

To the editor:

Lenalidomide-induced diarrhea in patients with myeloma is caused by bile acid malabsorption that responds to treatment

There is an expanding armory of novel chemotherapeutic agents used in hematologic cancers, many of which cause problematic gastrointestinal (GI) side effects. These often mandate dose reduction or even cessation of treatment. Few studies have investigated the causes for this GI toxicity. At our institution, a gastroenterology-led multidisciplinary clinic offers patients with symptoms arising during/after cancer therapies review, investigation, and treatment using a detailed peer-reviewed algorithm.¹ This approach has identified and characterized a treatable physiological cause for GI toxicity from a chemotherapeutic or biological agent: namely, lenalidomide, a novel treatment of myeloma.

There is increasing evidence for the use of the immunomodulatory agent lenalidomide to treat myeloma for all disease stages. Continuous treatment until relapse is associated with longer progression-free survival and overall survival²⁻⁵ but requires patients to remain on therapy long-term, and therefore optimal management of side effects is essential. Common toxicities include cytopenia, rash, and GI issues including diarrhea.⁶ Diarrhea can severely impact quality of life and may lead to unnecessary discontinuation of therapy if not managed appropriately. Anecdotal reports suggest these patients might benefit from dietary modifications and/or bile acid sequestrants, but this has not been previously systematically investigated and diagnosed.

We report 12 consecutive patients (Table 1) referred to our clinic between April 2011 and November 2013 who developed progressive GI symptoms after starting lenalidomide. There was no significant difference in the symptom severity between patients taking different doses of lenalidomide (mean Bristol Stool Chart score: 25 mg group 6.6 vs 10-15 mg group 6.8, Student *t* test *P* = .45; mean frequency: 25 mg group 6.6 per day vs 10 mg group 4.9 per day, Student *t* test *P* = .24). For patients with preexisting erratic bowel function, patients' symptoms had deteriorated significantly with lenalidomide treatment leading to their referral.

Table 1. Patient characteristics

	Number of patients
Sex	
Male	5 (42%)
Female	7 (58%)
Age, median (range), y	66 (48-79)
Background bowel function	
Normal	5 (42%)
Lifelong intermittent loose stool	3 (25%)
Other GI history (not diarrhea)	4 (33%)
Time to deterioration of bowel function following lenalidomide commencement, median (range), mo	6 (1-15)
Dose of lenalidomide	
25 mg	5 (41%)
10-15 mg	7 (58%)
Symptoms	
Diarrhea*	12 (100%)
Urgency	11 (92%)
Fecal incontinence	7 (58%)
Abdominal cramps	5 (42%)

Data are presented as n (%) of patients unless indicated otherwise.

*Defined by Bristol Stool Chart (BSC) score 6 or 7. Median stool frequency: 6 episodes per day (range, 1-10).

All patients underwent investigations to exclude the presence of lactose intolerance, GI infection, dietary indiscretion, celiac disease, inflammatory bowel disease, colonic neoplasia, small intestinal bacterial overgrowth, pancreatic insufficiency, and bile acid malabsorption (BAM). A positive glucose hydrogen methane breath test result suggested possible bacterial overgrowth in 75% of patients, but the diarrhea did not respond to antibiotics.

⁷⁵Selenium homocholic acid taurine (SeHCAT) scanning is a noninvasive test for BAM with sensitivity of 90% to 98% and specificity of 100%.^{7,8} It confirmed severe (<5% 7-day SeHCAT-retention) BAM in 9 patients, moderate (5% to 10%) BAM in 2 patients, and mild (10% to 15%) BAM in 1 patient. One patient had undergone SeHCAT scanning 2 years previously (43% retention) but after starting treatment with lenalidomide developed worsening diarrhea, and repeat scanning suggested lenalidomide-induced severe BAM (3% retention).

Following the diagnosis of BAM, patients were advised to reduce dietary fat intake (to 20% of total calories) or treated with colestevam, a bile acid sequestrant, or both.⁹ Two patients had resolution of diarrhea with a low-fat diet alone. Ten patients were given colestevam (up to 6 × 625 mg in split doses with food, >4 hours before/after lenalidomide and other dose-critical medications). A total of 50% of patients reported bowel habit normalized, and the others reported a reduction in stool frequency and/or improvement in stool consistency. This response confirmed BAM as the cause of the diarrhea. No patient needed dose reduction or cessation of lenalidomide due to diarrhea.

We recommend that BAM should be considered as a cause of diarrhea in patients taking lenalidomide. Where appropriate, investigations for BAM should be carried out and a trial of bile acid sequestrant therapy initiated. In addition to improving symptoms and quality of life, this enables patients to continue on long-term treatment. Multicenter studies with large numbers of patients would enable further characterization of this side effect, and associated translational studies should be carried out to investigate the molecular mechanism whereby lenalidomide causes BAM. In addition, this case series emphasizes the importance of systematic investigation of GI toxicity of cancer treatments by an experienced gastroenterologist, as currently reversible causes are often missed.¹⁰

Charlotte Pawlyn

*Division of Molecular Pathology, The Institute of Cancer Research,
London, United Kingdom
Department of Haematology, Royal Marsden Hospital,
London, United Kingdom*

Mohid S. Khan

*Department of Gastroenterology, Royal Marsden Hospital,
London, United Kingdom
Department of Gastroenterology, University of Wales Hospital,
Cardiff, United Kingdom*

Ann Muls

*Department of Gastroenterology, Royal Marsden Hospital,
London, United Kingdom*

Priya Sriskandarajah

*Department of Haematology, Royal Marsden Hospital,
London, United Kingdom*

Martin F. Kaiser

Division of Molecular Pathology, The Institute of Cancer Research,
London, United Kingdom
Department of Haematology, Royal Marsden Hospital,
London, United Kingdom

Faith E. Davies

Division of Molecular Pathology, The Institute of Cancer Research,
London, United Kingdom
Department of Haematology, Royal Marsden Hospital,
London, United Kingdom

Gareth J. Morgan

Division of Molecular Pathology, The Institute of Cancer Research,
London, United Kingdom
Department of Haematology, Royal Marsden Hospital,
London, United Kingdom

H. Jervoise N. Andreyev

Department of Gastroenterology, Royal Marsden Hospital,
London, United Kingdom

Acknowledgments: This study was supported by Myeloma United Kingdom, the Wellcome Trust, Cancer Research United Kingdom, and the National Institute for Health Research Royal Marsden/Institute of Cancer Research Biomedical Research Centre.

Contribution: C.P. designed the study, collected and assembled data, analyzed and interpreted data, wrote the manuscript, and approved the final version; M.S.K. collected and assembled data, analyzed and interpreted data, wrote the manuscript, and approved the final version; A.M. conceived and designed the study, collected and assembled data, wrote the manuscript, and approved the final version; P.S. collected and assembled data, wrote the manuscript, and approved the final version; M.F.K. analyzed and interpreted data, wrote the manuscript, and approved the final version; F.E.D. and G.J.M. conceived and designed the study, collected data, wrote the manuscript, and approved the final version; and H.J.N.A. conceived and designed the study, collected data, contributed to data analysis and interpretation, wrote the manuscript, and approved the final version.

Conflict-of-interest disclosure: C.P. has received honoraria and travel support from Celgene, manufacturers of lenalidomide. G.J.M. and F.E.D. have participated in advisory boards for and received honoraria and travel support from Celgene. H.J.N.A. has acted as a paid consultant for GE, manufacturers of SeHCAT, and for Sanofi Aventis, manufacturers of colesevelam. The remaining authors declare no competing financial interests.

Correspondence: Charlotte Pawlyn, Division of Molecular Pathology, The Institute of Cancer Research, London SM2 5NG, United Kingdom; e-mail: charlotte.pawlyn@icr.ac.uk.

References

1. Andreyev HJ, Benton BE, Lalji A, et al. Algorithm-based management of patients with gastrointestinal symptoms in patients after pelvic radiation treatment (ORBIT): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2013;382(9910):2084-2092.
2. San-Miguel JF, Dimopoulos MA, Stadtmauer EA, et al. Effects of lenalidomide and dexamethasone treatment duration on survival in patients with relapsed or refractory multiple myeloma treated with lenalidomide and dexamethasone. *Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk*. 2011;11(1):38-43.
3. Dimopoulos MA, Palumbo A, Attal M, et al; European Myeloma Network. Optimizing the use of lenalidomide in relapsed or refractory multiple myeloma: consensus statement. *Leukemia*. 2011;25(5):749-760.
4. Facon T, Dimopoulos MA, Dispenzieri A, et al. Initial phase 3 results of the First (Frontline Investigation of Lenalidomide + Dexamethasone versus Standard Thalidomide) trial (MM-020/IFM 07 01) in newly diagnosed multiple myeloma (NDMM) patients (Pts) ineligible for stem cell transplantation (SCT) [abstract]. *Blood*. 2013;122(21):2.
5. Rajkumar SV, Hayman SR, Lacy MQ, et al. Combination therapy with lenalidomide plus dexamethasone (Rev/Dex) for newly diagnosed myeloma. *Blood*. 2005;106(13):4050-4053.
6. Richardson PG, Blood E, Mitsiades CS, et al. A randomized phase 2 study of lenalidomide therapy for patients with relapsed or relapsed and refractory multiple myeloma. *Blood*. 2006;108(10):3458-3464.
7. Bajor A, Kilander A, Sjövall H, Rudling M, Ung KA. The bile acid turnover rate assessed with the (75)SeHCAT test is stable in chronic diarrhoea but slightly decreased in healthy subjects after a long period of time. *Dig Dis Sci*. 2008; 53(11):2935-2940.
8. Walters JR. Bile acid diarrhoea and FGF19: new views on diagnosis, pathogenesis and therapy. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2014;11(7):426-434.
9. Muls A, Watson L, Shaw CE, Andreyev HJN. Managing gastrointestinal symptoms after cancer treatment: a practical approach for gastroenterologists. *Frontline Gastroenterol*. 2013(4):57-68.
10. Andreyev HJ, Davidson SE, Gillespie C, Allum WH, Swarbrick E; British Society of Gastroenterology; Association of Colo-Proctology of Great Britain and Ireland; Association of Upper Gastrointestinal Surgeons; Faculty of Clinical Oncology Section of the Royal College of Radiologists. Practice guidance on the management of acute and chronic gastrointestinal problems arising as a result of treatment for cancer. *Gut*. 2012;61(2):179-192.

© 2014 by The American Society of Hematology