of either NPM1 or nucleolin by RNA interference is sufficient to disrupt the nucleolar structure.⁹ Similarly, the anticancer peptide CIGB-300 leads to nucleolar disassembly and apoptosis, most likely through its capability to bind NPM1.¹⁰

Because AML cells carrying the *NPM1* mutation are depleted of wild-type NPM1 protein in their nucleolar pool (because of haploinsufficiency and cytoplasmic dislocation through formation of heterodimers with the mutant), they are more sensitive than cells with germ line *NPM1* gene (containing a full dose of wild-type NPM1) to drugs that affect the levels and oligomerization status of NPM1 and lead to disruption of the nucleolar structure (see figure).⁶ Thus, tuning the dose of these drugs could become a strategy for targeting leukemic cells harboring *NPM1* mutations more selectively than other leukemic or normal cells.

Conflict-of-interest disclosure: B.F. applied for a patent on the clinical use of NPM1 mutants. M.P.M. declares no competing financial interests.

REFERENCES

1. Balusu R, Fiskus W, Rao R, et al. Targeting levels or oligomerization of nucleophosmin 1 induces differentiation

• • • THROMBOSIS & HEMOSTASIS

Comment on Gong et al, page 3163

Warfarin pharmacogenetics meets clinical use

Matthias Schwab and Elke Schaeffeler dr margarete fischer-bosch institute of clinical pharmacology

The FDA in their revised approval of the warfarin prescribing information of 2007 noted the relevance of genetic testing for *VKORC1* and *CYP2C9* variants. Yet there is still a lack of prospective clinical trials using genetic testing, underscoring the difficulty of a pharmacogenetics-based initiation dosing strategy. In this issue of *Blood*, Gong and colleagues provide evidence for the clinical use of a newly established warfarin pharmacogenetics-initiation protocol (WRAPID).¹

A vision to harness genomic research for effective therapy and improved health care has been recently discussed in a key article by Green and colleagues.² They predict that, with few exceptions, translation of genomic information to clinical practice will be implemented only beyond 2020. One major barrier is the lack of research activities addressing the clinical use of genetic tests. The study by Gong and colleagues provides a good example

of how a prospective evaluation of a novel pharmacogenetics-initiation protocol for warfarin therapy can improve safety and efficacy of drug therapy, using recently proposed translational research guidelines.³

and loss of survival of human AML cells with mutant

2. Grisendi S. Mecucci C. Falini B. Pandolfi PP. Nucleo-

phosmin and cancer. Nat Rev Cancer. 2006;6(7):493-505.

3. Falini B, Mecucci C, Tiacci E, et al. Cytoplasmic nu-

karyotype. N Engl J Med. 2005;352(3):254-266.

entity? Blood. 2011;117(4):1109-1120.

10.1016/j.blre.2011.06.001

Abstracts), 2007;110:868.

345-351

8(5):1189-1196

tein networks? PLoS Genet. 2006;2:e88.

23(10):1731-1743.

cleophosmin in acute myelogenous leukemia with a normal

4. Falini B, Bolli N, Liso A, et al. Altered nucleophosmin

transport in acute myeloid leukaemia with mutated NPM1

molecular basis and clinical implications. Leukemia. 2009;

5. Falini B, Martelli MP, Bolli N, et al. Acute myeloid leu-

kemia with mutated nucleophosmin (NPM1): is it a distinct

6. Falini B, Gionfriddo I, Cecchetti F, Ballanti S, Pettirossi V,

Martelli MP. Acute myeloid leukemia with mutated nucleo-

phosmin (NPM1): Any hope for a targeted therapy? [pub-

lished online ahead of print July 1, 2011]. Blood Rev. doi:

7. Martelli MP, Pettirossi V, Manes N, et al. Selective si-

lencing of the NPM1 mutant protein and apoptosis induc-

tion upon ATRA in vitro treatment of AML cells carrying

NPM1 mutations [abstract]. Blood (ASH Annual Meeting

8. He X, Zhang J. Why do hubs tend to be essential in pro-

9. Amin MA, Matsunaga S, Uchiyama S, Fukui K. Deple-

tion of nucleophosmin leads to distortion of nucleolar and

10. Perera Y, Farina HG, Gil J, et al. Anticancer peptide

CIGB-300 binds to nucleophosmin/B23, impairs its CK2-

mediated phosphorylation, and leads to apoptosis through

its nucleolar disassembly activity. Mol Cancer Ther. 2009;

nuclear structures in HeLa cells. Biochem 7, 2008;415(3):

NPM1. Blood. 2011;118(11):3096-3106.

Warfarin has been used for > 60 years as an oral anticoagulant and has been shown to impressively reduce the risk of stroke in patients with atrial fibrillation. Although other oral anticoagulants (eg, dabigatran,⁴ rivaroxaban, apixaban) are beginning to emerge as potential alternatives, warfarin will continue to be an important drug. Warfarin over-anticoagulation leads to a substantial increased risk for bleeding complications, as recently corroborated by a nationally representative public health surveillance of adverse drug reactions and a cross-sectional survey of outpatient medical visits. Here, warfarin was listed as 1 of the 10 most commonly implicated drugs in older adults for emergency department visits for adverse drug events.⁵

The impact of genetic variants in the vitamin K epoxide reductase complex subunit 1 (VKORC1) and the drug metabolizing enzyme cytochrome P450 2C9 (CYP2C9) has been well established by several retrospective and prospective studies. The dosing requirements of warfarin are unpredictable and highly variable (up to 20-fold).6 Variants in both VKORC1, which recycles the oxidized form to the reduced hydroquinone form of vitamin K1, and CYP2C9, which predominantly catalyzes (S)-warfarin to the 7-hydroxylated form as the major metabolite, determine the requirement of warfarin dosing. Although there have been previous attempts at pharmacogenetics-based initiation of warfarin dosing,⁶ the work by Gong et al for the first time employs VKORC1- and CYP2C9-dependent loading doses in patients treated for atrial fibrillation and venous thromboembolism. Because the dosing regimen includes loading and maintenance doses of warfarin, systematic pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic factors have been taken into consideration for the application of a novel standardized loading dose algorithm (WRAPID) in a prospective cohort study of outpatients (see figure).

In addition to VKORC1 and CYP2C9, other candidate genes such as CYP2C18, CYP2C19, CYP4F2, and apolipoprotein E, microsomal epoxide hydrolase, protein C, calumenin, y-glutamyl carboxylase, and orosomucoid 1/2 have been targeted to optomize warfarin dosing, with inconsistent results. Although the contribution of CYP4F2 could not be excluded with certainty in the present study, it is important to note that, after warfarin initiation based on the WRAPID algorithm with the incorporation of VKORC1 and CYP2C9 genotypes only, no significant effects have been observed on the time required to reach the first international normalized ratios (INRs) within the therapeutic range of 2.0-3.0 and on the time spent in therapeutic range or



Pharmacogenetics-guided initiation dosing of warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation (AF) and venous thromboembolism (VT).

above range during prestabilization or stabilization phases. In line with data from recent genome-wide association studies (GWAS) investigating warfarin dosing in large patient cohorts, again only the candidate genes VKORCI and CYP2C9 and in some studies also CYP4F2 were confirmed.^{7,8} Thus, the clinical impact of variants in additional genes contributing to warfarin initiation dosing appears to be questionable and of minor importance. Whether or not rare variants in VKORCI and possibly in CYP4F2 (for which systematic genetic work in various ethnic groups is still missing), need to be considered in the pharmacogeneticsguided warfarin dosing algorithms for distinct ethnic populations such as the Ashkenazi Jews⁹ should be explored in further studies.

All efforts toward a more individualized therapeutic approach will be judged by the success of translating promising, well-established pharmacogenetic strategies like the WRAPID algorithm into clinical practice.¹⁰ How can we convince treating physicians that the one-sizefits-all therapeutic concept is obsolete? Further studies are urgently needed to provide practical guidance for the implementation of complex pharmacogenetic strategies like WRAPID. The goal is not only to improve physicians' knowledge of pharmacogenetic fundamental principles but also to build health care teams to optimally implement complex, patient-dependent dosing regimens.

Conflict-of-interest disclosure: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

REFERENCES

1. Gong IY, Tirona RG, Schwarz UI, et al. Prospective evaluation of a pharmacogenetics-guided warfarin loading and maintenance dose regimen for initiation of therapy. *Blood.* 2011;118(11):3163-3171.

2. Green ED, Guyer MS, National Human Genome Research Institute. Charting a course for genomic medicine from base pairs to bedside. *Nature*. 2011;470(7333):204–213.

3. Khoury MJ, Gwinn M, Yoon PW, Dowling N, Moore CA, Bradley L. The continuum of translation research in genomic medicine: how can we accelerate the appropriate integration of human genome discoveries into health care and disease prevention? *Genet Med.* 2007;9(10):665-674.

4. Connolly SJ, Ezekowitz MD, Yusuf S, et al. Dabigatran versus warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation. *N Engl* J Med. 2009;361(12):1139-1151.

 Budnitz DS, Shehab N, Kegler SR, Richards CL. Medication use leading to emergency department visits for adverse drug events in older adults. *Ann Intern Med.* 2007;147(11):755-765.

 Jonas DE, McLeod HL. Genetic and clinical factors relating to warfarin dosing. *Trends Pharmacol Sci.* 2009;30: 375-386.

7. Takeuchi F, McGinnis R, Bourgeois S, et al. A genomewide association study confirms VKORC1, CYP2C9, and CYP4F2 as principal genetic determinants of warfarin dose. *PLoS Genet*. 2009;5(3):e1000433.

8. Cha PC, Mushiroda T, Takahashi A, et al. Genomewide association study identifies genetic determinants of warfarin responsiveness for Japanese. *Hum Mol Genet.* 2010;19(23):4735-4744.

9. Scott SA, Edelmann L, Kornreich R, Desnick RJ. Warfarin pharmacogenetics: CYP2C9 and VKORC1 genotypes predict different sensitivity and resistance frequencies in the Ashkenazi and Sephardi Jewish populations. *Am J Hum Genet.* 2008;82(2):495-500.

10. Auffray C, Caulfield T, Khoury MJ, Lupski JR, Schwab M, Veenstra T. Genome Medicine: past, present and future. *Genome Med.* 2011;3(1):6.