IMMUNOBIOLOGY

Differently immunogenic cancers in mice induce immature myeloid cells that suppress CTL in vitro but not in vivo following transfer

Karin Schmidt,¹ Serena Zilio,² Jan C. Schmollinger,¹ Vincenzo Bronte,³ Thomas Blankenstein,^{1,4} and Gerald Willimsky^{1,4}

¹Institute of Immunology, Charité Campus Buch, Berlin, Germany; ²Istituto Oncologico Veneto, Istituiti di Ricovero e Cura a Carattere Scientifico, Padova, Italy; ³Verona University Hospital and Department of Pathology, Immunology Section, Verona, Italy; and ⁴Max Delbrück Center for Molecular Medicine, Berlin, Germany

Key Points

- iMC expand independent of the type of antitumor response and are not immunosuppressive in a cell-autonomous fashion
- iMC are licensed to become MDSC in vivo in the presence of GM-CSF

Tumors frequently induce immature myeloid cells (iMC), which suppress specific and unrelated cytotoxic T lymphocyte (CTL) responses and are termed myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSC). Mainly analyzed by in vitro assays in tumor transplantation models, little is known about their function in autochthonous tumor models in vivo. We analyzed iMC in 3 SV40 large T (Tag)-driven conditional autochthonous cancer models with different immune status: (1) Early Tag-specific CTL competence and rare stochastic Tag activation leading to sporadic cancer, which induces an aberrant immune response and CTL tolerance; (2) Cre/LoxP recombinase-mediated hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) development in neonatal Tag-tolerant mice; and (3) Tag-activation through Cre recombinase-encoding viruses in the liver and HCC development with systemic anti-Tag CTL immunity. In the first but not two latter

models, tumors induced CTL hyporesponsiveness to tumor-unrelated antigens. Regardless of the model, tumors produced interleukin-6 and vascular endothelial growth factor but not granulocyte macrophage-colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) and induced iMC (CD11b⁺Gr-1^{int}) that suppressed CTL responses in vitro. None of the iMC from the different tumor models suppressed CTL responses in adoptive cell transfer experiments unless GM-CSF was provided in vivo. Together, iMC expand independent of the type of antitumor response and are not immunosuppressive in a cell-autonomous fashion. (*Blood.* 2013;121(10):1740-1748)

Introduction

Immature myeloid cells (iMC) represent a heterogeneous population of innate immune cells at early stages of differentiation and were first characterized by the expression of the markers CD11b (Mac-1) and Gr-1 (Ly-6C/G). In healthy mice, small numbers of iMC are detected in blood and secondary lymphoid organs, but iMC frequently expand under various pathologic/inflammatory conditions, including cancer.¹ Because of their immunosuppressive function in transplantable tumor models, subsets of iMC have been termed myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSC).² MDSC participate in an immunosuppressive network responsible for diminishing cytotoxic T lymphocyte (CTL) responses.^{1,3-7}

Analysis of spontaneous adaptive immune responses during autochthonous tumor development, which means the cancer evolves in the original host and is not induced by cancer cell inoculation, requires the knowledge of a tumor antigen to which the host can efficiently respond before tumor onset. We have established a mouse model of SV40 T antigen (Tag)-driven cancer (LoxP-Tag), in which the Tag gene is separated from an ubiquitously active promotor by a stop cassette.⁸ CTLs against the dominant Tag epitope peptide IV (pIV)⁹ are retained and confer life-long protection if induced by vaccination before a tumor develops.⁸ Without vaccination, sporadic tumors develop as a result of genetic or epigenetic events in individual cells, which induce CTL tolerance and expansion of anergic Tagspecific CTLs. Importantly, the sporadic cancer had retained its high immunogenicity because it was rejected in immunocompetent mice upon transplantation ("regressor" phenotype). Probably because of chronic exposure to Tag and aberrant immune reaction (eg, anti-Tag IgG production), the mice develop a general CTL hyporesponsiveness toward tumor-unrelated antigens during the long period between premalignancy and tumor progression. This latency is associated with the expansion of iMC.¹⁰ Because these autochthonous tumors elicit a strong but noneffective immune reaction in the primary host, we define the sporadic cancer here as "pseudo-immunogenic." Tumors in LoxP-Tag mice can also be induced by Cre recombinase-mediated stop cassette deletion, either genetically (eg, by crossing to Alb-Cre transgenic mice) or through virus infection (eg, with Cre recombinaseencoding adenoviruses, Ad.Cre). Because Tag is the dominant transplantation rejection antigen in this model, and LoxP-Tag \times Alb-Cre mice are completely tolerant for Tag because of neonatal Tag expression in the liver and thus do not induce a measurable Tagspecific immune response, tumors in these mice are considered "nonimmunogenic." The nonimmunogenic tumors expanded iMC but did not inhibit CTL responses to tumor-unrelated antigens.¹⁰ Cre/LoxP-mediated Tag activation during viral infection using an adenovirus encoding the Cre recombinase induced pIV-specific CTLs, which initially inhibited tumor development and then remained systemically functional despite subsequent progression of Tag⁺ tumors.¹¹ Thus, these tumors were defined as "immunogenic" and,

© 2013 by The American Society of Hematology

Check for updates

Submitted June 11, 2012; accepted December 21, 2012. Prepublished online as *Blood* First Edition paper, January 10, 2013; DOI 10.1182/blood-2012-06-436568.

The online version of this article contains a data supplement.

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. Therefore, and solely to indicate this fact, this article is hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 USC section 1734.



Figure 1. Sporadic, but not virus-induced immunogenic tumors cause general CTL hyporesponsiveness in vivo. (A) Three mouse models of SV40 large T tumor antigen (Tag)-driven cancer development. (i) Sporadic tumor development resulting from genetic or epigenetic events in individual cells. (ii) Tissue-specific expression of Cre recombinase by the albumin promoter induces hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). (iii) HCC develops after infection with Cre recombinase-expressing adenoviruses (Ad.Cre). (B-D) CTL activities against Tag and 2 tumor-unrelated antigens were analyzed after immunization. Tumor-bearing mice and control mice were immunized with Tag⁺ 16.113 tumor cells, male splenocytes (female mice only), or MC57-gp33-Hi tumor cells. The percentage of specific killing in vivo is indicated. (B) In vivo cytotoxicity toward Tag peptide IV (pIV) was analyzed in young LoxP-Tag mice (Tg, 2 months), LoxP-Tag mice bearing sporadic tumors (Tg; HCC n = 2, other n = 2), HCC-bearing LoxP-Tag × Alb-Cre mice, Tg/Ad.Cre, and old C57BL/6 mice. (B6, 14 months). (C) Analysis of CTL activity toward HY antigens in young Tg mice, Tg mice (kidney tumor n = 2, other n = 1), Tg × Alb-Cre mice, Tg/Ad.Cre mice, and old B6 mice. (D) In vivo cytotoxicity toward gp33 was analyzed in young Tg mice, (Kidney tumor n = 5, HCC n = 4, other n = 2), Tg × Alb-Cre mice, Tg/Ad.Cre mice, and old B6 mice. For each antigen, data were pooled from at least 2 independent experiments. Horizontal bars show means; error bars represent standard error of the mean (SEM). Overall significance for each graph was tested by Kruskal–Wallis 1-way analysis of variance.

as shown here, also induced iMC but no impairment of tumor unrelated CTL responses. Contrasting existing data from tumor transplantation models, we show here that tumor-induced iMC suppressed CTLs in vitro but not in vivo in a cell-autonomous fashion, regardless of the model. Nonetheless, iMC became competent MDSC in vivo following adoptive transfer when exposed to granulocyte macrophage–colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF).

Materials and methods

Animals

Generation and characterization of LoxP-Tag, LoxP-Tag \times Alb-Cre, Rag1^{-/-} \times P14, and CD45.1 mice have previously been described.^{8,10,12-14} Virus-induced hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) developed after IV injection of 2-month-old LoxP-Tag mice with 1 \times 10⁹ plaque-forming units Ad.Cre. Mice considered as positive for autochthonous tumors (advanced stage) had at least a twofold increase in weight of the affected organ. C57BL/6 (B6) mice were obtained from Charles River Laboratories (Wilmington, MA). Animals were housed in our animal facilities and all animal experiments were performed according to governmental guidelines (Landesamt für Gesundheit und Soziales Berlin).

Cell lines and tumor transplantation

Tag⁺ 16.113 tumor cells (Tag-specific immunization) and MC57-gp33-Hi tumor cells (lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus-gp33 peptide–specific

immunization) have been described.^{8,15} In the respective immunization experiments, 1×10^7 tumor cells were injected intraperitoneally.

In vivo cytotoxicity assays

Mice were immunized by intraperitoneal injection of either 1×10^7 Tag⁺ 16.113 cells, 5×10^6 male spleen cells, or 1×10^7 MC57-gp33-Hi cells. In vivo cytotoxicity analyses were previously described.¹⁰ Briefly, modified Tag pIV (VVYDFLKL)-loaded splenocytes or gp33 (KAVYNFATM)loaded splenocytes (each 2 µg/mL) were combined with nonloaded splenocytes. For antigen HY-specific assays, male splenocytes were combined with female splenocytes. Each cell population (1×10^7) was labeled with a different amount of carboxyfluorescein succinimidyl ester (CFSE) (0.75 µM, CFSE^{high} for peptide-loaded cells; 0.075 µM, CFSE^{low} for non-peptide-loaded cells) and transferred together IV into the recipients. Eighteen hours later, the CFSE-labeled populations were analyzed by flow cytometry. In some cases, two epitopes were analyzed at the same time. In these experiments, spleen cells from CD45.1 mice were labeled with CFSE at final concentrations of 0.75 µM (CFSE^{high}), 0.075 µM (CFSE^{int}), and $0.0075 \ \mu M \ (CFSE^{low})$, respectively. Eighteen hours after adoptive transfer, target cells were stained with anti-CD45.1 antibodies and were analyzed by flow cytometry.

Flow cytometric analysis and cell sorting

For flow cytometry, single-cell suspensions of spleen cells were stained with monoclonal antibodies specific for CD11b (M1/70), Gr-1 (RB6-8C5), CD8 α (53-6.7), and CD45.1 (A20) (BD Biosciences, Heidelberg, Germany).



Figure 2. iMC expand upon autochthonous tumor development. Single-cell preparations of spleens were stained with antibodies against CD11b and Gr-1. Spleens were derived from young LoxP-Tag mice (Tg, 2-4 months), LoxP-Tag mice bearing sporadic tumors (Tg; kidney tumor n = 7, HCC n = 5, colon tumor n = 4, others n = 3), HCC-bearing LoxP-Tag × Alb-Cre mice (Tg × Alb-Cre), and Ad.Cre-infected LoxP-Tag mice bearing virus-induced HCC (Tg/Ad.Cre). (A) One representative example per experimental group is shown; numbers indicate the percentage CD11b⁺Gr-1^{high} and CD11b⁺Gr-1^{hint} iMC of total spleen cells. All data are summarized in (B-D). The percentage of total CD11b⁺ cells (B), CD11b⁺Gr-1^{int} iMC (C), and CD11b⁺Gr-1^{high} iMC (D) in spleens of young Tg mice, tumorbearing Tg mice, Tg × Alb-Cre mice, and Tg/Ad.Cre mice is indicated. Horizontal bars show means; error bars represent SEM. Overall significance for each graph was tested by Kruskal–Wallis 1-way analysis of variance.

For in vitro and in vivo analysis, iMC were separated using magnetic MicroBeads (Miltenyi Biotec, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany). iMC of 50% purity (tumor-free mice) or 60% to 93% purity (tumor-bearing mice) were isolated from spleens of tumor-free or tumor-bearing mice using the Myeloid-Derived Suppressor Cell Isolation Kit (Miltenyi Biotec) according to the manufacturer's instructions. In brief, single-cell preparations were first pretreated with Fc receptor block. Then, CD11b⁺Gr-1^{high} iMC were separated with an anti-Ly-6G antibody. The CD11b⁺Gr-1^{hint} iMC fraction was then separated from the Ly-6G-negative fraction with an anti-Gr-1 antibody. The samples were separated on LS MACS Columns (Miltenyi Biotec).

In vitro suppression assays

Splenocytes were isolated from B6 mice for polyclonal activation or from Rag1^{-/-}/P14 T-cell receptor (TCR)-transgenic mice for peptide-specific activation and labeled with CFSE (5 μ M) for 15 minutes at 37°C in phosphate-buffered saline supplemented with 3% fetal calf serum. Six × 10⁵ CFSE-labeled splenocytes were then activated in vitro with anti-CD3 ϵ (145-2C11; 3 μ g/mL, plate-bound) and anti-CD28 (37.51; 2 μ g/mL)

antibodies, or gp33 peptide (2 μ g/mL) in the presence of iMC. After 72 hours, CFSE dilution was analyzed by flow cytometry.

Adoptive transfer of iMC

In vivo function of iMC was analyzed in B6 mice. iMC were separated with the Myeloid-Derived Suppressor Cell Isolation Kit (Miltenyi Biotec) from spleens of tumor-bearing mice as described previously. Five $\times 10^6$ iMC were injected IV into B6 recipients. Within 1 hour, the mice were immunized subcutaneously with an emulsion containing 100 μ g pIV in incomplete Freund's adjuvant (Sigma, Munich, Germany). Eight to 10 days later, cytotoxicity against pIV was analyzed in vivo as described previously. Control groups (no iMC) for CD11b⁺Gr-1^{high} and CD11b⁺Gr-1^{hint} iMC transfers were the same.

Cytokine measurements

Cytokine levels were determined in serum samples and cell culture supernatants. Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), GM-CSF and transforming growth factor (TGF)- β 1 (MB100) were determined by



Figure 3. In vitro CTL suppression by the CD11b⁺**Gr-1**^{int} **iNC subset does not correlate with CTL suppression in vivo.** Polyclonally activated B6 T cells or antigen-specifically activated transgenic P14 T cells were cocultured with 24%, 12%, 6%, or 3% CD11b⁺ cells or CD11b⁺Gr-1^{int} **iNC** from young LoxP-Tag mice (Tg [young]), LoxP-Tag mice bearing sporadic tumors (Tg), HCC-bearing LoxP-Tag × Alb-Cre mice (Tg × Alb-Cre), and Ad.Cre-infected LoxP-Tag mice bearing virus-induced HCC (Tg/Ad.Cre). After 72 hours, CTL were stained with anti-mouse CD8 α antibodies and CFSE dilution of CD8⁺ T cells was analyzed by flow cytometry. (A, B) Total CD11b⁺ cells suppress polyclonally activated and antigen-specifically activated P14 T cells in vitro. One representative example (12% CD11b⁺ cells) per experimental group is shown for polyclonally activated P14 T cells (B). (C, D) CTL suppression is mediated by the CD11b⁺/T cells and antigen-specifically activated P14 T cells (D) in vitro. Overall significance for each graph was tested by Kruskal–Wallis 1-way analysis of variance.

enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (R&D Systems Wiesbaden-Nordenstadt, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Interleukin (IL)-6 was determined by FlowCytomix multianalyte immunoassays (Bender Medsystems, Vienna, Austria) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Generation of iMC in the presence of GM-CSF

For GM-CSF production in vivo, 16.113 tumor cells were retrovirally transduced with pMFG-GM-CSF¹⁶ and produced ~207 ng/mL/10⁶ cells/ day mouse GM-CSF without further selection (16.113-GM). One $\times 10^7$ parental 16.113 tumor cells or 1×10^7 cells of a bulk culture of 16.113-GM cells were injected subcutaneously into 4- to 6-week-old LoxP-Tag \times Alb-Cre mice. After 3 weeks, CD11b⁺Gr-1^{int} iMC were isolated and had a purity of 90% (without GM-CSF; n = 3) and 89% (with GM-CSF; n = 3). At this time point, 16.113 and 16.113-GM tumors had reached a size of 50 to 100 mm³ and primary HCC was detectable microscopically (early HCC). Serum levels of GM-CSF in LoxP-Tag \times Alb-Cre mice bearing 16.113-GM tumors were 21+/- 9 pg/mL (n = 10).

Statistic reports

Statistical analyses were performed with help of Andrea Stroux (Department of Biostatistics and Clinical Epidemiology, Charité Campus Benjamin Franklin, Berlin, Germany) using SPSS Software. The overall significance of each graph was calculated with the Kruskal-Wallis test. Comparisons of 2 groups were done by Mann-Whitney U test. P values of less than .05 were regarded as statistically significant.

Results

Pseudo-immunogenic but not nonimmunogenic or immunogenic tumors induce general CTL hyporesponsiveness in vivo

Pseudo-immunogenic sporadic tumors in LoxP-Tag (Tg), nonimmunogenic tumors in LoxP-Tag \times Alb-Cre (Tg \times Alb-Cre), and immunogenic tumors in Ad.Cre-infected LoxP-Tag mice (Tg/Ad.Cre) mice developed with different kinetics (Figure 1A). Tg \times Alb-Cre and, because of viral tropism, Tg/Ad.Cre mice develop HCC; Tg mice usually develop 1 macroscopically visible tumor in various organs including liver; nevertheless, we found that the tumor site did not influence systemic immune responses. We first compared in vivo CTL responses toward the oncogene Tag (pIV) and 2 tumor-unrelated antigens in the 3 tumor models. Although CD8⁺ T cells exhibited strong responsiveness for pIV upon immunization at young age (2-month-old Tg, 73.6%), no activity was detected in Tg mice with sporadic tumors (16- to 21-month-old Tg). Most likely because of Tag expression early in life, $Tg \times Alb$ -Cre mice did not respond to pIV-loaded targets. Notably, Tag-immunized Tg/Ad.Cre mice bearing HCC showed vigorous CTL killing of the pIV-loaded targets (98.3%), comparable to CTL activity in Tagimmunized young Tg controls and 14-month-old C57BL/6 (B6)



Figure 4. iMC from mice bearing Tag-driven autochthonous tumors do not transfer CTL suppression in vivo. (A, B) The suppressive potential of CD11b⁺ cells (A) and CD11b⁺Gr-1^{int} iMC (B) from LoxP-Tag mice bearing sporadic tumors (Tg), HCC-bearing LoxP-Tag x Alb-Cre mice (Tg × Alb-Cre), and Ad.Cre-infected LoxP-Tag mice bearing virus-induced HCC (Tg/Ad.Cre) was analyzed upon cell transfer into B6 mice, immunized with Tag pIV in incomplete Freund's adjuvant. In vivo cytotoxicity against Tag peptide IV was analyzed by flow cytometry. The percentage of specific killing in vivo is indicated. Experimental groups were no iMC (ω , n = 7), iMC from Tg mice (n = 3), iMC from Tg × Alb-Cre mice (n = 5), and iMC from Tg/Ad.Cre mice (n = 4). Bars represent means; error bars indicate SEM.

mice (85.1%; Figure 1B). To investigate tumor-unrelated antigens, CTL reactivity against minor histocompatibility antigens HY and the lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus-derived epitope gp33 was analyzed. Following HY-specific immunization, CTLs from tumorbearing female Tg mice barely eliminated male splenocytes (10.5%), whereas anti-HY CTL responses in Tg \times Alb-Cre (62.1%) and Tg/Ad.Cre (46.7%) mice were comparable to immunized young Tg (84.0%) and 12- to 18-month-old B6 mice (55.8%; Figure 1C). Upon gp33-specific immunization, in accordance with our previous data, some, but not all Tg mice with sporadic tumors showed reduced cytotoxicity toward gp33. Again, Tg/Ad.Cre mice (69.2%) and Tg \times Alb-Cre mice (86.3%) as well as young Tg (87.1%) and 14-month-old B6 mice (95.0%) attained gp33-specific killing in vivo (Figure 1D). Together, only pseudo-immunogenic but not nonimmunogenic or immunogenic tumors induce general CTL hyporesponsiveness.

Tumor-induced expansion of iMC and in vitro CTL suppression by the CD11b⁺Gr-1^{int} iMC subset does not correlate with CTL suppression in vivo

Accepting the different quality of systemic antitumor and tumorunrelated CTL responses as a reference point in the 3 models and the assumption that T-cell priming occurs in secondary lymphoid organs but not the tumor, we analyzed the role of splenic iMC in CTL suppression. Spleens of young Tg mice comprised 3.7% CD11b⁺ cells. Tumor-bearing mice of all 3 models showed significantly elevated myeloid populations. Spleens of tumor-bearing Tg mice contained 9.2%, Tg \times Alb-Cre mice 13.2% and Tg/Ad.Cre 5.9% CD11b⁺ cells (Figure 2A-B and supplemental Figure 1). Within the CD11b⁺ myeloid cells, the CTL suppressive activity of iMC has been attributed to CD11b⁺Gr-1^{int} but not CD11b⁺Gr-1^{high} cells.^{17,18} Therefore, we analyzed iMC in spleens of mice without or with autochthonous tumors according to the brightness in Gr-1 staining and found 0.5% and 1.1% of the respective CD11b+Gr-1high and CD11b⁺Gr-1^{int} iMC in young Tg mice, 1.4% and 3.9% in Tg mice with sporadic tumors, 1.7% and 7.5% in HCC-bearing Tg \times Alb-Cre mice, and 0.9% and 2.2% in Tg/Ad.Cre mice with virus-induced HCC (Figure 2C-D). This indicated that the CD11b⁺Gr-1^{int} subset of iMC accumulated in mice developing autochthonous tumors, irrespective of the tumor model and the immune status of the mice.

Commonly, in vitro CTL suppression assays are used to analyze suppressive properties of iMC.^{7,19} To analyze the function of iMC induced by autochthonous tumors in the different types of mice, 2

different coculture experiments were performed. CTL were activated either polyclonally (Figure 3A) or antigen-specifically (gp33) (Figure 3B) in vitro in the presence of titrated amounts of enriched iMC subsets (ranging from 0% to 24%). Splenic CD11b⁺ cells and CD11b⁺Gr-1^{int} iMC of tumor-bearing Tg, Tg \times Alb-Cre, or Tg/Ad.Cre mice but not of young Tg mice, blocked proliferation of polyclonal (Figure 3C) and gp33-specific (P14) T cells (Figure 3D) in vitro. Suppression could be maintained down to 3% to 6% CD11b⁺ myeloid cell proportionate and was nitric oxide-dependent (data not shown). For splenic CD11b⁺ cells, comparable results were obtained when analyzed in a ⁵¹Cr release assay (data not shown). Contamination of the enriched CD11b⁺Gr-1^{int} iMC fractions with either CD11b^- cells (4% to 21%), CD11c^+ DC (1.5% to 19%), or Gr-1⁻F4/80⁺ macrophages (3% to 22%) (data not shown) did not interfere with the CD8⁺ T-cell suppression. As others have previously shown for the CD11b⁺Gr-1^{high} iMC subset, this iMC fraction was not suppressive in vitro when obtained from young Tg, tumor-bearing Tg, Tg \times Alb-Cre, and Tg/Ad.Cre mice (supplemental Figure 2).

iMC from mice with pseudo-immunogenic, nonimmunogenic, or immunogenic tumors do not transfer CTL suppression in vivo

That iMC from mice with immunogenic (Tg/Ad.Cre) and pseudoimmunogenic tumors (Tg) were similarly suppressive in vitro raised the question whether they were also suppressive in vivo, because these mice differed substantially not only in the CTL reactivity against the tumor antigen Tag (pIV) but also tumor-unrelated antigens (HY and gp33). Analysis of adoptively transferred iMC in otherwise healthy B6 mice may serve better to dissect functional differences of iMC from the different tumor models.^{17,20} Therefore, iMC subsets (CD11b⁺, CD11b⁺Gr-1^{int}, and CD11b⁺Gr-1^{high} cells) were isolated from the different tumor-bearing mice and transferred into B6 mice, which were immunized with pIV peptide within 1 hour. As assessed by in vivo killing assays 8 to 10 days later, CD11b⁺ cells and CD11b⁺Gr-1^{int} iMC did not diminish priming of functional endogenous pIV-specific CD8⁺ T cells, regardless from which mice they were isolated (Figure 4). Similarly, transferred CD11b⁺Gr-1^{high} iMC did not suppress pIV-specific T cells (supplemental Figure 3). Transferred CD11b⁺Gr-1^{int} cells also lacked the capacity to interfere with pIV-specific CTL effector function (data not shown), compatible with the persistence of functional pIV-specific CTLs in Tg/Ad.Cre mice (Figure 1B).

Figure 5. Cytokine levels released by cell lines established from autochthonous tumors and in the serum of tumor-bearing mice. (A-C) VEGF and IL-6 are secreted by oncogene-driven autochthonous tumors. VEGF, IL-6, and GM-CSF levels were determined in cell culture supernatants of cell lines established from Tg mice bearing sporadic tumors (9.27, 9.29, 16.30, 16.113), HCC-bearing Tg x Alb-Cre mice (Alb.7, Alb.14, Alb.347), and Tg/Ad.Cre mice bearing virus-induced HCC (Ad.56, Ad.434). Retrovirally transduced 16.113 cells (16.113-GM) were tested for GM-CSF levels only. (D, E) VEGF and IL-6 were determined in sera of young Tg mice (n = 5 and n = 4), Tg mice (n = 8 and n = 38), Tg x Alb-Cre mice (n = 10 and n = 4), and Tg/Ad.Cre mice (n = 4 and n = 13). Note that no GM-CSF could be detected in sera of the mice shown above (data not shown). (F) TGF- β 1 levels were determined in sera of young Tg mice (n = 4), Tg mice (n = 7), Tg \times Alb-Cre mice (n = 4), and Tg/Ad. Cre mice (n = 4). Bars indicate means; error bars represent SEM; n.d. = not detected.



Among other factors, GM-CSF, IL-6, and VEGF are held responsible for inducing and maintaining suppressive iMC.^{5,17,18,21,22} Primary tumor cell lines derived from the 3 models produced, albeit to a different extent, VEGF and IL-6, which were also detectable in sera of tumor-bearing mice (Figure 5). GM-CSF was not detectable in serum (data not shown) and primary tumor cell lines produced, if at all, only minute amounts of GM-CSF (Figure 5C). To ask whether iMC can transfer CTL suppression in general in our tumor models, we provided GM-CSF exogenously. Therefore, 16.113 cells, a sporadic tumor cell line derived from Tg mice, were engineered to produce ~ 207 ng GM-CSF/mL/10⁶ cells per day (16.113-GM; Fig. 5C). 16.113-GM, but not 16.113 tumor cells that both grew subcutaneously in young Tg \times Alb-Cre mice bearing early HCC, only detectable after microscopic examination, induced CD11b⁺ Gr-1^{high} and CD11b⁺Gr-1^{int} iMC subsets, comparable to almost twice as old Tg \times Alb-Cre mice bearing advanced macroscopically

detectable HCC. These data suggest that CD11b⁺Gr-1^{int} iMC cells were induced by GM-CSF in this experimental setting. Additionally, 16.113-GM cells also induced CD11b⁺Gr-1⁻ cells (Figure 6A and supplemental Figure 4). Because increased CD11b⁺Gr-1^{int} iMC were induced only in the presence of 16.113-GM but not parental 16.113 cells, we subsequently induced CD11b⁺Gr-1^{int} iMC in Tg \times Alb-Cre mice bearing early primary HCC and the transplanted 16.113-GM in comparison with Tg \times Alb-Cre mice bearing only advanced primary HCC (but no 16.113 tumor). CD11b⁺Gr-1^{int} iMC were purified and transferred into B6 mice, which were immunized with pIV peptide on the same day. Eight to 10 days later, in vivo killing assay was performed as before. Only CD11b⁺Gr-1^{int} iMC from Tg \times Alb-Cre mice bearing both early HCC and the transplanted 16.113-GM tumor but not Tg \times Alb-Cre mice bearing advanced HCC suppressed the generation of pIV-specific CTL in vivo (Figure 6C-D). The CD11b⁺Gr-1^{high} iMC subset induced in the



Figure 6. Tumor-induced CD11b⁺Gr-1^{int} iMC transfer CTL suppression if induced by GM-CSF. (A) Spleen cells of B6 mice, LoxP-Tag × Alb-Cre mice (Tg × Alb-Cre) with early primary HCC and transplanted Tag⁺ GM-CSF-secreting 16.113 tumors, Tg × Alb-Cre mice with early primary HCC and transplanted Tag⁺ GM-CSF-secreting 16.113 tumors (16.113 GM), and Tg × Alb-Cre mice with advanced primary HCC (but no 16.113 tumor) were double stained with antibodies against CD11b and Gr-1. One representative example per experimental group is shown. Numbers indicate the percentage of CD11b⁺Gr-1^{high} and CD11b⁺Gr-1^{int} iMC of total spleen cells. All data for CD11b⁺Gr-1^{int} iMC are shown in (B). (C) CD11b⁺Gr-1^{int} iMC from Tg × Alb-Cre mice with early primary HCC and additionally transplanted 16.113-GM tumors and LoxP-Tag × Alb-Cre mice with advanced primary HCC (but no 16.113 tumor) were transferred IV into B6 mice and immunized with pIV subcutaneously on the same day. Naive and immunized B6 mice, which did not receive CD11b⁺Gr-1^{int} iMC served as control. Eight to 10 days later, in vivo cytotoxicity was analyzed. One representative histogram of each experimental group is indicated. Experimental groups were: no iMC (\emptyset , n = 4), iMC from Tg × Alb-Cre with advanced HCC (n = 6). Early HCC, microscopically detectable tumors; advanced HCC, macroscopically visible tumors. Bars represent mean, error bars indicate SEM. Overall significance for each graph was tested by Kruskal–Wallis 1-way analysis of variance.

presence of GM-CSF was not suppressive (supplemental Figure 4). These data demonstrate that CD11b⁺Gr-1^{int} iMC in our tumor model can transfer CTL suppression if induced by GM-CSF. However, GM-CSF did not appear to be involved in the induction of iMC by the autochthonous tumors, again irrespective of the immune status of the mice.

Discussion

iMC are a heterogenous cell population with pleiotropic activities supposed to be involved in tumor progression by immune and nonimmune mechanisms. Mainly investigated in tumor transplantation models,^{23,24} only a few studies have analyzed the immunosuppressive effect of iMC in autochthonous tumor models.^{5,22,25,26} We think that the analysis of iMC in primary cancer models better reflects the clinical situation. iMC have not yet been analyzed in mice with autochthonous tumors and differences in tumor-reactive T cells. The 3 related cancer models with the same tumor

transplantation rejection antigen but drastically different CTL responses to tumor-related and tumor-unrelated antigens might best uncover phenotypic and functional differences of iMC in vivo. Note that tumor cell lines from all 3 models had a regressor phenotype in transplantation experiments.^{8,10,11} Surprisingly, the apparently most suppressive iMC population, CD11b⁺Gr-1^{int} iMC, similarly expanded upon tumor progression and suppressed CTLs in vitro, regardless of whether the mice exhibited tumor-induced tolerance and general CTL hyporesponsiveness (sporadic tumors in LoxP-Tag mice), neonatal tolerance (HCC in LoxP-Tag × Alb-Cre mice), or even systemic tumor immunity (virus-induced HCC in LoxP-Tag mice). These data confirm previous concerns about the relevance of the in vitro assay for the role of iMC in vivo.²³ We analyzed spleen iMC because the mice differed in systemic CTL responses; therefore, we cannot exclude functional differences within the tumor microenvironment. Even more surprising, following adoptive transfer the iMC did not suppress CTL priming in vivo in naive B6 mice, again regardless of the immune status of the donor mice. Because iMC were detected for 4 days after transfer, a time when pIV-specific CTL are already detectable, our system might

have been able to demonstrate suppressive activity by the iMC (data not shown). In addition, iMC did not inhibit effector function of CTL in vivo because LoxP-Tag mice with virus-induced HCC had persistently functional pIV-specific CTLs despite the increase of iMC. Our data do not dispute that the iMC can be immunosuppressive in vivo, for example in Tg mice with cancerinduced tolerance and general CTL hyporesponsiveness (sporadic cancer model), but apparently iMC do not suppress CTL in a cellautonomous fashion. Only Tg mice with pseudo-immunogenic sporadic cancer but not Tg \times Alb-Cre or Tg/Ad.Cre mice with nonimmunogenic or immunogenic cancer, respectively, have elevated serum TGF-B1 levels and produce anti-Tag IgG antibodies.¹⁰ A cell population, termed "barrier" cells and resembling iMC was shown to inhibit third-party CTL responses in a non-cell autonomous fashion by involvement of B cell-derived IgG-TGF- β 1 complexes.^{27,28} These complexes were supposed to be taken up through Fc-receptors by the "barrier" cells, which activated latent TGF-B1 and inhibited CTL responses. Because we detected TGFβ1 producing B cells in Tg mice with pseudo-immunogenic tumors,¹⁰ iMC may be involved in general CTL hyporesponsiveness by a similar mechanism in our model. The lack of elevated TGF-B1 in Tg/Ad.Cre mice (Figure 5F) and the lack of both anti-Tag IgG and TGF- β 1 in Tg × Alb-Cre mice¹⁰ may explain why tumor-unrelated CTL responses were not impaired in these models. However, because of their plasticity (eg, exposure to GM-CSF was sufficient to convert iMC into in vivo suppressive MDSC), the immunoregulatory activity of iMC may vary dependent on the cancer type and/or the factors released within the tumor microenvironment. It also has to be kept in mind that tumor-bearing mice of the different groups differed in age.

One may ask why iMC are so reproducibly induced in cancerbearing hosts if they do not (in Tg/Ad.Cre) or do not need to suppress tumor-reactive CTLs (in Tg × Alb-Cre mice). Additionally, as noted previously, even in Tg mice with pseudo-immunogenic cancer, the potential contribution of iMC to general CTL hyporesponsiveness appeared to be a symptom, not the cause of cancer, because it occurred subsequent to tumor tolerance, probably resulting from chronic antigen exposure.¹⁰ Likely the involvement of iMC in angiogenesis and tumor cell invasion^{29,30} or fostering a favorable tumor microenvironment by $iMC^{31,32}$ is of pivotal importance for tumor progression, in particular because iMC also promote tumor growth in the absence of T cells.^{33,34} IMC have also been shown to accumulate in premalignant skin and spleen without interfering with in vitro polyclonal activation of CD8⁺ and CD4⁺ T cells.³⁵ Another question that emerges from this study is how HCC can develop in Tg/Ad.Cre mice with systemic tumor immunity and whether iMC suppress CTLs within the tumor microenvironment. In a parallel study, we found that tumor cells, which were injected into the liver of HCC-bearing Tg/Ad.Cre mice, were selected against the

References

- Gabrilovich DI, Ostrand-Rosenberg S, Bronte V. Coordinated regulation of myeloid cells by tumours. *Nat Rev Immunol.* 2012;12(4): 253-268.
- Gabrilovich DI, Bronte V, Chen SH, et al. The terminology issue for myeloid-derived suppressor cells. *Cancer Res.* 2007;67(1):425, author reply 426.
- Horiguchi S, Petersson M, Nakazawa T, et al. Primary chemically induced tumors induce profound immunosuppression concomitant with apoptosis and alterations in signal transduction in

T cells and NK cells. *Cancer Res.* 1999;59(12): 2950-2956.

- Gabrilovich DI, Velders MP, Sotomayor EM, et al. Mechanism of immune dysfunction in cancer mediated by immature Gr-1+ myeloid cells. *J Immunol.* 2001;166(9):5398-5406.
- Melani C, Chiodoni C, Forni G, et al. Myeloid cell expansion elicited by the progression of spontaneous mammary carcinomas in c-erbB-2 transgenic BALB/c mice suppresses immune reactivity. *Blood*. 2003;102(6): 2138-2145.

expression of tumor-unrelated neo-antigens, arguing against general CTL suppression by iMC within the tumor. Antigen-specific local tolerance allowing HCC progression in this model was partially mediated by interaction between programmed cell death protein 1 (PD-1) and its ligand PD-L1, because we found that Tag-specific T cells express PD-1 and the virus-induced HCC the respective ligand PD-L1.¹¹ Another conclusion from the current study is that tumor-reactive CTL, functionally activated in the course of viral infection, are not down-regulated by iMC, which expand because of HCC development subsequent to viral Tag activation.

In the Tag-driven tumor models examined in the present study, iMC were licensed to become MDSC in vivo in the presence of GM-CSF. This cytokine was shown to be one of the factors responsible for the preferential expansion of CD11b⁺Gr-1^{int/low} iMC subsets in vivo¹⁷ and to induce the immune-regulatory C/ EBP β transcription factor in vitro.¹⁸ The role of GM-CSF in cancer is complicated. For example, opposite effects on immune functions have been observed dependent on the amount of GM-CSF used as vaccine adjuvant.^{16,19,36} Furthermore, GM-CSF may have different effects at different time points of tumor development.^{1,35} In conclusion, although iMC are immunosuppressive under various pathological conditions, their role as immune deregulators for cancer progression requires further studies.

Acknowledgments

We thank K. Borgwald, S. Horn, K. Retzlaff, and M. Rösch for technical assistance. This work was supported by grants from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (Sonderforschungsbereich TR36 and TR54), and the "Alliance" program of the Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft Deutscher Forschungszentren (HA-202).

Authorship

Contribution: K.S. designed and performed experiments, interpreted data, and wrote the manuscript; S.Z. performed experiments; J.C.S. generated and provided GM-CSF producing 16.113; V.B. designed experiments; and T.B. and G.W. designed research, interpreted data, and wrote the manuscript.

Conflict-of-interest disclosure: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Correspondence: Gerald Willimsky, Institute of Immunology, Charité Campus Buch, Lindenberger Weg 80 13125 Berlin, Germany; e-mail: gerald.willimsky@charite.de

- Bunt SK, Sinha P, Clements VK, et al. Inflammation induces myeloid-derived suppressor cells that facilitate tumor progression. *J Immunol.* 2006;176(1):284-290.
- Gallina G, Dolcetti L, Serafini P, et al. Tumors induce a subset of inflammatory monocytes with immunosuppressive activity on CD8+ T cells. *J Clin Invest.* 2006;116(10):2777-2790.
- Willimsky G, Blankenstein T. Sporadic immunogenic tumours avoid destruction by inducing T-cell tolerance. *Nature*. 2005; 437(7055):141-146.

- Mylin LM, Schell TD, Roberts D, et al. Quantitation of CD8(+) T-lymphocyte responses to multiple epitopes from simian virus 40 (SV40) large T antigen in C57BL/6 mice immunized with SV40, SV40 T-antigen-transformed cells, or vaccinia virus recombinants expressing full-length T antigen or epitope minigenes. *J Virol.* 2000; 74(15):6922-6934.
- Willimsky G, Czéh M, Loddenkemper C, et al. Immunogenicity of premalignant lesions is the primary cause of general cytotoxic T lymphocyte unresponsiveness. J Exp Med. 2008;205(7): 1687-1700.
- Willimsky G, Schmidt K, Loddenkemper C, et al. Virus-induced hepatocellular carcinomas cause antigen-specific local tolerance. *J Clin Invest*. In press.
- Mombaerts P, Iacomini J, Johnson RS, et al. RAG-1-deficient mice have no mature B and T lymphocytes. *Cell*. 1992;68(5):869-877.
- Pircher H, Bürki K, Lang R, et al. Tolerance induction in double specific T-cell receptor transgenic mice varies with antigen. *Nature*. 1989; 342(6249):559-561.
- Postic C, Shiota M, Niswender KD, et al. Dual roles for glucokinase in glucose homeostasis as determined by liver and pancreatic beta cellspecific gene knock-outs using Cre recombinase. *J Biol Chem.* 1999;274(1):305-315.
- Zhang B, Bowerman NA, Salama JK, et al. Induced sensitization of tumor stroma leads to eradication of established cancer by T cells. *J Exp Med.* 2007;204(1):49-55.
- Dranoff G, Jaffee E, Lazenby A, et al. Vaccination with irradiated tumor cells engineered to secrete murine granulocyte-macrophage colonystimulating factor stimulates potent, specific, and long-lasting anti-tumor immunity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 1993;90(8):3539-3543.
- Dolcetti L, Peranzoni E, Ugel S, et al. Hierarchy of immunosuppressive strength among myeloidderived suppressor cell subsets is determined by GM-CSF. *Eur J Immunol.* 2010;40(1):22-35.

- Marigo I, Bosio E, Solito S, et al. Tumor-induced tolerance and immune suppression depend on the C/EBPbeta transcription factor. *Immunity.* 2010; 32(6):790-802.
- Serafini P, Carbley R, Noonan KA, et al. Highdose granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor-producing vaccines impair the immune response through the recruitment of myeloid suppressor cells. *Cancer Res.* 2004;64(17): 6337-6343.
- Kusmartsev S, Nagaraj S, Gabrilovich DI. Tumorassociated CD8+ T cell tolerance induced by bone marrow-derived immature myeloid cells. *J Immunol.* 2005;175(7):4583-4592.
- Bronte V, Chappell DB, Apolloni E, et al. Unopposed production of granulocytemacrophage colony-stimulating factor by tumors inhibits CD8+ T cell responses by dysregulating antigen-presenting cell maturation. J Immunol. 1999;162(10):5728-5737.
- Abe F, Dafferner AJ, Donkor M, et al. Myeloidderived suppressor cells in mammary tumor progression in FVB Neu transgenic mice. *Cancer Immunol Immunother*. 2010;59(1):47-62.
- Youn JI, Nagaraj S, Collazo M, et al. Subsets of myeloid-derived suppressor cells in tumor-bearing mice. J Immunol. 2008;181(8):5791-5802.
- Solito S, Bronte V, Mandruzzato S. Antigen specificity of immune suppression by myeloidderived suppressor cells. *J Leukoc Biol.* 2011; 90(1):31-36.
- Clark CE, Hingorani SR, Mick R, et al. Dynamics of the immune reaction to pancreatic cancer from inception to invasion. *Cancer Res.* 2007;67(19): 9518-9527.
- Meyer C, Sevko A, Ramacher M, et al. Chronic inflammation promotes myeloid-derived suppressor cell activation blocking antitumor immunity in transgenic mouse melanoma model. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2011;108(41): 17111-17116.

- Stach RM, Rowley DA. A first or dominant immunization. II. Induced immunoglobulin carries transforming growth factor beta and suppresses cytolytic T cell responses to unrelated alloantigens. J Exp Med. 1993;178(3):841-852.
- Beck C, Schreiber K, Schreiber H, et al. C-kit+ FcR+ myelocytes are increased in cancer and prevent the proliferation of fully cytolytic T cells in the presence of immune serum. *Eur J Immunol.* 2003;33(1):19-28.
- Shojaei F, Zhong C, Wu X, et al. Role of myeloid cells in tumor angiogenesis and growth. *Trends Cell Biol.* 2008;18(8):372-378.
- Yang L, DeBusk LM, Fukuda K, et al. Expansion of myeloid immune suppressor Gr+CD11b+ cells in tumor-bearing host directly promotes tumor angiogenesis. *Cancer Cell.* 2004;6(4):409-421.
- Li N, Grivennikov SI, Karin M. The unholy trinity: inflammation, cytokines, and STAT3 shape the cancer microenvironment. *Cancer Cell.* 2011; 19(4):429-431.
- Stairs DB, Bayne LJ, Rhoades B, et al. Deletion of p120-catenin results in a tumor microenvironment with inflammation and cancer that establishes it as a tumor suppressor gene. *Cancer Cell*. 2011; 19(4):470-483.
- Pekarek LA, Starr BA, Toledano AY, et al. Inhibition of tumor growth by elimination of granulocytes. J Exp Med. 1995;181(1):435-440.
- Tu S, Bhagat G, Cui G, et al. Overexpression of interleukin-1beta induces gastric inflammation and cancer and mobilizes myeloid-derived suppressor cells in mice. *Cancer Cell*. 2008;14(5): 408-419.
- Andreu P, Johansson M, Affara NI, et al. FcRgamma activation regulates inflammationassociated squamous carcinogenesis. *Cancer Cell.* 2010;17(2):121-134.
- Parmiani G, Castelli C, Pilla L, et al. Opposite immune functions of GM-CSF administered as vaccine adjuvant in cancer patients. *Ann Oncol.* 2007;18(2):226-232.