Targeting oncogenic Ras signaling in hematologic malignancies

Ashley F. Ward,¹ Benjamin S. Braun,^{1,2} and Kevin M. Shannon^{1,2}

1Department of Pediatrics and 2Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA

Ras proteins are critical nodes in cellular signaling that integrate inputs from activated cell surface receptors and other stimuli to modulate cell fate through a complex network of effector pathways. Oncogenic *RAS* **mutations are found in 25% of human cancers and are highly prevalent in hematopoietic malignancies. Because of their structural and biochemi-**

cal properties, oncogenic Ras proteins are exceedingly difficult targets for rational drug discovery, and no mechanismbased therapies exist for cancers with *RAS* **mutations. This article reviews the properties of normal and oncogenic Ras proteins, the prevalence and likely pathogenic role of** *NRAS***,** *KRAS***, and** *NF1* **mutations in hematopoietic malignancies, rel-** **evant animal models of these cancers, and implications for drug discovery. Because hematologic malignancies are experimentally tractable, they are especially valuable platforms for addressing the fundamental question of how to reverse the adverse biochemical output of oncogenic Ras in cancer. (***Blood***. 2012;120(17): 3397-3406)**

Introduction

Aberrant signal transduction resulting in reduced dependence on growth factors and other extracellular stimuli for the survival and proliferation of malignant cells is an established "hallmark of cancer."1 *RAS* genes encode a family of 21-kDa proteins that are central nodes in signaling networks that regulate cell fate in many tissue lineages. *RAS* genes are also the most common targets of dominant somatic mutations in human cancer.^{2,3} The high prevalence of mutations in *NRAS*, *KRAS*, and molecules, such as *CKIT*, *PTPN11, CBL*, and *BCR-ABL1*, that interact with Ras biochemically strongly implicate aberrant Ras signaling as an important therapeutic target in these cancers.4-7 In this review, we focus on the pathobiology of mutations in hematologic cancers involving the "core" Ras-GTPase activating protein (GAP) complex, which includes Ras itself, guanine nucleotide exchange factors, and GAPs. At this writing, mutations in *NRAS, KRAS,* and the *NF1* tumor suppressor, which encodes a GAP called neurofibromin,⁸ are strongly associated with myeloid malignancies. Increasing evidence also implicates these genes as "drivers" in lymphoid cancers with "high-risk" clinical features. No mechanism-based treatments exist for the $\sim 25\%$ of human cancers with *KRAS* or *NRAS* mutations or for the growing number of malignancies showing *NF1* inactivation, and we discuss potential therapeutic strategies for addressing the adverse biochemical consequences of aberrant Ras signaling.

Structural and functional properties of the Ras GTPase switch

Ras proteins are signal switch molecules that regulate cell fates by cycling between active guanosine triphosphate (GTP)–bound and inactive guanosine diphosphate (GDP)–bound conformations.⁹ On ligand binding, molecules, such as Shc, Grb2, Gab2, and SHP-2, are recruited to growth factor receptors and these complexes

activate Ras guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs; Figure 1).10 GEFs catalyze dissociation of guanine nucleotides from Ras, which is followed by passive rebinding. Because the concentration of free GTP in cells vastly exceeds that of GDP, GEF-induced nucleotide exchange increases Ras-GTP levels.10 Ras can be activated by a number of different GEFs in mammalian cells, including SOS1 and SOS2, RasGRFS1 and RasGRFS2, and RasGRP1 to RasGRP4 (Figure 1).2

GTP binding stabilizes the switch I and switch II domains of Ras, which then interacts productively with effectors.⁹ These effector molecules are activated biochemically through complex mechanisms that involve recruitment to discrete subcellular compartments, increasing intrinsic catalytic activity and/or inducing conformational changes that allow effectors to act as scaffolds for the assembly of signaling complexes.11,12 Three canonical Ras effectors have been the focus of intense study: PI3-kinase (PI3K), Raf, and Ral-GDS proteins (Figure 1). Of these, aberrant activation of the Raf/MEK/ERK pathway and the PI3K/Akt/mTOR cascade is most strongly implicated in malignant transformation and tumor maintenance. We refer interested readers to detailed reviews of individual effector pathways.2,13

Signaling is terminated when Ras-GTP is hydrolyzed to Ras-GDP. This "off" reaction is catalyzed by intrinsic Ras GTPase activity, which is inefficient in the absence of GAPs. GAPs bind to the switch domains of Ras-GTP and insert an "arginine finger" into the phosphate binding loop of Ras that stabilizes a transition state between Ras-GTP and Ras-GDP.2,8 Because GAPs accelerate GTP hydrolysis thousands of fold, some view the Ras-GTPase as enzyme complex composed of Ras and a GAP. Neurofibromin, the protein encoded by *NF1*, and p120 GAP are the predominant Ras GAPs in mammalian cells, and both are expressed broadly.^{8,14} Given the central role of Ras signaling in cellular homeostasis, it is perhaps not surprising that germline mutations in *NF1* and other genes that regulate Ras-GTP levels also cause developmental disorders.^{2,10}

Submitted May 20, 2012; accepted August 2, 2012. Prepublished online as *Blood* First Edition paper, August 16, 2012; DOI 10.1182/blood-2012-05-378596. © 2012 by The American Society of Hematology

Figure 1. The Ras switch. Ras proteins are switches that relay signals initiated when transmembrane receptors bind ligand. Activated receptors recruit GEFs by assembly of multiprotein complexes (eg, including SOS) or more indirectly, by evoking lipid modifications that recruit GEFs, such as RasGRPs, to cytosolic membrane surfaces. GEFs promote exchange of GDP for GTP on Ras. When bound to GTP, Ras adopts a conformation in which the "switch" regions are stabilized and can interact productively with various downstream effectors. Thus, Ras connects extracellular stimuli to intracellular networks that compute and execute cell fate decisions. The Ras signal is terminated by GTP hydrolysis, which is largley dependent on GAPs, such as neurofibromin (NF1) or p120 RasGAP.

Ras isoforms, posttranslational modifications, and intracellular trafficking

Ras GDP

GEF RasGRP SOS

> **GAP** NF1 p120

Raf **H** \mid **PI3K** | RalGDS

proliferation, survival, differentiation

Ras GTP

RAS genes encode 4 highly homologous proteins (H-Ras, N-Ras, K-Ras4a, and K-Ras4b) that are identical in the first 85 amino acids. This "G" domain includes the P-loop, which interacts with the γ -phosphate of GTP, and the switch regions (Figure 2).^{2,10} Ras proteins share 85% identity over the next 80 amino acids and only diverge substantially at the C-terminal "hypervariable region" (Figure 2).2 The hypervariable region of all Ras isoforms terminates with a CAAX motif, where the cysteine is prenylated by farnesyltransferase (FTase). After prenylation, the —AAX of the CAAX motif is removed by Ras-converting enzyme 1, and the carboxyl group of the newly exposed isoprenylcysteine is methylated by isoprenylcysteine-carboxyl-methyltransferase (ICMT). These lipid modifications provide weak membrane binding affinity that is stabilized by a second signal motif. For K-Ras4b, this is provided by a polybasic lysine domain, which interacts strongly with the phospholipid membrane. By contrast, H-, N-, and K-Ras4a are palmitoylated at cysteine(s) adjacent to the CAAX motif (Figure 2). These modifications are essential for correct trafficking and subcellular localization of each isoform.15 In particular, whereas H-, N-, and K-Ras4a are directed from the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) to the Golgi en route to the plasma membrane (PM), K-Ras4b localizes directly to the PM through an unknown mechanism. A significant portion of H-Ras, N-Ras, and K-Ras4a reside and signal in the Golgi and ER, and these proteins traffic between the Golgi, ER, and PM in a dynamic cycle that is regulated by depalmitoylation and repalmitoylation.15 Depalmitoylation is mediated by acyl protein thioesterase 1 and acyl protein thioesterase 2.15 By contrast, there are at least 20 palmitoyl transferases, and it is uncertain which of these act on individual Ras proteins.16 Perturbation of palmitate turnover leads to nonspecific distribution of H-Ras, N-Ras, and K-Ras4a to endomembranes and decreases signaling from the PM.¹⁷

Structural properties of oncogenic Ras proteins and therapeutic implications

Somatic point mutations of *RAS* genes at codons 12, 13, and 61 are the most common dominant oncogenic lesions in human cancer.2 Substitutions in these residues favor the GTP-bound conformation because of reduced intrinsic GTP hydrolysis and resistance to GAPs.2 The oncogenic Ras/GAP switch is an exceedingly difficult target for rational drug discovery. The most tractable molecular targets in cancer, such as BCR-ABL, the mutant epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), and B-RafV600E, are aberrantly activated kinases that are inhibited by selective small-molecule inhibitors. By contrast, oncogenic Ras proteins have reduced GTPase activity.2 Restoring enzymatic function, the fundamental biochemical problem posed by oncogenic Ras, is extremely challenging. Developing mechanism-based inhibitors of oncogenic Ras is further complicated by the picomolar affinity of Ras for GTP and by high intracellular GTP concentrations.¹⁸ Finally, the P loop of Ras is structurally constrained, with any amino acid substitution at glycines 12 or 13 introducing space-occupying side chains that distort the interaction with GAPs.⁹ It is therefore difficult to envision how small molecules might bind to Ras and restore GAP-mediated GTP catalysis. For these reasons, recent drug discovery efforts have largely focused on inhibiting kinase components of downstream effector pathways, such as PI3K, Akt, mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR), and MEK.

*NRAS***,** *KRAS***, and** *NF1* **mutations in hematologic cancers**

Different *RAS* genes are preferentially mutated in distinct tumor types, with *KRAS* mutations highly prevalent in common epithelial malignancies.² As summarized in the next 5 sections and in Table 1, hematologic cancers are unusual in that *NRAS* and *KRAS* are both

F P P

F $_{\rm c-c}$

P P

с—с—(м

C

lar compartments. H-Ras, N-Ras, K-Ras4a, and K-Ras4b proteins are identical in the first 85 amino acids, a region that includes the P loop (phosphate binding loop, amino acids 10-16), which binds the γ -phosphate of GTP, and the switch I (amino acids 30-38) and switch II (amino acids 60-76) regions, which regulate binding to Ras regulators and effectors. The next 78 amino acids show \sim 85%-90% sequence homology. Amino acids that are shared by all isoforms are depicted in light gray, those that differ are depicted in dark gray. The final 24 (23 for K-Ras4b) amino acids, called the hypervariable region (HVR), specify posttranslational modifications and trafficking for each Ras isoform. All 4 isoforms have a C-terminal —CAAX motif, which is farnesylated (F) by FTase. The

—AAX is removed by Ras-converting enzyme 1 (RCE1) and the cysteine methylated (M) by ICMT. K-Ras4b is then shuttled directly to the PM where it is stabilized by its polylysine domain (KKKKKK). The other 3 isoforms are shuttled to the Golgi apparatus, where they are palmitoylated (P) at one or more cysteines near the C-terminus before reaching the plasma membrane. On the membrane, H-Ras, N-Ras, and K-Ras4a can be depalmitoylated by acyl protein thioesterases 1 and 2 (APT1/APT2), directing them back to the Golgi. This palmitoylationdepalmitoylation, Golgi-plasma membrane cycle continues in a delicate balance until the proteins are degraded.

mutated at significant frequencies, with *NRAS* mutations predominating*. NF1* is the only Ras GAP implicated in tumorigenesis to date. Although mutations in Ras GEFs have been linked to the development of drug resistance in mouse models of hematologic malignancies,¹⁹ other mutations in this family of molecules are rare in human cancers (COSMIC database).

PM

JMML and CMML

These aggressive myeloid malignancies are classified as myelodysplastic syndrome/myeloproliferative neoplasia (MDS/MPN) "overlap" syndromes by the World Health Organization. A distinctive pattern of hypersensitive CFU-GM progenitor growth in methylcellulose cultures containing GM-CSF is a cellular hallmark of

Table 1. Incidence of *NRAS***,** *KRAS***, and** *NF1* **mutations in hematologic malignancies**

	NRAS	KRAS	NF1
JMML	17% (9-30)	8% (3-18)	7% (4-15)
CMML	19% (2-43)	11% (6-15)	ND
AML	11% (10-44)	5% (1-13)	\sim 5% (0-7)
MDS	5% (4-9)	$~1\%$	\sim 3% (0-9)
ALL	$10\% (0-18)$	4% (0-5)	6% (3-8) [*]
MM	18% (17-30)	8% (6-9)	ND

These data are tabulated from published series that used the current definition for each disease, distinguished clearly between *NRAS* and *KRAS* mutations and, with 1 exception (*T-ALL only), did not restrict inclusion based on an underlying genetic alteration or specific subtype of disease. The supplemental Materials (available on the *Blood* Web site; see the Supplemental Materials link at the top of the online article) include tables and references listing the primary sources for the data shown here by disease category, along with the number of cases sequenced in each report.

ND indicates not determined.

juvenile myelomonocytic leukemia (JMML) that is also observed in some cases of chronic myelomonocytic leukemia (CMML).20

F M $\begin{array}{ccc} & - & - & - \mathbb{C} & \mathbb{M} \ \mathsf{M} & \mathsf{M} \end{array}$

The association between neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1) and JMML pointed the way toward our current understanding of the underlying molecular pathogenesis.20 Genetic analysis of JMML bone marrow specimens from children with NF1 revealed somatic loss of the normal *NF1* allele, elevated levels of Ras-GTP, and activation of the Raf/MEK/ERK effector pathway.20 Sequencing revealed *NF1* mutations in $\sim 15\%$ of patients with JMML, suggesting that other genes involved in Ras signaling might also be mutated. Indeed, molecular analysis demonstrating somatic *NRAS* and *KRAS* in 25%-30% of JMML patients, and subsequent research identifying *PTPN11* and *CBL* mutations firmly established JMML as a disease of hyperactive Ras.20 Furthermore, the observation that children with NF1 and certain other developmental disorders are predisposed to JMML provided strong evidence that hyperactive Ras/Raf/MEK/ERK signaling initiates this disease.2

NRAS and *CBL* mutations are highly prevalent in CMML.^{4,21} Whereas *RAS* mutations are found in $> 20\%$ of patients with the myeloproliferative variant of CMML, they are rare in the more benign myelodysplastic variant.22,23 It is uncertain whether hyperactive Ras signaling can initiate leukemogenesis in CMML or whether mutations in genes that are involved in epigenetic programming are also required.²⁴ However, there is some evidence to support the role of cooperation between hyperactive Ras signaling and transcription factor mutations in acute transformation of CMML.25

AML

The 2 largest published series identified *NRAS* codon 12, 13, or 61 mutations in 10%-11% of adult acute myeloid leukemia (AML),

F

F $\binom{M}{k}$

and *KRAS* mutations in an additional 5%.26,27 A similar incidence of *NRAS/KRAS* mutations is observed in pediatric patients.28,29 *NRAS/ KRAS* mutations do not have independent prognostic significance in patients treated with current antileukemia regimens, although patients with AML harboring a *RAS* mutation may respond better to high-dose cytarabine as post-remission therapy.³⁰ Recently, highthroughput sequencing revealed novel *NRAS* and *KRAS* mutations in AML and CMML that introduce substitutions at amino acids 14, 60, 74, and 146 in 2%-5% of cases.31 This discovery suggests that 20%-25% of AML carries somatic *NRAS/KRAS* mutations. Loss of *NF1* expression was reported in 7 of 95 patients with AML, and 7 additional cases had heterozygous *NF1* loss.32

Observations in JMML and CMML and studies of genetically engineered mice summarized in "Insights from mouse models" provide compelling evidence that *NF1, NRAS,* and *KRAS* mutations are early or initiating events in some myeloid malignancies, particularly MDS/MPN overlap disorders. The picture is more complex in AML, with existing data suggesting that these mutations may function as either early/initiating or as cooperating mutations that are acquired during disease progression. *RAS* and *NF1* mutations are over-represented in the French-American-British (FAB) M4 and M5 monocytic subtypes, with an overall incidence of $> 30\%$.^{26,27,32} Interestingly, JMML and CMML also evolve to M4/M5 AML,^{31,33} and leukemia cells from patients with JMML, CMML, and FAB M4/M5 AML exhibit a similar signature of phospho-protein activation characterized by aberrant STAT5 activation at low GM-CSF concentrations.34 Together with the absence of consistent transcription factor mutations in FAB M4/M5 AML, these data raise the possibility that M4/M5 AML, JMML, and CMML are related malignancies in which *NRAS/KRAS/NF1* play an early and essential role in leukemic growth.34,35

A popular model suggests that initiation of AML requires both a "class 1" mutation that aberrantly activates signal transduction and a "class 2" mutation in a transcription factor.³⁶ Recent studies demonstrating a high frequency of heterozygous and homozygous mutations in genes that broadly regulate the eipgenome, such as *TET2, EZH2, IDH1, IDH2,* and *DNMT3A*, and other lines of evidence indicate that this simple paradigm only partially explains the complex pathogenesis of AML.37 However, it is useful for considering the potential role of *NRAS/KRAS/NF1* mutations as cooperating events in leukemogenesis. The observation that activating mutations in *FLT3* and *CKIT,* which encode receptor tyrosine kinases upstream of Ras, are common in AML and largely confined to cases without *RAS* mutations supports the broad relevance of "class 1" mutations in AML. Furthermore, several groups have shown that *RAS* and *FLT3* mutations can be lost or gained during disease evolution in AML and MDS.38,39 Indeed, cases with an *NRAS* mutation at diagnosis may demonstrate a *FLT3* mutation at relapse (and vice versa), and *NRAS* mutations are associated with acquired resistance to Flt3 kinase inhibitors in vitro.⁴⁰ These studies are consistent with the idea that mutations that alter signaling molecules are secondary events that contribute to clonal evolution in many patients with AML.

MDS/MPNs

Initial studies demonstrating somatic *NRAS* mutations in 20%-40% of MDS included patients with CMML, which undoubtedly inflated these numbers. Recent data indicate that *NRAS* is mutated in \sim 5% of MDS where it is associated with adverse clinical features and a high risk of transformation to AML.41,42 *KRAS* mutations are rare in MDS.⁴² Although there are limited data on somatic *NF1* inactivation in MDS, recurrent cryptic changes or deletions involving the *NF1* locus were identified in 3 of 35 patients.43 Mutations in *BRAF*, *PTPN11*, *CBL, FLT3, CKIT,* and other genes encoding signaling molecules that interact with Ras are also uncommon in MDS.44 Taken together, although the existing data do not support an integral role of *NRAS/KRAS/NF1* mutations in the development of MDS, they probably contribute to transformation to AML. By contrast, mutations that deregulate signaling networks are integral to the pathogenesis of MPN and *NRAS/KRAS/NF1* mutations are therefore not implicated in progression to acute leukemia.45

ALL

There are limited data regarding *RAS* mutations in lymphoid malignancies, particularly in adults. One small study suggested that $\sim 11\%$ of adult T lineage acute lymphoblastic leukemia (T-ALL) patients harbor *RAS* mutations.46 Neri et al identified *RAS* mutations in 6 of 33 ALL cases (18%), all of which were in codon 12 or 13 of *NRAS*, although patient age was not reported.47 In the pediatric literature, estimates of *RAS* mutation frequency in both Band T-lineage ALL approximate 15%, with *NRAS* mutations predominating.48,49

Early T-cell precursor ALL is a recently identified subtype of pediatric ALL with a distinct gene expression profile and an immunophenotype notable for expression of stem cell and myeloid markers.50 Children with this form of leukemia have high rates of induction failure, as well as extremely high risk of relapse.⁵⁰ Whole-genome sequencing recently uncovered mutations in genes involved in Ras signaling in 67% of early T-cell precursor ALL cases (19% *NRAS*, 3% *KRAS*, 14% *FLT3*, and the remainder in *JAK1*, *JAK3*, *BRAF*, and *IGFR1*).48 These data suggest that early T-cell precursor ALL may be initiated by *NRAS/KRAS* and other "driver" mutations that are commonly identified in myeloid malignancies in a multipotent hematopoietic stem/progenitor cell. Somatic *NF1* mutations were also reported recently in some human T-ALLs.⁵¹

MM

RAS is the single most commonly mutated gene family in multiple myeloma (MM).⁵² Whereas early publications estimated the frequency of *NRAS/KRAS* mutations in MM as high as 30%-40%,⁵³⁻⁵⁵ a comprehensive recent study identified codon 12, 13, or 61 *RAS* mutations in 23% of 529 patients.⁵² Of these, two-thirds were in the *NRAS* gene (mostly in codon 61), with the remainder in *KRAS* (largely in codons 12 and 13). *RAS* mutations predict shorter overall survival and progression-free survival in MM, but are rare in monoclonal gammopathy of unknown significance, a precursor to MM in some patients.52,53 Furthermore, as in AML, *RAS* gene mutations are occasionally not detected at diagnosis but found at relapse. Although not definitive, these data suggest that *NRAS/ KRAS* mutations are more likely to be involved in MM progression rather than initiation.52,53,55

Insights from mouse models

Ras oncogenes have been studied extensively in the mouse, both to elucidate the mechanisms underlying deregulated growth and to develop robust in vivo platforms for performing preclinical trials. Table 2 presents a partial list of informative models of hematologic malignancies. One strong theme that has emerged is that either inactivating *Nf1* or expressing oncogenic *Kras* from its endogenous genetic locus in the hematopoietic compartment is sufficient to produce a myeloproliferative disorder that models human MDS/

Allele	Model type	Genotype	Phenotype(s)	References
Kras ^{G12D}	Heterozygous conditional "knock in"	Mx1-Cre; Kras ^{G12D/+}	Aggressive MPN	35, 56
Kras ^{G12D}	Adoptive transfer of conditional "knock in" marrow into irradiated recipients	$Mx1$ -Cre: Kras $G12D/+$	T-ALL	65, 108
Kras ^{G12D}	Conditional "knock in" + retroviral insertional mutagenesis	$Mx1-Cre$; Kras $G12D/+$	T-ALL (\sim 80%); AML (\sim 20%)	66
Nras ^{G_{12D}}	Retroviral transduction/transplantation	WT cells expressing exogenous NrasG12D	CMML, AML	69, 70, 71
Nras ^{G12D}	Heterozygous conditional "knock in"	$Mx1-Cre$; Nras $G12D/+$	Indolent MPN, MDS, LPD, HS	57, 58, 75
Nras ^{G_{12D}}	Homozygous conditional "knock in"	Mx1-Cre: NrasG12D/G12D	Aggressive MPN	59,60
Nras ^{G_{12D}}	Heterozygous conditional "knock in" + retroviral insertional mutagenesis	$Mx1$ -Cre: Nras $G12D/+$	AML	58
$Nf1^-$	Heterozygous germline "knock out"	$Nf1^{+/-}$	Late-onset MPN in \sim 10% of mice	109
$Nf1$ ⁻	Adoptive transfer of homozygous "knock out" fetal liver cells	$Nf1^{-/-}$	Subactute MPN	110
$Nf1^-$	Heterozygous "knock out" + irradiation	$Nf1^{+/-}$	MDS, MPN	72, 73
$Nf1$ flox	Homozygous conditional "knock out"	Mx1-Cre; Nf1flox/flox	MPN	74
$Nf1^{flox}$	Homozygous conditional "knock out" + retroviral insertional mutagenesis	Mx1-Cre; Nf1flox/flox	AML (\sim 70%); T-ALL (\sim 30%)	19

Table 2. Mouse models of hematologic malignancies characterized by *KRAS, NRAS,* **or** *NF1* **mutations**

MPN. This highly penetrant phenotype progresses rapidly in *Mx1-Cre; KrasG12D* mice.^{35,56} Interestingly, similar models based on endogenous *NrasG12D* expression demonstrated a mild and variable myeloid phenotype,⁵⁷⁻⁵⁹ although mice that are homozygous for a conditional *NrasG12D* knock-in allele consistently develop aggressive MPN.^{59,60} Together, these data support the idea that differences in the structure and/or expression levels of different Ras isoforms influence the severity of myeloid growth dysregulation, which may have implications for therapeutic development.

Although the role of Ras pathway activation has been more thoroughly described in the development of myeloid disease, growing evidence implicates hyperactive Ras in the development of lymphoid cancers as well. Lymphoid transformation was observed in early studies of primary hematopoietic cells expressing mutant Ras,61 and spontaneous T-ALL is a common finding in transgenic mouse models bearing *Ras* oncogenes.62-64 In retrospect, such studies predicted the presence of Ras pathway mutations in human lymphoid leukemia (see "ALL"). T-ALL can be induced in *KrasG12D* mice by transplanting limiting numbers of hematopoietic stem cells into irradiated recipients or by retroviral insertional mutagenesis.65-67 Mutations leading to *Notch1* activation are common in this setting, and strong synergy exists between these 2 human leukemia oncogenes.68

It is probable that additional mutations contribute to leukemic outgrowth initiated by oncogenic *Ras* or *Nf1* inactivation in other contexts. Bone marrow transduced with retroviral vectors encoding oncogenic *Kras* or *Nras* can cause AML in recipient mice after transplantation, and these cancers exhibit clonal retroviral integrations.69-71 Retroviral insertional mutagenesis in *Nf1, Kras,* and *Nras* mutant mice was deployed as a forward genetic strategy to identify mutations that might cooperate with hyperactive Ras in leukemogenesis.19,58,66 These studies implicated *Evi1*, *Myb*, *Sox4*, and *Gfi1* in AML initiated by *Nras* or *Nf1* inactivation, and *Ikzf1* in *Kras* mutant mice with T-ALL. Consistent with reports of therapyinduced hematologic cancers in patients with NF1, heterozygous *Nf1* inactivation cooperates with radiation to induce MDS and MPN in mice.^{72,73}

Hematopoietic cells that either express endogenous levels of oncogenic *NrasG12D* or *KrasG12D* or have inactivated *Nf1* demonstrate a hypersensitive pattern of CFU-GM progenitor colony growth in response to GM-CSF that recapitulates what is observed in JMML and in some patients with CMML.20,35,58,74 Consistent with in vivo observations, this cellular phenotype is most pro-

nounced in cells from $Mx1-Cre$; Kras^{G12D/+} and homozygous *Mx1-Cre; NrasG12D/G12D* mice, but relatively subtle in *Mx1-Cre; NrasG12D/* and *Mx1-Cre; Nf1flox/flox* cells. Whereas biochemical analysis of bone marrow cells from these mutant mice revealed elevated basal levels of phosphorylated ERK (pERK), the degree of activation was unexpectedly subtle and bone marrow cells markedly increased pERK levels in response to growth factor stimulation.35,59,60,74,75 Detailed biochemical profiling of *Nf1* and *Nras* mutant AMLs has not been reported. Interestingly, however, although T-ALL cells from *Kras* mutant mice have constitutively high Ras-GTP levels, pERK, pAkt, and pS6 levels were highly variable.66 Together, biochemical studies of mouse leukemias support the idea that primary hematopoietic cells dynamically modulate signaling networks in response to increased Ras-GTP levels, which has implications for the use of targeted therapeutics.

Therapeutic strategies for inhibiting Ras processing and activation

Inhibiting posttranslational processing of Ras engendered intense interest in the 1990s. Drug discovery efforts focused on the essential lipid modification at CAAX sequence that is catalyzed by FTase.76 Clinical trials of selective and potent FTase inhibitors were largely disappointing,^{76,77} probably because K-Ras and N-Ras undergo lipid modification via geranylgeranyl transferase when FTase is inhibited and therefore retain biologic activity.76 Indeed, a preclinical trial of the FTase inhibitor L744,832 in mice that developed MPN after adoptive transfer of *Nf1*-deficient hematopoietic cells revealed no inhibition of K- or N-Ras processing at the maximally tolerated dose and no improvement in leukocyte counts or splenomegaly.78 Off-target effects may explain the clinical responses to FTase inhibitors reported in some leukemias.77,79 Unfortunately, combining FTase and geranylgeranyl transferase inhibition proved too toxic to represent a viable therapeutic regimen.⁷⁶

Genetic studies in mice investigated the effects of interfering with C-terminal processing of prenylated Ras proteins by Rasconverting enzyme 1 and ICMT. Inactivating *Rce1* in hematopoietic cells unexpectedly accelerated MPN in the *Mx1-Cre, KrasG12D* model of JMML/CMML.⁸⁰ By contrast, conditional inactivation of *Icmt* attenuated myeloproliferation in this strain and also dramatically reduced growth-factor independent colony growth in vitro.⁸¹ Although the opposite effects of *Rce1* and *Icmt* inactivation on

KrasG12D-induced myeloid disease are perplexing, it should be recalled that these enzymes catalyze the posttranslational modification of many other cellular proteins that could modulate the phenotype. Small molecules have been identified that block ICMT activity; however, these compounds have not yet been tested in vivo.⁸²

The palmitoylation/depalmitoylation cycle is a potential therapeutic target for selectively inhibiting the growth of hematologic cancers with *NRAS* mutations (Figure 2). A recent study reported that mutating the palmitoylation site at cysteine 181 to serine (C181S) in N-RasG12D inhibited plasma membrane localization in fibroblasts and prevented the development of myeloid disease in a retroviral transduction/transplantation model of MPN.83 Xu et al found that the C181S mutation exhibited dominant negative activity on myeloid progenitor growth and ERK activation, probably by sequestering effectors.60 Palmostatin B is a novel APT inhibitor that causes entropy-driven diffusion of H-Ras and N-Ras throughout the cell.⁸⁴ Exposing transduced hematopoietic cells from *Nras* and *Kras* mutant mice to palmostatin B mislocalized N-RasG12D away from the plasma membrane and reduced CFU-GM and AML blast colony growth. Importantly, this inhibition was selective for *Nras* mutant cells and mapped to the hypervariable region. Together, these studies identify APT inhibitors as a novel class of potential therapeutics in hematologic malignancies with *NRAS* mutations. Newer and more potent inhibitors in this chemical series were reported recently, although systemic toxicities have not been fully described.⁸⁵

Blocking growth-promoting signals that converge to activate GEFs is another potential therapeutic strategy for treating cancers characterized by *NRAS/KRAS/NF1* mutations. In the case of oncogenic Ras, this raises the question of whether these proteins are truly "constitutively active" or remain dependent on guanine nucleotide exchange for transforming activity. The answer is not known and may depend, at least in part, on cell context and on the nature of the underlying *RAS* mutation. However, there is some evidence that the mutant Ras/GAP switch is at least partially dependent on growth factor signaling in hematopoietic cells. This dependence is clearest in *Nf1*-deficient cells where genetic ablation of either *Gmcsf* or the β -common chain of the GM-CSF receptor markedly attenuates the JMML-like MPN.^{86,87} Interestingly, primary hematopoietic cells from *Mx1-Cre, KrasG12D* mice show little basal activation of Raf/MEK/ERK or PI3K/Akt/S6 signaling, and these pathways respond robustly to growth factor stimulation.^{35,88} It is unclear exactly how upstream inputs promote growth of cells expressing mutant Ras proteins, but the rapid development of AML in *Mx1-Cre, KrasG12D* mice after *Nf1* deletion implies that Ras-GTP levels may be limiting.89 Together, these observations suggest that interfering with growth factor-mediated Ras activation may be beneficial, at least in principle, in some cancers with *RAS* or *NF1* mutations. Along these lines, Maurer et al¹⁸ recently identified chemical inhibitors to a novel binding pocket on the surface of Ras. DCA1, a compound from this screen, inhibits Ras activation by interfering with SOS-mediated guanine nucleotide exchange, and probably also has activity against other exchange factors.¹⁸ Although the long-term therapeutic potential of this approach is uncertain, treatment with drugs that block "upstream" proteins might be considered in hematologic cancers with *NRAS/KRAS/NF1* mutations that respond to growth factor stimulation.

Targeting Ras effector pathways

Intensive effort has focused on developing small-molecule inhibitors of the Raf/MEK/ERK and PI3K/Akt/mTOR cascades. Effectors of oncogenic Ras are "indirect" targets that are activated by the mutant protein, introducing additional complexity. It is now appreciated that oncoprotein expression elicits compensatory responses with unpredictable consequences for downstream pathways. For example, whereas T-ALLs generated by insertional mutagenesis in *Mx1-Cre, KrasG12D* mice invariably show elevated levels of Ras-GTP, the activation of effectors, such as ERK, Akt, and S6, is highly variable in independent leukemias.⁶⁶

Although evidence is limited regarding which Ras effector pathways are essential for tumor initiation and which are required for maintenance in vivo, the spectrum of non-*RAS* mutations offers some insights. Whereas mutations that alter Ras-activated proteins are uncommon in JMML, CMML, and AML, "upstream" genes, such as *FLT3, CKIT, CBL,* and *PTPN11*, are frequently mutated in these cancers, suggesting that deregulation of multiple effector pathways is essential for myeloid transformation. By contrast, mutational analysis strongly implicates the PI3K pathway in T-ALL,⁹⁰ and the recent discovery of activating *BRAF* mutations in \sim 4% of MM⁹¹ suggests that aberrant Raf/MEK/ERK signaling is an important consequence of *RAS* mutations in this cancer.

Given the uncertainty regarding which Ras effector(s) are essential for the survival and proliferation of different hematopoietic cancers, evaluating targeted inhibitors in preclinical and clinical trials that include pharmacodynamic monitoring of biochemical endpoints can provide pathogenic as well as clinical insights. Sorafenib, a multikinase inhibitor with activity against Raf proteins, had promising single-agent efficacy in AML with *FLT3* mutations in a phase 1 trial.⁹² However, this may be the result of non-Raf effects, as sorafenib also inhibits Flt3 and other kinases.93 More potent and selective Flt3 inhibitors have shown great promise in AML94 and will certainly supersede sorafenib for directly targeting this mutant protein.

CI-1040 and PD0325901 are allosteric MEK inhibitors that have been evaluated in preclinical models of JMML/CMML, AML, and T-ALL.^{19,66,95} Whereas CI-1040 was ineffective in *Mx1-Cre, Nf1^{flox/flox}* mice with MPN,¹⁹ PD0325901 induced dramatic hematologic improvement and greatly prolonged survival in the *Mx1-Cre, KrasG12D* model of JMML/CMML.⁹⁵ These differential effects may reflect the short duration of in vivo MEK inhibition in the bone marrow cells of mice treated with CI-1040 (\sim 4 hours) versus prolonged effects of PD0325901.19 The beneficial effects of PD0325901 in *Mx1-Cre, KrasG12D* mice were associated with persistence of *Kras* mutant cells.95 These observations imply that treatment modulates the biologic behavior of these cells and suggest that it will be important to assess molecular markers of the malignant clone in clinical trials of MEK inhibition in JMML and CMML.

MEK inhibitors were also tested in insertional mutagenesis models of AML initiated by *Nf1* inactivation¹⁹ and of T-ALL characterized by oncogenic *KrasG12D* expression.⁶⁶ Although CI-1040 had no beneficial therapeutic index in *Mx1-Cre, Nf1flox/flox* mice with MPN, it induced dramatic disease regression and markedly extended survival in recipients transplanted with primary *Nf1*-deficient AMLs.¹⁹ This suggests that cooperating mutations acquired during progression from MPN to AML rendered these aggressive cancers more dependent on Raf/MEK/ERK signaling. All of these mice that initially responded to MEK inhibition ultimately relapsed despite continued treatment. Genetic analysis revealed the emergence of preexisting drug-resistant clones, underscoring the importance of genetic heterogeneity as a cause of resistance to targeted inhibitors.

"First-generation" MEK inhibitors demonstrated efficacy against solid tumors with *BRAF* mutations but had minimal activity in *RAS*-mutant tumors.96 Based on these disappointing data and unexpected ocular toxicity, clinical development of CI-1040 and PD0325901 was suspended. Newer MEK inhibitors appear to have less toxicity and greater efficacy against solid tumors harboring *RAS* mutations. However, it is uncertain whether they are superior to standard therapies, and a recent phase 2 study of the MEK inhibitor AZD6244 in advanced AML showed only modest and transient antitumor activity.97 PI3K signaling is activated in AML blasts, and PI3K inhibitors demonstrated antiproliferative activity in vitro. Although there are a number of PI3K inhibitors in clinical trials for solid tumors, none has yet been tested in humans with hematologic malignancies.

One potential explanation for lack of efficacy of single-agent PI3K/Akt or Raf/MEK/ERK inhibition in *RAS*-mutant cancers tumors may be the ability of either pathway to overcome inhibition of the other through the release of negative feedback loops or other mechanisms. This provides a rationale for simultaneously inhibiting both major effector pathways in *RAS*-mutant malignancies. Studies demonstrating synergistic antitumor effects of combining MEK and PI3K/mTOR inhibition in genetically engineered murine solid tumor models supports this general strategy. Finally, other Ras effectors in addition to PI3K/Akt and Raf/MEK/ERK may promote tumor formation and/or maintenance in human malignancies and could also represent potential avenues for acquiring drug resistance.

Identifying synthetic lethal interactions with oncogenic Ras

Performing RNA interference (RNAi) screens to identify "synthetic lethal" interactions between oncogene mutations and other cellular proteins is an emerging strategy for identifying novel therapeutic targets in cancer. The underlying rationale is that 2 mutations compatible with survival on their own may be lethal when combined. The goal of these experiments is to identify genes that, when "knocked down," selectively kill cells with *RAS* mutations with the hope that some of these will be tractable targets for drug discovery. Studies performed to date have uncovered novel candidate targets whose suppression results in selective apoptosis of oncogenic *KRAS*-mutant cell lines, including *PLK1, STK33, TBK1,* and *WT1*. 98-100 It will be several years before we know whether patients with *KRAS*-mutant malignancies will benefit from drugs that inhibit any of these targets; however, a number of issues have already emerged. First, there has been little overlap in the candidate genes identified by different groups. Second, genetic dependencies in cancer cell lines may not accurately reflect reality in primary malignances, which, as clinicians know, are exceptionally resilient. Third, reducing the expression of a protein is not equivalent to pharmacologically inhibiting a specific activity of that molecule. For example, many kinases are multidomain proteins that regulate cell growth through enzymatic and nonenzymatic mechanisms. RNAi screens cannot distinguish which of these functions is essential for the growth of transformed cells. Finally, recent experience with RNAi screens emphasizes that it is essential to thoroughly validate "hits" by attempting to rescue the lethal phenotype by re-expressing candidate cDNA molecules that are not inhibited by the knockdown construct used in the initial screen. With these caveats in mind, in vitro and in vivo RNA interference screening is a promising approach for discovering new therapeutic targets in an unbiased manner. A recent study that applied this general strategy to *KRAS* mutant nonsmall cell lung cancer uncovered a strong synthetic lethal interaction with the

GATA2 transcription factor.¹⁰¹ This work was particularly impressive as the authors identified drugable GATA-regulated signaling modules, which they validated by performing in vivo preclinical therapeutic trials in mice. Given the importance of GATA2 in hematopoietic lineage specification, these data have obvious implications for leukemia.

Summary and future directions

Developing therapeutic strategies to reverse the abnormal biochemical output of oncogenic Ras proteins without unacceptable toxicity to normal cellular signaling networks is one of the fundamental problems in cancer. *NRAS* and *KRAS* mutations contribute to a spectrum of hematologic malignances, and genetic analysis of human specimens as well as experiments in mouse models support the idea that *RAS* mutations can initiate leukemic growth or serve as cooperating mutations. The latter observation raises the question of whether targeting oncogenic Ras is worthwhile in cancers, such as AML, where it probably functions as a secondary mutation in most patients. Although the answer to this question is unknown, a reasonable working hypothesis is that any somatic genetic lesion that undergoes positive clonal selection in a substantial percentage of cancers contributes to malignant growth. The recent experience with Flt3 inhibitors in AML supports this idea. Like *NRAS, FLT3* mutations have been classified as "class 1" mutations that cooperate with aberrant transcription to induce full leukemic transformation. Early-phase clinical trials of potent and selective Flt3 inhibitors, such as AC220, showed that monotherapy induced remission in some patients with refractory AML.¹⁰² Importantly, "gatekeeper" mutations in the Flt3 kinase occur with relapse,¹⁰³ providing compelling genetic evidence that there is strong selective pressure for AML cells to restore aberrant Flt3 signaling in vivo. Effective biochemical inhibition of oncogenic Ras signaling in human acute leukemias with *RAS* mutations would probably have similar efficacy.

Treating advanced cancers with kinase inhibitors almost uniformly leads to genotype-specific response followed by regrowth of resistant cells.104,105 Based on this precedent, combining inhibitors of oncogenic Ras signaling with other anticancer agents will probably be essential for sustained efficacy. This general strategy was recently implemented in patients with "high risk" B-lineage ALL and *BCR-ABL1* rearrangements with promising results.¹⁰⁶ A recent study in lung cancer cells lines with EGFR mutations suggests that synthetic lethal screens might be particularly useful for identifying proteins that contribute to resistance to inhibitors of Ras effectors.107 In contrast to advanced cancers, such as AML and MM, it is possible that "early-stage" hematologic disorders, such as JMML, will respond to therapies that exclusively target oncogenic Ras signaling networks.

Targeting aberrant signaling downstream of oncogenic Ras remains a daunting challenge. Our current understanding of the Ras effector pathways that are essential for cancer initiation and maintenance is incomplete, and it is likely that these dependencies vary in specific cell types. A related question is how "addicted" different cancers are to oncogenic Ras signaling. At one end of the spectrum, mutant *RAS* might be required during early stages of leukemogenesis but dispensible thereafter. Alternatively, advanced hematologic cancers, such as AML, might become ever more dependent on oncogenic Ras as they evolve. If the latter proves true, it will be important fully elucidate the feedback mechanisms that cancer cells deploy to restrain aberrant signaling and to

characterize how these adaptations contribute to oncogene dependence. In addition to their inherent value as potential anticancer drugs, potent and selective inhibitors of Ras-regulated kinases are invaluable tools for addressing these questions through in vitro and in vivo studies.

Hematopoietic malignancies provide exceptional platforms for addressing mechanistic and translational questions because of established systems for culturing primary cells, robust mouse models, and the ability to serially sample diseased tissues from patients enrolled on clinical trials. Thus, rigorously interrogating how targeted anticancer agents modulate oncogenic Ras signaling and how this correlates with therapeutic response in hematologic malignancies has broad implications for reducing the major contribution of oncogenic *RAS* mutations to the worldwide burden of cancer.

Acknowledgments

The authors thrank the members of the laboratories of B.S.B. and K.M.S. for helpful discussions. Limitations on the number of references prevented us from citing some primary sources.

References

- 1. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. The hallmarks of cancer. *Cell.* 2000;100(1):57-70.
- 2. Schubbert S, Shannon K, Bollag G. Hyperactive Ras in developmental disorders and cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer.* 2007;7(4):295-308.
- 3. Malumbres M, Barbacid M. RAS oncogenes: the first 30 years. *Nat Rev Cancer.* 2003;3(6):459- 465.
- 4. Dunbar AJ, Gondek LP, O'Keefe CL, et al. 250K single nucleotide polymorphism array karyotyping identifies acquired uniparental disomy and homozygous mutations, including novel missense substitutions of c-Cbl, in myeloid malignancies. *Cancer Res.* 2008;68(24):10349-10357.
- 5. Nakao M, Yokota S, Iwai T, et al. Internal tandem duplication of the flt3 gene found in acute myeloid leukemia. *Leukemia.* 1996;10(12):1911-1918.
- 6. Nakata Y, Kimura A, Katoh O, et al. C-kit point mutation of extracellular domain in patients with myeloproliferative disorders. *Br J Haematol.* 1995;91(3):661-663.
- 7. Tartaglia M, Niemeyer CM, Fragale A, et al. Somatic mutations in PTPN11 in juvenile myelomonocytic leukemia, myelodysplastic syndromes and acute myeloid leukemia. *Nat Genet.* 2003; 34(2):148-150.
- 8. Cichowski K, Jacks T. NF1 tumor suppressor gene function: narrowing the GAP. *Cell.* 2001; 104(4):593-604.
- 9. Vetter IR, Wittinghofer A. The guanine nucleotidebinding switch in three dimensions. *Science.* 2001;294(5545):1299-1304.
- 10. Braun BS, Shannon K. Targeting Ras in myeloid leukemias. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2008;14(8):2249- 2252.
- 11. Sawyers CL, Denny CT. Chronic myelomonocytic leukemia: Tel-a-kinase what Ets all about. *Cell.* 1994;77(2):171-173.
- 12. Repasky GA, Chenette EJ, Der CJ. Renewing the conspiracy theory debate: does Raf function alone to mediate Ras oncogenesis? *Trends Cell Biol.* 2004;14(11):639-647.
- 13. Polak R, Buitenhuis M. The PI3K/PKB signaling module as key regulator of hematopoiesis: implications for therapeutic strategies in leukemia. *Blood.* 2012;119(4):911-923.
- 14. Bollag G, McCormick F. Differential regulation of rasGAP and neurofibromatosis gene product activities. *Nature.* 1991;351(6327):576-579.
- 15. Mor A, Philips MR. Compartmentalized Ras/

MAPK signaling. *Annu Rev Immunol.* 2006;24: 771-800.

- 16. Greaves J, Chamberlain LH. DHHC palmitoyl transferases: substrate interactions and (patho-)physiology. *Trends Biochem Sci.* 2011;36(5): 245-253.
- 17. Rocks O, Peyker A, Kahms M, et al. An acylation cycle regulates localization and activity of palmitoylated Ras isoforms. *Science.* 2005;307(5716): 1746-1752.
- 18. Maurer T, Garrenton LS, Oh A, et al. Smallmolecule ligands bind to a distinct pocket in Ras and inhibit SOS-mediated nucleotide exchange activity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2012;109(14): 5299-5304.
- 19. Lauchle JO, Kim D, Le DT, et al. Response and resistance to MEK inhibition in leukaemias initiated by hyperactive Ras. *Nature.* 2009; 461(7262):411-414.
- 20. Loh ML. Recent advances in the pathogenesis and treatment of juvenile myelomonocytic leukaemia. *Br J Haematol.* 2011;152(6):677-687.
- 21. Onida F, Kantarjian HM, Smith TL, et al. Prognostic factors and scoring systems in chronic myelomonocytic leukemia: a retrospective analysis of 213 patients. *Blood.* 2002;99(3):840-849.
- 22. Ricci C, Fermo E, Corti S, et al. RAS mutations contribute to evolution of chronic myelomonocytic leukemia to the proliferative variant. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2010;16(8):2246-2256.
- 23. Onida F, Beran M. Chronic myelomonocytic leukemia: myeloproliferative variant. *Curr Hematol Rep.* 2004;3(3):218-226.
- 24. Jankowska AM, Makishima H, Tiu RV, et al. Mutational spectrum analysis of chronic myelomonocytic leukemia includes genes associated with epigenetic regulation: UTX, EZH2, and DNMT3A. *Blood.* 2011;118(14):3932-3941.
- 25. Kuo M-C, Liang D-C, Huang C-F, et al. RUNX1 mutations are frequent in chronic myelomonocytic leukemia and mutations at the C-terminal region might predict acute myeloid leukemia transformation. *Leukemia.* 2009;23(8):1426- 1431.
- 26. Bacher U, Haferlach T, Schoch C, Kern W, Schnittger S. Implications of NRAS mutations in AML: a study of 2502 patients. *Blood.* 2006; 107(10):3847-3853.
- 27. Bowen DT, Frew ME, Hills R, et al. RAS mutation in acute myeloid leukemia is associated with distinct cytogenetic subgroups but does not influ-

The work in our laboratories on *RAS* and *NF1* mutations in hematologic cancers was supported by the Children's Tumor Foundation (Neurofibromatosis Preclinical Consortium award), the National Institutes of Health (grant R37 CA72614), the Leukemia & Lymphoma Society of America (Specialized Center of Research award), a St Baldrick's Foundation Scholar award (B.S.B.), and a Hyundai Hope on Wheels Fellowship (A.F.W.). K.M.S. is an American Cancer Society Research Professor.

Authorship

Contribution: A.F.W., B.S.B., and K.M.S. wrote the manuscript.

Conflict-of-interest disclosure: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Correspondence: Kevin M. Shannon, Helen Diller Family Cancer Research Building, University of California, San Francisco, 1450 3rd St, Room 240, San Francisco, CA 94158-9001; e-mail: shannonk@peds.ucsf.edu.

> ence outcome in patients younger than 60 years. *Blood.* 2005;106(6):2113-2119.

- 28. Vogelstein B, Civin CI, Preisinger AC, et al. RAS gene mutations in childhood acute myeloid leukemia: a Pediatric Oncology Group study. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer.* 1990;2(2):159-162.
- 29. Berman JN, Gerbing RB, Alonzo TA, et al. Prevalence and clinical implications of NRAS mutations in childhood AML: a report from the Children's Oncology Group. *Leukemia.* 2011;25(6):1039- 1042.
- 30. Neubauer A, Maharry K, Mrózek K, et al. Patients with acute myeloid leukemia and RAS mutations benefit most from postremission high-dose cytarabine: a Cancer and Leukemia Group B study. *J Clin Oncol.* 2008;26(28):4603-4609.
- 31. Tyner JW, Erickson H, Deininger MWN, et al. High-throughput sequencing screen reveals novel, transforming RAS mutations in myeloid leukemia patients. *Blood.* 2009;113(8):1749- 1755.
- 32. Parkin B, Ouillette P, Wang Y, et al. NF1 inactivation in adult acute myelogenous leukemia. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2010;16(16):4135-4147.
- 33. Kaneko Y, Maseki N, Sakurai M, et al. Chromosome pattern in juvenile chronic myelogenous leukemia, myelodysplastic syndrome, and acute leukemia associated with neurofibromatosis. *Leukemia.* 1989;3(1):36-41.
- 34. Kotecha N, Flores NJ, Irish JM, et al. Single-cell profiling identifies aberrant STAT5 activation in myeloid malignancies with specific clinical and biologic correlates. *Cancer Cell.* 2008;14(4):335- 343.
- 35. Braun BS, Tuveson DA, Kong N, et al. Somatic activation of oncogenic Kras in hematopoietic cells initiates a rapidly fatal myeloproliferative disorder. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2004;101(2): 597-602.
- 36. Kelly LM, Gilliland DG. Genetics of myeloid leukemias. *Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet.* 2002;3: 179-198.
- 37. Vainchenker W, Delhommeau F, Constantinescu SN, Bernard OA. New mutations and pathogenesis of myeloproliferative neoplasms. *Blood.* 2011; 118(7):1723-1735.
- 38. Nakano Y, Kiyoi H, Miyawaki S, et al. Molecular evolution of acute myeloid leukaemia in relapse: unstable N-ras and FLT3 genes compared with p53 gene. *Br J Haematol.* 1999;104(4):659-664.
- 39. Nakagawa T, Saitoh S, Imoto S, et al. Multiple

point mutation of N-ras and K-ras oncogenes in myelodysplastic syndrome and acute myelogenous leukemia. *Oncology.* 1992;49(2):114-122.

- 40. Piloto O, Wright M, Brown P, et al. Prolonged exposure to FLT3 inhibitors leads to resistance via activation of parallel signaling pathways. *Blood.* 2007;109(4):1643-1652.
- 41. Bacher U, Haferlach T, Kern W, Haferlach C, Schnittger S. A comparative study of molecular mutations in 381 patients with myelodysplastic syndrome and in 4130 patients with acute myeloid leukemia. *Haematologica.* 2007;92(6):744- 752.
- 42. Bejar R, Stevenson K, Abdel-Wahab O, et al. Clinical effect of point mutations in myelodysplastic syndromes. *N Engl J Med.* 2011;364(26):2496- 2506.
- 43. Kolquist KA, Schultz RA, Furrow A, et al. Microarray-based comparative genomic hybridization of cancer targets reveals novel, recurrent genetic aberrations in the myelodysplastic syndromes. *Cancer Genet.* 2011;204(11):603-628.
- 44. Bejar R, Levine R, Ebert BL. Unraveling the molecular pathophysiology of myelodysplastic syndromes. *J Clin Oncol.* 2011;29(5):504-515.
- 45. Van Etten RA, Shannon KM. Focus on myeloproliferative diseases and myelodysplastic syndromes. *Cancer Cell.* 2004;6(6):547-552.
- 46. Flex E, Petrangeli V, Stella L, et al. Somatically acquired JAK1 mutations in adult acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *J Exp Med.* 2008;205(4):751- 758.
- 47. Neri A, Knowles DM, Greco A, McCormick F, Dalla-Favera R. Analysis of RAS oncogene mutations in human lymphoid malignancies. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1988;85(23):9268-9272.
- 48. Zhang J, Ding L, Holmfeldt L, et al. The genetic basis of early T-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. *Nature.* 2012;481(7380):157-163.
- 49. Perentesis JP, Bhatia S, Boyle E, et al. RAS oncogene mutations and outcome of therapy for childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Leukemia.* 2004;18(4):685-692.
- 50. Coustan-Smith E, Mullighan CG, Onciu M, et al. Early T-cell precursor leukaemia: a subtype of very high-risk acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. *Lancet Oncol.* 2009;10(2):147-156.
- 51. Balgobind BV, Van Vlierberghe P, van den Ouweland AMW, et al. Leukemia-associated NF1 inactivation in patients with pediatric T-ALL and AML lacking evidence for neurofibromatosis. *Blood.* 2008;111(8):4322-4328.
- 52. Chng WJ, Gonzalez-Paz N, Price-Troska T, et al. Clinical and biological significance of RAS mutations in multiple myeloma. *Leukemia.* 2008; 22(12):2280-2284.
- 53. Liu P, Leong T, Quam L, et al. Activating mutations of N- and K-ras in multiple myeloma show different clinical associations: analysis of the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Phase III Trial. *Blood.* 1996;88(7):2699-2706.
- 54. Corradini P, Ladetto M, Voena C, et al. Mutational activation of N- and K-ras oncogenes in plasma cell dyscrasias. *Blood.* 1993;81(10):2708-2713.
- 55. Rasmussen T, Kuehl M, Lodahl M, Johnsen HE, Dahl IMS. Possible roles for activating RAS mutations in the MGUS to MM transition and in the intramedullary to extramedullary transition in some plasma cell tumors. *Blood.* 2005;105(1):317-323.
- 56. Chan IT, Kutok JL, Williams IR, et al. Conditional expression of oncogenic K-ras from its endogenous promoter induces a myeloproliferative disease. *J Clin Invest.* 2004;113(4):528-538.
- 57. Haigis KM, Kendall KR, Wang Y, et al. Differential effects of oncogenic K-Ras and N-Ras on proliferation, differentiation and tumor progression in the colon. *Nat Genet.* 2008;40(5):600-608.
- 58. Li Q, Haigis KM, McDaniel A, et al. Hematopoiesis and leukemogenesis in mice expressing on-

cogenic NrasG12D from the endogenous locus. *Blood.* 2011;117(6):2022-2032.

- 59. Wang J, Liu Y, Li Z, et al. Endogenous oncogenic Nras mutation initiates hematopoietic malignancies in a dose- and cell type-dependent manner. *Blood.* 2011;118(2):368-379.
- 60. Xu J, Hedberg C, Dekker FJ, et al. Inhibiting the palmitoylation/depalmitoylation cycle selectively reduces the growth of hematopoietic cells expressing oncogenic Nras. *Blood.* 2012;119(4): 1032-1035.
- 61. Holmes KL, Pierce JH, Davidson WF, Morse HC. Murine hematopoietic cells with pre-B or pre-B/myeloid characteristics are generated by in vitro transformation with retroviruses containing fes, ras, abl, and src oncogenes. *J Exp Med.* 1986;164(2):443-457.
- 62. Dunbar CE, Crosier PS, Nienhuis AW. Introduction of an activated RAS oncogene into murine bone marrow lymphoid progenitors via retroviral gene transfer results in thymic lymphomas. *Oncogene Res.* 1991;6(1):39-51.
- 63. Hawley RG, Fong AZ, Ngan BY, Hawley TS. Hematopoietic transforming potential of activated ras in chimeric mice. *Oncogene.* 1995;11(6): 1113-1123.
- 64. Johnson L, Mercer K, Greenbaum D, et al. Somatic activation of the K-ras oncogene causes early onset lung cancer in mice. *Nature.* 2001; 410(6832):1111-1116.
- 65. Sabnis AJ, Cheung LS, Dail M, et al. Oncogenic Kras initiates leukemia in hematopoietic stem cells. *PLoS Biol.* 2009;7(3):e59.
- 66. Dail M, Li Q, McDaniel A, et al. Mutant Ikzf1, KrasG12D, and Notch1 cooperate in T lineage leukemogenesis and modulate responses to targeted agents. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2010; 107(11):5106-5111.
- 67. Zhang J, Wang J, Liu Y, et al. Oncogenic Krasinduced leukemogeneis: hematopoietic stem cells as the initial target and lineage-specific progenitors as the potential targets for final leukemic transformation. *Blood.* 2009;113(6):1304-1314.
- 68. Chiang MY, Xu L, Shestova O, et al. Leukemiaassociated NOTCH1 alleles are weak tumor initiators but accelerate K-ras-initiated leukemia. *J Clin Invest.* 2008;118(9):3181-3194.
- 69. MacKenzie KL, Dolnikov A, Millington M, Shounan Y, Symonds G. Mutant N-ras induces myeloproliferative disorders and apoptosis in bone marrow repopulated mice. *Blood.* 1999; 93(6):2043-2056.
- 70. Parikh C, Subrahmanyam R, Ren R. Oncogenic NRAS rapidly and efficiently induces CMML- and AML-like diseases in mice. *Blood.* 2006;108(7): 2349-2357.
- 71. Parikh C, Subrahmanyam R, Ren R. Oncogenic NRAS, KRAS, and HRAS exhibit different leukemogenic potentials in mice. *Cancer Res.* 2007; 67(15):7139-7146.
- 72. Chao RC, Pyzel U, Fridlyand J, et al. Therapyinduced malignant neoplasms in Nf1 mutant mice. *Cancer Cell.* 2005;8(4):337-348.
- 73. Nakamura JL, Phong C, Pinarbasi E, et al. Dosedependent effects of focal fractionated irradiation on secondary malignant neoplasms in Nf1 mutant mice. *Cancer Res.* 2011;71(1):106-115.
- 74. Le DT, Kong N, Zhu Y, et al. Somatic inactivation of Nf1 in hematopoietic cells results in a progressive myeloproliferative disorder. *Blood.* 2004; 103(11):4243-4250.
- 75. Wang J, Liu Y, Li Z, et al. Endogenous oncogenic Nras mutation promotes aberrant GM-CSF signaling in granulocytic/monocytic precursors in a murine model of chronic myelomonocytic leukemia. *Blood.* 2010;116(26):5991-6002.
- 76. Downward J. Targeting RAS signalling pathways in cancer therapy. *Nat Rev Cancer.* 2003;3(1):11- 22.
- 77. Karp JE, Lancet JE, Kaufmann SH, et al. Clinical

and biologic activity of the farnesyltransferase inhibitor R115777 in adults with refractory and relapsed acute leukemias: a phase 1 clinicallaboratory correlative trial. *Blood.* 2001;97(11): 3361-3369.

- 78. Mahgoub N, Taylor BR, Gratiot M, et al. In vitro and in vivo effects of a farnesyltransferase inhibitor on Nf1-deficient hematopoietic cells. *Blood.* 1999;94(7):2469-2476.
- 79. Castleberry RP, Loh ML, Jayaprakash N, et al. Phase II window study of the farnesyltransferase inhibitor R115777 (Zarnestra) in untreated juvenile myelomonocytic leukemia (JMML): a Children's Oncology Group Study. *Blood.* 2005; 106(Suppl 1):727a-728a.
- 80. Wahlstrom AM, Cutts BA, Karlsson C, et al. Rce1 deficiency accelerates the development of K-RAS-induced myeloproliferative disease. *Blood.* 2007;109(2):763-768.
- 81. Wahlstrom AM, Cutts BA, Liu M, et al. Inactivating Icmt ameliorates K-RAS-induced myeloproliferative disease. *Blood.* 2008;112(4):1357-1365.
- 82. Wang M, Hossain MS, Tan W, et al. Inhibition of isoprenylcysteine carboxylmethyltransferase induces autophagic-dependent apoptosis and impairs tumor growth. *Oncogene.* 2010;29(35): 4959-4970.
- 83. Cuiffo B, Ren R. Palmitoylation of oncogenic NRAS is essential for leukemogenesis. *Blood.* 2010;115(17):3598-3605.
- 84. Dekker FJ, Rocks O, Vartak N, et al. Smallmolecule inhibition of APT1 affects Ras localization and signaling. *Nat Chem Biol.* 2010;6(6):449- 456.
- 85. Hedberg C, Dekker FJ, Rusch M, et al. Development of highly potent inhibitors of the Rastargeting human Acyl protein thioesterases based on substrate similarity design [published online ahead of print September 9, 2011]. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl.* doi:10.1002/anie.201102965.
- 86. Birnbaum RA, O'Marcaigh A, Wardak Z, et al. Nf1 and Gmcsf interact in myeloid leukemogenesis. *Mol Cell.* 2000;5(1):189-195.
- 87. Kim A, Morgan K, Hasz DE, et al. Beta common receptor inactivation attenuates myeloproliferative disease in Nf1 mutant mice. *Blood.* 2007; 109(4):1687-1691.
- 88. Van Meter MEM, Díaz-Flores E, Archard JA, et al. K-RasG12D expression induces hyperproliferation and aberrant signaling in primary hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells. *Blood.* 2007;109(9): 3945-3952.
- 89. Cutts BA, Sjogren A-KM, Andersson KME, et al. Nf1 deficiency cooperates with oncogenic K-RAS to induce acute myeloid leukemia in mice. *Blood.* 2009;114(17):3629-3632.
- 90. Gutierrez A, Sanda T, Grebliunaite R, et al. High frequency of PTEN, PI3K, and AKT abnormalities in T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Blood.* 2009;114(3):647-650.
- 91. Chapman MA, Lawrence MS, Keats JJ, et al. Initial genome sequencing and analysis of multiple myeloma. *Nature.* 2011;471(7339):467-472.
- 92. Borthakur G, Kantarjian H, Ravandi F, et al. Phase I study of sorafenib in patients with refractory or relapsed acute leukemias. *Haematologica.* 2011;96(1):62-68.
- 93. Wilhelm SM, Carter C, Tang L, et al. BAY 43– 9006 exhibits broad spectrum oral antitumor activity and targets the RAF/MEK/ERK pathway and receptor tyrosine kinases involved in tumor progression and angiogenesis. *Cancer Res.* 2004; 64(19):7099-7109.
- 94. Fathi AT, Chabner BA. FLT3 inhibition as therapy in acute myeloid leukemia: a record of trials and tribulations. *Oncologist.* 2011;16(8):1162-1174.
- 95. Lyubynska N, Gorman MF, Lauchle JO, et al. A MEK inhibitor abrogates myeloproliferative disease in Kras mutant mice. *Sci Transl Med.* 2011; 3(76):76ra27.
- 96. Solit DB, Garraway LA, Pratilas CA, et al. BRAF mutation predicts sensitivity to MEK inhibition. *Nature.* 2006;439(7074):358-362.
- 97. Odenike O, Curran E, Iyengar N, et al. Phase II study of the oral MEK inhibitor AZD6244 in advanced acute myeloid leukemia (AML) [abstract]. *Blood (ASH Annual Meeting Abstracts*). 2009; 114.
- 98. Scholl C, Fröhling S, Dunn IF, et al. Synthetic lethal interaction between oncogenic KRAS dependency and STK33 suppression in human cancer cells. *Cell.* 2009;137(5):821-834.
- 99. Barbie DA, Tamayo P, Boehm JS, et al. Systematic RNA interference reveals that oncogenic KRAS-driven cancers require TBK1. *Nature.* 2009;462(7269):108-112.
- 100. Luo J, Emanuele MJ, Li D, et al. A genome-wide RNAi screen identifies multiple synthetic lethal interactions with the Ras oncogene. *Cell.* 2009; 137(5):835-848.
- 101. Kumar MS, Hancock DC, Molina-Arcas M, et al. The GATA2 transcriptional network is requisite for

RAS oncogene-driven non-small cell lung cancer. *Cell.* 2012;149(3):642-655.

- 102. Cortes J, Perl A, Smith C, et al. A phase II openlabel, AC220 monotherapy efficacy (ACE) study in patients with acute myeloid leukemia (AML) with Flt3-ITD activating mutations: interim results. *Haematologica.* 2011;96(Suppl 2):426.
- 103. Smith CC, Wang Q, Chin C-S, et al. Validation of ITD mutations in FLT3 as a therapeutic target in human acute myeloid leukaemia. *Nature.* 2012; 485(7397):260-263.
- 104. Moore AS, Faisal A, de Castro DG, et al. Selective FLT3 inhibition of $FLT3-ITD(+)$ acute myeloid leukaemia resulting in secondary D835Y mutation: a model for emerging clinical resistance patterns. *Leukemia.* 2012;26(7):1462-1470.
- 105. Man CH, Fung TK, Ho C, et al. Sorafenib treatment of FLT3-ITD + acute mveloid leukemia: favorable initial outcome and mechanisms of subsequent non-responsiveness associated with a D835 mutation. *Blood.* 2012;119(22):5133-5143.
- 106. Ravandi F, O'Brien S, Thomas D, et al. First re-

port of phase 2 study of dasatinib with hyper-CVAD for the frontline treatment of patients with Philadelphia chromosome-positive (Ph+) acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Blood.* 2010;116(12): 2070-2077.

- 107. Bivona TG, Hieronymus H, Parker J, et al. FAS and NF-kappaB signalling modulate dependence of lung cancers on mutant EGFR. *Nature.* 2011; 471(7339):523-526.
- 108. Kindler T, Cornejo MG, Scholl C, et al. K-RasG12D-induced T-cell lymphoblastic lymphoma/leukemias harbor Notch1 mutations and are sensitive to gamma-secretase inhibitors. *Blood.* 2008;112(8):3373-3382.
- 109. Jacks T, Shih TS, Schmitt EM, et al. Tumour predisposition in mice heterozygous for a targeted mutation in Nf1. *Nat Genet.* 1994;7(3):353-361.
- 110. Largaespada DA, Brannan CI, Jenkins NA, Copeland NG. Nf1 deficiency causes Rasmediated granulocyte/macrophage colony stimulating factor hypersensitivity and chronic myeloid leukaemia. *Nat Genet.* 1996;12(2):137-143.