Prognostic implications of the *IDH1* synonymous SNP rs11554137 in pediatric and adult AML: a report from the Children's Oncology Group and SWOG

Phoenix A. Ho,^{1,2} Kenneth J. Kopecky,³ Todd A. Alonzo,^{4,5} Robert B. Gerbing,⁴ Kristen L. Miller,¹ Julia Kuhn,¹ Rong Zeng,¹ Rhonda E. Ries,¹ Susana C. Raimondi,⁶ Betsy A. Hirsch,⁷ Vivian Oehler,^{1,8} Craig A. Hurwitz,⁹ Janet L. Franklin,^{10,11} Alan S. Gamis,¹² Stephen H. Petersdorf,⁸ Jeanne E. Anderson,¹³ John E. Godwin,¹⁴ Gregory H. Reaman,¹⁵ Cheryl L. Willman,¹⁶ Irwin D. Bernstein,^{1,2} Jerald P. Radich,^{1,8} Frederick R. Appelbaum,^{1,8} Derek L. Stirewalt,^{1,8} and Soheil Meshinchi^{1,2}

¹Clinical Research Division, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA; ²Division of Pediatric Hematology/Oncology, University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle, WA; ³SWOG Statistical Center, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA; ⁴Biostatistics, Children's Oncology Group, Arcadia, CA; ⁵Department of Biostatistics, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA; ⁶Department of Pathology, St Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, TN; ⁷Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathology, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN; ⁸Division of Medical Oncology, Seattle Cancer Care Alliance/University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle, WA; ⁹Clinical Development, Reata Pharma, Dallas, TX; ¹⁰Department of Pediatrics, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA; ¹¹Amgen Incorporated, Thousand Oaks, CA; ¹²Division of Hematology/Oncology, Children's Mercy Hospitals and Clinics, Kansas City, MO; ¹³Katmai Oncology Group, Anchorage, AK; ¹⁴Southern Illinois University Cancer Institute, Springfield, IL; ¹⁵School of Medicine and Health Sciences, George Washington University, Washington, DC; and ¹⁶University of New Mexico Cancer Research Facility, University of New Mexico Cancer Research and Treatment Center, Albuquerque, NM

IDH1 SNP rs11554137 was recently reported in association with poor prognosis in normal karyotype adult acute myeloid leukemia (AML). We aimed to determine the prevalence, clinical associations, and prognostic significance of SNP rs11554137 in unselected pediatric and adult AML patients. Diagnostic marrow specimens from 527 AML patients treated on the pediatric trial Children's Oncology Group-AAML03P1 (N = 253) or adult SWOG trials (N = 274) were analyzed for the presence of the SNP. SNP

rs11554137 was present in 11% of all patients. SNP status had no prognostic impact on survival in pediatric patients. In adult AML, overall survival for SNP-positive patients was 10% versus 18% for SNP-negative patients (P = .44). Among the 142 adults who achieved complete remission, 5-year relapse-free survival was significantly worse for SNP-positive patients (0% vs 25%, P = .0014). However, among adults with normal cytogenetics, *FLT3*/ITD was present in 90% of SNP-positive patients versus 59% of SNP-

negative patients (P = .0053). In multivariate analysis, adjusting for the effects of age, cytogenetics, and *FLT3*/ITD, the independent prognostic effect of SNP positivity was not statistically significant (hazard ratio = 1.72, P = .18). The clinical profile of SNP-positive patients suggests that SNP rs11554137 may have biologic effects that bear further investigation. The clinical trials in this study are registered at http://www.clinicaltrials.gov as #NCT000707174 and #NCT00899171. (*Blood*. 2011;118(17):4561-4566)

Introduction

Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) represent the most common form of genetic diversity within a species and account for much of the variation in genetic traits between persons.¹ Such traits include disease susceptibility and response to therapy. The nucleotide substitution in a synonymous SNP does not result in a change in the amino acid sequence encoded. Thus, such "silent" SNPs were originally thought to be nonfunctional and of little consequence to human health and disease, serving only as surrogate biomarkers for other functional genetic variations with which they might be inherited in linkage disequilibrium. However, synonymous SNPs have recently been shown to directly impact gene function through various translational or post-translational mechanisms, such as altering miRNA binding, protein folding, the spliceosome, mRNA stability, or expression in general.² Further, such "silent" SNPs in the mutational hotspots of acute myeloid leukemia (AML)-associated genes have recently been reported to be of prognostic significance, as first reported in adult AML in the *WT1* gene by the German AML SHG cooperative group.³ We corroborated the favorable prognostic profile of *WT1* SNP rs16754 in pediatric AML.⁴

Isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 is a citric acid cycle enzyme encoded by the *IDH1* gene. Missense mutations at the arginine 132 codon in exon 4 of *IDH1* are a common finding in adult malignant glial tumors and are associated with favorable prognosis in that setting.⁵ After the discovery by Mardis et al of an *IDH1*^{*R132*} mutation via massively parallel DNA sequencing analysis of an AML patient genome,⁶ we recently reported *IDH1*^{*R132*} mutations in 4.4% of adult AML patients but did not detect the mutation in pediatric AML.⁷ These mutations, as well as similar mutations in the related *IDH2* gene, have been shown to generate the putative oncogenic metabolite 2-hydoxyglutarate, and may contribute to leukemogenesis via the induction of DNA hypermethylation.⁸ Our initial study of the *IDH1* gene in AML also detected germline polymorphisms in *IDH1* exon 4.⁷ The most common of these was

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. Therefore, and solely to indicate this fact, this article is hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 USC section 1734.

© 2011 by The American Society of Hematology

Submitted April 19, 2011; accepted August 13, 2011. Prepublished online as *Blood* First Edition paper, August 26, 2011; DOI 10.1182/blood-2011-04-348888.

The online version of this article contains a data supplement.

the SNP rs11554137, representing a GGC to GGT transversion at the glycine residue at codon position 105. Although the functional effects of harboring this SNP are unclear, the German AML SHG also recently reported SNP rs11554137 in 12% of cytogenetically normal adult AML patients and notably found that presence of the *IDH1* SNP correlated with decreased OS and RFS in these AML patients.⁹ We sought to investigate the incidence of *IDH1* SNP rs11554137 in AML patients enrolled in Children's Oncology Group (COG) or SWOG trials and to determine the clinical associations and prognostic significance of harboring the SNP in these unselected pediatric and adult AML patients.

Methods

Patient samples

Pediatric AML patients enrolled in the COG trial AAML03P1 as well as adult patients with de novo AML enrolled in any of 3 SWOG trials (SWOG-9031, SWOG-9333, and SWOG-9500) were eligible for this study. COG-AAML03P1 enrolled de novo AML patients 0 to 21 years of age; SWOG-9500 enrolled "younger" adult AML patients (18-55 years), whereas SWOG-9031 and SWOG-9333 enrolled "older" adult AML patients (> 55 years). Patients with acute promyelocytic leukemia (M3 AML) were excluded, as were SWOG-9333 patients randomized to induction chemotherapy with mitoxantrone and etoposide, as somewhat poorer outcomes were reported for patients on that treatment arm.10 Details of these clinical trials have been published.¹⁰⁻¹³ Genomic DNA extracted from diagnostic marrow specimens was available from 257 COG and 274 SWOG patients. Consent was obtained from all study participants in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Institutional review board approval was obtained from the Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center before mutation analysis, and this study was approved by the Myeloid Disease Biology Committees of the COG and SWOG.

Molecular genotyping

Genetic material was extracted from diagnostic marrow specimens using the AllPrep DNA/RNA Mini Kit via the QIAcube automated system (QIAGEN). The coding region of *IDH1* exon 4, containing codon G105, was amplified via PCR as previously described.⁷ Thermocycler conditions were 94°C for 5 minutes; 35 cycles at 94°C for 30 seconds, 58°C for 45 seconds, and 72°C for 1 minute; and a final extension step at 72°C for 7 minutes. Purified PCR products were sequenced using the BigDye Terminator sequencing reaction and run on an ABI 3730x1 DNA analyzer (Applied Biosystems).

IDH1 mRNA expression analysis

Reverse transcription (RT) was performed on 1 µg total RNA, extracted via the QIAcube system as in "Molecular genotyping" (QIAGEN), per standard RT protocol using Invitrogen reagents. mRNA expression analysis was performed via quantitative RT-PCR on a StepOnePlus instrument using TaqMan master mix and primer/probe sets for *IDH1* and the control gene β -glucuronidase (Applied Biosystems). The $\Delta\Delta C_t$ method was used to determine relative *IDH1* expression levels, normalized to pooled normal marrow controls.

Statistical methods

Data regarding clinical characteristics and treatment outcomes were collected and evaluated according to the standard practices of the COG and SWOG for their respective studies. Overall survival (OS) was calculated as time from study entry until death from any cause, with the observation censored at the date of last contact for patients last known to be alive. The Kaplan-Meier method was used to estimate OS. Relapse-free survival (RFS) was defined as the time from complete remission (CR) until relapse or death from any cause. Estimates of relapse risk (RR) were obtained by

the method of cumulative incidence that accounts for competing events. RR was defined as the time from end of course 1 for patients in CR to relapse or death because of progressive disease where deaths from nonprogressive disease were considered competing events. The significance of predictor variables was tested with the log-rank statistic for OS and with Gray's statistic for RR. The significance of observed differences in proportions was tested by the χ^2 test and Fisher exact test when data were sparse. The Mann-Whitney test was used to determine the significance between differences in medians. Cox proportional hazard models were used to estimate hazard ratios (HRs) for univariate and multivariate analyses for OS and RFS.

Results

Patient population

Cryopreserved diagnostic specimens were available from 253 (74%) of the 340 eligible pediatric patients enrolled in COG-AAML03P1 and 274 (56%) of the 487 eligible adult patients enrolled in the 3 SWOG studies. To ensure that the patients included in this study were representative, we compared demographics, laboratory and clinical characteristics, and outcome for those patients with and without available specimens. There were no significant differences in the pediatric (COG) cohort. SWOG patients with diagnostic specimens available had significantly higher (P < .001) median diagnostic WBC count (29.8 × 10⁹/L vs 4.6 × 10⁹/L), peripheral blood blast percentage (42% vs 12%), and marrow blast percentage (71% vs 59%) than the 213 patients without available samples. Outcome measures did not differ significantly between patients with and without available specimens.

Pediatric AML

In the pediatric (COG) cohort (median age, 9.8 years), SNP rs11554137 was present in 27 of 253 (11%; 95% confidence interval [CI], 7%-15%) patients. Of the 27 SNP-positive patients, 1 (3.7%) patient was homozygous for SNP rs11554137. Demographic, laboratory, and clinical characteristics of patients with and without *IDH1* SNP rs11554137 were compared (Table 1). SNP-positive pediatric patients did not differ significantly from SNP-negative patients in terms of presenting clinical characteristics, including racial distribution. Age distribution was similar in patients with and without the SNP, except in patients 0-2 years of age, who accounted for 44% of SNP-positive patients versus 23% of SNP-negative patients (P = .013).

Clinical outcome data were compared for pediatric patients with and without IDH1 SNP rs11554137. SNP-positive and SNPnegative patients had similar CR rates after one course of induction (81% vs 82%, P = 1.00). OS at 3 years from study entry for SNP-positive patients was $75\% \pm 18\%$ versus $66\% \pm 6\%$ for those without the SNP (HR = 0.76, P = .641). The corresponding RFS from CR was $59\% \pm 23\%$ and $57\% \pm 7\%$ for those with and without SNP rs11554137 (P = .923). For patients who achieved an initial remission (n = 200), those with and without the *IDH1* SNP had similar rates of relapse $(31\% \pm 21\% \text{ vs } 34\% \pm 7\%; P = .759)$ and treatment-related mortality $(10\% \pm 14\% \text{ vs } 8\% \pm 4\%)$; P = .390) from the end of course 1. Thus, *IDH1* SNP status had no prognostic impact on survival in the pediatric cohort. These findings were recapitulated when analysis was restricted to standardrisk pediatric patients. The standard-risk group of pediatric patients was defined as lacking either low-risk (CEBPA mutation, NPMc mutation, or core-binding factor AML [CBF AML: inv(16), t(16;16), or t(8;21)] or high-risk (-7, -5/del 5q, or FLT3/ITD with high allelic ratio) features. Standard risk SNP-positive and SNPnegative patients had similar rates of 3-year OS ($69\% \pm 26\%$ vs

Table 1. Characteristics of adult and pediatric AML patients by SNP status

	Pediatric AML					Adult AML					
Characteristic	<i>IDH1</i> SNP ⁺ (n = 27)		<i>IDH1</i> SNP ⁻ (n = 226)				<i>IDH1</i> SNP ⁺ (n = 30)		<i>IDH1</i> SNP ⁻ (n = 244)		
	N	%	Ν	%	Р	Characteristic	Ν	%	Ν	%	Р
Sex						Sex					
Male	17	63	123	54	.399	Male	11	37	137	56	.052
Female	10	37	103	46		Female	19	63	107	44	
Age, v						Age. v					
Median (range)	5.8	(0.15-17.2)	10.4	(0.07-21.6)	.046*	Median (range)	63	(22-76)	63	(18-88)	.59
0-2 v	12	44	51	23		18-55 v	11	37	71	29	
3-10 v	6	22	69	31		56-67 v	11	37	83	34	
> 11 v	9	33	106	47		> 68 y	8	27	90	37	
Bace	Ū	00			55	Bace	Ū		00	0.	0039*
White	18	69	157	77	.00	White	20	67	217	89	
Black	6	23	30	15		Black	9	30	17	7	
Asian	2	8	12	6		Asian	0	0	7	3	
Other	0	0	6	3		Other	0	0	. 1	0	
Unknown	1	0	21	0		Linknown	1	3	2	1 00	
Ethnicity			21		19	Ethnicity	'	0	2	1.00	21
Hispanic	2	8	40	18	.10	Hispanic	2	7	6	2	
Non-Hispanic	23	92	177	82		Non-Hispanic	28	03	238	08	
Unknown	20	52	9	02		Linknown	0	30	200	30	
WBC \times 10 ⁹ /L median (range)	33.0	(0.08-405)	10.6	(0.8-409)	240	$WBC \times 10^{9/1}$ median (range)	26.5	(2 7-208)	20.8	(0.7-274)	80
BM blasts % median (range)	72	(0.30-433)	68	(0-100)	.240	BM blasts % median (range)	20.5	(2.7-230)	29.0	(0.7-274)	.02
Cutogenetics	12	(22-37)	00	(0-100)	.550	Cytogenetics	00	(0-37)	70	(0-33)	.025
Normal	2	12	44	01	426	Normal	-1-1	46	76	40	00
+(9·21)	3	0	44 07	10	.420		0	40	22	42	.03
((0,21) Abnormal 16	2	0	27	12	.747		1	0	15+	0	.065
Abnormal 11	2	12	20	10	.330	- <i>1/1</i> q-	1	4	27	15	.7
4(6:0)(c)(c)(c)(c)(c)(c)(c)(c)(c)(c)(c)(c)(c)	0	13	40	22	1 000	+o Othor		4	40	10	.21
1(0,9)(p23,q34)	0	0	7	3	1.000	Ne dete	6	40	40	22	.022
-///q-	2	0	2	3	1.000	No data	0		65		
	1	0	10	1	700						
+8 Other	1	4	19	9	.703	FLI3/IID	10	40	75	22	140
Other	8	33	29	14	.033	Present	13	48	151	33	.140
No data	3		18			Absent	14	52	151	67	
							3		18		
FL13/IID		45	05		507	FL13/IID by karyotype					
Present	4	15	25	11	.527	INOrmal	0	00	00	44	0050*
Absent	23	85	201	89		Present	9	90	29	41	.0053*
Unknown	0		0			Absent	1	10	41	59	
						Abnormal			10	10	
						Present	1	9	12	13	1.00
						Absent	10	91	83	86	
NPM1 mutation	•	0	10	-		NPM1 mutation	-	0.5	=0		
Present	0	0	10	5	.604	Present	9	35	70	32	.823
Absent	23	100	191	95		Absent	1/	65	151	68	
Unknown	4		25			Unknown	4		23		
CEBPA mutation		_	10	-		CEBPA mutation	•	2	•	2	1 0 5 -
Yes	2	7	10	5	.629	Yes	0	0	6	3	1.000
No	25	93	206	95		No	28	100	217	97	
Unknown	0		10			Unknown	2		21		

*Significant P value.

+CBF abnormality includes t(8;21), inv(16), and t(16;16). Three patients with +8 also have CBF abnormalities, and another 3 have -7/7q-.

 \pm Two patients with -7/7q – also have +8; another 2 patients with -7/7q – also have t(8;21); and a fifth patient with -7 also has der(8)t(8;21)(q12;p11) as part of a complex karyotype.

 $62\% \pm 9\%\%, P = .862$), RFS (56 \pm 33% vs 53% \pm 11, P = .971), and RR (33% \pm 31% vs 42% \pm 12%, P = .652).

Adult AML

In the adult (SWOG) cohort (median age, 63 years), the *IDH1* SNP was present in 30 of 274 patients (11%; 95% CI, 8%-15%). Of the 30 SNP-positive patients, 1 (3.3%) patient was homozygous for SNP rs11554137. Notably, none of the patients with SNP rs11554137 had concomitant $IDH1^{R132}$ mutations. Demographic, laboratory,

and clinical characteristics of patients with and without the *IDH1* SNP were compared (Table 1). The prevalence of SNP rs11554137 in adult AML varied significantly between racial groups (P = .0046), mostly because of a high proportion of blacks (30%) among SNP-positive patients. The *IDH1* SNP was not found in adult patients with t(8,21) or inv(16)/t(16,16) (CBF AML). *CEBPA* mutations occurred at similar frequencies in *IDH1* SNP-positive patients compared with SNP-negative patients (0% vs 3%, P = 1.00). Likewise, the incidence of *NPM1* mutations did not



Figure 1. Distribution of *FLT3/ITD* within cytogenetic groups by *IDH1* SNP status in adult AML. *FLT3/ITD* was present in 90% of SNP-positive adult AML patients with normal karyotype; conversely, *FLT3/ITD* was present in only 9% of SNP-positive adult AML patients with cytogenetic abnormalities.

differ between SNP-positive and SNP-negative patients (35% vs 32%, P = .823).

For the 253 patients in whom *FLT3* status was known, *FLT3/*TTD occurred more commonly in SNP-positive patients (13 of 27 = 48%) compared with SNP-negative patients (75 of 226 = 33%), but this difference was not statistically significant (P = .14). However, within the normal karyotype subset, *FLT3/*TTD was present in 9 of 10 (90%) SNP-positive patients versus 41% of wild-type patients (P = .0053, Table 1). Conversely, only 9% of SNP-positive patients with abnormal karyotype harbored *FLT3/*TTD (Figure 1).

CR rates were similar for adult patients with and without SNP rs11554137 (47% vs 52%, P = .57). SNP-positive patients had somewhat poorer OS (Figure 2; 10% vs 18% at 5 years, HR = 1.17, 95% CI, 0.79-1.76), although this difference was not statistically significant (P = .44). Among the 142 patients who achieved CR, however, RFS was significantly worse for SNP-positive patients (0% vs 25% at 5 years, HR = 2.89, 95% CI, 1.62-5.15, P = .0014). Of the 14 SNP-positive patients who achieved CR, 13 relapsed (all but 1 within 10 months) and the 14th patient died of sepsis in remission after 61 days.

Because of the wide age distribution of adult patients included in this study, we performed subset outcome analysis of the SWOG patients within the following age categories: age 18-55 years (all patients on SWOG-9500), age 56-67 years, and age 68 or greater years. The groups 56-67 years and more than 68 years were defined to have approximately equal numbers of patients; these 2 groups encompassed all patients enrolled in SWOG-9031 and SWOG-9333. No association was detected between SNP status and age, and no significant interaction was found between age and the effect of SNP status on OS/RFS (supplemental Table 1, available on the *Blood* Web site; see the Supplemental Materials link at the top of the online article).

In univariate analyses of the 142 adult patients who achieved CR, RFS decreased significantly with increasing age (P = .0014). RFS was not significantly heterogeneous among the 4 cytogenetic groups (P = .059), although large differences were observed with 5-year RFS of 40%, 29%, 16%, and 0% for the CBF, normal, other, and -7/7q- groups, respectively.

Multivariate regression analyses of treatment outcomes in the adult patients were performed to assess whether the association of SNP status with outcomes might be because of confounding effects of the following factors: age, sex, race, performance status (0 or 1 vs 2 or 3), FLT3/ITD (present vs absent), NPM mutation (present vs absent), marrow blast percentage, WBC, peripheral blast percentage, and cytogenetics (normal without nonclonal abnormalities vs CBF vs -7/7q vs all others). Analyses that included cytogenetic data were limited to the 203 patients with evaluable karyotypes; for these analyses, 3 patients with +8 and -7/7qwere assigned to the -7/7q - category, and 3 others with +8 and t(8;21) were assigned to the CBF category. As expected, OS decreased significantly with increasing age (P < .0001), was significantly lower for patients with performance status 2 or 3 (P = .0093), and varied significantly among the 4 cytogenetic categories (P < .0001), with 5-year OS of 37%, 24%, 13%, and 0% for the CBF, normal, other, and -7/7q - groups, respectively. After adjusting for the effects of age, performance status, and cytogenetics in multivariate proportional hazards regression, SNP status was not significantly associated with OS (HR = 1.17, 95% CI, 0.73-1.87, P = .51).

For RFS, in multivariate proportional hazards analysis, after adjusting for the effects of age and cytogenetics, SNP status did retain a significant independent prognostic effect (HR = 2.82, 95% CI, 1.41-5.68, P = .0036). However, when *FLT3/*ITD status was added to the multivariate analysis, the independent prognostic effect of SNP positivity was markedly reduced and no longer statistically significant (HR = 1.72, P = .18). For the 186 SWOG patients for whom both cytogenetic status and *FLT3* status were known, patients were divided into 4 risk categories: CBF AML, normal cytogenetics with negative *FLT3/*ITD, normal cytogenetics with positive *FLT3/*ITD, and any non-CBF clonal cytogenetic abnormality. These categorizations allowed classification into 2 risk groups: patients with normal cytogenetics negative for *FLT3/*



Figure 2. Prognostic significance of *IDH1* SNP rs11554137 in adult and pediatric AML patients. Kaplan-Meier estimates of (A) OS and (B) RFS for adult (SWOG) and pediatric (COG) patients with or without SNP rs11554137. RFS was significantly worse in SNP-positive adult patients (P = .0014).

Table 2.	Distribution	of adult AML	patients in	n risk categ	jories by
IDH1 SN	IP status				

	<i>IDH1</i> (n =	SNP ⁺ = 21)	<i>IDH1</i> SNP ⁻ (n = 165)		
	Ν	%	Ν	%	
Favorable risk					
CBF AML	0	0	20	12	
CN, <i>FLT3</i> -	1	5	41	25	
Adverse risk					
CN, <i>FLT3</i> ⁺	9	43	29	18	
Any other cytogenetics	11	52	75	45	

ITD had similar outcomes to those with CBF AML, and together compose the "favorable risk" group, whereas patients with normal cytogenetics positive for *FLT3/*ITD had similar outcomes to those with non-CBF cytogenetic abnormalities, and together compose the "adverse risk" group (supplemental Figure 1). Notably, only one of the SNP-positive patients with known cytogenetics and *FLT3* status fell into the "favorable risk" group, whereas the remaining 20 SNP-positive patients were categorized in the "adverse risk" group (Table 2). After allowing for the effects of risk group (favorable vs adverse) and *NPM* mutation status, there was no evidence that the presence of the SNP had any independent prognostic effect within the adverse risk group (Figure 3; P = .75 for CR; P = .70 for refractory disease (RD), HR = 0.90, 95% CI, 0.51-1.58, P = .70 for OS; and HR = 1.81, 95% CI, 0.79-4.12, P = .16 for RFS).

IDH1 expression

As SNP rs11554137 has been reported in correlation with elevated *IDH1* expression,⁹ we measured *IDH1* expression via quantitative RT-PCR in 200 unselected patients for whom *IDH1* SNP status was known. *IDH1* mRNA expression level for each sample was normalized to pooled normal marrow controls and ranged between 0.02 and 2.71 times normal marrow in SNP-negative patients (n = 178), compared with a range between 0.07 and 2.51 times normal marrow in SNP-positive patients (n = 22). Median *IDH1* expression was similar between the 2 groups (supplemental Figure 2): 0.24 times normal marrow for SNP-negative patients and 0.25 times normal marrow for SNP positive-patients (P = .8954). *IDH1* SNP status had no correlation with mRNA expression in our study.

Discussion

Α

0.75

0.5

0.25

0

Overall survival

In this study, we identified the *IDH1* SNP rs11554137 in 11% of both pediatric and adult AML patients. Although the presence of

the SNP was not prognostic in pediatric AML patients, SNP positivity in adult AML patients was a significant risk factor for decreased RFS in univariate analysis, but not multivariate analysis, as the correlation with poor outcome for SNP-positive patients was confounded by other adverse risk factors. Notably, the majority of SNP-positive adult AML patients had abnormal cytogenetics, and none of the SNP-positive adult patients had favorable-risk CBF AML. Within the normal cytogenetic subset, 90% of SNP-positive adult patients had *FLT3/ITD*.

SNP rs11554137 lies in exon 4 near the R132 mutational hotspot, and IDH1R132 mutations were mutually exclusive of the IDH1 SNP. Function-altering mutations of IDH1R132 produce the oncoprotein 2-hydoxyglutarate and also correlate with abnormalities of DNA methylation⁸; any possible metabolic or epigenetic consequences of the SNP rs11554137 have yet to be studied and bear further investigation. Although we previously reported the absence of IDH1R132 mutations in pediatric AML,7 the 11% prevalence of the IDH1 SNP in our study was nearly identical in both pediatric and adult AML, and similar to the incidence of 11.7% in healthy volunteers reported by Wagner et al.9 However, within our study's infant/toddler cohort (age 0-2 years), the SNP was present in 12 of 63 patients (19%). It is possible that the presence of the SNP confers a slight predisposition to AML through an as yet undefined mechanism requiring subsequent genetic events, and that this germline predisposition may be associated with a shorter latency to leukemia in certain cases.

We found that, although IDH1 SNP rs11554137 was not prognostic in pediatric AML, the SNP nonetheless correlated with poor outcomes (significantly worse RFS and a trend toward worse OS) in adult AML. However, this correlation resulted from the fact that nearly all SNP-positive patients had unfavorable risk features by virtue of their karyotype or FLT3/ITD status. We have previously reported in pediatric AML a lack of independent prognostic significance for molecular markers, which have been shown to be prognostic in adults, as in the case of WT1 mutations,¹⁴ as well as *cKit* mutations in CBF AML.¹⁵ Differences in disease biology may partially explain the discrepancy between pediatric and adult AML; for example, FLT3/ITD is significantly more common in adult AML compared with pediatric AML, although the prevalence of the IDH1 SNP remains approximately the same. In addition, differences in treatment schema between pediatric and adult AML may also be a contributing factor, as the prognostic value of any biomarker may be therapy-dependent.

The correlation of SNP positivity with clinical outcome in adult AML in our study also differed slightly from the results for German patients treated on AML SHG studies as reported by Wagner et al,⁹

Figure 3. OS and RFS stratified by risk group and *IDH1* SNP status in 186 adult AML patients. Kaplan-Meier estimates of (A) OS and (B) RFS are shown by risk group and, within the adverse risk group, *IDH1* SNP status. Tick marks indicate censored observations. Among adult patients with adverse risk based on karyotype or *FLT3/*ITD, the *IDH1* SNP was not significantly associated with OS (P = .94) or RFS (P = .28).

who found that the *IDH1* SNP was an independent predictor of inferior OS, and also correlated with inferior RFS in a univariate model. Their study was restricted to cytogenetically normal adult AML. Although *FLT3/*ITD was also more common in SNP-positive patients in their cytogenetically normal cohort (40% vs 31%), the difference was not as pronounced as in our study (90% vs 41%). In addition, there was a preponderance of older AML patients in our study, as SWOG-9031 and SWOG-9333, which were limited to patients > 55 years of age, accounted for 70% of the adults analyzed. The median age of adult AML patients in our study was 63 years, compared with only 47 years in the German study. As all survival outcomes diminish with increasing age, it is possible that outcome differences between SNP-positive and SNP-negative patients in our study were obscured by the disproportionate representation of older patients.

Mechanisms by which a "silent" SNP may alter gene function, such as the ability of a synonymous SNP to affect mRNA stability or expression, are currently under investigation. Another such mechanism is the alteration of the kinetics of protein translation, which may be affected by the frequency of usage of a particular codon. SNP rs11554137 represents a GGC to GGT transversion, resulting in the replacement of a common (GGC, 22.2 per thousand) codon by a rare (GGT, 10.8 per thousand) codon encoding glycine (frequencies obtained from the Codon Usage Database, http://www.kazusa.or.jp/codon/). The replacement of a commonly used codon with a rarely used one via a synonymous SNP may slow down the rate of protein translation, resulting in altered protein folding and, ultimately, decreased protein function. This has been demonstrated in the case of the C3435T SNP, which also encodes a GGC to GGT transversion, in the MDR1 gene.¹⁶ Although much remains to be elucidated regarding the biology of synonymous SNPs in AML, it is clear that certain SNPs in AML-associated genes are not clinically silent; synonymous SNPs represent another category of molecular alteration that should be

investigated in AML for the purposes of disease classification and prognostication.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the patients and families who consented to the use of biologic specimens in these trials and the AML Reference Laboratories of the COG and SWOG for providing diagnostic specimens.

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (grants K12 HD043376, K23 CA92405, CA18029, CA32102, CA114563, R01 CA114563-01, R21 CA102624, R21 CA10262-01, U10 CA032102-30, CA38926, CA20319, CA27057, and CA12213 and Children's Oncology Group Chair's grant NIH U10 CA98543), the Conquer Cancer Foundation of American Society of Clinical Oncology, and the Mary Claire Satterly Foundation.

Authorship

Contribution: P.A.H. designed research, performed research, analyzed data, and wrote the manuscript; K.J.K. and T.A.A. served as senior statisticians, performed statistical analyses, and edited the manuscript; R.B.G. performed statistical analyses and edited the manuscript; K.L.M., J.K., R.Z., and R.E.R. performed research and edited the manuscript; S.C.R., B.A.H., V.O., C.A.H., J.L.F., A.S.G., S.H.P., J.E.A., J.E.G., G.H.R., C.L.W., I.D.B., J.P.R., F.R.A., and D.L.S. analyzed data and edited the manuscript; and S.M. designed research, analyzed data, and wrote the manuscript.

Conflict-of-interest disclosure: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Correspondence: Phoenix A. Ho, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, D2-373, 1100 Fairview Avenue N, Seattle, WA 98109; e-mail: pho@fhcrc.org.

References

- 1. Shastry BS. SNPs: impact on gene function and phenotype. *Methods Mol Biol.* 2009;578:3-22.
- Chamary JV, Parmley JL, Hurst LD. Hearing silence: non-neutral evolution at synonymous sites in mammals. *Nat Rev Genet.* 2006;7(2):98-108.
- Damm F, Heuser M, Morgan M, et al. Single nucleotide polymorphism in the mutational hotspot of WT1 predicts a favorable outcome in patients with cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia. J Clin Oncol. 2010;28(4):578-585.
- Ho PA, Alonzo TA, Gerbing RB, et al. WT1 synonymous SNP rs16754 correlates with higher mRNA expression and predicts significantly improved outcome in favorable-risk pediatric AML: a report from the Children's Oncology Group. J Clin Oncol. 2011;29(6):704-711.
- Sanson M, Marie Y, Paris S, et al. Isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 codon 132 mutation is an important prognostic biomarker in gliomas. *J Clin Oncol.* 2009;27(25):4150-4154.
- Mardis ER, Ding L, Dooling DJ, et al. Recurring mutations found by sequencing an acute myeloid leukemia genome. *N Engl J Med.* 2009;361(11): 1058-1066.
- 7. Ho PA, Alonzo TA, Kopecky KJ, et al. Molecular alterations of the IDH1 gene in AML: a Children's

Oncology Group and Southwest Oncology Group study. *Leukemia*. 2010;24(5):909-913.

- Figueroa ME, Abdel-Wahab O, Lu C, et al. Leukemic IDH1 and IDH2 mutations result in a hypermethylation phenotype, disrupt TET2 function, and impair hematopoietic differentiation. *Cancer Cell.* 2010;18(6):553-567.
- Wagner K, Damm F, Gohring G, et al. Impact of IDH1 R132 mutations and an IDH1 single nucelotide polymorphism in cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia: SNP rs11554137 is an adverse prognostic factor. J Clin Oncol. 2010; 28(14):2356-2364.
- Anderson JE, Kopecky KJ, Willman CL, et al. Outcome after induction chemotherapy for older patients with acute myeloid leukemia is not improved with mitoxantrone and etoposide compared to cytarabine and daunorubicin: a Southwest Oncology Group study. *Blood*. 2002; 100(12):3869-3876.
- Cooper TM, Franklin J, Gerbing RB, et al. AAML03P1, a pilot study of the safety of gemtuzumab ozogamicin in combination with chemotherapy for newly diagnosed childhood acute myeloid leukemia: a report from the Children's Oncology Group [published online ahead of print July 15, 2011]. *Cancer.* doi 10.1002/cncr.26190.
- 12. Godwin JE, Kopecky KJ, Head DR, et al. A

double-blind placebo-controlled trial of granulocyte colony-stimulating factor in elderly patients with previously untreated acute myeloid leukemia: a Southwest Oncology Group study (9031). *Blood.* 1998;91(10):3607-3615.

- Petersdorf SH, Rankin C, Head DR, et al. Phase II evaluation of an intensified induction therapy with standard daunomycin and cytarabine followed by high dose cytarabine for adults with previously untreated acute myeloid leukemia: a Southwest Oncology Group study (SWOG-9500). *Am J Hematol.* 2007;82(12):1056-1062.
- Ho PA, Zeng R, Alonzo TA, et al. Prevalence and prognostic implications of WT1 mutations in pediatric acute myeloid leukemia (AML): a report from the Children's Oncology Group. *Blood.* 2010; 116(5):702-710.
- Pollard JA, Alonzo TA, Gerbing RB, et al. Prevalence and prognostic significance of KIT mutations in pediatric patients with core binding factor AML enrolled in serial pediatric cooperative trials for de novo AML. *Blood.* 2010;115(12):2372-1279.
- Kimchi-Sarfaty C, Oh JM, Kim IW, et al. A "silent" polymorphism in the MDR1 gene changes substrate specificity. *Science*. 2007;315(5811):525-528.