Brief report

Acute myeloid leukemia bearing cytoplasmic nucleophosmin (NPMc⁺ AML) shows a distinct gene expression profile characterized by up-regulation of genes involved in stem-cell maintenance

Myriam Alcalay, Enrico Tiacci, Roberta Bergomas, Barbara Bigerna, Elisa Venturini, Simone P. Minardi, Natalia Meani, Daniela Diverio, Loris Bernard, Laura Tizzoni, Sara Volorio, Lucilla Luzi, Emanuela Colombo, Francesco Lo Coco, Cristina Mecucci, Brunangelo Falini, and Pier Giuseppe Pelicci, for the Gruppo Italiano Malattie Ematologiche Maligne dell'Adulto (GIMEMA) Acute Leukemia Working Party

Approximately one third of acute myeloid leukemias (AMLs) are characterized by aberrant cytoplasmic localization of nucleophosmin (NPMc⁺ AML), consequent to mutations in the NPM putative nucleolar localization signal. These events are mutually exclusive with the major AMLassociated chromosomal rearrangements, and are frequently associated with normal karyotype, *FLT3* mutations, and multilineage involvement. We report the gene expression profiles of 78 de novo AMLs (72 with normal karyotype; 6 without major chromosomal abnormalities) that were characterized for the subcellular localization and mutation status of NPM. Unsupervised clustering clearly separated NPMc⁺ from NPMc⁻ AMLs, regardless of the presence of *FLT3* mutations or non-major chromosomal rearrangements, supporting the concept that NPMc⁺ AML represents a distinct entity.

The molecular signature of NPMc⁺ AML includes up-regulation of several genes putatively involved in the maintenance of a stem-cell phenotype, suggesting that NPMc⁺ AML may derive from a multipotent hematopoietic progenitor. (Blood. 2005;106:899-902)

© 2005 by The American Society of Hematology

Introduction

The most frequent chromosomal rearrangements in acute myeloid leukemias (AMLs) are t(8;21), t(15;17), inv(16), and t(9;11), which, with their variants, account for approximately 40% of cases.¹ The resulting fusion genes encode for oncogenic proteins capable of initiating leukemia in mice.² Many other chromosomal abnormalities have been described, representing, however, less than 10% of AMLs. The remaining 50% of cases carry a normal karyotype or, less frequently, random chromosomal aberrations, and the underlying genetic lesion is unknown.³

A recent survey of nucleophosmin (NPM) subcellular localization in a large series of de novo AMLs revealed aberrant cytoplasmic NPM localization (NPMc⁺) in about 35% of cases.⁴ Analysis of the *NPM* gene identified mutations within the putative NPM nucleolar localization signal in all NPMc⁺ AMLs.⁴ These mutations cause cytoplasmic localization of the abnormal protein⁴ and, being mutually exclusive with recurrent AML-associated chromosomal abnormalities, are likely to play a key role in leukemogenesis. NPMc⁺ AML encompasses a wide French-American-British (FAB) morphologic spectrum, frequently displays multilineage involvement, and usually shows normal karyotype and *FLT3* mutations. We studied the global expression profiles of de novo AMLs without major chromosomal translocations and here demonstrate that NPMc⁺ AMLs display a specific gene expression profile dominated by a stem-cell molecular signature.

Study design

Tumor samples

We studied 78 patients with de novo AMLs (age, 15-60 years, other than M3) from the GIMEMA (Gruppo Italiano Malattie Ematologiche Maligne dell'Adulto) LAM 99P and GIMEMA/EORTC (European Organization for Research on Treatment of Cancer) AML12 trials, showing greater than 70% bone marrow infiltration by leukemic cells, previously characterized for subcellular NPM localization, karyotype, reverse transcription–polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) for major fusion transcripts, *MLL* status, *FLT3* mutations.⁴ NPM subcellular localization was detected in bone marrow paraffin sections⁴ using specific anti-NPM monoclonal antibodies^{5,6} and the alkaline phosphatase anti–alkaline phosphatase technique.⁷

NPM mutations were previously reported in 24 of 78 of cases.⁴ Additional mutational analysis of *NPM* transcript was performed by PCR amplification of cDNA with the following primers: 5'-region, Fw1,

Submitted February 9, 2005; accepted March 18, 2005. Prepublished online as *Blood* First Edition Paper, April 14, 2005; DOI 10.1182/blood-2005-02-0560.

Supported by Associazione Italiana per la Ricerca sul Cancro (AIRC) grants (M.A., B.F., and P.G.P.) and by a Livia Benedetti grant (E.T.).

A complete list of the members of the GIMEMA (Gruppo Italiano Malattie Ematologiche Maligne dell'Adulto) Acute Leukemia Working Party appears

in the "Appendix."

M.A. and E.T. contributed equally to this work.

The online version of the article contains a data supplement.

Reprints: Myriam Alcalay, IFOM-IEO Campus, Via Adamello 16, 20139, Milan, Italy; e-mail: myriam.alcalay@ifom-ieo-campus.it.

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. Therefore, and solely to indicate this fact, this article is hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. section 1734.

© 2005 by The American Society of Hematology

From the Institute of Molecular Oncology (IFOM) of the Italian Foundation for Cancer Research, Milan, Italy; the European Institute of Oncology, Milan, Italy; the Institute of Hematology, University of Perugia, Perugia, Italy; the Institute of Hematology, University La Sapienza, Rome, Italy; and the Department of Biopathology, University of Tor Vergata, Rome, Italy.

Table 1. Main features of the AML cases used for analysis of gene expression profiles

Patient no.	NPM loc	NPM gene	FAB	FLT3	Karyotype	CD34				
Training set										
1	$\rm NPMc^+$	Mut	M1	ITD	NK	Neg				
2	$NPM c^+$	Mut	M1	ITD	NK	Neg				
3	$\rm NPMc^+$	Mut	M1	ITD	NK	Neg				
4	$NPM c^+$	Mut	M1	ITD	NK	Neg				
5	$NPM c^+$	Mut	M1	ITD	NK	Neg				
6	NPM c ⁺	Mut	M1	ITD	NK	Neg				
7	NPM c^+	Mut	M1	WT	NK	Nea				
8	NPM c ⁺	Mut	M1	WT	NK	Nea				
9	NPM c ⁺	Mut	M1	WT	NK	Nea				
10	NPM c ⁺	Mut	M2	ITD	NK	Pos				
11	NPM c ⁺	Mut	M2	ITD	NK	Nea				
12	NPM c ⁺	Mut	M2	ITD	NK	Neg				
13	NPM c ⁺	Mut	M2		NK	Neg				
14	NPM c ⁺	Mut	MO		NK	Neg				
15	NPM c ⁺	Mut	M2		NK	Neg				
16	NPM c ⁺	Mut	M2	WT		Neg				
17		Mut	IVIZ			Neg				
17		Mut		VV I		Neg				
10		Mut	IVIZ	VV I		Neg				
19		Mut	IVI2		NK NK	Pos				
20	NPM c ⁺	Mut	M4		NK	Neg				
21	NPM C ⁺	Mut	M4	IID	NK	Neg				
22	NPM c ⁺	Mut	M4	WI	NK	Neg				
23	NPM c ⁺	Mut	M4	WT	NK	Neg				
24	NPM c ⁺	Mut	M5a	WT	NK	Neg				
25	NPM c ⁺	Mut	M5a	WT	NK	Neg				
26	NPM c ⁺	Mut	M5a	WT	NK	Neg				
27	NPM c ⁺	Mut	M5b	D835	NK	Neg				
28	$\rm NPM~c^+$	Mut	M5b	D835	NK	Neg				
29	$\rm NPM~c^+$	Mut	M5b	WT	NK	Neg				
30	NPM c-	WT	MO	ITD	NK	Pos				
31	NPM c-	WT	MO	WT	NK	Pos				
32	NPM c-	WT	M1	WT	NK	Pos				
33	NPM c-	WT	M1	WT	NK	Pos				
34	NPM c-	WT	M1	WT	NK	Pos				
35	NPM c-	WT	M2	WT	NK	Pos				
36	NPM c-	WT	M4	WT	NK	Pos				
37	NPM c-	WT	M4	WT	NK	Pos				
38	NPM c-	WT	M5a	ITD	NK	Neg				
39	NPM c-	WT	M5a	ITD	NK	Neg				
Test set										
40	NPM c^+	Mut	M1	ITD	NK	Neg				
41	NPM c ⁺	Mut	M1	WT	NK	Neg				
42	NPM c ⁺	Mut	M2	D835	NK	Nea				
43	NPM c ⁺	Mut	M2	ITD	NK	Pos				
44	NPM c ⁺	Mut	M2	WT	NK	Nea				
45	NPM c ⁺	Mut	M2	WT	NK	Neg				
46	NPM c ⁺	Mut	M4	D835	NK	Neg				
40	NPM c ⁺	Mut	M4		NK	Neg				
49	NPM c ⁺	Mut	M4		NK	Neg				
40	NPM o ⁺	Mut	M4			Neg				
49 50	NPM o ⁺	Mut	N/4			NEG				
50		Mut	IVI4	11D/D035		ND				
51		Mut	1014		NK NK	Neg				
52		Mut	IVI5		NK	Neg				
53		Mut	M5a		NK	Neg				
54	NPM C ⁺	Mut	M5a	IID	NK	Neg				
55	NPM c ⁺	Mut	M5a	WT	NK	Neg				
56	NPM c ⁺	Mut	M5b	ITD	NK	Neg				
57	NPM c ⁺	Mut	M5b	ITD	NK	Neg				
58	$\rm NPMc^+$	Mut	M5b	WT	NK	Neg				
59	$\rm NPM~c^+$	Mut	M5b	WT	NK	Neg				
60	$\rm NPM~c^+$	Mut	M5b	WT	NK	Neg				
61	$\rm NPM~c^+$	Mut	M5b	WT	NK	Neg				
62	$\rm NPM~c^+$	Mut	MO	WT	del(11)	Pos				
63	NPM c ⁺	Mut	M1	WT	add(1)	Neg				

Table 1. Main features of the AML cases used for analysis of gene expression profiles (continued)

• •		,				
Patient no.	NPM loc	NPM gene	FAB	FLT3	Karyotype	CD34
64	$\rm NPMc^+$	Mut	M4	ITD	inv(3)	Neg
65	$\rm NPM~c^+$	Mut	M4	WT	tri(8)	Pos
66	$\rm NPM~c^+$	Mut	M5b	ITD	del(9)	Neg
67	$\rm NPM~c^+$	Mut	M5b	ITD/D835	tri(8)	Neg
68	$\rm NPM~c^+$	Mut	MO	WT	NK	Pos
69	$\rm NPM~c^-$	WT	M1	ITD	NK	Neg
70	NPM c ⁻	WT	M1	WT	NK	Pos
71	NPM c ⁻	WT	M2	ITD	NK	Pos
72	$\rm NPM~c^-$	WT	M2	WT	NK	Pos
73	NPM c ⁻	WT	M2	WT	NK	Pos
74	NPM c ⁻	WT	M4	ITD	NK	Pos
75	$\rm NPM~c^-$	WT	M4	ITD	NK	Pos
76	NPM c ⁻	WT	M4	ITD	NK	Pos
77	NPM c ⁻	WT	M4	WT	NK	Pos
78	$\rm NPM~c^-$	WT	M4e	WT	NK	Pos

NPM loc indicates NPM localization (c⁺, cytoplasmic and nuclear; c⁻, nuclear); NPM gene, status of the NPM gene (Mut, mutated; WT, wild type); FAB, M0 to M5 subtypes; FLT3, status of the *FLT3* gene (ITD, internal tandem duplication; D835 mutation; WT, wild type); NK, normal karyotype; CD34, immunohistochemical expression of CD34 (Pos, 20% positive blasts; Neg, < 20% positive blasts; ND, no data available).

5'-GGTTGTTCTCTGGAGCAGCGTTCT-3', and Rev1, 5'-GGAGTATCTCG-TATAGATTTCTTCAC-3'; 3'-region, Fw2, 5'-GGAGGAGGATGTGAA-ACTCTTAAG-3', and Rev2, 5'-ACTGCCAGATATCAACTGTTACAG-3'.

Microarray analysis and real-time RT-PCR

Microarray and RT-PCR methods and data analysis are described in detail in Document S1 (available at the *Blood* website; see the Supplemental Materials link at the top of the online article). Briefly, Affymetrix HG-U133A chips were hybridized with labeled targets obtained from 2 to 5 µg total RNA as described.⁸ Data were analyzed with MASv5 (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA), and further elaborated with GeneSpring 6.1 (Silicon Genetics, Redwood City, CA) or Significance Analysis of Microarrays (SAM).⁹ RT-PCR was performed using TaqMan GeneExpression Assays (http://myscience.appliedbiosystems.com).

Results and discussion

We studied 78 patients with de novo AMLs (58 NPMc⁺ and 20 NPMc⁻), negative for AML-associated chromosomal translocations at cytogenetic and/or molecular level (Table S2). NPMc⁺ cases were representative of the 3 major genetic features of this novel AML entity⁴: prevalence of normal karyotype (52 of 58), frequent occurrence of *FLT3* mutations (32 of 58), and presence in all cases of *NPM* mutations (Table 1). As reported,⁴ the 20 NPMc⁻ AMLs with normal karyotype included in this study had a lower frequency of *FLT3* mutations (8 of 20), and none harbored *NPM* mutations. Complete description of cytogenetic, molecular, and FAB characteristics is shown in Supplemental Table S2.

To investigate whether NPMc⁺ AMLs are associated with a specific pattern of gene expression, we divided the 78 AML samples into 2 groups and analyzed them on Affymetrix HG-U133A chips. The first group (training set) was used to identify genes that function as putative predictors of NPM status, whereas the second group (test set) was used to assess the validity of the identified predictors. Details of microarray methods, data analysis, and statistical tests are described in Supplemental Document S1.

The training set included 39 AMLs with normal karyotype, differing for NPM and *FLT3* status, and FAB subtype (Table 1). Unsupervised

Figure 1. Gene-expression profiles of NPMc+ and NPMc⁻ AML. (A) Unsupervised hierarchical clustering of the training set. The dendrogram at the top was obtained using a list of 7197 selected genes (see Document S1, available on the Blood website; see the Supplemental Materials link at the top of the online article). The strongest parameter in determining AML clustering is NPM localization. Each of the 39 columns represents an AML sample, and each of the 7197 rows represents a gene (probe set). Genes were clustered according to Pearson correlation (the structure of the gene tree is not shown). (B) Hierarchical clustering of the test set using 369 probe sets obtained from an analysis of variance of the training set (see Document S1). The predictor genes efficiently discriminate AML cases according to NPM localization. Each of the 39 columns represents an AML sample, and each of the 369 rows represents a gene (probe set). Genes were clustered according to Pearson correlation, and the structure of the gene tree is shown. Color scheme used to identify sample characteristics is shown between panels A and B. NK indicates normal karyotype; AK, abnormal karyotype. (C-D) Affymetrix (C) and reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) (D) analysis of expression levels of 20 genes (with the highest scores among the 369 predictors) evaluated in 16 patients (8 NPMc+ and 8 NPMc-, identified by numbers). (D) Relative expression levels are calculated as deviation from the median, and expression values for each gene in each sample are calculated as $2^{\text{-}\Delta CT}$ (ΔCT = difference between the mean threshold cycle for each specific gene and for the 18S control ribosomal RNA gene). In the vast majority of cases, RT-qPCR reflects the results expected from microarray analysis. Homeobox gene expression levels appear to be particularly elevated in NPMc+ AML. The color bar (D, right) represents the color scheme applied to all parts of the figure.



hierarchical clustering showed that, strikingly, the strongest clustering parameter was NPM status (Figure 1A). FAB subtype determined partial subclustering, (Figure 1A) with 1 of the 2 major branches of NPMc⁺ samples containing more M4 and M5 cases, whereas *FLT3* mutations did not show specific subclustering. To identify genes that best discriminate the NPMc⁺ from NPMc⁻ AMLs, we performed a supervised analysis of variance (ANOVA) and identified 369 probe sets (Table S3), corresponding to 330 nonredundant genes. An independent method to identify discriminating genes (Significance Analysis of Microarrays⁹) generated largely overlapping results (Table S4).

We used the 369 putative NPMc⁺ predictors to study the test set of AMLs, which included 29 NPMc⁺ and 10 NPMc⁻ AML (Table 1), and, like the training set, was heterogeneous for FAB subtype and *FLT3* mutations. This group of samples, however, also included 6 of 29 NPMc⁺ cases with rare chromosomal abnormalities (add(1), del(11), inv(3), del(9), and trisomy 8 in 2 cases).

Hierarchical clustering efficiently segregated patients with NPMc⁻ from patients with NPMc⁺, suggesting that these 369 genes reliably recapitulate the gene expression profile of NPMc⁺ AMLs, even in cases with rare chromosomal abnormalities (Figure 1B).

Levels of NPM1 mRNA do not differ in the 2 groups of samples (not shown), indicating that NPM1 mutations are not accompanied by quantitative differences in NPM1 expression. CD34 and CD133/ prominin-1, which are rarely expressed in NPMc⁺ AML⁴ (Supplemental Table S2), are strongly repressed in NPMc⁺ cases and appear among the predictors (Supplemental Table S3), supporting the reliability of our results. For further validation, we analyzed by real-time RT-PCR the expression levels of other 20 predictor genes, chosen for function (relevance in hematopoiesis and/or cell differentiation) and predictive strength. Figure 1D shows analysis of 16 AMLs (8 NPMc⁺ and 8 NPMc⁻). Comparison of RT-PCR and microarray data resulted in a large overlap (Figure 1C). Previous studies demonstrated that AMLs with recurrent chromosomal rearrangements show distinct gene-expression signatures, while AMLs with normal karyotype segregate within 2 or more clusters,¹⁰⁻¹³ none of which carries a unifying genetic lesion. Our analysis identifies, within AMLs with normal karyotype, a distinct subgroup unambiguously characterized by cytoplasmic dislocation of NPM and *NPM* gene mutations. Notably, the NPMc⁺ cluster also contained samples with rare chromosomal abnormalities, reinforcing the concept that NPMc⁺ AML represents a distinct subgroup regardless of the karyotype. Non–major chromosomal rearrangements rarely accompanying *NPM* mutations are, therefore, likely to be secondary events.⁴

A striking feature of NPMc⁺ gene-expression signature is the activation of numerous members of the homeodomain-containing family of transcription factors, including HOX and TALE genes, some of which are oncogenes in myeloid leukemias and implicated in hematopoietic development.¹⁴ Several HOX genes are highly expressed in hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs), and their expression decreases with differentiation.15 Their concerted overexpression in NPMc⁺ AML blasts may, therefore, contribute to the maintenance of a stem-cell phenotype. Notably, NPMc⁺ AMLs also display induction of the Notch1-ligand JAG1 and repression of CDKN2C/ p18-INK4C, which are associated to expansion of the HSC pool.^{16,17} Repression of the HSC-associated genes CD34 and CD133/PROM1 is not necessarily in contrast with this view, since a HSC subpopulation negative for both lineage- and HSC-associated markers has been identified.¹⁸ Consistent with the view that NPMc⁺ AMLs might derive from a HSC, they show a wide FAB morphologic spectrum and frequent multilineage involvement.⁴

A similar *HOX* signature was previously reported in an uncharacterized subset of AML with normal karyotype.¹¹ We demonstrate

References

- Look AT. Oncogenic transcription factors in the human acute leukemias. Science. 1997;278: 1059-1064.
- Alcalay M, Orleth A, Sebastiani C, et al. Common themes in the pathogenesis of acute myeloid leukemia. Oncogene. 2001;20:5680-5694.
- Mitelman F. Catalogue of Chromosome Aberrations in Cancer. New York, NY: Wiley-Liss; 1994.
- Falini B, Mecucci C, Tiacci E, et al. Cytoplasmic nucleophosmin in acute myelogenous leukemia with a normal karyotype. N Engl J Med. 2005; 352:254-266.
- Falini B, Pulford K, Pucciarini A, et al. Lymphomas expressing ALK fusion protein(s) other than NPM-ALK. Blood. 1999;94:3509-3515.
- Falini B, Mason DY. Proteins encoded by genes involved in chromosomal alterations in lymphoma and leukemia: clinical value of their detection by immunocytochemistry. Blood. 2002;99:409-426.
- Cordell JL, Falini B, Erber WN, et al. Immunoenzymatic labeling of monoclonal antibodies using immune complexes of alkaline phosphatase and monoclonal anti-alkaline phosphatase (APAAP complexes). J Histochem Cytochem. 1984;32: 219-229.
- Alcalay M, Meani N, Gelmetti V, et al. Acute myeloid leukemia fusion proteins deregulate genes involved in stem cell maintenance and DNA repair. J Clin Invest. 2003;112:1751-1761.
- Tusher VG, Tibshirani R, Chu G. Significance analysis of microarrays applied to the ionizing radiation response. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2001;98:5116-5121.
- Schoch C, Kohlmann A, Schnittger S, et al. Acute myeloid leukemias with reciprocal rearrange-

ments can be distinguished by specific gene expression profiles. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2002; 99:10008-10013.

- Debernardi S, Lillington DM, Chaplin T, et al. Genome-wide analysis of acute myeloid leukemia with normal karyotype reveals a unique pattern of homeobox gene expression distinct from those with translocation-mediated fusion events. Genes Chromosomes Cancer. 2003;37:149-158.
- Bullinger L, Dohner K, Bair E, et al. Use of geneexpression profiling to identify prognostic subclasses in adult acute myeloid leukemia. N Engl J Med. 2004;350:1605-1616.
- Valk PJ, Verhaak RG, Beijen MA, et al. Prognostically useful gene-expression profiles in acute myeloid leukemia. N Engl J Med. 2004;350:1617-1628.
- Owens BM, Hawley RG. HOX and non-HOX homeobox genes in leukemic hematopoiesis. Stem Cells. 2002;20:364-379.
- Magli MC, Barba P, Celetti A, De Vita G, Cillo C, Boncinelli E. Coordinate regulation of HOX genes in human hematopoietic cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1991;88:6348-6352.
- Karanu FN, Murdoch B, Gallacher L, et al. The notch ligand jagged-1 represents a novel growth factor of human hematopoietic stem cells. J Exp Med. 2000;192:1365-1372.
- Yuan Y, Shen H, Franklin DS, Scadden DT, Cheng T. In vivo self-renewing divisions of haematopoietic stem cells are increased in the absence of the early G1-phase inhibitor, p18INK4C. Nat Cell Biol. 2004;6:436-442.
- Engelhardt M, Lubbert M, Guo Y. CD34(+) or CD34(-): which is the more primitive? Leukemia. 2002;16:1603-1608.

that this is a specific feature of NPMc⁺ AMLs. Acute leukemias carrying *MLL* rearrangements also display induction of *HOX* genes,¹⁹ possibly due to direct binding of mixed lineage leukemia (MLL) fusion proteins to *HOX* promoters.²⁰ A similar mechanism is not likely for NPMc⁺ AML: NPM is a nuclear chaperone²¹ that regulates diverse processes (such as assembly and transport of preribosomal particles, and centrosome duplication^{22,23}); interacts with tumor suppressors proteins p53, p19, and retinoblastoma pRB; and is crucial for p53 stabilization after stress.²⁴⁻²⁷ Homeobox activation in NPMc⁺ AML might, therefore, reflect the molecular status of the leukemic target cell rather than represent a direct consequence of NPM mutations.

Appendix

The authors thank all the centers and investigators contributing to the GIMEMA study, and in particular: G. Meloni (Rome), F. Fabbiano (Palermo), V. Liso (Bari), M. Sborgia (Pescara), F. Di Raimondo (Catania), A. Venditti (Rome), D. Magro (Catanzaro), F. Nobile (Reggio Calabria), B. Rotoli (Naples), N. Cantore (Avellino), L. Melillo (S. Giovanni Rotondo), E. Angelucci (Cagliari), A. Tabilio (Perugia), M. Petrini (Pisa), P. Leoni (Ancona), G. Torelli (Modena), A. Levis (Alessandria), L. Camba (Milan), F. Ricciuti (Potenza), E. Miraglia (Naples), G. Quarta (Brindisi), A. Gabbas (Nuoro), M.E. Mitra (Palermo), V. Rizzoli (Parma), G. Sparaventi (Pesaro), S. Moranti (Cremona), A. Gallamini (Cuneo), A. Serra (Orbassano), P.L. Castaldi (Ferrara), F. Dore (Sassari), E. Epis (Sondalo); R. Mozzana (Gallarate), G. Nalli (Lodi), A. M. D'Arco (Nocera Inferiore), P.L. Rossi Ferrini (Florence), M. Monaco (Foggia), M. Brugiatelli (Messina), M. Russo (Taormina).

- Armstrong SA, Staunton JE, Silverman LB, et al. MLL translocations specify a distinct gene expression profile that distinguishes a unique leukemia. Nat Genet. 2002;30:41-47.
- Hess JL. MLL: a histone methyltransferase disrupted in leukemia. Trends Mol Med. 2004;10: 500-507.
- Szebeni A, Olson MO. Nucleolar protein B23 has molecular chaperone activities. Protein Sci. 1999; 8:905-912.
- Olson MO, Wallace MO, Herrera AH, Marshall-Carlson L, Hunt RC. Preribosomal ribonucleoprotein particles are a major component of a nucleolar matrix fraction. Biochemistry. 1986;25:484-491.
- Okuda M, Horn HF, Tarapore P, et al. Nucleophosmin/B23 is a target of CDK2/cyclin E in centrosome duplication. Cell. 2000;103:127-140.
- Colombo E, Marine JC, Danovi D, Falini B, Pelicci PG. Nucleophosmin regulates the stability and transcriptional activity of p53. Nat Cell Biol. 2002; 4:529-533.
- Bertwistle D, Sugimoto M, Sherr CJ. Physical and functional interactions of the Arf tumor suppressor protein with nucleophosmin/B23. Mol Cell Biol. 2004;24:985-996.
- Kurki S, Peltonen K, Latonen L, et al. Nucleolar protein NPM interacts with HDM2 and protects tumor suppressor protein p53 from HDM2-mediated degradation. Cancer Cell. 2004;5:465-475.
- Takemura M, Sato K, Nishio M, Akiyama T, Umekawa H, Yoshida S. Nucleolar protein B23.1 binds to retinoblastoma protein and synergistically stimulates DNA polymerase alpha activity. J Biochem (Tokyo). 1999;125:904-909.