

The genetics of Richter syndrome reveals disease heterogeneity and predicts survival after transformation

Davide Rossi,¹ Valeria Spina,¹ Clara Deambrogi,¹ Silvia Rasi,¹ Luca Laurenti,² Kostas Stamatopoulos,³ Luca Arcaini,⁴ Marco Lucioni,⁵ Gabrielle B. Rocque,⁶ Zijun Y. Xu-Monette,⁷ Carlo Visco,⁸ Julie Chang,⁶ Ekaterina Chigrinova,⁹ Francesco Forconi,¹⁰ Roberto Marasca,¹¹ Caroline Besson,¹² Theodora Papadaki,¹³ Marco Paulli,⁵ Luigi M. Larocca,¹⁴ Stefano A. Pileri,¹⁵ Valter Gattei,¹⁶ Francesco Bertoni,⁹ Robin Foà,¹⁷ Ken H. Young,⁷ and Gianluca Gaidano¹

¹Division of Hematology, Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, Amedeo Avogadro University of Eastern Piedmont, Novara, Italy; ²Institute of Hematology, Catholic University of the Sacred Heart, Rome, Italy; ³G. Papanicolaou Hospital, Thessaloniki, Greece; Divisions of ⁴Hematology and ⁵Pathology, Fondazione IRCCS Policlinico San Matteo, University of Pavia, Pavia, Italy; ⁶Department of Medicine, University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health, Madison, WI; ⁷Department of Hematopathology, The University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX; ⁶Department of Hematology, San Bortolo Hospital, Vicenza, Italy; ⁹Oncology Institute of Southern Switzerland-IOSI, Bellinzona, Switzerland; ¹⁰Division of Hematology, University of Siena, Siena, Italy; ¹¹Division of Hematology, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Modena, Italy; ¹²Hôpital Bicêtre, Paris, France; ¹³Evangelismos Hospital, Athens, Greece; ¹⁴Institute of Pathology, Catholic University of the Sacred Heart, Rome, Italy; ¹⁵Haematopathology, Department L. & A. Seragnoli, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy; ¹⁶Centro di Riferimento Oncologico, Aviano, Italy; and ¹⁷Division of Hematology, Department of Cellular Biotechnologies and Hematology, Sapienza University, Rome, Italy

Richter syndrome (RS) represents the development of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma in the context of chronic lymphocytic leukemia. The scarcity of biologic information about RS has hampered the identification of molecular predictors of RS outcome. We addressed this issue by performing a comprehensive molecular characterization of 86 pathologically proven RS. *TP53* disruption (47.1%) and *c-MYC* abnormalities (26.2%) were the most frequent alterations, whereas common genetic lesions of de novo diffuse

Introduction

Richter syndrome (RS) represents the development of an aggressive lymphoma, most commonly a diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL), in the context of chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL).¹ The diagnosis of RS requires a histologic proof, in the absence of which RS can only be clinically suspected but not proven.²⁻⁴ RS differs from prolymphocytic transformation and from CLL "acceleration," that are instead characterized by the expansion of proliferation centers in the absence of a histologic shift to DLBCL.^{1,5}

Recent studies have indicated that, at the time of CLL diagnosis, the molecular features of the leukemic clone are important for the subsequent risk of RS development.⁶⁻⁹ Conversely, the pattern of genetic lesions acquired by RS on transformation is scarcely known, and available evidence is limited to small case series.¹⁰⁻¹⁸ Cytogenetic and molecular studies have shown that RS is associated with a higher degree of genomic complexity than is CLL, suggesting that transformation to RS might result from accumulation of novel genetic lesions that drive clinicopathologic shift and change the course of the disease.¹⁰⁻¹⁸

biologically different from clonally related RS because of significantly longer survival (median, 62.5 months vs 14.2 months; P = .017) and lower prevalence of *TP53* disruption (23.1% vs 60.0%; P = .018) and B-cell receptor stereotypy (7.6% vs 50.0%; P = .009). The molecular dissection of RS into biologically distinct categories highlights the genetic heterogeneity of this disorder and provides clinically relevant information for refining the prognostic stratification of patients. (*Blood*. 2011;117(12):3391-3401)

The conventional definition of RS is currently based on clinicopathologic grounds and does not take into account the immunogenetic heterogeneity of the disease. In fact, the clonal relationship between CLL and the developing DLBCL suggests that 2 types of RS may exist: (1) transformation of CLL to a clonally related DLBCL that accounts for the majority of cases and (2) development of a DLBCL unrelated to the CLL clone.^{9,19-22}

The clinical outcome of patients with RS is generally considered poor.^{3,4} However, a large study of histologically proven RS has reported that survival of patients with RS can vary from a few weeks to 15 years.²³ The recognition that RS outcome is not uniformly poor has prompted the development of the RS score that is currently the only available tool to predict RS prognosis.²³ Given the limited understanding of the disease at the molecular level, the RS score was conceived on clinical grounds and does not take into account the biology of the disease.²³ Despite the availability of the RS score, the identification of patients with RS projected to experience a long survival remains an unresolved issue that may benefit from the development of novel RS prognosticators.

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge

payment. Therefore, and solely to indicate this fact, this article is hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 USC section 1734.

© 2011 by The American Society of Hematology

large B-cell lymphoma were rare or absent. By multivariate analysis, lack of *TP53* disruption (hazard ratio, 0.43; P = .003) translated into significant survival advantage with 57% reduction in risk of death. An algorithm based on *TP53* disruption, response to RS treatment, and Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status had 80.9% probability of correctly discriminating RS survival (c-index = .809). RS that were clonally unrelated to the paired chronic lymphocytic leukemia phase were clinically and

Submitted September 3, 2010; accepted January 11, 2011. Prepublished online as *Blood* First Edition paper, January 25, 2011; DOI 10.1182/blood-2010-09-302174.

This study aimed at a comprehensive molecular characterization of RS. By investigating the biology and the immunogenetic heterogeneity of the disease, we identified novel biologic predictors of RS survival with potentially important implications for the clinical management of patients with RS. First, this study shows that *TP53* disruption critically affects RS survival, thus providing the rationale for exploring therapeutic agents that overcome *TP53* disruption in this disease. Second, we report that clonally unrelated RS is clinically and biologically distinct from clonally related cases and is characterized by an outcome similar to that of de novo DLBCL. Accordingly, we propose that the diagnosis of RS should be restricted to clonally related cases.

Methods

Patients

The study was based on a multi-institutional series of 86 patients with RS developing from a previous surface immunoglobulin low/CD5+/CD23+ CLL defined according to guidelines from the International Workshop on Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia-National Cancer Institute (IWCLL-NCI).² The diagnosis of RS was based on the histology of lymph node or extranodal tissue excisional biopsies. After institutional pathologic review, all RS cases were classified as DLBCL according to the World Health Organization Classification of Tumors of the Hematopoietic and Lymphoid Tissues.²⁴ Cases presenting with a large cell lymphoma during or immediately after alemtuzumab treatment were excluded from this analysis because they represent a clinicopathologic setting that is distinct from true RS.25 In all cases, molecular studies were performed on the biopsy used for RS diagnosis (frozen samples, 31 cases; formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded samples, 55 cases). Biologic samples from the paired CLL phase were available in 46 cases (53.4%). Patients provided informed consent in accordance with institutional review board requirements from all participating institutions and the Declaration of Helsinki.

Analysis of IGHV-D-J rearrangements

Rearrangements of *IGHV-D-J* genes were amplified with subgroupspecific primers as previously reported.⁹ Sequences were aligned to ImMunoGeneTics sequence directory.²⁶ The following information was extracted: *IGHV-D-J* gene usage, percentage of identity to germline, and VH CDR3 length. The occurrence of stereotyped VH CDR3 was analyzed as reported.⁹ The clonal relationship between the CLL phase and the RS phase was established in 63 cases (73.2%) by comparing *IGHV-D-J* and VH CDR3 sequences.

Mutation analysis

Mutation analysis of TP53 (exons 4-8; GenBank accession no. NM_000546.4), CARD11 (exons 5-9; GenBank accession no NM 032415.3), TNFAIP3/A20 (exons 2-9; GenBank accession no. NM_006290.2), PRDM1/BLIMP1 (exons 1-7; GenBank accession no. NM_001198), EZH2 (exon 15; GenBank accession no. NM_004456.3), CD79A (immunoreceptor tyrosine-based activating motif domain on exons 4-5; GenBank accession no. NM_001783.3), CD79B (immunoreceptor tyrosine-based activating motif domain on exons 5-6; GenBank accession no. NM 000626.2), BCL6 intron 1 (genomic region 413-1141; GenBank accession no. AY189709), BCL6 exon 1 (genomic region 1-381; GenBank accession no. AY189709), PIM1 (genomic region 1011-1971; GenBank accession no. AF386792), PAX5 (genomic region 629-1460; GenBank accession no. AF386791), RhoH/TTF (genomic region 21-1100; GenBank accession no. AF386789), and c-MYC (genomic regions 2291-3500 and 4283-5449; GenBank accession no. X00364) was performed by DNA direct sequencing on an ABI Prism 3100 automated DNA sequence analyzer (Applied Biosystems). Nucleotide variations were considered mutations after filtering out single nucleotide polymorphisms mapped on public databases.27-30

Interphase FISH

Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) analysis was performed on the bioptic tissue used for RS diagnosis by staining as follows: (1) nuclei extracted from fresh or frozen cell suspensions or (2) formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded, unstained 3-µm-thick tissue sections. The probes used for FISH analysis were (1) LSI13, LSID13S319, CEP12, LSIp53, LSIATM, LSI IGH/BCL2, LSI IGH/CCND1, LSI BCL6, LSI IGH/c-MYC/CEP8, c-MYC break-apart, LSI N-MYC, CEP2, CEP3, CEP18, CEP19 (Abbott); (2) *BCL3* split signal (Dako); (3) 6q21/ α -satellite (Kreatech Biotechnology); and (4) bacterial artificial chromosome clones 373L24-rel and 440P05-BCL11A. Nuclei were counterstained with 4',6'-diamidino-2-phenylindole and antifade, and signals were visualized with the use of an Olympus BX51 microscope (Olympus Italia). For each probe, ≥ 400 interphase cells with well-delineated fluorescent spots were examined.

EBV analysis

Analysis of Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) infection was performed by polymerase chain reaction or in situ hybridization of EBV-encoded RNA transcripts, as previously reported.⁹

Immunohistochemistry

Cell of origin of DLBCL developing in the context of RS transformation was assigned by integrating the Hans algorithm³¹ with the Choi algorithm³² after staining for GCET1 (clone RAM341; Abcam), CD10 (clone 56C6; Ventana Medical Systems), BCL6 (polyclonal; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), IRF4/MUM1 (clone MRQ-43; Ventana Medical Systems), and FOXP1 (Clone JC12; Abcam).

Statistical analysis

The date of RS diagnosis was defined as the date of the diagnostic biopsy. RS survival was measured from date of RS diagnosis to date of death or last follow-up (censoring). Survival analysis was performed by the Kaplan-Meier method.³³ Univariate and multivariate analyses were performed by the Cox regression model.³⁴ False discovery rate was used to control for multiple statistical testing.³⁵ Accordingly, along with the P value, also a q value was provided for each association analysis. The q value indicates the probability that a positive association identified by a P value < .05might be a false-positive association because of chance. Only variables with a *P* value < .05 and a *q* value < .1 by univariate Cox analysis were retained for multivariate Cox analysis. Model minimization was performed by stepwise backward elimination (probability for entry, .05; probability for removal, .10). The following variables were analyzed in the multivariate analysis for risk of death: TP53 disruption, clonal relationship, age $(\leq 60 \text{ years vs} > 60 \text{ years})$, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Status (ECOG PS; 0-1 vs 2-4), tumor size ($\leq 5 \text{ cm vs} > 5 \text{ cm}$), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH; ≤ 1.5 upper limit of normal [ULN] vs > 1.5 ULN), platelet count ($\geq 100 \times 10^{9}/L \text{ vs} < 100 \times 10^{9}/L$), response after RS induction treatment (complete remission [CR] vs partial remission/no response), and allogeneic stem cell transplantation (SCT) as consolidation after induction. Response after RS induction treatment was treated as a time-dependent variable. To account for possible monotone likelihood in the multivariate analysis, the Firth bias correction method was applied to Cox regression when appropriate.36 The bias-corrected c-index for the final model was calculated with the validation function of the R Design library (http://www.r-project.org; accessed December 9, 2010) with 1000 bootstrap samples. This approach provides a bias-corrected estimate of prediction accuracy of the model to protect against overfitting during the stepwise regression of Cox multivariate analysis. Subsequently, the stability and predictive performance of the final model was internally validated with the use of a 2-step bootstrap resampling procedure.^{37,38} In the first step, 1000 bootstrap samples were generated randomly with replacement from the original population (n = 82). The stepwise Cox regression procedure was applied to each bootstrap sample with the same selection criteria as the original modeling. The percentage of bootstrap samples for which each variable was selected as significant in the model was then calculated. Percentage of inclusion reflects the prognostic importance of a

Table 1. Clinical characteristics of the RS series

	Whole series		Clonally related		Clonally unrelated		Undetermined clonal relationship	
Characteristic	n/N	%	n/N	%	n/N	%	n/N	%
Clinical features at RS diagnosis								
Age $> 60 \text{ v}$	56/86	65.1	31/50	62.0	11/13	84.6	14/23	60.9
Male	54/86	62.8	31/50	62.0	8/13	61.5	15/23	65.2
Date of BS diagnosis	0 1,00	02.0	01/00	0210	0,10	0110	10/20	0012
1990-1994	5/86	5.8	3/50	6.0	0/13	0	2/23	87
1995-1999	7/86	8.1	4/50	8.0	2/13	15.4	1/23	4.3
2000-2004	30/86	34.9	17/50	34.0	3/13	23.1	10/23	43.5
2005-2010	44/86	51.2	26/50	52.0	8/13	61.5	10/23	43.5
FCOG PS > 1	30/82	36.6	21/49	42.9	2/11	18.2	7/22	31.8
Ann Arbor stage III-IV	75/82	91.5	45/49	91.8	9/11	81.8	21/22	95.5
Binet stage	10/02	51.5	+3/+3	51.0	5/11	01.0	21/22	00.0
A	20/82	24.4	10/49	20.4	3/11	27.3	7/22	21.8
B	21/82	27.9	21/49	42.9	4/11	36.4	6/22	27.3
C	31/82	37.8	18/49	42.9	4/11	36.4	0/22	40.9
B symptoms	36/82	43.0	23/49	46.9	3/11	27.3	10/22	40.5
Extrapodal sites > 1	30/82	43.9	17/49	40.9	3/11	19.0	7/22	40.0
	20/03	31.3	24/50	49.0	2/11	10.2	0/22	40.0
	37/83	44.0	24/30	40.0	4/11	0.1	9/22	40.9
Nodal areas ≥ 5	24/03	20.9	17/50	54.0	1/11	9.1	10/22	27.3
ALC $\geq 5.0 \times 10^{\circ}/L$	42/02	51.2	20/49	16.0	4/11	30.4	6/00	54.5
	10/02	22.0	0/49	10.3	4/11	30.4	0/22	27.3
	25/62	30.5	16/49	32.7	0/11	9.1	0/22	30.4
	37/82	45.1	22/49	44.9	6/11	54.5	9/22	40.9
	30/52	57.7	21/37	56.8	3/9	33.3	0/0	100
ZAP70 expression	20/31	64.5	17/26	65.4	3/4	75.0	0/1	0
Prior GLL therapies > 1	32/83	38.6	18/49	36.7	5/12	41.7	9/22	40.9
IPI	11/00	10.4	4/40	0.0	0/11	10.0	F/00	00.7
Low	11/82	13.4	4/49	8.2	2/11	18.2	5/22	22.7
Low-Intermediate	26/82	31.7	17/49	34.7	4/11	36.4	5/22	22.7
High-intermediate	24/82	29.3	15/49	30.6	3/11	27.3	6/22	27.3
High	21/82	25.6	13/49	26.5	2/11	18.2	6/22	27.3
RS score	0.1/0.0		17/10				10/00	
	34/82	41.5	17/49	34.7	7/11	63.6	10/22	45.5
2 points	15/82	18.3	12/49	24.5	0/11	0	3/22	13.6
3 points	24/82	29.3	13/49	26.5	4/11	36.4	7/22	31.8
4-5 points	9/82	11.0	7/49	14.3	0/11	0	2/22	9.1
Pathologic features at HS diagnosis								
Site of RS development	57/00	00.0	07/50	74.0	0/40	00.0	11/00	47.0
	57/86	66.2	37/50	74.0	9/13	69.2	11/23	47.8
Extranodal	29/86	33.8	13/50	26.0	4/13	30.8	12/23	52.2
CD5 expression	40/83	48.2	23/48	47.9	//12	53.8	10/23	43.5
Non-GC pnenotype	76/82	92.7	43/46	93.5	11/13	84.6	22/23	95.7
EBV infection	5/85	5.9	3/50	6.0	2/13	15.4	0/22	0
RS treatment								
Regimen			/				- /	
R-CHOP/CHOP-like	35/82	42.7	26/49	53.1	4/11	36.4	5/22	22.7
CHOP/CHOP-like	18/82	22.0	8/49	16.3	4/11	36.4	6/22	27.3
Second-line regimens for NHL	6/82	7.3	4/49	8.2	1/11	9.1	1/22	4.5
Fludarabine-based regimens	7/82	8.5	5/49	10.2	0/11	0	2/22	9.1
Other	16/82	19.5	6/49	12.2	2/11	18.2	8/22	36.4
Hituximab-based	47/82	57.3	33/49	67.3	4/11	36.4	10/22	45.5
Allogeneic stem cell transplantation	7/82	8.5	6/49	12.1	0/11	0	1/22	4.5
CR after RS induction treatment	23/83	27.7	10/49	20.4	6/12	50.0	7/22	31.8

ALC indicates absolute lymphocyte count; Hb, hemoglobin; ULN, upper limit of normal; ZAP70, ζ-associated protein 70; IPI, International Prognostic Index; GC, germinal center; R-CHOP, rituximab, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, prednisone; CHOP, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone; NHL, non-Hodgkin lymphoma; and CR, complete remission.

variable, because it is expected that an important variable will be included for a majority of the bootstrap samples. In the second step, 1000 bootstrap samples were generated randomly with replacement from the original population. The stepwise Cox regression procedure was applied to each bootstrap sample with the same selection criteria as the original modeling. For each covariate, the mean standard deviation and confidence intervals (CIs) were computed for the 1000 bootstrap replications. The contribution of clinical covariates in determining the difference in risk of death between RS with *TP53* disruption and RS with wild-type *TP53* was estimated by the following formula: $100 \times [1 - (\text{adjusted HR for$ *TP53* $} disruption ÷ unadjusted HR for$ *TP53*disruption)].³⁹ Harrell C statisticswas used to compare the discriminatory value of the prognostic models(c-index = 1 indicates perfect discrimination, whereas c-index = 0.5 isequivalent to chance).⁴⁰ U test statistics were calculated with the use of the

Table 2. Molecular characteristics of the RS series

	Whole series		Clonally	Clonally related		Clonally unrelated		Undetermined clonal relationship	
Characteristic	n/N	%	n/N	%	n/N	%	n/N	%	
Genetic features									
TP53 disruption*	40/85	47.1	30/50	60.0	3/13	23.1	7/22	31.8	
c-MYC aberrations	16/61	26.2	10/36	27.8	3/10	30.0	3/15	20.0	
Deletions									
17p13 deletion	28/86	32.6	20/50	40.0	3/13	23.1	5/23	21.7	
11q22-q23 deletion	8/53	15.1	4/31	12.9	2/11	18.2	2/11	18.2	
13q14 deletion	7/53	13.2	7/33	21.3	0/8	0	0/12	0	
6q21 deletion	4/50	8.0	2/31	6.5	0/7	0	2/12	16.7	
Translocations									
c-MYC translocation	10/61	16.4	8/36	22.2	1/10	10.0	1/15	6.7	
BCL3 translocation	1/46	2.2	1/26	3.8	0/9	0	0/11	0	
BCL6 translocation	1/59	1.7	1/35	2.9	0/10	0	0/14	0	
BCL2 translocation	0/60	0	0/35	0	0/9	0	0/16	0	
Amplifications									
c-MYC amplification	6/61	9.8	2/36	5.6	2/10	20.0	2/15	13.3	
REL amplification	4/39	10.3	3/24	12.5	0/6	0	1/9	11.1	
BCL2 amplification	5/59	8.5	3/34	8.8	1/9	11.1	1/16	6.3	
BCL11A amplification	2/25	8.0	2/17	11.8	0/3	0	0/5	0	
MYCN amplification	2/54	3.7	2/31	6.5	0/8	0	0/15	0	
Trisomy 12	9/61	14.8	5/35	14.3	2/11	18.2	2/15	13.3	
Mutations									
TP53 mutation*	31/85	36.4	23/50	46.0	2/13	15.4	6/22	27.3	
RhoH/TTF mutation	4/28	14.3	4/25	16.0	0/1	0	0/2	0	
PIM1 mutation	3/28	10.7	3/25	12.0	0/1	0	0/2	0	
PAX5 mutation	2/28	7.1	2/25	8.0	0/1	0	0/2	0	
<i>c-MYC</i> mutation	1/28	3.6	1/25	4.0	0/1	0	0/2	0	
BCL6 exon 1 mutation	0/78	0	0/44	0	0/12	0	0/22	0	
CARD11 mutation	4/78	5.1	2/44	4.5	0/12	0	2/22	9.1	
PRDM1 mutation	0/78	0	0/44	0	0/12	0	0/22	0	
TNFAIP3/A20 mutation	0/78	0	0/44	0	0/12	0	0/22	0	
CD79A mutation	0/78	0	0/44	0	0/12	0	0/22	0	
CD79B mutation	0/78	0	0/44	0	0/12	0	0/22	0	
EZH2 mutation	0/78	0	0/44	0	0/12	0	0/22	0	
Immunogenetic features									
IGHV identity \ge 98%	55/85	64.7	36/50	72.0	5/13	38.5	14/22	63.6	
Stereotyped VH CDR3*	31/85	36.4	25/50	50.0	1/13	7.6	5/22	22.7	

IGHV indicates immunoglobulin heavy chain variable region gene; and VH CDR3, immunoglobulin heavy chain complementarity-determining region 3. *Molecular features with significantly different prevalence between clonally related and clonally unrelated RS.

rcorrp.cens function of the R Design library (http://www.r-project.org; accessed December 9, 2010) to test whether the c-index of one predictive model was significantly higher than the c-index of another predictive model. Recursive-partitioning analysis for censored survival data were performed to identify the factors that were most influential for RS survival and to permit the classification of patients into risk categories.^{41,42} Categorical variables were compared by chi-square test and Fisher exact test when appropriate. All statistical tests were 2-sided. Statistical significance was defined as *P* value < .05. The analysis was performed with the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) software Version 18.0 (and with R statistical package 2.8.1, http://www.r-project.org; accessed December 9, 2010).

Results

Clinicopathologic features of RS cases

The clinical features of RS (n = 86) were representative of this disease in terms of patient frailty, tumor burden, and history of previous CLL treatment (Table 1). Median time from CLL diagnosis to RS development was 3.7 years (range, 0.2-6.7 years). Eighty-three of 86 patients were evaluable for survival. After a

median follow-up for surviving patients of 57 months, 52 of 83 patients (62.6%) died, accounting for a median survival from the time of RS development of 19 months.

All RS were classified as DLBCL. CD5 was expressed in 40 of 83 RS samples (48.2%), and expression of CD10 was observed at transformation in 4 of 82 RS samples (4.9%), whereas it was consistently negative in all CLL samples (Table 1). According to cell of origin,^{31,32} the overwhelming majority of RS (76 of 82, 92.7%) displayed a non–germinal center phenotype. EBV infection was documented in 5 of 85 RS (5.9%; Table 1). Following the 98% cutoff value for identity to the germline, the *IGHV* genes were unmutated in 55 of 85 RS (64.7%) RS. Stereotyped VH CDR3 occurred in 31 of 85 cases (36.4%; Table 2).

TP53 disruption and *c-MYC* abnormalities are the most frequent genetic lesions in RS

TP53 mutations (n = 35 mutation events) were documented in 31 of 85 RS (36.4%; Table 2). Four cases carried 2 mutations on independent alleles. All *TP53* mutations predicted functional consequences according to the International Agency for Research on Cancer *TP53* Mutation Database.³⁰ Only 6 of 35 mutations

Figure 1. Kaplan-Meier estimates of RS survival according to *TP53* status. (A) RS with *TP53* mutations showed a significantly shorter survival (Events/N, 24/31; median, 10.0 months; 95% CI, 6.6-13.3 months) compared with RS devoid of *TP53* mutations (Events/N, 30/52; median, 27.0 months; 95% CI, 8.5-45.4 months; P = .008). (B) RS with 17p13 deletion showed a significantly shorter survival (Events/N, 23/28; median, 10.0 months; 95% CI, 3.0-17.0 months) compared with RS devoid of 17p13 deletion (Events/N, 31/55; median, 35.8 months; 95% CI, 7.1-64.6 months; P < .001). (C) RS with *TP53* disruption by deletion and/or mutation showed a significantly shorter survival (Events/N, 32/40; median, 9.4 months, 95% CI: 4.6-14.2 months) compared with RS without *TP53* disruption (Events/N, 22/43; median, 47.1 months; 95% CI, 6.9-87.3 months).



(17.1%) were localized within codons that have been previously described as mutational hotspots in de novo DLBCL (Lys132, 0 mutations; Arg175, 0 mutations; Arg213, 3 mutations; Arg248, 1 mutation; Arg273, 2 mutations; Arg282, 0 mutations).⁴³

Deletion of 17p13 was documented in 28 of 86 RS (32.6%). By combining results of 17p13 deletion and *TP53* mutation in cases for

which both data were available, 40 of 85 RS (47.1%) carried *TP53* disruption through mutation or deletion or both. Among clonally related RS, analysis of CLL/RS pairs showed that *TP53* disruption was acquired at transformation in 10 of 18 assessable cases (55.6%).

c-MYC abnormalities were documented in 16 of 61 patients (26.2%) and included *c-MYC* translocations (10 of 61, 16.4%) and

Table 3.	Variables	associated	with RS	survival by	/ univariate
analysis	S				

Characteristic	HR	LCI	UCI	Р	q
Clinical feature					
Age > 60 y	2.41	1.30	4.44	.005*	.019*
Male	0.69	0.40	1.21	.199	.412
ECOG PS > 1	4.54	2.52	8.20	< .001*	< .001*
Ann Arbor III-IV	1.92	0.59	6.18	.274	.485
Binet B-C	1.97	0.95	4.10	.068	.174
Extranodal sites > 1	1.28	0.71	2.30	.403	.579
Tumor size > 5 cm	2.62	1.45	4.71	.001*	.006*
$ALC \geq 5.0 \times 10^9 \text{/L}$	1.17	0.67	2.03	.579	.699
$\begin{array}{l} \text{Platelet count} \\ < 100 \times 10^9 \text{/L} \end{array}$	2.20	1.23	3.91	.007*	.023*
LDH > 1.5 ULN	2.52	1.41	4.48	.002*	.009*
CD38 expression	0.81	0.38	1.66	.544	.699
ZAP70 expression	1.31	0.46	3.75	.604	.699
Prior therapies > 1	1.00	0.57	1.76	.979	.979
Rituximab-containing regimen	0.80	0.46	1.38	.312	.513
Allogeneic stem cell transplantation	0.19	0.04	0.78	.027*	.078*
CR after RS induction treatment	0.21	0.04	0.46	< .001*	.001*
Pathologic feature					
CD5 expression	1.12	0.65	1.93	.669	.699
Non-GC phenotype	1.30	0.40	4.18	.659	.699
EBV infection	2.84	0.84	9.60	.091	.209
Molecular features					
TP53 disruption	2.90	1.67	5.04	< .001*	.001*
c-MYC aberrations	1.20	0.59	2.43	.612	.699
IGHV identity \ge 98%	1.29	0.72	2.34	.384	.579
Stereotyped VH CDR3	1.41	0.81	2.46	.215	.412

HR indicates hazard ratio; LCI, 95% lower confidence interval; UCI, 95% upper confidence interval; ALC, absolute lymphocyte count; ULN, upper limit of normal; ZAP70, ζ-associated protein 70; CR, complete response; GC, germinal center; *IGHV*, immunoglobulin heavy chain variable region gene; and VH CDR3, immunoglobulin heavy chain complementarity-determining region 3.

*Statistically significant.

c-MYC amplification (6 of 61, 9.8%). At variance with de novo DLBCL, *c-MYC* abnormalities in RS did not display concurrent *BCL2* or *BCL6* translocations.⁴⁴ However, similar to other models of transformation from indolent to aggressive B-cell malignancy,⁴⁵ half of the *c-MYC* abnormalities (8 of 16, 50.0%) paired with *TP53* disruption in the same RS sample. Analysis of clonally related CLL/RS pairs disclosed that *c-MYC* abnormalities were acquired at transformation in 3 of 4 assessable cases.

RS lacked most genetic lesions that are recurrent in de novo DLBCL (Table 2).⁴⁶ *CARD11* mutations were restricted to 4 of 78 RS (5.1%) and were acquired at transformation in all assessable CLL/RS pairs. All cases were devoid of mutations targeting other genes of the nuclear factor- κ B pathway (*TNFAIP3/A20*, 0 of 78; *CD79A*, 0 of 78; *CD79B*, 0 of 78). *BCL6* translocation was rare (1 of 59). *BCL2* translocation (0 of 60) as well as mutations of

PRDM1 (0 of 78), *BCL6* exon 1 (0 of 78), and *EZH2* (0 of 78) were consistently absent in RS. Finally, aberrant somatic hypermutation (ASHM) of proto-oncogenes, which targets more than one-half de novo DLBCL,⁴⁶ occurred at a low frequency in RS (aberrant somatic hypermutation targeting > 2 proto-oncogenes, 2 of 28, 7.1%).

TP53 disruption is an independent predictor of survival in RS

In this cohort, *TP53* disruption emerged as a predictor of RS survival. Median survival for patients with *TP53* mutations was 10.0 months (95% CI, 6.6-13.3 months) versus 27.0 months (95% CI, 8.5-45.4 months) for patients without *TP53* mutations (P = .008; Figure 1A). In addition to *TP53* mutations, *TP53* deletion was also a predictor of RS survival. Median survival for RS with 17p13 deletion was 10.0 months (95% CI, 3.0-17.0 months) versus 35.8 months (95% CI, 7.1- 64.6 months) for patients without 17p13 deletion (P < .001; Figure 1B). Median survival for RS with *TP53* disruption by mutation or deletion or both was 9.4 months (95% CI, 4.6- 14.2 months) versus 47.1 months (95% CI, 6.9-87.3 months) for patients without *TP53* disruption (P < .001; Table 3; Figure 1C). Compared with RS without *TP53* disruption, survival was uniformly poor in all RS subgroups harboring any type of *TP53* disruption (P < .05 for pairwise comparisons).

To assess the independent value of *TP53* disruption in predicting RS outcome, we proceeded to test the prognostic role of clinical variables in our RS cohort. Among clinical variables at RS diagnosis, age > 60 years (P = .005, q = .019), ECOG PS > 1 (P < .001, q < .001), tumor size > 5 cm (P = .001, q = .006), platelet count < 100 × 10⁹/L (P = .007, q = .023), and LDH > 1.5 ULN (P = .002, q = .009) were associated with poor survival (Table 3). Concerning RS therapies, the variables associated with improved survival were (1) achievement of CR after RS induction treatment (P < .001, q = .001) and (2) allogeneic SCT as therapy after remission (P = .027, q = .078). None of the pathologic features of DLBCL diagnostic biopsies correlated with RS prognosis (Table 3).

By multivariate analysis, *TP53* disruption was selected in the final model as an independent predictor of RS survival (hazard ratio [HR], 2.27; 95% CI, 1.28-4.05; P = .004), along with achievement of CR after RS induction treatment (HR, 0.21; 95% CI, 0.08-0.51; P < .001) and poor ECOG PS > 1 (HR, 4.58; 95% CI, 2.05-8.40; P < .001; Table 4). After adjusting for clinical covariates in the multivariate analysis, RS lacking *TP53* disruption had a 57% reduction in risk of death compared with RS harboring *TP53* disruption. Clinical covariates accounted for only 22% of the overall risk of death determined by *TP53* disruption.

The final model for RS survival was internally validated with a bootstrapping resampling technique. The first step of validation showed that *TP53* disruption, achievement of CR after RS induction treatment, and poor ECOG PS > 1 were the sole covariates selected at high frequency (> 90%) as independent predictors of RS survival in each one of the 1000 bootstrap samples that were generated (Table 4). This step of the analysis validated that *TP53* disruption, achievement of CR after RS induction treatment, and

Table 4. Variables associated with RS survival by multivariate analysis

	Final model*				Bootstrap parameters, mean			Bootstrap
Characteristic	HR	LCI	UCI	Р	HR	LCI	UCI	selection, %
ECOG PS > 1	4.58	2.05	8.40	< .001	5.82	2.90	11.79	96.4
CR after RS induction treatment	0.21	0.08	0.51	< .001	0.20	0.08	0.52	95.1
TP53 disruption	2.27	1.28	4.05	.004	2.66	1.43	4.96	94.4

HR indicates hazard ratio; LCI, 95% lower confidence interval; UCI, 95% upper confidence interval; and CR, complete response. *Bias-corrected c-index of the final model: 0.801.



Figure 2. Classification of RS into risk-of-death categories and Kaplan-Meier estimates of RS survival according to those categories. The high-risk group includes patients presenting with a poor ECOG PS > 1, irrespective of *TP53* status and type of response to RS induction treatment (Events/N, 28/30; median survival, 7.8 months; 95% CI, 2.5-13.1 months). The intermediate-risk group includes patients presenting with a good ECOG PS \leq 1 but harboring *TP53* disruption or not achieving CR after RS induction treatment despite being wild type on *TP53* (Events/N, 23/41; median survival, 24.6 months; 95% CI, 15.8-33.4 months). The low-risk group includes patients who presented with a good ECOG PS \leq 1, had no *TP53* disruption, and achieved CR after RS induction treatment (Events/N, 2/11; median survival, not reached; 5-year survival, 70%; 95% CI, 34.4%-100%).

poor ECOG PS > 1 are the most important variables affecting RS survival in this series. The second step of the validation analysis showed that the HRs produced from the original series were very close to those produced from the 1000 bootstrap samples (Table 4). This step validated the stability of the final model for RS survival prediction on the basis of *TP53* disruption, achievement of CR after RS induction treatment, and poor ECOG PS > 1.

TP53 disruption, induction treatment response, and ECOG PS stratify patients with RS into distinct survival categories

By recursive-partitioning analysis, *TP53* status, response to RS induction treatment, and ECOG PS were used to build an algorithm

for classifying patients with RS according to risk of death (Figure 2).

Patients presenting with a poor ECOG PS > 1 had a high risk of death, irrespective of *TP53* status and type of response to RS induction treatment (median survival, 7.8 months; 95% CI, 2.5-13.1 months). Patients presenting with a good ECOG PS \leq 1, but harboring *TP53* disruption or not achieving CR after RS induction treatment despite being *TP53* wild type, had an intermediate risk of death (median survival, 24.6 months; 95% CI, 15.8-33.4 months). Patients who presented with a good ECOG PS \leq 1, had no *TP53* disruption, and achieved CR after RS induction treatment displayed a low risk of death (median



survival, not reached; 5-year survival, 70%; 95% CI, 34.4%-100%; Figure 2).

In this cohort, the prognostic model that included *TP53* status, response to RS induction treatment, and ECOG PS allowed to better discriminate RS survival compared with the RS score that is based solely on clinical parameters,²³ namely ECOG PS, LDH, platelet count, tumor size, and number of prior therapies (Figure 3). In fact, more than one-half (58.5%) RS that are assigned by our model to the intermediate risk group would otherwise be classified as favorable risk according to the RS score (Table 5).²³ In addition, the model based on *TP53* status, response to RS induction treatment, and ECOG PS had a significantly higher probability of correctly predicting RS survival (c-index = .809) compared with the clinical RS score (c-index = .750; P < .001).

Clonal relationship identifies distinct RS subsets with different molecular features and different prognosis

RS was clonally related to the CLL phase in 50 of 63 cases (79.3%) and clonally unrelated in 13 of 63 cases (20.6%). In 23 cases, clonal relationship remained undetermined because paired CLL samples were not available.

The biology and clinical course of clonally unrelated RS significantly differed from that of clonally related cases. From a molecular standpoint, clonally unrelated RS was characterized by a significantly lower prevalence of *TP53* disruption (3 of 13, 23.1%) compared with clonally related cases (30 of 50, 60.0%; P = .018;

Table 5. Distribution of the risk group according to the RS score

	•	•	•	
Risk group	0-1 points	2 points	3 points	4-5 points
Low risk, n/N (%)	10/11 (90.9)	0/11	1/11 (9.1)	0/11
Intermediate risk, n/N (%)	24/41 (58.5)	8/41 (19.5)	8/41 (19.5)	1/41 (2.4)
High risk, n/N (%)	0/30	7/30 (23.3)	15/30 (50.0)	8/30 (26.7)

Figure 3. Kaplan-Meier estimates of RS survival according to the RS score. The RS score is calculated by adding one point for each of the following risk factors: ECOG PS > 1, LDH > 1.5 ULN, platelet count $< 100 \times 10^9$ /L, tumor size > 5 cm, and number of prior CLL therapies > 1. RS score 0-1: events/N, 14/34; median survival, 62.5 months; 95% Cl, 19.4-105.6 months. RS score 2: events/N, 11/15; median survival, 11.0 months; 95% Cl, 1.9-20.0 monhts. RS score 3: events/N, 20/24; median survival, 10.5 months; 95% Cl, 0.7-20.3 months. RS score 4-5: events/N, 8/9; median survival, 3.8 months; 95% Cl, 0-11.1 months.

Table 2). From an immunogenetic standpoint, clonally unrelated RS was characterized by a significantly lower prevalence of stereotyped VH CDR3 (1 of 13, 7.6%) compared with clonally related cases (25 of 50, 50.0%; P = .009; Table 2). The difference in stereotyped VH CDR3 frequency occurred irrespective of the *IGHV* gene mutational status.

The prognostic role of clonal relationship in RS was explored in cases for which this information was available (n = 63). Despite similar clinical features at presentation (P > .05 in all instances; Table 1), clonally unrelated RS was characterized by a significantly longer survival (median, 62.5 months; 95% CI, 34.0-91.0 months) compared with clonally related cases (median, 14.2 months; 95% CI, 7.7-20.6 months; P = .017; Figure 4). The survival difference was observed even though no case of clonally unrelated RS was consolidated with allogeneic SCT (Table 1).

Discussion

The results of this study indicate that RS is characterized by molecular and immunogenetic heterogeneity that might be important for clinical outcome. The original contributions of this study in the field of RS include the demonstration that (1) *TP53* disruption is one of the major factors affecting RS survival and (2) clonally unrelated RS is clinically and biologically distinct from clonally related RS and is characterized by an outcome similar to that of de novo DLBCL.

In this cohort, *TP53* disruption and *c-MYC* abnormalities emerged as the 2 most frequent recurrent genetic lesions in RS. Analysis of sequential samples documented that *TP53* disruption and *c-MYC* abnormalities are frequently acquired at transformation, thus pointing to the pathogenetic relevance of these genetic lesions for the acquisition of an aggressive large cell phenotype.



Figure 4. Kaplan-Meier curves for RS survival from diagnosis according to clonal relationship. Clonally unrelated RS showed a significantly longer survival (Events/N, 4/12; median, 62.5 months; 95% CI, 34.0-91.0 months) compared with clonally related RS (Events/N, 35/49; median, 14.2 months; 95% CI, 7.7-20.6 months; P = .017).

Acquisition of *TP53* mutations and *c-MYC* aberrations represent a dual-hit genetic mechanism shared by other models of transformation from indolent to aggressive lymphomas,^{45,47,48} suggesting that, to a certain extent, the clinicopathologic transformation of B-cell malignancies follows similar pathways in different disease contexts. The high prevalence of *TP53* disruption in RS probably reflects the selection of a chemorefractory clone under the pressure of previous CLL treatments⁴⁹ and might explain the poor outcome of this disease and the limited sensitivity to conventional drugs.

Despite morphologic and phenotypic similarities with nongerminal center de novo DLBCL,²⁴ the molecular profile of RS is not reminiscent of that lymphoma. In fact, most genetic features that are recurrent in de novo DLBCL, including alterations of the *BCL6/PRDM1* and of the nuclear factor- κ B pathways, are rare or absent in RS.⁴⁶ Moreover, the high frequency of unmutated *IGHV* genes observed in RS is in sharp contrast with the immunogenetic features of de novo DLBCL that carry mutated *IGHV* genes in virtually all cases.⁵⁰ Such molecular and immunogenetic differences between RS and de novo DLBCL might reflect differences in the microenvironment in which the lymphoma develops, as well as differences in host factors potentially relevant for B-cell malignancies, including the degree of immunocompetence and the host genetic background of patients with CLL.

The prognosis of RS is generally considered poor.^{3,4} However, a fraction of patients with RS may survive years after RS development.²³ The RS score, which is currently the only tool to predict RS prognosis, fails to sort out cases projected to experience a long survival.²³ In the present study, *TP53* status, type of response after RS induction treatment, and ECOG PS emerged as independent predictors of RS survival and allowed to segregate RS into risk categories. The low-risk category shows an expected 5-year survival probability of 70% that in all but one patient was achieved

only with conventional chemotherapy without allogeneic SCT, thus questioning the role of allogeneic SCT in this group of patients.²³ Intermediate-risk patients, marked by TP53 disruption and failure to achieve CR after RS induction therapy, show an expected median survival of only 2 years, even though one-third of the patients received allogeneic SCT. This observation provides the rationale for clinical trials to test pretransplantation induction treatments with agents circumventing TP53 disruption.⁵¹⁻⁵⁴ Patients belonging to the high-risk category, marked by ECOG PS > 1, show a poor outcome, with a median survival of only a few months. In these patients, the application of aggressive treatment is frequently hampered by patient frailty due to disease aggressiveness and to the sequelae of multiple lines of ineffective treatment that have a negative effect on performance status. To avoid deterioration of performance status, a close clinical monitoring for early recognition of RS development should be applied to patients with CLL at high risk of RS transformation because of their clinicobiologic profile.6-9

Several lines of evidence document that clonally unrelated and clonally related RS are distinct disorders both biologically and clinically. In terms of pathogenesis, the frequency of *TP53* disruption in clonally unrelated RS is low and overall similar to that of de novo DLBCL,⁴³ whereas *TP53* inactivation occurs in more than one-half of clonally related RS. In addition, stereotyped VH CDR3, an immunogenetic feature of CLL denoting the pathogenetic role of antigens,⁵⁵ is frequent in clonally related RS⁹ but rare in clonally unrelated cases. In terms of clinical outcome, our study shows that patients with clonally unrelated RS survive longer than clonally related cases and display a survival probability in the range of de novo DLBCL.²⁴ At variance with our results, a previous investigation²² failed to show a difference in survival between clonally related and clonally unrelated RS. This discrepancy might

be related to the different number of patients analyzed by Mao et al^{22} (19 clonally related and 5 clonally unrelated RS cases) compared with the current study (50 clonally related and 13 clonally unrelated RS cases). The lower number of cases analyzed by Mao et al^{22} might have underpowered the study to detect a statistically significant difference in survival between clonally related and unrelated RS.

Overall, these observations suggest that clonally unrelated RS should be considered as a secondary DLBCL arising de novo in the context of CLL rather than a true RS. Therefore, we propose that the diagnosis of RS should be restricted to clonally related cases. Given the molecular and immunogenetic heterogeneity of the disease, patients with RS should be offered an accurate work-up that focuses on the clonal relationship with the preceding CLL phase and on the occurrence of *TP53* disruption. As suggested by the results of this study, knowledge of the biologic features of RS in the individual patient might have important implications for outcome prediction and clinical management.

Acknowledgments

We thank Michaela Cerri, PhD, for help in sequencing analysis and Antonio Ramponi, MD, for pathologic review of cases.

This study was supported by Progetto FIRB-Programma "Futuro in Ricerca" 2008 and PRIN 2008, MIUR, Rome, Italy; AIRC, Special Program Molecular Clinical Oncology, 5×1000 , No. 10007, Milan, Italy; Progetto Giovani Ricercatori 2008, Ministero

References

- Müller-Hermelink HK, Montserrat E, Catovsky D, Campo E, Harris NL, Stein H. Chronic lymphocytic leukemia/small lymphocytic lymphoma. In: Swerdlow SH, Campo E, Harris NL, Jaffe ES, Pileri SA, Stein H, Thiele J, Vardiman JW, eds. World Health Organization Classification of Tumours, Pathology and Genetics of Tumours of Haematopoietic and Lymphoid Tissues. Lyon, France: IARC; 2008:180-182.
- Hallek M, Cheson BD, Catovsky D, et al. Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of chronic lymphocytic leukemia: a report from the International Workshop on Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia updating the National Cancer Institute-Working Group 1996 guidelines. *Blood*. 2008; 111(12):5446-5456.
- Tsimberidou AM, Keating MJ. Richter syndrome: biology, incidence, and therapeutic strategies. *Cancer.* 2005;103(2):216-228.
- Rossi D, Gaidano G. Richter syndrome: molecular insights and clinical perspectives. *Hematol Oncol.* 2009;27(1):1-10.
- Gine' E, Martinez A, Villamor N, et al. Expanded and highly active proliferation centers identify a histological subtype of chronic lymphocytic leukemia ("accelerated" chronic lymphocytic leukemia) with aggressive clinical behavior. *Haematologica*. 2010;95(9):1526-1533.
- Rossi D, Cerri M, Capello D, et al. Biological and clinical risk factors of chronic lymphocytic leukaemia transformation to Richter syndrome. Br J Haematol. 2008;142(2):202-215.
- Deambrogi C, Cresta S, Cerri M, et al. 14q32 Translocations and risk of Richter transformation in chronic lymphocytic leukaemia. *Br J Haematol.* 2009;144(1):131-133.
- Rossi D, Lobetti Bodoni C, Genuardi E, et al. Telomere length is an independent predictor of survival, treatment requirement and Richter's syndrome transformation in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Leukemia*. 2009;23(6):1062-1072.
- 9. Rossi D, Spina V, Cerri M, et al. Stereotyped

B-cell receptor is an independent risk factor of chronic lymphocytic leukemia transformation to Richter syndrome. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2009;15(13): 4415-4422.

- Han T, Sadamori N, Ozer H, et al. Cytogenetic studies in 77 patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia: correlations with clinical, immunologic, and phenotypic data. *J Clin Oncol.* 1984;2(10): 1121-1132.
- Gaidano G, Ballerini P, Gong JZ, et al. p53 mutations in human lymphoid malignancies: association with Burkitt lymphoma and chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1991; 88(12):5413-5417.
- Hébert J, Jonveaux P, d'Agay MF, Berger R. Cytogenetic studies in patients with Richter's syndrome. *Cancer Genet Cytogenet*. 1994;73(1):65-68.
- Brynes RK, McCourty A, Sun NC, Koo CH. Trisomy 12 in Richter's transformation of chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Am J Clin Pathol.* 1995; 104(2):199-203.
- Caraway NP, Du Y, Zhang H-Z, Hayes K, Glassman AB, Katz RL. Numeric chromosomal abnormalities in small lymphocytic and transformed large cell lymphomas detected by fluorescence in situ hybridization of fine-needle aspiration biopsies. *Cancer.* 2000;90(2):126-132.
- Beà S, López-Guillermo A, Ribas M, et al. Genetic imbalances in progressed B-cell chronic lymphocytic leukemia and transformed large-cell lymphoma (Richter's syndrome). Am J Pathol. 2002;161(3):957-968.
- Lee JN, Giles F, Huh YO, et al. Molecular differences between small and large cells in patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Eur J Hematol.* 2003;71(4):235-242.
- Rossi D, Berra E, Cerri M, et al. Aberrant somatic hypermutation in transformation of follicular lymphoma and chronic lymphocytic leukemia to diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Haematologica*. 2006;91(10):1405-1409.

della Salute, Rome, Italy; Ricerca Sanitaria Finalizzata, Regione Piemonte, Torino, Italy; Oncosuisse grant OCS-02296-08-2008; Helmut Horten Foundation, San Salvatore Foundation, Fondazione per la Ricerca e la Cura sui Linfomi, Lugano, Switzerland; and Novara-Associazione Italiana contro le Leucemie, Linfomi e Mielomi (AIL) Onlus, Novara. V.S. is being supported by the Associazione Franca Capurro per Novara Onlus, Novara. E.C. is recipient of a European Society for Medical Oncology (ESMO) Fellowship Grant.

Authorship

Contribution: D.R. and G.G. designed the study, analyzed and interpreted data, performed statistical analysis, and drafted the manuscript; V.S. and S.R. performed mutation analysis and interpreted data; C.D. performed FISH analysis and interpreted data; L.L., K.S., L.A., M.L., G.B.R, Z.Y.X.-M., C.V., J.C., E.C., F.F., R.M., C.B., and T.P. collected clinical data; M.P., L.M.L., S.A.P., V.G., F.B., K.H.Y contributed to data analysis and interpretation; and R.F. contributed to data interpretation and to drafting the manuscript.

Conflict-of-interest disclosure: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Correspondence: Davide Rossi, Division of Hematology, Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, Amedeo Avogadro University of Eastern Piedmont, Via Solaroli 17, 28100 Novara, Italy; e-mail: rossidav@med.unipmn.it

- Scandurra M, Rossi D, Deambrogi C, et al. Genomic profiling of Richter's syndrome: recurrent lesions and differences with de novo diffuse large B-cell lymphomas. *Hematol Oncol.* 2010; 28(2):62-67.
- Cherepakhin V, Baird SM, Meisenholder GW, Kipps TJ. Common clonal origin of chronic lymphocytic leukemia and high grade lymphoma of Richter's syndrome. *Blood.* 1993;82(10):3141-3147.
- Matolcsy A, Inghirami G, Knowles DM. Molecular genetic demonstration of the diverse evolution of Richter's syndrome (chronic lymphocytic leukemia and subsequent large cell lymphoma). *Blood.* 1994;83(5):1363-1372.
- Timár B, Fülöp Z, Csernus B, et al. Relationship between the mutational status of VH genes and pathogenesis of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma in Richter's syndrome. *Leukemia*. 2004;18(2):326-330.
- Mao Z, Quintanilla-Martinez L, Raffeld M, et al. IgVH mutational status and clonality analysis of Richter's transformation. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 2007; 31(10):1605-1614.
- Tsimberidou AM, O'Brien S, Khouri I, et al. Clinical outcomes and prognostic factors in patients with Richter's syndrome treated with chemotherapy or chemoimmunotherapy with or without stem-cell transplantation. *J Clin Oncol.* 2006; 24(15):2343-2351.
- Stein H, Warnke RA, Chan WC, Jaffe ES. Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. In: Swerdlow SH, Campo E, Harris NL, Jaffe ES, Pileri SA, Stein H, Thiele J, Vardiman JW, eds. World Health Organization Classification of Tumours, Pathology and Genetics of Tumours of Haematopoietic and Lymphoid Tissues. Lyon, France: IARC; 2008:233-237.
- O'Brien SM, Kantarjian HM, Thomas DA, et al. Alemtuzumab as treatment for residual disease after chemotherapy in patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Cancer.* 2003;98(12):2657-2663.

- International Immunogenetics Information System. ImMunoGeneTics sequence directory. http:// imgt.cines.fr/. Accessed November 9, 2010.
- 27. Chip Bioinformatics Tools. SNPper. http:// snpper.chip.org. Accessed May 2, 2010.
- National Center for Biotechnology Information. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez. Accessed May 2, 2010.
- 29. International HapMap Project. http://hapmap.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov. Accessed May 2, 2010.
- International Agency for Research on Cancer. TP53 Mutation Database. http://www-p53.iarc.fr/ StructureAnalysis.html. Accessed May 15, 2010.
- Hans CP, Weisenburger DD, Greiner TC, et al. Confirmation of the molecular classification of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma by immunohistochemistry using a tissue microarray. *Blood*. 2004; 103(1):275-282.
- Choi WW, Weisenburger DD, Greiner TC, et al. A new immunostain algorithm classifies diffuse large B-cell lymphoma into molecular subtypes with high accuracy. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2009; 15(17):5494-5502.
- Kaplan EL, Meier P. Nonparametric estimation from incomplete observations. *Am Stat Assoc.* 1958;53:457-481.
- 34. Cox DR. Regression models and life tables. *J R Stat Assoc.* 1972;34:187-220.
- Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling false discovery rate: a practicable and powerful approach to multiple testing. *J R Stat Soc B.* 1995;57:289-300.
- Heinze G, Schemper M. A solution to the problem of monotone likelihood in Cox regression. *Biometrics*. 2001;57(1):114-119.
- Chen CH, George SL. The bootstrap and identification of prognostic factors via Cox's proportional hazards regression model. *Stat Med.* 1985;4(1): 39-46.
- 38. Federico M, Bellei M, Marcheselli L, et al. Follicu-

lar lymphoma international prognostic index 2: a new prognostic index for follicular lymphoma developed by the international follicular lymphoma prognostic factor project. *J Clin Oncol.* 2009; 27(27):4555-4562.

- Ang KK, Harris J, Wheeler R, et al. Human papillomavirus and survival of patients with oropharyngeal cancer. N Engl J Med. 2010;363(1):24-35.
- Harrell FE Jr, Lee K, Mark DB. Multivariable prognostic models: issues in developing models, evaluating assumptions and adequacy, and measuring and reducing errors. *Stat Med.* 1996;15(4): 361-387.
- Ciampi A, Lawless J, McKinney S, Singhal K. Regression and recursive partition strategies in the analysis of medical and survival data. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 1988;41(8):737-748.
- Morel P, Duhamel A, Gobbi P, et al. International prognostic scoring system for Waldenström macroglobulinemia. *Blood*. 2009;113(18):4163-4170.
- Young KH, Leroy K, Møller MB, et al. Structural profiles of TP53 gene mutations predict clinical outcome in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: an international collaborative study. *Blood.* 2008; 112(8):3088-3098.
- Barrans S, Crouch S, Smith A, et al. Rearrangement of MYC is associated with poor prognosis in patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma treated in the era of rituximab. *J Clin Oncol.* 2010; 28(20):3360-3365.
- Yano T, Jaffe ES, Longo DL, Raffeld M. MYC rearrangements in histologically progressed follicular lymphomas. *Blood.* 1992;80(3):758-767.
- 46. Lenz G, Staudt LM. Aggressive lymphomas. *N Engl J Med.* 2010;362:1417-1429.
- Lo Coco F, Gaidano G, Louie DC, Offit K, Chaganti RS, Dalla-Favera R. p53 mutations are associated with histologic transformation of follicular lymphoma. *Blood*. 1993;82(8):2289-2295.
- 48. Davies AJ, Rosenwald A, Wright G, et al. Trans-

formation of follicular lymphoma to diffuse large B-cell lymphoma proceeds by distinct oncogenic mechanisms. *Br J Haematol.* 2007;136(2):286-293.

- Rossi D, Cerri M, Deambrogi C, et al. The prognostic value of TP53 mutations in chronic lymphocytic leukemia is independent of Del17p13: implications for overall survival and chemorefractoriness. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2009; 15(3):995-1004.
- Lossos IS, Okada CY, Tibshirani R, et al. Molecular lar analysis of immunoglobulin genes in diffuse large B-cell lymphomas. *Blood.* 2000;95(5):1797-1803.
- Lin TS, Ruppert AS, Johnson AJ, et al. Phase II study of flavopiridol in relapsed chronic lymphocytic leukemia demonstrating high response rates in genetically high-risk disease. *J Clin Oncol.* 2009;27(35):6012-6018.
- Stilgenbauer S, Zenz T, Winkler D, et al, Subcutaneous alemtuzumab in fludarabine-refractory chronic lymphocytic leukemia: clinical results and prognostic marker analyses from the CLL2H study of the German Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia Study Group. J Clin Oncol. 2009;27(24): 3994-4001.
- Sher T, Miller KC, Lawrence D, et al. Efficacy of lenalidomide in patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia with high-risk cytogenetics. *Leuk Lymphoma*. 2010;51(1):85-88.
- Wierda WG, Kipps TJ, Mayer J, et al. Ofatumumab as single-agent CD20 immunotherapy in fludarabine-refractory chronic lymphocytic leukemia. J Clin Oncol. 2010;28(10):1749-1755.
- Stamatopoulos K, Belessi C, Moreno C, et al. More than 20% of patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia carry stereotyped receptors: pathogenetic implications and clinical correlations. *Blood.* 2007;109(1):259-270.