

Gene expression profiling of minimally differentiated acute myeloid leukemia: M0 is a distinct entity subdivided by *RUNX1* mutation status

Fernando P. G. Silva,¹ Sigrid M. A. Swagemakers,^{2,3} Claudia Erpelinck-Verschueren,⁴ Bas J. Wouters,⁴ Ruud Delwel,⁴ Harry Vrieling,¹ Peter van der Spek,² Peter J. M. Valk,⁴ and Micheline Giphart-Gassler¹

¹Department of Toxicogenetics, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden; and Departments of ²Bioinformatics, ³Cell Biology & Genetics, and ⁴Hematology, Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

Minimally differentiated acute myeloid leukemia (AML-M0) is defined by immature morphology and expression of early hematologic markers. By gene expression profiling (GEP) and subsequent unsupervised analysis of 35 AML-M0 samples and 253 previously reported AML cases, we demonstrate that AML-M0 cases express a unique signature that is largely separated from other molecular subtypes. Hematologic transcription regulators such as *CEBPA*, *CEBPD*, and *ETV6*, and the differentiation associated gene *MPO* appeared strongly down-regulated, in line with the primitive state of this leukemia. AML-M0 frequently carries loss-offunction *RUNX1* mutation. Unsupervised analyses revealed a subdivision between AML-M0 cases with and without *RUNX1* mutations. *RUNX1* mutant AML-M0 samples showed a distinct up-regulation of B cell-related genes such as members of the B-cell receptor complex, transcription regulators *RUNX3*, *ETS2*, *IRF8*, or *PRDM1*, and major histocompatibility complex class II genes. Importantly, prediction with high accuracy of the AML-M0 subtype and prediction of patients carrying *RUNX1* mutation within this subtype were possible based on the expression level of only a few transcripts. We propose that *RUNX1* mutations in this AML subgroup cause lineage infidelity, leading to aberrant coexpression of myeloid and B-lymphoid genes. Furthermore, our results imply that AML-M0, although originally determined by morphology, constitutes a leukemia subgroup. (Blood. 2009; 114:3001-3007)

Introduction

Minimally differentiated acute myeloid leukemia, known in the French-American-British (FAB) classification as AML-M0, represents approximately 5% of all AML cases, frequently occurs in elderly patients, and has a remarkably poor prognosis.¹⁻⁴ It is molecularly characterized by low expression of MPO and expression of at least 1 myeloid antigen (CD13, CD33, CD15).¹ In some cases, coexpression of lymphoid-associated antigens occurs.⁵ The immature status of this leukemia is emphasized immunologically by the frequent expression of CD34, TdT, HLA-DR, and CD117.2-4 Morphologically, AML-M0 blasts are large and agranular, sometimes resembling lymphoblasts. Contrary to other AML subtypes, there are no characteristic chromosomal translocations related to AML-M0. Nevertheless, the incidence of abnormal karyotypes, complex karyotypes, and unbalanced chromosome changes is more frequent in this leukemia (71%-81%) than in other subtypes.^{3,4,6,7} Thus, although these leukemias share common features, morphologic, immunologic, and cytogenetic analysis reveals variability among cases. These observations raise the question whether AML-M0 leukemias represent 1 or multiple subtypes.

The most characteristic molecular alterations found in AML-M0 are *RUNX1* (alias *AML1*) mutations. Biallelic or dominant-negative mutations in *RUNX1* have been reported in 15% to 35% of this leukemia subtype.⁸ *RUNX1* is a transcription factor that binds DNA through its Runt domain.⁹ Runx1 protein has been demonstrated to be essential for definitive hematopoiesis in various mouse models, and in *Runx1* conditional knockouts it has been shown that the encoded transcription factor is required for the development of both myeloid and lymphoid lineages.^{9,10} *RUNX1* is frequently involved in chromosomal aberrations in various hematologic malignancies.¹¹ Although less frequent, *FLT3, RAS, PTPN11*, and *ETV6* mutations have also been reported in AML-M0.^{12,13}

Gene expression profiling (GEP) has been successfully applied to identify molecularly well-characterized AML subtypes, such as cases that carry translocations t(8;21), t(15;17), or inv(16).¹⁴ Moreover, by applying GEP several novel AML subtypes have been uncovered, of which some appeared to carry signatures associated with specific molecular lesions, for example, mutations in the genes encoding for CEBPA or NPM1.¹⁵ Besides the fact that genome-wide approaches offer the potential to improve AML diagnostics, clinical outcome prediction, and discovery of new subtypes, it may also contribute to the finding of new therapeutic targets by increasing the knowledge on deregulated pathways in leukemia.

Here we applied gene expression profiling on a cohort of 35 AML-M0 samples. We demonstrate that AML-M0 has a distinct gene expression signature, which can be further divided into 2 unique AML subtypes. Moreover, we show that one of these AML-M0 subgroups is fully associated with *RUNX1* mutations. Interestingly, the *RUNX1* mutant subgroup carries a signature of genes, of which many are related to early B-cell development. Importantly, these AML-M0 subtypes can be predicted with high accuracy by GEP using a minimal set of transcripts, providing the possibility to develop a diagnostic assay to identify patients with these subtypes.

© 2009 by The American Society of Hematology

Submitted March 16, 2009; accepted July 15, 2009. Prepublished online as *Blood* First Edition paper, August 7, 2009; DOI 10.1182/blood-2009-03-211334.

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. Therefore, and solely to indicate this fact, this article is hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 USC section 1734.

The online version of this article contains a data supplement.

Methods

Patient material

After informed consent was obtained from patients in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, bone marrow aspirates or peripheral blood samples of AML patients with a diagnosis of primary AML, classified morphologically and immunophenotypically as AML-M0, were collected from the medical centers of the University of Berlin, Leiden University, University of Groningen, Erasmus University, Rotterdam, and University of Vienna. Blasts and mononuclear cells were purified by Ficoll-Hypaque (Nygaard) centrifugation and cryopreserved. The 35 patients used in this study were a subgroup of a cohort of 52 patients for whom sufficient mRNA was available (patients 1-3, 5-9, 18-28, 30-35, 39, 41, 42, 45, 52, 55-58, 60).16 These AML-M0 cases were morphologically, immunophenotypically, cytogenetically, and genetically characterized,¹⁶ and relevant information is provided in supplemental Table 1 (available on the Blood website; see the Supplemental Materials link at the top of the online article). The CEL files of the AML-M0 expression data discussed in this paper are available in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO; http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo) accession number GSE17061.17 Clinical, cytogenetic, and molecular information as well as the gene expression profiles of all 253 reference primary AML cases and 3 normal CD34⁺ sorted bone marrow cell samples¹⁸ were obtained from the GEO (accession number GSE1159). Approval was obtained from the Erasmus University Medical Center Institutional Review Board for these studies.

RNA extraction, labeling, and hybridization

mRNA for gene expression analysis was isolated using QIAamp RNA Blood Mini Kit following the manufacturer's instructions (QIAGEN). RNA concentration, quality, and purity were examined using the RNA 6000 Nano assay on the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent). None of the RNA samples showed degradation (28S/18S rRNA ratio \geq 2) or contamination by DNA.

RNA labeling and hybridization were performed as previously described with few modifications.¹⁸ In short, 2 μ g total RNA of each sample was used to prepare antisense biotinylated RNA. Single- and double-stranded cDNA was synthesized according to the manufacturer's protocol (Invitrogen Life Technologies). cDNA was transcribed into biotin-labeled cRNA using a MEGAScript T7 labeling kit (Ambion). After cleanup and fragmentation, the labeled cRNA was hybridized to an Affymetrix Gene-Chip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 array (containing targets for more than 54 000 probe sets) for 16 hours at 45°C. Arrays were washed, stained, and finally scanned in a GeneChip Scanner 3000 station (Affymetrix).

Data analysis

The measured intensity values were analyzed using GeneChip Operating Software (Affymetrix). The percentage of present calls (40.2 ± 3.6), background (59.2 ± 8.6), and 3' to 5' ratio of *ACTIN* (1.65 ± 0.36) and *GAPDH* (1.30 ± 0.24) indicated a high quality of samples and an overall comparability.

Scanned array images were normalized by Robust Multichip Analysis. After normalization, the data were back-transformed to normal intensity values. For each probe set, the geometric mean of the hybridization intensities of all samples from the patients was calculated. The level of expression of each probe set in every sample was determined relative to this geometric mean and log2 transformed to ascribe equal weight to gene expression levels with similar relative distances to the geometric mean.

Unsupervised cluster analysis was performed in Omniviz (Version 5.1.1; BioWisdom Inc) using Pearson correlation in the Correlation View.¹⁸

Supervised analysis was based on Significance Analysis of Microarrays (SAM; Stanford University).¹⁹ Cutoff values for significantly expressed genes were chosen based on the false discovery rate (FDR) and the fold change (FC). We compared AML-M0 versus all other AML subtypes (SAM: FDR = 0, FC > 2) and AML-M0 group A versus group B (see "Expression of genes involved in B-cell development is upregulated in AML-M0 with *RUNX1* mutations"; SAM: FDR = 0.05, FC \geq 1.5). All

genes significantly differentially expressed are available in supplemental Tables 2 and 6 (annotation based on Affymetrix file HG-U133_Plus_2.na23.annot.txt). Functional analysis of the results was done using Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (Ingenuity Systems, http://www.ingenuity.com) and DAVID.^{20,21}

All supervised class-prediction analyses were performed by applying Prediction Analysis for Microarray (PAM; Stanford University) software (Version 1.28) in R (Version 2.1.0).²² We used the method of the nearest shrunken centroids to identify a minimal set of genes that best characterizes a predefined class as defined by the smallest number of false-negative predictions. The prediction error was calculated by 10-fold cross validation within the training set (two-thirds of the samples) followed by the use of a validation set (one-third of the samples) for AML-M0 prediction. For prediction of *RUNX1* mutation in AML-M0, the prediction error was calculated by a 10-fold cross validation without splitting between training and validation set due to the reduced number of samples. For this analysis, only 25 samples for which the *RUNX1* mutation status was clear were used.

Results

AML-M0 leukemias represent a distinct group based on gene expression profiling

To investigate whether the AML-M0 patient samples carry a specific gene expression signature that distinguishes them from other primary AML cases, we performed mRNA hybridizations for 35 cases using Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 arrays, and compared them with a previously published representative cohort of 253 AMLs.¹⁸ Unsupervised clustering using Pearson correlation showed a distinct grouping of the AML-M0 samples (Figure 1A). The clustering of AML-M0 was stable using different numbers of genes in the unsupervised analysis (cutoffs ranging from 8- to 12-fold differential expression to the geometric mean; 3472 to 1794 probe sets). We selected a cutoff of 8-fold as the most optimal setting (Figure 1A), because at this level the previously published clusters characterized by the AML subtypes carrying an inv(16), t(8;21), t(15;17), *CEBPA*, and *NPM1* mutants were best recognized.¹⁸

Thirty-three of the 35 M0 and 7 of 253 AML patient samples were present in the distinct AML-M0 cluster (Figure 1A). These 7 patients were classified as FAB-M1 or -M5. Moreover, 10 other patients who clustered immediately adjacent to the newly defined FAB-M0 cluster were also classified as FAB-M1 or -M5. Nine of these 17 patients were previously shown to cluster in a subgroup with adverse prognosis (cluster no. 10).¹⁸ The 2 AML-M0 patients who did not cluster in the newly defined M0-cluster carried atypical translocations involving chromosome 11 but did not associate with any distinct molecular abnormality or one of the previously defined gene expression clusters.¹⁸ Interestingly, profiles of 3 normal CD34⁺ sorted progenitor bone marrow cell samples that were analyzed also grouped within the AML-M0 cluster (Figure 1A), which may relate to the early differentiation stage of hematopoiesis of AML-M0 cases based on molecular analysis.

Genes involved in normal hematopoiesis are specifically deregulated in AML-M0

To identify the genes important in AML-M0 pathogenesis, we compared the expression profiles of the 35 AML-M0 patients with the 253 AML samples of other subtypes (Figure 1A). The comparison yielded 1635 significantly differentially expressed probe sets corresponding to 1064 genes and 348 probe sets without annotation (supplemental Table 2). In line with the AML-M0







Figure 2. Overview of biologic networks of differently expressed genes. Relation between different genes is based on Ingenuity knowledge database and was generated using Ingenuity Pathways Analysis (Ingenuity Systems). (A) SAM analysis of the AML-M0 samples versus the primary AML samples showed down-regulation of several transcription factors depicted here in an integrated network. Genes up- and down-regulated in AML-M0 are represented in red and green, respectively. (B) SAM analysis of AML-M0s groups A and B showed up-regulation of many B cell–related genes in group B (associated with RUNX1 mutation), several of which are here represented together with other genes. Red represents up-regulation in group B; green, down-regulation; and white, no difference in expression.

Table 1. List of probe sets detected	d by PAM analysis for AML-M0 prediction
--------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------

Probe set ID	Gene symbol	Gene description
236075_s_at	Unknown	CDNA FLJ31443 fis, clone NT2NE2000808
216823_at	LOC146053/RPS3A	Ribosomal protein S3A/similar to 40S ribosomal protein S3a
217347_at	LOC643653	Similar to 60S ribosomal protein L35
208762_at	SUMO1	SMT3 suppressor of mif two 3 homolog 1
216421_at	Unknown	Unknown
204115_at	GNG11	Guanine nucleotide binding protein (G protein), gamma 11
205361_s_at	PFDN4	Prefoldin subunit 4
235389_at	Unknown	Unknown

PAM indicates Prediction Analysis for Microarray; and AML-M0, minimally differentiated acute myeloid leukemia.

immunophenotype, the top down-regulated gene was *MPO* (Figure 2A). Genes known to be particularly involved in myeloid development, for example, *CEBPA*, *CEBPD*, *SPI1 (PU.1)*, *ETV6*, and *JAK2*, appeared to be significantly down-regulated, whereas *RARA*, *AKT3*, and *GATA3* were up-regulated in AML-M0 (Figure 2A). Further functional annotation of the differentially expressed genes showed an enrichment of mitochondria-related genes and ribosomal genes (supplemental Tables 3-4). Genes related to the phosphorylative oxidation complexes (I, II, and IV) and several ATP synthethases encoding genes were found up-regulated, whereas the pathways involved in NAD⁺ degradation (the first electron acceptor in the oxidative phosphorylation) were down-regulated (supplemental Table 3 and supplemental Figures 1-2).

AML-M0 cases with *RUNX1* mutations have a distinct gene expression signature

Unsupervised class discovery analyses of the 35 AML-M0 samples independent of other AML subtypes showed the existence of 2 subgroups (Figure 1B), which were already apparent in the overall clustering analysis (Figure 1A). These AML-M0 subgroups (A and B) were stable at different cutoffs (ranging from 6- to 9-fold corresponding to 2692 to 1427 probe sets). Importantly, RUNXI mutations were strongly associated with AML-M0 subgroup B (Fisher exact test, P < .001). Notably, of the patients with a RUNX1 mutation, only those with a biallelic mutation or with a dominant-negative mutation clustered within group B (see supplemental Table 5 for the RUNX1 mutations). Patients 28 and 41, with heterozygous mutations outside the runt domain did not cluster within this group (Figure 1B). Patients 22, 52, and 58, for whom no RUNX1 mutations were detected, also clustered in group B. However, whole-genome genotyping of patient 52 showed copy number neutral loss of heterozygosity of chromosome 21, indicative of the existence of a biallelic RUNX1 mutation, and patient 58 showed a chromosome 21 alteration, which could point to the presence of a dominant-negative RUNX1 mutation.¹⁶ In the reference group of 253 AML patients, who were analyzed for RUNX1 mutations in the runt domain only, only 3 patients with RUNX1 mutations were detected. One of these, with a homozygous mutation, clustered within AML-M0 group B (Figure 1A). Sequencing RUNX1 in the remaining 6 cases of the reference group that segregated with AML-M0 (Figure 1A) revealed 2 additional AML cases with a heterozygous mutation outside the runt domain (data not shown). Other mutations present in the AML-M0 samples, such as mutations of ETV6, RAS, FLT3, JAK2, PTPN11, and chromosomal alterations (supplemental Table 1), were not significantly associated with either group A or B (Figure 1B). An association between trisomy 13 and RUNX1 mutation is apparent, as was reported before.23,24

Expression of genes involved in B-cell development is up-regulated in AML-M0 with *RUNX1* mutations

We compared AML-M0 groups A and B (*RUNX1* mutation) using SAM to define a gene expression signature for each subtype (Figures 1B and 2B). The difference in gene expression between the 2 groups should reflect, at least to some extent, the impact of *RUNX1* loss/mutation on transcription and modulation of hematopoiesis in AML-M0. Filtering of the results using a FDR of 0.05 and a 1.5-fold change cutoff yielded 1326 differentially expressed probe sets corresponding to 837 genes and 168 unknown probe sets (supplemental Table 6).

We detected in group B (RUNX1 mutation) a pronounced gene expression signature related to B-cell development and migration (Figures 1B,2B and supplemental Table 6). Among the genes up-regulated were TDT (alias DNTT), several members of the B-cell receptor (BCR) complex, such as VPREB1, IGHM, IGL, and IGKC, and some downstream effectors of this complex, for instance BLNK, SYK, and PLCG1.25-27 CXCR4, a chemokine receptor involved in the migration of blood cells, several major histocompatibility complex class II genes (included in HLA loci of DR, DQ, DP, DM, and DO), and many transcription and cell cycle regulators, such as SPIB, RUNX3, ETS2, IRF8, PRDM1, CIITA, and EBF1, represent other B cell-related genes found up-regulated in group B.26-32 In addition, FLT3, RB1, and CCND1 also showed higher expression in this group. Conversely, several HOX genes (HOXA3, 4, 5, 7, 9, and 10), GATA2, and DZF6, usually linked to stem cell development,^{33,34} were expressed at significantly lower levels in group B.

Class prediction of AML-M0 and RUNX1 mutation

We performed PAM analysis with the purpose to determine the minimal number of genes necessary to predict AML-M0 in the full cohort of AMLs and a second analysis to predict *RUNX1* mutations in a selected AML-M0 cohort.

The 35 AML-M0 samples and the 253 AML samples of other subtypes were mixed and randomly divided into a training set (n = 191) and a validation set (n = 97), which contained equal proportions of AML-M0 samples. We derived an 8 probe set classifier for AML-M0 (Table 1). All genes were also identified as significantly up-regulated in AML-M0 by SAM analysis (supplemental Table 2). In cross validation using the training set, all M0 cases were correctly predicted, and only 3 other AMLs were misclassified as AML-M0 (sensitivity, 100%; specificity, 98%). In the validation set of 97 cases, 1 M0 case was misclassified (sensitivity, 92%), whereas all other AMLs were correctly classified (specificity, 100%).

For class prediction of *RUNX1* mutation status in the 35 AML-M0 samples, we excluded 10 cases for which the exact

mutation status was not clear. These were samples without detectable *RUNX1* mutation that aggregated into group B and samples with hemizygous loss of the *RUNX1* locus (supplemental Table 5). We also restricted the analysis to samples with a *runt* domain mutation (DNA-binding domain of *RUNX1*) as this was the only area completely screened for mutations in all AML-M0 patients.¹⁶ Remarkably, expression of a single gene, *BLNK* (207655_s_at), was sufficient to obtain optimal class separation in 10-fold cross validation (sensitivity and specificity, 100%). Interestingly, among the 4 top probe sets that could be included in this classifier without affecting the prediction accuracy were 3 probe sets for *TDT* (210487_at, 1566363_at, and 1566362_at).

Discussion

Unsupervised cluster analyses of gene expression profiles is commonly used for class discovery in AML.15 Here, unsupervised clustering of 35 AML-M0 samples concurrently with 253 primary AMLs of other subtypes revealed AML-M0 as a distinct entity based on genome-wide gene expression patterns (Figure 1A). Thirty-three of the 35 AML-M0 samples clustered in an independent subgroup with a few non-M0 samples. The molecular lesions of these 33 patients were diverse (Figure 1B and supplemental Table 1), implying that the lesions must target a common pathway leading to a similar phenotype. The immature phenotype of AML-M0 was emphasized by the clustering of the CD34⁺ sorted samples, consisting of hematopoietic stem cells and committed early progenitors, within the AML-M0 group. Some of the non-M0 samples in the AML-M0 cluster were previously shown to have gene expression profiles characteristic of patients with an adverse prognosis (cluster no. 10).18 Because AML-M0 is also associated with a poor prognosis,²⁻⁴ this result may be indicative of the existence of a common gene expression signature for this unfavorable outcome.

Many differentially expressed genes between AML-M0 and the reference samples were identified. One of the top down-regulated genes was *MPO* (Figure 2A). This result corroborates our analysis, as MPO negativity is a hallmark of AML-M0.¹ Other genes found down-regulated were *SPI1, CEBPA, CEBPD,* and *ETV6* (Figure 2A). All of these genes are important transcriptions factors involved in different stages of hematopoiesis and their down-regulation is in agreement with the undifferentiated state of AML-M0.^{35,36}

Another difference between the AML-M0 samples and the remaining AMLs was the significant (up to 3-fold and higher) up-regulation of mitochondrial and ribosomal genes. This could signify an overall higher cellular activity in AML-M0. In particular, up-regulation of genes necessary for oxidative phosphorylation could be related to increased production of ATP, which would confer a significant advantage to cell survival. A number of strategies to target mitochondria in cancer therapy are known or being developed.³⁷

Two distinct subgroups within the AML-M0 cluster (Figure 1A-B) could be identified by unsupervised clustering. *RUNX1* mutations were significantly associated with group B. An immediately apparent difference between group A and group B was the expression of a large number of genes involved in B-cell development in AML-M0 with *RUNX1* mutations. These genes included most members of the BCR complex, transcriptional regulators (such as *RUNX3, EBF1, IRF8, CIITA*), major histocompatibility complex class II genes, downstream effectors of the BCR complex

(such as *BLNK*), and lymphoid homing chemokines (such as *CXRC4*). Furthermore, expression of *VPREB1* is seen only at early stages of B-cell development, indicating that the gene expression in these samples reflects these stages of development.³⁸ Two other genes up-regulated in AML-M0 with a *RUNX1* mutation were *FLT3* and *TDT* (also an early lymphoid marker).

We and others reported an increase in *FLT3* expression in *RUNX1* mutated samples in conjunction with and independently of trisomy 13 (chromosome 13 harbors *FLT3*).^{23,24} Expression of *FLT3* is an early regulatory event in pre-B-cell development.²⁷ The increase in *FLT3* expression in *RUNX1* mutated AML-M0 could be related to the B-cell characteristics found in these cells. Furthermore, this would be in line with the association between *RUNX1* mutations and trisomy 13, which is indicative of a cooperative activity of these mutations with increased *FLT3* expression.^{23,24}

Intensity levels measured by 8 probe sets were sufficient to correctly predict all AML-M0 cases in cross validation, and 11 of 12 M0 cases in a validation set. Of note, the 2 patients who did not cluster in the AML-M0 group in the unsupervised clustering (Figure 1A) were correctly classified in this analysis. PAM also showed that one gene, BLNK, was sufficient to discriminate between AML-M0 samples with and without RUNX1 mutation in a 10-fold cross validation. However, in other subtypes, BLNK expression did not correlate to RUNX1 mutation (data not shown). Interestingly, the PAM results also indicate that TDT could be used instead of BLNK in discriminating between AML-M0 samples with and without RUNX1 mutation. In fact the gene expression of these 2 genes is very similar (Figure 1B). Furthermore, we detected a strong association between TdT protein expression and RUNX1 mutation.¹⁶ Because TdT expression is measured by immunophenotyping at diagnosis, it could be easily applied in the prediction of RUNX1 mutations in AML-M0, after validation.

In conclusion, we show that, at the gene expression level, AML-M0 is a distinct primary AML subtype. We also show that there are 2 distinct subgroups of AML-M0 characterized by their *RUNX1* mutation status. The expression of lymphocyte-related genes is a long-reported occurrence in AML-M0.⁵ Our results link the expression of these genes to *RUNX1* mutation. Expression of lymphoid and myeloid genes in AML-M0 patients with *RUNX1* mutation indicates a role for *RUNX1* in the regulation of both lineages at early stages in humans in resemblance to mice.^{9,10} The expression of B cell–related genes can have a critical role in AML-M0 and deserves further consideration.

Acknowledgments

We thank Inês de Almeida, Monique van Velzen, Sacha Lind, Margriet Ouwens, and Roel Verhaak for microarray analysis and experimental support.

This work was supported by grants from the Calouste Gulbenkian Foundation and the Foundation for Science and Technology (F.P.G.S.).

Authorship

Contribution: F.P.G.S. contributed to conception and design, acquisition, analysis, and interpretation of data, and drafted the article; S.M.A.S., C.E.-V., and B.J.W. performed acquisition and analysis of data; R.D. performed critical paper revision; H.V., P.v.d.S. and P.J.M.V. contributed to interpretation of data and critical paper revision; M.G.-G. initiated, coordinated, and supervised this study and contributed to interpretation of data and critical paper revision; and all authors revised the article critically for important intellectual content and approved the final version to be published.

References

- Bennett JM, Catovsky D, Daniel MT, et al. Proposal for the recognition of minimally differentiated acute myeloid leukaemia (AML-MO). *Br J Haematol.* 1991;78(3):325-329.
- Stasi R, Del Poeta G, Venditti A, et al. Analysis of treatment failure in patients with minimally differentiated acute myeloid leukemia (AML-M0). *Blood.* 1994;83(6):1619-1625.
- Amadori S, Venditti A, Del Poeta G, et al. Minimally differentiated acute myeloid leukemia (AML-M0): a distinct clinico-biologic entity with poor prognosis. *Ann Hematol.* 1996;72(4):208-215.
- Béné MC, Bernier M, Casasnovas RO, et al. Acute myeloid leukaemia M0: haematological, immunophenotypic and cytogenetic characteristics and their prognostic significance: an analysis in 241 patients. *Br J Haematol.* 2001;113(3):737-745.
- van't Veer MB. The diagnosis of acute leukemia with undifferentiated or minimally differentiated blasts. Ann Hematol. 1992;64(4):161-165.
- Cuneo A, Ferrant A, Michaux JL, et al. Cytogenetic profile of minimally differentiated (FAB M0) acute myeloid leukemia: correlation with clinicobiologic findings. *Blood.* 1995;85(12):3688-3694.
- Venditti A, Del Poeta G, Buccisano F, et al. Minimally differentiated acute myeloid leukemia (AML-M0): comparison of 25 cases with other French-American-British subtypes. *Blood.* 1997; 89(2):621-629.
- Osato M. Point mutations in the RUNX1/AML1 gene: another actor in RUNX leukemia. *Oncogene.* 2004;23(24):4284-4296.
- Speck NA, Gilliland DG. Core-binding factors in haematopoiesis and leukaemia. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2002;2(7):502-513.
- Growney JD, Shigematsu H, Li Z, et al. Loss of Runx1 perturbs adult hematopoiesis and is associated with a myeloproliferative phenotype. *Blood.* 2005;106(2):494-504.
- Look AT. Oncogenic transcription factors in the human acute leukemias. *Science*. 1997; 278(5340):1059-1064.
- Roumier C, Lejeune-Dumoulin S, Renneville A, et al. Cooperation of activating Ras/rtk signal transduction pathway mutations and inactivating myeloid differentiation gene mutations in M0 AML: a

study of 45 patients. *Leukemia.* 2006;20(3):433-436.

ing financial interests.

m.giphart-gassler@lumc.nl.

- Silva FP, Morolli B, Storlazzi CT, et al. ETV6 mutations and loss in AML-M0. *Leukemia*. 2008; 22(8):1639-1643.
- Valk PJ, Delwel R, Lowenberg B. Gene expression profiling in acute myeloid leukemia. *Curr Opin Hematol.* 2005;12(1):76-81.
- Bullinger L, Valk PJ. Gene expression profiling in acute myeloid leukemia. J Clin Oncol. 2005; 23(26):6296-6305.
- Silva PG, Almeida I, Morolli B, et al. Genome wide molecular analysis of minimally differentiated acute myeloid leukemia. *Haematologica*. 2009; doi:10.3324/haematol.2009.009324.
- 17. National Center for Biotechnology Information. Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO). http://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo. Accessed July 2009.
- Valk PJ, Verhaak RG, Beijen MA, et al. Prognostically useful gene-expression profiles in acute myeloid leukemia. *N Engl J Med.* 2004;350(16): 1617-1628.
- Tusher VG, Tibshirani R, Chu G. Significance analysis of microarrays applied to the ionizing radiation response. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2001;98(9):5116-5121.
- Huang DW, Sherman BT, Lempicki RA. Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. *Nat Protoc.* 2009;4(1):44-57.
- Dennis G Jr, Sherman BT, Hosack DA, et al. DAVID: Database for Annotation, Visualization, and Integrated Discovery. *Genome Biol.* 2003; 4(5):3.
- Tibshirani R, Hastie T, Narasimhan B, Chu G. Diagnosis of multiple cancer types by shrunken centroids of gene expression. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* U S A. 2002;99(10):6567-6572.
- Silva FP, Lind A, Brouwer-Mandema G, Valk PJ, Giphart-Gassler M. Trisomy 13 correlates with RUNX1 mutation and increased FLT3 expression in AML-M0 patients. *Haematologica*. 2007;92(8): 1123-1126.
- Dicker F, Haferlach C, Kern W, Haferlach T, Schnittger S. Trisomy 13 is strongly associated with AML1/RUNX1 mutations and increased FLT3 expression in acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood*. 2007;110(4):1308-1316.

 Mårtensson IL, Keenan RA, Licence S. The pre-B-cell receptor. *Curr Opin Immunol.* 2007;19(2): 137-142.

Conflict-of-interest disclosure: The authors declare no compet-

Correspondence: Micheline Giphart-Gassler, Department of

Toxicogenetics, Leiden University Medical Center, PO Box 9600, Postzone S4-P, 2300 RC Leiden, The Netherlands; e-mail:

- Hendriks RW, Middendorp S. The pre-BCR checkpoint as a cell-autonomous proliferation switch. *Trends Immunol.* 2004;25(5):249-256.
- Singh H, Medina KL, Pongubala JM. Contingent gene regulatory networks and B cell fate specification. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2005;102(14): 4949-4953.
- de Bruijn MF, Speck NA. Core-binding factors in hematopoiesis and immune function. *Oncogene*. 2004;23(24):4238-4248.
- Gallant S, Gilkeson G. ETS transcription factors and regulation of immunity. Arch Immunol Ther Exp (Warsz). 2006;54(3):149-163.
- Martins G, Calame K. Regulation and functions of Blimp-1 in T and B lymphocytes. *Annu Rev Immunol.* 2008;26:133-169.
- LeibundGut-Landmann S, Waldburger JM, Krawczyk M, et al. Mini-review: Specificity and expression of CIITA, the master regulator of MHC class II genes. *Eur J Immunol.* 2004;34(6):1513-1525.
- 32. Broxmeyer HE. Chemokines in hematopoiesis. *Curr Opin Hematol.* 2008;15(1):49-58.
- Wagner W, Ansorge A, Wirkner U, et al. Molecular evidence for stem cell function of the slowdividing fraction among human hematopoietic progenitor cells by genome-wide analysis. *Blood*. 2004;104(3):675-686.
- Ivanova NB, Dimos JT, Schaniel C, et al. A stem cell molecular signature. *Science*. 2002;298(5593):601-604.
- Laiosa CV, Stadtfeld M, Graf T. Determinants of lymphoid-myeloid lineage diversification. Annu Rev Immunol. 2006;(24):705-738.
- Zhang DE, Hohaus S, Voso MT, et al. Function of PU. 1 (Spi-1), C/EBP, and AML1 in early myelopoiesis: regulation of multiple myeloid CSF receptor promoters. *Curr Top Microbiol Immunol.* 1996; 211:137-147.
- Pilkington GJ, Parker K, Murray SA. Approaches to mitochondrially mediated cancer therapy. *Semin Cancer Biol.* 2008;18(3):226-235.
- Sabbattini P, Dillon N. The lambda5-VpreB1 locus: a model system for studying gene regulation during early B cell development. *Semin Immunol.* 2005;17(2):121-127.