

Brief report

Embryonic stem cell–derived hemangioblasts remain epigenetically plastic and require PRC1 to prevent neural gene expression

*Luca Mazzarella,¹ *Helle F. Jørgensen,¹ Jorge Soza-Ried,¹ Anna V. Terry,¹ Stella Pearson,² Georges Lacaud,² Valerie Kouskoff,² Matthias Merkenschlager,¹ and Amanda G. Fisher¹

¹Lymphocyte Development Group, Medical Research Council Clinical Sciences Centre, Faculty of Medicine, Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom; and ²Cancer Research United Kingdom Stem Cell Haematopoiesis and Stem Cell Biology Groups, Paterson Institute for Cancer Research, University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom

Many lineage-specific developmental regulator genes are transcriptionally primed in embryonic stem (ES) cells; RNA Pol_{II} is bound at their promoters but is prevented from productive elongation by the activity of polycomb repressive complexes (PRC) 1 and 2. This epigenetically poised state is thought to enable ES cells to rapidly execute multiple differentiation programs and is recognized by a simulta-

neous enrichment for trimethylation of lysine 4 and trimethylation of lysine 27 of histone H3 (bivalent chromatin) across promoter regions. Here we show that the chromatin profile of this important cohort of genes is progressively modified as ES cells differentiate toward blood-forming precursors. Surprisingly however, neural specifying genes, such as *Nkx2-2*, *Nkx2-9*, and *Sox1*, remain bivalent and primed

even in committed hemangioblasts, as conditional deletion of PRC1 results in overt and inappropriate expression of neural genes in hemangioblasts. These data reinforce the importance of PRC1 for normal hematopoietic differentiation and reveal an unexpected epigenetic plasticity of mesoderm-committed hemangioblasts. (*Blood*. 2011;117(1):83-87)

Introduction

Tractable mouse embryonic stem (ES) cells in which differentiation pathways can be accurately modeled in vitro and in vivo has revolutionized our understanding of lineage specification¹ and provided critical information on the linear relationships between precursor cells and their progeny.²⁻⁴ Using a well-characterized model of hematopoietic differentiation,³⁻⁵ we have investigated stage-specific changes in chromatin at specific genes in ES cells upon mesoderm induction. Undifferentiated ES cells contain hyperdynamic chromatin⁶ where much of the genome is transcribed at a low level⁷ and many developmental regulator genes are transcriptionally primed and “bivalently marked” with histone modifications associated with both gene activation (such as trimethylation of lysine 4 of histone 3 [H3K4me3]) and polycomb-mediated repression (such as trimethylation of lysine 27 of histone 3 [H3K27me3]).⁸⁻¹⁰ This marking is thought to reflect the lineage plasticity of ES cells because it is resolved on differentiation (eg, in neural precursors^{10,11}) and regained upon reprogramming of differentiated cells to induced pluripotent stem cells.¹² Initial comparisons between ES, hematopoietic stem cells, and lymphocytes showed reduced chromatin accessibility and loss of bivalent marking in hematopoietic cells⁸ but did not evaluate whether such changes occurred before, coincident with, or after cells become functionally restricted. By examining the chromatin status of a panel of genes in intermediate stages of differentiation, we show here that several lineage-inappropriate (neural-specifying) genes remain bivalent and transcriptionally poised in committed hemangioblasts because the removal of

polycomb repressive complex 1 (PRC1) activity results in overt gene derepression.

Methods

Cell culture and differentiation

Bry-GFP⁵ and *Ring1a*^{-/-}/*Ring1b*^{fl/fl}/Cre-ER_{T2}¹³ ES cells were cultured and differentiated as described.⁵ Embryoid bodies were dissociated, stained using a phycoerythrin-conjugated anti-Flk1 antibody (BD Biosciences) and cells sorted on GFP (Bra/T) and Flk1 expression by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS). Hematopoietic potential was tested using colony assays as described in supplemental Methods (available on the *Blood* Web site; see the Supplemental Materials link at the top of the online article).

Epigenetic analysis

Replication timing and gene expression were analyzed using previously published methods.¹⁴ Embryoid bodies were BrdU pulse-labeled before dissociation and sorting. Chromatin from Bra/T-GFP⁺/Flk1⁺ cells was immunoprecipitated as described in supplemental Methods.

Results and discussion

Bry-GFP ES cells that carry a GFP reporter under the control of the mesoderm-associated Brachyury (*Bra/T*) gene^{3,5} were differentiated into embryoid bodies and cells representing epiblast (GFP⁻/

Submitted March 5, 2010; accepted September 20, 2010. Prepublished online as *Blood* First Edition paper, September 28, 2010; DOI 10.1182/blood-2010-03-273128.


*L.M. and H.F.J. contributed equally to this study.

The online version of this article contains a data supplement.

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. Therefore, and solely to indicate this fact, this article is hereby marked “advertisement” in accordance with 18 USC section 1734.

© 2011 by The American Society of Hematology

Figure 2. Bivalent chromatin and PRC1-mediated repression of neural genes is retained in hemangioblast-committed cells.



reduced chromatin accessibility and gene expression upon differentiation, whereas replication of constitutively accessible (*α-Globin*) or heterochromatic (X141) controls remained unaffected. Three mesoderm-associated genes showed slight (*Bra/T*, *Ikaros*) or significantly (*Flk1*) advanced replication in response to differentiation, whereas neural-specifying genes either retained early replication profiles throughout (*Nkx2-2*, *Nkx2-9*, and *Sox1*) or, in the case of *Math1*, were delayed progressively upon differentiation (Figure 1D; supplemental Figure 1B). These data showed that, although mesoderm commitment results in changed epigenetic profiles,

several genes encoding neural specifiers remained early replicating in hemangioblasts in contrast to lymphocytes and hematopoietic stem cell lines (FDCPmix A4 and *Pax5*^{-/-} pro-B) characterized previously⁸ (summarized in supplemental Figure 1B).

To directly determine whether these promoters were bivalently marked in hemangioblasts, we performed chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) on purified *Bra/T*-GFP⁺/Flk1⁺ samples, using antibodies specific for H3K4me3, H3K27me3, and total H3. Previous reports had shown that the promoters of *Bra/T*, *Flk1*, *Ikaros*, *Math1*, *Sox1*, *Nkx2-2*, and *Nkx2-9* (but not *Myf5*) are

bivalent in mouse ES cells.¹⁰ In hemangioblasts, we detected H3K4me3 at the promoters of expressed genes (*Bra/T*, *Flk1*, green, Figure 2A), whereas H3K4me3/H3K27me3 was codetected at *Ikaros*, *Sox2*, *Math1*, *Sox1*, *Nkx2-2*, and *Nkx2-9*. Similar bivalent marking of these genes was observed in CD41⁺-enriched hematopoietic progenitor populations (data not shown). Verification that the neural-associated genes *Sox2*, *Math1*, *Sox1*, *Nkx2-2*, and *Nkx2-9* contained bivalently marked chromatin was shown by sequential ChIP⁹ in which H3K4me3-containing fragments were enriched by a second round of precipitation with antibodies to H3K27me3 (Figure 2B). Furthermore, locus-wide profiling of *Nkx2-2*, a gene-poor region on mouse chromosome 2 that has been extensively characterized as a prototype “bivalent domain” in ES cells,^{9,23} showed that the distributions of H3K4me3 and H3K27me3 were largely preserved in *Bra/T*-GFP⁺/*Flk1*⁺ hemangioblasts (Figure 2C).

Bivalent marking of developmental regulator genes in ES cells is associated with a primed transcriptional state in which RNA polymerase II is bound, phosphorylated at Serine 5, but prevented from elongating by polycomb repressive complexes; removal of PRC1 or PRC2 results in inappropriate gene up-regulation (derepression).^{8,23} Abundant PRC1 transcripts (*Ring1a*, *Ring1b*, *Mel18*, and *Bmi1*) and Ring1b protein was detected in both undifferentiated ES cells and cells at successive stages of hemangioblast differentiation (supplemental Figure 3A-B) and ChIP analysis showed Ring1b binding at *Math1*, *Sox1*, *Nkx2-2*, and *Nkx2-9* promoters in *Bra/T*-GFP⁺/*Flk1*⁺ cells (Figure 2D). To ask whether these genes were indeed functionally primed in mesoderm-committed hemangioblasts, we used Ring1a-deficient ES cells where PRC1 activity can be withdrawn on tamoxifen-induced Ring1b removal (CreER_{T2} cells¹³; Figure 2E). Removal of Ring1b in *Flk1*⁺ hemangioblasts (supplemental Figure 3C-D) resulted in overt expression of *Nkx2-2*, *Nkx2-9*, and *Sox1* at day 3 (Figure 2F). In contrast to ES cells, where PRC1 loss also caused an up-regulation of downstream hematopoietic regulators (such as *Scl*, *Runx1*, and *Fli*; supplemental Figure 3E top panel), expression of these genes by hemangioblasts was unaffected by PRC1 withdrawal (supplemental Figure 3E bottom panel). Taken together, these data show that there is extensive remodeling of lineage-appropriate genes upon mesoderm commitment, yet several lineage-inappropriate genes capable of executing an alternative fate remain bivalent and primed for expression. Ring1b-depleted *Flk1*⁺ hemangioblasts were unable to generate hematopoietic colonies (Figure 2G), a defect that was evident after a single day of tamoxifen treatment (supplemental

Figure 3F), confirming the importance of PRC1 in the proliferation and differentiation of stem cells.²⁴

In conclusion, our data demonstrate that many neural-specifying genes remain bivalently marked and functionally primed for expression in ES-derived committed hemangioblasts. These include *Sox1*, *Nkx2-2*, and *Nkx2-9* (Figure 2A-B), *Ngn1*, *Ngn2*, and *Msx1* (data not shown), and *Math1*, a gene that, although bivalent, showed a delayed timing of replication and insensitivity to PRC1 withdrawal on mesoderm induction. Collectively, these data suggest that hemangioblast precursors may be much more plastic than has previously been appreciated and argue that lineage restriction is probably not the result of an abrupt stage-specific loss of potential (as has been suggested^{20,25}) but rather reflects a more gradual and progressive decline in accessibility of multiple lineage-inappropriate genes over time.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Dr Haruhiko Koseki (RIKEN Institute, Japan) for the kind gift of *Ring1a*^{-/-}/*Ring1b*^{fl/fl}/Cre-ER_{T2} ES cells, Amanda Evans (Medical Research Council NIMR, United Kingdom) for technical assistance, and the Medical Research Council CSC flow cytometry facility for FACS.

This work was supported by the Medical Research Council and Epigenome NoE Framework 6.

Authorship

Contribution: L.M., H.F.J., J.S.-R., and S.P. performed the experiments; L.M., H.F.J., M.M., and A.G.F. wrote the paper; and all authors designed the study.

Conflict-of-interest disclosure: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

The current affiliation for L.M. is Department of Experimental Oncology, European Institute of Oncology, Milan, Italy. The current affiliation for H.F.J. is Medical Research Council National Institute for Medical Research, London, United Kingdom.

Correspondence: Amanda G. Fisher, Medical Research Council Clinical Sciences Centre, Faculty of Medicine Imperial College London, Du Cane Rd, London W12 0NN, United Kingdom; e-mail: amanda.fisher@csc.mrc.ac.uk.

References

- Murry CE, Keller G. Differentiation of embryonic stem cells to clinically relevant populations: lessons from embryonic development. *Cell*. 2008;132(4):661-680.
- Conti L, Pollard SM, Gorba T, et al. Niche-independent symmetrical self-renewal of a mammalian tissue stem cell. *PLoS Biol*. 2005;3(9):e283.
- Huber TL, Kouskoff V, Fehling HJ, Palis J, Keller G. Haemangioblast commitment is initiated in the primitive streak of the mouse embryo. *Nature*. 2004;432(7017):625-630.
- Lancrin C, Sroczynska P, Stephenson C, Allen T, Kouskoff V, Lacaud G. The haemangioblast generates haematopoietic cells through a haemogenic endothelium stage. *Nature*. 2009;457(7231):892-895.
- Fehling HJ, Lacaud G, Kubo A, et al. Tracking mesoderm induction and its specification to the hemangioblast during embryonic stem cell differentiation. *Development*. 2003;130(17):4217-4227.
- Meshorer E, Yellajoshula D, George E, Scambler PJ, Brown DT, Misteli T. Hyperdynamic plasticity of chromatin proteins in pluripotent embryonic stem cells. *Dev Cell*. 2006;10(1):105-116.
- Guenther MG, Levine SS, Boyer LA, Jaenisch R, Young RA. A chromatin landmark and transcription initiation at most promoters in human cells. *Cell*. 2007;130(1):77-88.
- Azuara V, Perry P, Sauer S, et al. Chromatin signatures of pluripotent cell lines. *Nat Cell Biol*. 2006;8(5):532-538.
- Bernstein BE, Mikkelsen TS, Xie X, et al. A bivalent chromatin structure marks key developmental genes in embryonic stem cells. *Cell*. 2006;125(2):315-326.
- Mikkelsen TS, Ku M, Jaffe DB, et al. Genome-wide maps of chromatin state in pluripotent and lineage-committed cells. *Nature*. 2007;448(7153):553-560.
- Mohn F, Weber M, Rebhan M, et al. Lineage-specific polycomb targets and de novo DNA methylation define restriction and potential of neuronal progenitors. *Mol Cell*. 2008;30(6):755-766.
- Mikkelsen TS, Hanna J, Zhang X, et al. Dissecting direct reprogramming through integrative genomic analysis. *Nature*. 2008;454(7200):49-55.
- Endoh M, Endo TA, Endoh T, et al. Polycomb group proteins Ring1A/B are functionally linked to the core transcriptional regulatory circuitry to maintain ES cell identity. *Development*. 2008;135(8):1513-1524.
- Jorgensen HF, Azuara V, Amoils S, et al. The impact of chromatin modifiers on the timing of locus replication in mouse embryonic stem cells. *Genome Biol*. 2007;8(8):R169.
- Bermingham NA, Hassan BA, Price SD, et al.

- Math1: an essential gene for the generation of inner ear hair cells. *Science*. 1999;284(5421):1837-1841.
16. Briscoe J, Pierani A, Jessell TM, Ericson J. A homeodomain protein code specifies progenitor cell identity and neuronal fate in the ventral neural tube. *Cell*. 2000;101(4):435-445.
 17. Miyagi S, Kato H, Okuda A. Role of SoxB1 transcription factors in development. *Cell Mol Life Sci*. 2009;66(23):3675-3684.
 18. Pabst O, Herbrand H, Arnold HH. Nkx2-9 is a novel homeobox transcription factor which demarcates ventral domains in the developing mouse CNS. *Mech Dev*. 1998;73(1):85-93.
 19. Donaldson AD. Shaping time: chromatin structure and the DNA replication programme. *Trends Genet*. 2005;21(8):444-449.
 20. Hiratani I, Ryba T, Itoh M, et al. Genome-wide dynamics of replication timing revealed by in vitro models of mouse embryogenesis. *Genome Res*. 2010;20(2):155-169.
 21. Hiratani I, Ryba T, Itoh M, et al. Global reorganization of replication domains during embryonic stem cell differentiation. *PLoS Biol*. 2008;6(10):e245.
 22. Perry P, Sauer S, Billon N, et al. A dynamic switch in the replication timing of key regulator genes in embryonic stem cells upon neural induction. *Cell Cycle*. 2004;3(12):1645-1650.
 23. Stock JK, Giadrossi S, Casanova M, et al. Ring1-mediated ubiquitination of H2A restrains poised RNA polymerase II at bivalent genes in mouse ES cells. *Nat Cell Biol*. 2007;9(12):1428-1435.
 24. Valk-Lingbeek ME, Bruggeman SW, van Lohuizen M. Stem cells and cancer: the polycomb connection. *Cell*. 2004;118(4):409-418.
 25. Spivakov M, Fisher AG. Epigenetic signatures of stem-cell identity. *Nat Rev Genet*. 2007;8(4):263-271.