (6 + 6 design) and the definition of the MTD, the sample size of the phase 2 portion was defined as 44 patients, in order to study a population of 50 patients at the MTD level. This sample size was based on the results of a similar phase 1/2 study evaluating MP plus bortezomib in the same population of patients, in which 12 patients were enrolled in the phase 1 portion and 48 in the phase 2 portion at the MTD level.¹³ We assumed an ORR π_0 of 0.65, 0.70, or 0.75, under which further study of the CMP combination would not be justified, and an ORR π_1 of 0.80, 0.85, 0.90, or 0.95 that, if observed, could justify additional tests of this combination.¹⁴ We used reasonable ranges for π_0 and π_1 instead of a priori fixed values, which are usually used for calculations prior to study initiation. When using these values and a 2-sided test with type I error of 0.05, if the observed ORR is $\geq 85\%$ in a sample of 50 patients receiving the MTD, the power will be at least 0.85 and the trial could be considered positive. Kaplan-Meier curves for PFS and OS were plotted.¹⁵

Table 2. Response rates

Best overall response	Patients treated at the MTD of 36 mg/m ² (n = 50)
CR	6 (12)
VGPR	23 (46)
Partial response	16 (32)
Stable disease	5 (10)
Progressive disease	0 (0)
ORR	45 (90)

Data are presented as n (%) of patients.

Results

Patient characteristics

A total of 72 patients with newly diagnosed MM were enrolled in the study. Four patients were excluded for protocol violations: 3 patients did not receive the first dose of carfilzomib because of an exclusion criterion not having been followed (severe ongoing infection [n = 1], preexisting solid tumor [n = 1], and preexisting cardiac failure [n = 1]), and 1 patient received the first 2 doses of carfilzomib (day 1 and 2 of cycle 1) despite a preexisting, severe ongoing infection at study entry and died of sepsis on day 5. Sixty-eight patients who received at least 1 dose of carfilzomib and who fulfilled all inclusion and exclusion criteria were assessable for toxicity.

Baseline demographics and disease characteristics are shown in Table 1. The overall median age was 72 years (range, 66 to 86 years), and 35% of the patients had International Staging System stage 3 disease at study entry. Eighteen percent of the patients had adverse cytogenetic features at enrollment.

DLTs and the definition of the MTD

The phase 1 portion of the study included a total of 24 patients, 6 in each carfilzomib dosing-level cohort. One DLT was observed at the 20-mg/m² dosing level (grade 3 deep vein thrombosis), 1 DLT was

observed at the 27-mg/m² dosing level (grade 3 febrile neutropenia), 1 DLT was observed at the 36-mg/m² dosing level (grade 3 febrile neutropenia), and 2 DLTs were observed at the 45-mg/m² dosing level (fever and hypotension during carfilzomib infusion). Therefore, the dose of 36 mg/m² was considered to be the MTD of carfilzomib in the CMP regimen. All DLTs had a favorable outcome. The 4 patients enrolled at the 45-mg/m² carfilzomib dosing level who did not experience a DLT remained on study and received the 36-mg/m² carfilzomib dose during subsequent cycles.

Efficacy

At the cutoff date of April 14, 2014, the median follow-up for all patients was 22 months. Fifty patients were assessable for efficacy at the MTD level of 36 mg/m²: 6 in the phase 1 portion of the study and 44 in the phase 2 portion. Patients received a median number of 9 cycles (range, 1-9) of CMP, and 37 patients (74%) completed all 9 cycles. The ORR was 90% (95% confidence interval [CI] [77.8; 96.6]), including 58% of patients with VGPR or better, and 12% of patients achieving a CR (Table 2). No patient had progressive disease on therapy. The median time to response among patients who had a partial response or better was 42 days (range, 42-126 days). For this group of 50 patients, the projected 3-year OS rate was 80% (95% CI [67.8; 90]) (Figure 1) and the median PFS was 21 months (95% CI [18.2; 23.1]) (Figure 2).

Safety

For the entire safety population (n = 68, including all cycles of CMP received), 3104 AEs of any grade were collected, including 572 (18.4%) grade 3 to 4 AEs. The most frequent grade 3 to 4 AEs (occurring in >10% of patients) were neutropenia, thrombocytopenia, and anemia (Table 3). Other grade 3 to 4 AEs accounted for <6% of the total number of AEs experienced by patients in the study (Table 3). No specific cardiac toxicity was observed. Three cases of grade 3 congestive heart failure were reported in 3 patients with a history of hypertension. Each case was related to excess hydration and was reversible with the administration of IV diuretics. Two patients experienced reversible grade 3 elevated creatinine during infection. None





Figure 1. Kaplan-Meier analysis of OS.

Figure 2. Kaplan-Meier analysis of PFS.



of these grade 3 AEs led to treatment discontinuation. Only 1 patient with preexisting diabetes developed grade 3 PN. Three additional patients developed grade 2 PN on study.

Twelve patients had died at the time of this analysis. Deaths occurred due to progressive disease (n = 7), infections (n = 2), cardiac failure (n = 1), respiratory distress (n = 1), and metastatic urothelial carcinoma (n = 1). The latter patient died of metastatic urothelial carcinoma that was diagnosed during cycle 3. At that time, the cancer had already disseminated, and its diagnosis was likely missed at study entry. The case was thus not considered to be a second primary malignancy.

Discussion

This trial is the first to combine the proteasome inhibitor carfilzomib with melphalan and prednisone as part of frontline therapy in patients over 65 years of age with symptomatic MM. We demonstrate that the MTD of carfilzomib incorporated into the CMP regimen is 36 mg/m². We also show that the safety profile of CMP includes few grade 3 to 4 infections, only 1 case of grade 3 deep vein thrombosis, no adverse cardiac toxicity signals, and no cases of second primary malignancies. A single patient experienced grade 3 PN; these results compared favorably with those observed with VMP or MPT in similar patient populations for whom PN is the most significant toxicity (in these studies, grade ≥3 PN was observed in 6% to 13% of patients).²⁻⁴ Management strategies for PN have improved over the last decade and include dose reduction of bortezomib and thalidomide and weekly or subcutaneous administration of bortezomib.^{16,17} Despite these improvements, PN still remains an important issue for patients treated with VMP or MPT, and the favorable toxicity profile of carfilzomib relative to bortezomib or thalidomide in this regard is an interesting advantage of the CMP regimen.

Lastly, the CMP regimen was able to induce high rates of response, with an ORR and a VGPR-or-better rate of 90% and 58%, respectively, at the MTD. The 12% CR rate observed may be underestimated, as

bone marrow aspiration was not performed in some patients with both normal electrophoresis and negative immunofixation. Responses were rapid, and the majority of patients were able to complete the planned 9 cycles of treatment, reflecting not only the efficacy but also the tolerability of CMP in these patients.

Although caution is required when comparing results of phase 2 vs phase 3 trials, the observed 90% ORR compares favorably with other novel-agent-based regimens used as part of frontline therapy in elderly patients ineligible for stem cell transplantation. For example, in the phase 1/2 study of VMP using a very similar schedule (ie, 9 6-week cycles), the ORR was 89% in 53 patients,¹³ whereas in the phase 3 VISTA study, the ORR in the VMP arm was 71%.³ The ORR of MPT ranged from 59% in a meta-analysis of all MPT vs MP trials reported by Fayers et al¹⁸ to 62% in the recent MM020 study comparing Len-Dex to MPT⁶ to up to 76% in the IFM 99-06 pivotal trial upon which the approval of MPT was based.⁴ The doublet combination of Len-Dex, which is not yet approved in Europe but is widely used in the

Table 3. Adverse events

Adverse event, n (%)	Patients (n = 68)	
	Any grade AE	Grade 3 to 4 AEs
Thrombocytopenia	58 (85, [74.6; 92.7])	19 (28, [17.7; 40.1])
Anemia	56 (82, [71.2; 90.6])	24 (35, [24.1; 47.8])
Fatigue	53 (78, [66.2; 87.1])	2 (3, [0.4; 10.2])
Neutropenia	44 (65, [52.2; 75.9])	26 (38, [26.7; 50.8])
Infections	36 (53, [39.0; 63.8])	5 (7, [2.4; 16.3])
Nausea	33 (48, [37.6; 62.4])	4 (6, [1.6; 14.4])
Elevated liver enzymes	20 (29, [19; 41.7])	3 (4, [0.9; 12.3])
Peripheral neuropathy	17 (25, [15.9; 37])	1 (1.5, [0.04; 7.9])
Peripheral edema	15 (22, [14.1; 35.4])	0 (0, [0; 5.2])
Elevated creatinine	14 (21, [12.6; 31.2])	2 (3, [0.4; 10.2])
Diarrhea	12 (18, [8.4; 27.1])	0 (0, [0; 5.2])
Deep vein thrombosis	4 (6, [1.6; 14.4])	1 (1.5, [0.04; 7.9])
Congestive heart failure	4 (6, [1.6; 14.4])	3 (4, [0.9; 12.3])
Atrial fibrillation	3 (4, [0.9; 12.3])	1 (1.5, [0.04; 7.9])
Hypertension	2 (3, [0.4; 10.2])	2 (3, [0.4; 10.2])

Data are presented as n (%), [95% CI].

United States, was associated with an ORR of 76% in the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group trial¹⁹ and an ORR of 73% and 75% in the 18-month Len-Dex arm and the Len-Dex treatment to progression arm, respectively, in the recent MM020 study.⁶

In the present study, the 21-month median PFS at the MTD was deemed satisfactory by the study investigators, and the projected 80% OS rate at 36 months suggested that patients progressing on CMP can easily be salvaged at the time of progression. Nevertheless, the PFS curve showed a continuous drop from about month 15, when the carry-over effect of CMP appeared to be exhausted, suggesting that a prolonged therapy with additional cycles of CMP beyond the 9 cycles or maintenance with carfilzomib could result in a significant benefit. These survival data should be cautiously compared with those described in the recent phase 3 MM020 trial in a similar population of patients, in which the MPT arm was associated with a median PFS of 21.2 months and Len-Dex administered for either 18 months or until progression was associated with a median PFS of 20.7 and 25.5 months, respectively.⁶ Similarly, the VMP arm of the VISTA study was associated with a median time-to-progression of 24 months.³ Although our study provides promising preliminary results, robust data for both OS and PFS with the CMP regimen will require prospective analyses from phase 3 trials. CMP, administered per the schedule described herein, is the basis of the ongoing phase 3 multicenter international CLARION trial (#NCT01818752), which was recently completed and has enrolled a similar population of patients in a prospective comparison with VMP.

References

- Myeloma Trialists' Collaborative Group. Combination chemotherapy versus melphalan and prednisone as treatment for multiple myeloma: an overview of 6,633 patients from 27 randomized trials. *J Clin Oncol*. 1998;16(12):3832-3842.
- Palumbo A, Rajkumar SV, San Miguel JF, et al. International Myeloma Working Group consensus statement for the management, treatment, and supportive care of patients with myeloma not eligible for standard autologous stem-cell transplantation. *J Clin Oncol*. 2014;32(6):587-600.
- San Miguel JF, Schlag R, Khuageva NK, et al; VISTA Trial Investigators. Bortezomib plus melphalan and prednisone for initial treatment of multiple myeloma. *N Engl J Med*. 2008;359(9):906-917.
- Facon T, Mary JY, Hulin C, et al; Intergroupe Francophone du Myélome. Melphalan and prednisone plus thalidomide versus melphalan and prednisone alone or reduced-intensity autologous stem cell transplantation in elderly patients with multiple myeloma (IFM 99-06): a randomised trial. *Lancet*. 2007;370(9594):1209-1218.
- Palumbo A, Hajek R, Delforge M, et al; MM-015 Investigators. Continuous lenalidomide treatment for newly diagnosed multiple myeloma. *N Engl J Med*. 2012;366(19):1759-1769.
- Benboubker L, Dimopoulos MA, Dispenzieri A, et al; FIRST Trial Team. Lenalidomide and dexamethasone in transplant-ineligible patients with myeloma. *N Engl J Med*. 2014;371(10):906-917.
- Siegel DS, Martin T, Wang M, et al. A phase 2 study of single-agent carfilzomib (PX-171-003-A1) in patients with relapsed and refractory multiple myeloma. *Blood*. 2012;120(14):2817-2825.
- KYPROLIS. (carfilzomib) prescribing information. South San Francisco, CA: Onyx Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; 2013.
- Stewart AK, Rajkumar SV, Dimopoulos MA, et al; ASPIRE Investigators. Carfilzomib, lenalidomide, and dexamethasone for relapsed multiple myeloma. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;372(2):142-152.
- Kortuem KM, Stewart AK. Carfilzomib. *Blood*. 2013;121(6):893-897.
- Durie BG, Harousseau JL, Miguel JS, et al; International Myeloma Working Group. International uniform response criteria for multiple myeloma. *Leukemia*. 2006;20(9):1467-1473.
- US Department of Health and Human Services, National Institutes of Health, National Cancer Institute. Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events v4.0 (CTCAE), 2013. Available at: http://ctep.cancer.gov/protocolDevelopment/electronic_applications/ctc.htm. Accessed April 15, 2013.
- Mateos MV, Hernández JM, Hernández MT, et al. Bortezomib plus melphalan and prednisone in elderly untreated patients with multiple myeloma: results of a multicenter phase 1/2 study. *Blood*. 2006;108(7):2165-2172.
- A'Hern RP. Sample size tables for exact single-stage phase II designs. *Stat Med*. 2001;20(6):859-866.
- Kaplan EL, Meier P. Nonparametric estimation from incomplete observations. *J Am Stat Assoc*. 1958;53:457-481.
- Delforge M, Bladé J, Dimopoulos MA, et al. Treatment-related peripheral neuropathy in multiple myeloma: the challenge continues. *Lancet Oncol*. 2010;11(11):1086-1095.
- Moreau P, Pylypenko H, Grosicki S, et al. Subcutaneous versus intravenous administration of bortezomib in patients with relapsed multiple myeloma: a randomised, phase 3, non-inferiority study. *Lancet Oncol*. 2011;12(5):431-440.
- Fayers PM, Palumbo A, Hulin C, et al; Nordic Myeloma Study Group; Italian Multiple Myeloma Network; Turkish Myeloma Study Group; Hemato-Oncologie voor Volwassenen Nederland; Intergroupe Francophone du Myélome; European Myeloma Network. Thalidomide for previously untreated elderly patients with multiple myeloma: meta-analysis of 1685 individual patient data from 6 randomized clinical trials. *Blood*. 2011;118(5):1239-1247.
- Rajkumar SV, Jacobus S, Callander NS, et al; Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group. Lenalidomide plus high-dose dexamethasone versus lenalidomide plus low-dose dexamethasone as initial therapy for newly diagnosed multiple myeloma: an open-label randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Oncol*. 2010;11(1):29-37.

Acknowledgments

Support for third-party editorial assistance for this manuscript was provided by BlueMomentum, a division of KnowledgePoint 360 Group, an Ashfield company, and funded by Onyx Pharmaceuticals, Inc., an Amgen subsidiary.

Authorship

Contribution: P.M. and T.F. designed the research, and all authors performed the research; J.-Y.M. performed the statistical analysis; P.M., C.T., J.-Y.M., and T.F. analyzed and interpreted the data; P.M. wrote the initial draft of the manuscript and edited the final draft; and all authors reviewed the draft manuscript and approved the final version for submission.

Conflict-of-interest disclosure: P.M., M.A., X.L., C.H., H.A.-L., and T.F. have served as consultants or advisors for and received honoraria from Amgen, Celgene, Janssen, Millennium, and Onyx. The remaining authors declare no competing financial interests.

Correspondence: Philippe Moreau, Hematology Department, University Hospital Hôtel-Dieu, Pl Ricordeau, 44093 Nantes, France; e-mail: philippe.moreau@chu-nantes.fr.